# Title goes in report.tex

## Bonnie Eisenman, Michael Kranch and Anna Kornfeld Simpson

## COS 597E Software Defined Networks 14 January 2014

#### Abstract

This paper looks at the security concerns and potential vulnerabilities in Software Definined Networking (SDN). In particular, we examine additional attack vector of remotely introducing a switch into the networking path, something not possible without physical access to the switching site in a traditional network. We also look at the increased risk to the entire network from a single, compromised risk due to the centralized nature of SDN. We first provide an overview of SDN, the current status of security in SDN controllers, and discuss the threat models for our attack. We then introduce our roque switch and present several attacks on various controller. We also discuss additional attacks possible by a rogue switch and examine additional vulnerabilities to a SDN from a single compromised switch. Finally, we conclude with some recommendations for hardening SDN controllers and limiting the area of attack.

### 1 Introduction

Paragraph about SDN - the various meanings of SDN and that we are discussing the traditional controller switch networking.

Not sure of difference between intro / background.

Things to discuss – Controllers —-Various Controller. That we are doing testing on Ryu and Pox (possibly OpenDaylight but its more complicated) —-Switches - In this context, a switch means either an OpenFlow capable hardware switch or a completely software defined switch (OpenVSwitch)

In section 2, we will.... In Section 3, etc. In Section 4, etc. Finally

We probably want to introduce things, right now that's in report.tex. We might want to cite something in the introduction, we can do that using

### {\cite{refname}

where the refname is defined in bibliogra-

phy.tex in the

#### {\bibitem}

command. For example citing dpkt looks like this: [4].

# 2 Background

- 2.1 Software Defined Networking
- 2.2 Security in SDN

# 3 Creating a Software Defined Switch

In this section, we present our roque switch and

### 3.1 OpenFlow Protocol

OpenFlow is the protocol used to communicate between the controller and its switches. An OpenFlow packet header is simply an 8 byte packet with the first byte used to communicate version, the second byte for type, third and fourth byte for message length followed by a four byte Transaction ID (see Figure 1). The type is of a subset of 19 possible types, most of which are of type request (e.g. 16 = StatsRequest) with the subsequent reply (e.g. 17 =Stats Reply). There are couple key point to note when dealing with the OpenFlow protocol. First, the transaction ID is used to correlate incoming OpenFlow messages with their appropriate responses much in the same way TCP uses SYN and ACK flags. For example, a features reply will (generally) not be accepted by the controller unless it contains the corresponding transaction ID from the features request. This protocol is also a two-way communication scheme and not simply switch replies to controller request. Several message types, to include packet input events, are switch initiated communications to the controller.

#### 3.2 Controller Connection Sequence

While the specific initiation sequence varies by controller, there are several required command to initiate a switch to controller connection<sup>1</sup>. The switch to controller connection simply starts by opening a TCP connection to the controller on the configured port (default 6634)<sup>2</sup>. After opening the connection, the switch sends a Openflow Hello message (0) to the controller. The controller then responds with a Hello message and a Features Request (5) message to which the switch responds with a Features Reply (6). The controller then sends a Set Config message (9) that does not trigger a reply. Ryu follows this message with a Barrier Request (18), and OpenDaylight follows it with a Get Config Request (7) to ensure switch configs are set appropriately. OpenDaylight also sends a Flow Modification message (14) to delete any previously installed flows on the switch.

The above commands are all that is needed

for the initial controller to switch connection. The switch then proceeds to send several link layer neighbor discovery protocol messages as Packet Input Notification (10) messages to the controller including Neighbor Solicitation and Router Solicitation messages. The switch also sends s Multicast Listener Report (part of the Multicast Listened Discovery Protocol Version 2) to the controller. Finally, the begins periodically (approximately every 3 seconds) sending an OpenFlow Echo Request (2) to the controller as a form of a keep alive with the controller.

## 3.3 Our Rogue Switch Utility

# 4 Disrupting an SDN with a Fake Switch

There's a file called attacks.tex for describing our various attacks; we could combine the results of the attacks we implemented here, or put them separately. This is attacks.tex and the below is copied from the google doc:

### 4.1 Dropping traffic

A compromised switch could simply drop packets sent to it, thus creating a service interruption. However, a controller would probably notice this quickly – it would appear as though the switch had failed, and automated recovery mechanisms would be initiated.

### 4.2 Cloning or diverting traffic

A compromised switch could ensure that traffic would be routed through an adversarys middle-box, or could clone traffic and send the cloned stream to the adversary. This would be more difficult for the controller to detect, unless it caused considerable slowdown. This attack could conceivably be used for purposes such as the NSAs MUSCULAR program, which intercepts traffic as it flows between private data

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We specifically tested our roque switch on the Pox, Ryu and OpenDaylight controllers. Based on our testing and the OpenFlow specifications, we believe all controllers require this small subset of initialization commanders but differences might exist based on implementation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>As previously discussed, there is no authentication for this connection supported by any tested controller outside of certificate authentication only utilized with OpenVSwitch — we need to verify that this statement is true. Only need to test our fakeswitch works outside of same box i.e. not only 127.0.0.1

centers [5].

