CS224N: NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING WITH DEEP LEARNING ASSIGNMENT #1

ANTHONY HO

1. (a) For any input vector \boldsymbol{x} and any constant c,

$$\operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{x}+c)_{i} = \frac{e^{x_{i}+c}}{\sum_{j} e^{x_{j}+c}}$$

$$= \frac{e^{c}e^{x_{i}}}{\sum_{j} e^{c}e^{x_{j}}}$$

$$= \frac{e^{c}e^{x_{i}}}{e^{c}\sum_{j} e^{x_{j}}}$$

$$= \frac{e^{x_{i}}}{\sum_{j} e^{x_{j}}}$$

$$= \operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{x})_{i} \tag{1}$$

Since (1) is true for any arbitrary element i, we can conclude that:

$$\operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \operatorname{softmax}(\boldsymbol{x} + c)$$

- (b) Please see the coding portion of the assignment.
- 2. (a) First, we can rearrange the definition of the sigmoid function to obtain:

$$e^{-x} = \frac{1}{\sigma(x)} - 1$$

Now we can derive the gradient of the sigmoid function w.r.t. x, assuming x is a scalar.

$$\frac{\partial \sigma(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

$$= \frac{-1}{(1 + e^{-x})^2} \left(-e^{-x} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(1 + e^{-x})^2} \left(e^{-x} \right)$$

$$= (\sigma(x))^2 \left(\frac{1}{\sigma(x)} - 1 \right)$$

$$= \sigma(x) \left(1 - \sigma(x) \right)$$

(b) First, let's consider the fact that y is the one-hot label vector, i.e.

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = k \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where k is the index of the true label.

Therefore, we can simplify the cross entropy function as:

$$CE(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) = -\sum_{i} y_i \log(\hat{y}_i) = -\log(\hat{y}_k)$$

2 ANTHONY HO

To derive the gradient w.r.t the inputs of a softmax function when cross entropy loss is used for evaluation, let's consider its individual elements:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} CE(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \left[-\log(\hat{y}_{k}) \right]
= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \left[-\log(\frac{e^{\theta_{k}}}{\sum_{j} e^{\theta_{j}}}) \right]
= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \left[-\theta_{k} + \log \sum_{j} e^{\theta_{j}} \right]
= -\frac{\partial \theta_{k}}{\partial \theta_{i}} + \frac{\sum_{j} e^{\theta_{j}} \frac{\partial \theta_{j}}{\partial \theta_{i}}}{\sum_{j} e^{\theta_{j}}} \tag{2}$$

By noting that:

$$\frac{\partial \theta_j}{\partial \theta_i} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We can simplify (2) as:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} CE(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) = -y_i + \frac{e^{\theta_i}}{\sum_j e^{\theta_j}} = -y_i + \hat{y}_i$$

Thus, the gradient w.r.t the inputs of a softmax function when cross entropy loss is used for evaluation is:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathrm{CE}(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y}$$

(c) Let's denote:

$$egin{aligned} heta_1 &= xW_1 + b_1 \ heta_2 &= hW_2 + b_2 \end{aligned}$$

By applying chain rule, we can rewrite the gradient as:

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{\partial \mathrm{CE}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\hat{y}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{\partial \mathrm{CE}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\hat{y}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_2}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_1}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}$$

The first component is simply the result of part (b):

$$\frac{\partial \mathrm{CE}(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_2}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y}$$

The second component is:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} \left(\boldsymbol{h} \boldsymbol{W_2} + \boldsymbol{b_2} \right) = \boldsymbol{W_2^\top}$$

The third component is a $H \times H$ matrix and can be computed by examining its individual elements:

$$\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_1}}\right)_{ij} = \left(\frac{\partial \sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta_1})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_1}}\right)_{ij} = \frac{\partial \sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta_1}_i)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_1}_j} = \begin{cases} \sigma'(\boldsymbol{\theta_1}_i), & \text{if } i = j\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma'(\theta_{1i}) = \sigma(\theta_{1i}) (1 - \sigma(\theta_{1i}))$ is the sigmoid gradient as shown in part (a). Thus, we can define:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta_1} = S(\theta_1)$$

where S denotes a $H \times H$ diagonal matrix where the diagonal elements are $\sigma'(\theta_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, H$. The fourth component is similar to the second component:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \left(\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{W_1} + \boldsymbol{b_1} \right) = \boldsymbol{W_1}^\top$$

Therefore, the the gradient with respect to the inputs x to an one-hidden-layer neural network is:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} &= \frac{\partial \text{CE}(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_2}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_2}}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{h}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_1}} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta_1}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \\ &= (\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y}) \, \boldsymbol{W}_2^\top \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta_1}) \boldsymbol{W}_1^\top \\ &= (\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y}) \, \boldsymbol{W}_2^\top \boldsymbol{S}(\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{W}_1 + \boldsymbol{b_1}) \boldsymbol{W}_1^\top \end{split}$$

(d) The dimensions of the weights and biases are as follows:

Parameter	Dimension
W_1	$D_x \times H$
b_1	$1 \times H$
W_2	$H \times D_y$
b_2	$1 \times D_y$

Therefore, the number of parameters in this neural network is:

parameters =
$$D_x H + H + H D_y + D_y = (D_x + 1)H + D_y (H + 1)$$

- (e) Please see the coding portion of the assignment.
- (f) Please see the coding portion of the assignment.
- (g) Please see the coding portion of the assignment.
- 3. (a) Let's denote:

$$egin{aligned} heta_w &= oldsymbol{u}_w^ op oldsymbol{v}_c \ oldsymbol{ heta} &= oldsymbol{U}^ op oldsymbol{v}_c \end{aligned}$$

where θ_w is a scalar, \boldsymbol{u}_w and \boldsymbol{v}_c are column vectors of dimensions $N \times 1$, $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is a column vector of dimension $V \times 1$, and $\boldsymbol{U} = [\boldsymbol{u}_1, \boldsymbol{u}_2, \cdots, \boldsymbol{u}_V]$ is a matrix of dimension $N \times V$.

The softmax predictions for every word can then be written as:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{U}^{\top}\boldsymbol{v}_c)}{\sum_{w=1}^{V} \exp(\boldsymbol{u}_w^{\top}\boldsymbol{v}_c)} = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\sum_{w=1}^{V} \exp(\theta_w)}$$

where \hat{y} is a column vector of softmax predictions for every word of dimension $V \times 1$.

By using chain rule and the result of 2(b), the gradient of the cross entropy cost w.r.t. v_c can be derived as:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_c} J_{\text{softmax-CE}} &= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_c} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \\ &= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_c} \frac{\partial \text{CE}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\hat{y}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} \\ &= \boldsymbol{U} \left(\boldsymbol{\hat{y}} - \boldsymbol{y} \right) \end{split}$$

where y is a column vector of expected word of dimension $V \times 1$.

(b) As in the previous part, we can apply chain rule and the result of 2(b):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{k}} J_{\text{softmax-CE}} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{k}} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}
= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{c}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{k}} \frac{\partial \text{CE}(\boldsymbol{y}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}}
= \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{c}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{k}} (\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y})$$
(3)

Rewriting matrix multiplication in (3) explicitly:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_k} J_{\text{softmax-CE}} &= \sum_{j}^{V} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{y} \right)_j \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_c}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_k} \right)_{.j} \\ &= \sum_{j}^{V} \left(\hat{y}_j - y_j \right) \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_c}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_k} \right)_{.j} \end{split}$$

where $\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}^{\top}\boldsymbol{v}_{c}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{k}}\right)_{\cdot j}$ is the j-th column of $\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}^{\top}\boldsymbol{v}_{c}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{k}}$ which is a $N \times V$ matrix. It can be simplified to:

$$\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{U}^{\top}\boldsymbol{v}_{c}}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{k}}\right)_{.j} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{v}_{c}, & \text{if } j = k\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the gradient can be simplified to:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_k} J_{\text{softmax-CE}} = (\hat{y}_k - y_k) \, \boldsymbol{v}_c$$

Specifically,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{k}} J_{\text{softmax-CE}} = \begin{cases} (\hat{y}_{k} - 1) \, \boldsymbol{v}_{c}, & \text{if } k = o \\ \hat{y}_{k} \, \boldsymbol{v}_{c}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(c) Let's denote:

$$egin{aligned} heta_o &= oldsymbol{u}_o^ op oldsymbol{v}_c \ heta_k &= -oldsymbol{u}_k^ op oldsymbol{v}_c \end{aligned}$$