#### 4.3 DoS other switches

By injecting traffic, a switch could deliberately attempt to overload neighboring switches TCAMs or otherwise DoS them.

# 4.4 DoS the controller / the control channel

By sending requests to the controller, the switch could attempt to overload it. One could fine-tune the control messages to maximize churn or CPU consumption in the controller.

However, our results show that a TCP congestion control successfully prevents a single switch from denying service to the controller; instead the extra packets from the switch are dropped.

# 4.5 DDoS the controller by spoofing many switches

What happens if in a network with n actual switches, the controller receives hello messages from  $n^2$  switches, for example? This works around the throttling problem where a controller may simple rate-limit each switch.

#### 4.6 Advertising false host attachments

A compromised switch can send the controller packet-in events with false packets, which falsely claim to have a particular MAC address attached to them. This could lead the controller to believe that the compromised switch should receive traffic intended for the host. While this would soon lead to packet loss and a noticeable disruption, it would nevertheless allow a switch to eavesdrop when it would not normally be in a position to do so.

#### 4.7 Falsify measurement reports

A switch may return false results in response to a read-state measurement message, thus causing the controller to behave irrationally. For example, a switch could falsify or hide a DoS attack, elephant flows, etc.

### 4.8 Ignoring rules

A switch could simply ignore flow-table modification requests. For example, dropping packets will be noticed quickly; but a switch could allow packets to pass through that should have been dropped. Because of the difficulty of querying flow table state, the controller may not become aware of this. A compromised switch could thus operate in stealth mode and the inconsistent flow table might only be noticed once the switch allows a DoS attack to pass through, for example.

#### 4.9 Modifying VLAN tags

# 4.10 Reporting flow mods to an adversary

An adversary could hope to learn about network traffic by observing flow mods. Certain flow mods might indicate that the controller has, for example, detected an intrusion, or that a specific host has connected to the network in a certain location. Thus, just knowing what flow mods are being issued by the controller could be a source of interesting information for an adversary.

### 4.11 Even more...

#### 5 Related Work

The related work might be long, so let's put it in a separate file, related.tex This is related.tex - I've already added all the links from the email thread to the bibliography, we can remove the ones we won't plan to use. TODO for Anna... look up the two security papers we read in class, add them to the bibliography and talk about them here.

#### 6 Conclusion

The conclusion might be short enough to be in report.tex - we can add other sections before it as necessary, and the bibliography (in bibliography.tex) will follow.

#### References

[1] Benton et. al. "OpenFlow Vulnerability Assessment" ACM SIGCOMM Hot

- Topics in Software Defined Networking (HotSDN), 2013.
- [2] Cisco. "Multiple Vulnerabilities in Cisco TelePresence Multipoint Switch" 11 July 2012 tools.cisco. com/security/center/content/CiscoSecurityAdvisory/cisco-sa-20120711-ctms
- [3] Constantin, Lucian. "Cisco patches vulnerabilities in some security applications, switches, and routers." InfoWorld 10 October 2013, http://www.infoworld.com/d/security/cisco-patches-vulnerabilities-in-some-security-appliances-switches-and-routers-228551
- [4] dugsong et. al. "dpkt" code.google.com/p/dpkt
- [5] Gellman et. al. "How we know the NSA had access to internal Google and Yahoo cloud data" Washington Post, The Switch, 4 November 2013, http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/the-

- switch/wp/2013/11/04/how-we-knowthe-nsa-had-access-to-internalgoogle-and-yahoo-cloud-data/
- [6] Hecker, Artur. "Carrier SDN: Security and Resilience Requirements" 15 November 2013 http://www.ikr.uni-stuttgart.de/Content/itg/fg524/ Meetings/2013-11-15-Muenchen/12\_ ITG524\_Muenchen\_Hecker.pdf
- [7] "OpenFlow Packet Format." OpenFlow, archive.openflow.org/wk/images/c/ c5/Openflow\_packet\_format.pdf
- [8] Rowe, Mark. "Python Packet Capture and Injection Library" pycap.sourceforge. net
- [9] Shin and Gu. "Attacking Software-Defined Networks: A First Feasibility Study" ACM SIGCOMM HotSDN, 2013.
- [10] Stretch, Jeremy. "Understanding TCP Sequence and Acknowledgement Numbers"
  7 June 2010, http://packetlife.net/blog/2010/jun/7/understanding-tcp-sequence-acknowledgment-numbers/