The gradient of the negative sampling loss w.r.t. \boldsymbol{v}_c is:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{c}} J_{\text{neg-sample}} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{c}} \left[-\log(\sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_{o}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{c})) - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log(\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{c})) \right] \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{c}} \left[-\log(\sigma(\theta_{o})) - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log(\sigma(\theta_{k})) \right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sigma(\theta_{o})} \frac{\partial \sigma(\theta_{o})}{\partial \theta_{o}} \frac{\partial \theta_{o}}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{c}} - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{\sigma(\theta_{k})} \frac{\partial \sigma(\theta_{k})}{\partial \theta_{k}} \frac{\partial \theta_{k}}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{c}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\sigma(\theta_{o})} \sigma(\theta_{o}) (1 - \sigma(\theta_{o})) \frac{\partial \theta_{o}}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{c}} - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{\sigma(\theta_{k})} \sigma(\theta_{k}) (1 - \sigma(\theta_{k})) \frac{\partial \theta_{k}}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{c}} \\ &= (\sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_{o}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{c}) - 1) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{o}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{c}}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{c}} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{c}) - 1) \frac{\partial(-\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{c})}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{c}} \\ &= (\sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_{o}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{c}) - 1) \boldsymbol{u}_{o} - \sum_{k=1}^{K} (\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_{k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{v}_{c}) - 1) \boldsymbol{u}_{k} \end{split}$$

Similarly, the gradient of the negative sampling loss w.r.t. u_o is:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_o} J_{\text{neg-sample}} &= (\sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c) - 1) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_o} + \sum_{k=1}^K (\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c) - 1) \frac{\partial (-\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_o} \\ &= (\sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c) - 1) \boldsymbol{v}_c \end{split}$$

And the gradient of the negative sampling loss w.r.t. u_k is (changing summation indices from k to j to avoid confusion):

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_k} J_{\text{neg-sample}} &= (\sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c) - 1) \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_k} + \sum_{j=1}^K (\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_j^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c) - 1) \frac{\partial (-\boldsymbol{u}_j^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_k} \\ &= (1 - \sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)) \boldsymbol{v}_c \end{split}$$

This cost function is much more efficient to compute than the softmax-CE loss because the computation of $\frac{\partial J}{\partial v_c}$ for softmax-CE loss scales as V while the computation of $\frac{\partial J}{\partial v_c}$ for negative sampling loss scales as K, resulting in a speed-up ratio of K/V, which could make a huge difference if one has a big vocabulary.

(d) For skip-gram, the cost for a context centered around c is:

$$J_{\text{skip-gram}}(w_{t-m}, \cdots, w_{t+m}) = \sum_{-m < j < m, j \neq 0} F(w_{t+j}, \boldsymbol{v}_c)$$

where

$$F(o, \boldsymbol{v}_c) = \begin{cases} J_{\text{softmax-CE}}(o, \boldsymbol{v}_c, \cdots) = \text{CE}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\hat{y}}) = -\sum_i^V y_i \log(\hat{y}_i), & \text{for softmax-CE loss} \\ J_{\text{neg-sample}}(o, \boldsymbol{v}_c, \cdots) = -\log(\sigma(\boldsymbol{u}_o^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)) - \sum_{k=1}^K \log(\sigma(-\boldsymbol{u}_k^\top \boldsymbol{v}_c)), & \text{for negative sampling loss} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the gradients w.r.t. the word vectors for the skip-gram model are:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{k}} J_{\text{skip-gram}}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t-m}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{w}_{t+m}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{-m \leq j \leq m, j \neq 0} \frac{\partial F(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+j}, \boldsymbol{v}_{c})}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{c}}, & \text{if } k = c \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{k}} J_{\text{skip-gram}}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t-m}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{w}_{t+m}) = \sum_{-m \leq j \leq m, j \neq 0} \frac{\partial F(\boldsymbol{w}_{t+j}, \boldsymbol{v}_{c})}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{k}}$$

For CBOW, the cost is:

$$J_{\text{CBOW}}(w_{t-m}, \cdots, w_{t+m}) = F(w_t, \hat{\boldsymbol{v}})$$

where

$$oldsymbol{\hat{v}} = \sum_{-m \leq j \leq m, j
eq 0} oldsymbol{v}_{w_{t+j}}$$

Therefore, the gradients w.r.t. the word vectors for the CBOW model are:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{k}} J_{\text{CBOW}}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t-m}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{w}_{t+m}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial F(\boldsymbol{w}_{t}, \hat{\boldsymbol{v}})}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}} \frac{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}}{\partial \boldsymbol{v}_{k}} = \frac{\partial F(\boldsymbol{w}_{t}, \hat{\boldsymbol{v}})}{\partial \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}}, & \text{if } t-m \leq k \leq t+m \text{ and } k \neq t \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{k}} J_{\text{CBOW}}(\boldsymbol{w}_{t-m}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{w}_{t+m}) = \frac{\partial F(\boldsymbol{w}_{t}, \hat{\boldsymbol{v}})}{\partial \boldsymbol{u}_{k}}$$

- (e)
- (f)
- (g)
- (h)
- 4. (a)
 - (b)
 - (c)
 - (d)
 - (e)
 - (f)
 - (g)