# numerics.hpp Documentation

### **Table of Contents**

- utitlity functions
  - true modulus
  - meshgrid
  - polynomial derivatives and integrals
  - sample discrete distributions
- integration
- derivatives
  - finite difference methods
  - spectral methods
- linear root finding and optimization
  - conjugate gradient method
  - convex contraint linear maximization
- nonlinear root finding
  - Newton's method
  - Broyden's method
  - Levenberg-Marquardt method
  - fixed point iteration
  - fzero
  - secant method
  - bisection
- nonlinear optimization
  - Newton's Method
  - BFGS
  - LBFGS
  - momentum gradient descent
  - nonlinear conjugate gradient
  - adjusted gradient descent
  - Nelder-Mead method
  - genetic Algorithms
- interpolation
  - cubic spline
  - polynomial
  - sinc spline
- Data Science
  - k-fold train test split
  - Data Binning
  - k-means clustering
  - splines
  - logistic regression
  - k nearest neighbors
  - kernel smoothing
  - kernel density estimation
  - Regularized Linear Least Squares Regression
- ODE.hpp

all definitions are members of namespace numerics, all examples assume:

```
using namespace std;
using namespace arma;
using namespace numerics;
using namespace ode;
```

## **Utility Methods**

### Modulus operation

```
int mod(int a, int b);
```

In C++, the modulo operator (a%b) returns the remainder from dividing a by b, while mod(a,b) function returns  $a \pmod{b}$  which is distinct from a%b whenever a is negative.

Example:

```
int a = -3 \% 11; // a = 2
int b = mod(-3, 11); // b = 8
```

### Meshgrid

This function constructs a 2D grid of points (representing a region of the xy-plane), with non-uniform points defined in x and y.

Example:

```
vec x = linspace(0, 2, 10);
vec y = -cos(regspace(-M_PI, M_PI, 20))
mat XX, YY;
meshgrid(XX, YY, x, y);
```

#### Polynomial Derivatives and Integrals

Given a polynomial coefficient vector (produced from arma::polyfit), we can produce derivatives of the polynomial with the following function:

```
arma::vec polyder(const arma::vec& p, unsigned int k = 1);
```

where p is the coefficient vector, and k is order of the derivative. By default, k = 1 which corresponds to the first derivative (and k = 2 is the second derivative, and so on). The output is also a polynomial coefficient vector.

We can integrate the polynomial:

```
arma::vec polyint(const arma::vec& p, double c = 0);
```

where p is the coefficient vector, and c is the constant of integration; by default c = 0. The output is also a polynomial coefficient vector.

#### Sample Discrete Distributions

Given a discrete probability mass function, we can produce a random sample.

```
arma::uvec sample_from(int n, const arma::vec& pmf, const arma::uvec& labels=arma::uvec());
int sample_from(const arma::vec& pmf, const arma::uvec& labels=arma::uvec());
```

The output is either a set of integers between 0 and n-1 refering to the index associated with the pmf, or a set of labels sampled from labels using the pmf for random indexing.

## Quadrature and Finite Differences

### Integration

We have multiple options for integrating a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  over a finite range. Primarily, we use **integrate()**. If f is smooth, then the default integrator is ideal, otherwise, we should opt to use Simpson's method.

```
double f(double x) return exp(-x*x); // e^(-x^2)
double lower_bound = 0;
double upper_bound = 3.14;

double I = integrate(f, lower_bound, upper_bound);

double I_simp = integrate(f, lower_bound, upper_bound, 1e-6,integrator::SIMPSON);
```

Given a very smooth function (analytic), we can approximate its integral with few points using polynomial interpolation. Traditionally, polynomial interpolation takes  $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$  time, but since we can choose the set of points to interpolate over, we can use Chebyshev nodes and integrate the function in  $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$  time using the fast fourier transform (caveat, this implementation is not optimal, it is  $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$  for now-still an improvement). The resulting error improves spectrally with n.

Where num\_f\_evals is the number of unique function evaluations to use, which is 32 by default. Increasing num\_f\_evals improves the accuracy, but very few function evaluations are actually needed to achieve machine precision.

note: If the function is not (atleast) continuous, the approximation may quickly become ill conditioned.

### Discrete Derivatives

#### Finite Differences

This function uses 4th order finite differences with step size h to approximate the derivative at a point x. We can also ask the derivative function to catch zeros, i.e. round to zero whenever f'(x) < h; this option can improve the numerical stability of methods relying on results from deriv(), e.g. approximating sparse Hessians or Jacobians.

**note:** deriv() may never actually evaluate the function at the point of interest, which is ideal if the function is not well behaved there.

#### Example:

```
double f(double x) return sin(x);
double x = 0;
double df = deriv(f,x); // should return ~1.0
double g(double x) return cos(x);
```

```
double dg = deriv(g,x,1e-2,false); // should return ~0.0 /* in this case we are better off if catch_zero = true because d(\cos x)/dx = 0 for x = 0. */
```

We can also approximate gradients and Jacobian matrices:

These functions are wrappers for the deriv() function. So the functionality is similar. The function jacobian\_diag() computes only the diagonal of the jacobian matrix, which may only make sense when the jacobian is  $n \times n$ .

#### Example:

### **Spectral Derivatives**

Given function defined over an interval, we can approximate the derivative of the function with spectral accuracy using the FFT. The function spectral\_deriv() does this:

We sample the function at chebyshev nodes scaled to the interval [a,b]; the function returns a polynomial object that can be evaluated anywhere on the interval. We can specify more sample points for more accuracy.

## Example:

```
double f(double x) return sin(x*x);
auto df = spectral_deriv(f, -2, 2);
vec x = linspace(-2,2);
vec derivative = df(x);
```

For more discrete derivatives see numerics::ode.

## Linear Root Finding and Optimization

### Conjugate Gradient Method

Armadillo features a very robust solve() and spsolve() direct solvers for linear systems, but in the case where less precise solutions of very large systems (especially sparse systems) iterative solvers may be more efficient. The functions cgd() solve systems of linear equations  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  when A is symmetric positive definite (sparse or dense), or in the least squares sense  $A^T A\mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}$  (dense only) by conjugate gradient method.

```
void cgd(arma::mat& A, arma::mat& b, arma::mat& x, double tol = 1e-3, unsigned int max_iter = 0);
if max_iter <= 0, then max_iter = b.n_rows.</pre>
```

note: when solving for x in A\*x = b, if A is dense but not square or symmetric, the solver will set b=A.t()\*b and A = A.t()\*A, so A and b will be modified outside the scope of the function. The resulting system has worse conditioning.

**note:** in the sparse case, if A is not symmetric positive definite, the solver will quit and print an error message to  $\mathtt{std}$ ::cerr.

### Adjusted Gradient Descent

#### Convex Constraint Linear Maximization

For solving linear *maximization* problems with linear constraints, we have the simplex algorithm that computes solutions using partial row reduction:

In the case that the user knows how to define the simplex matrix, we have the first definition. The function returns the maximum value within a convex polygon. The location of the maximum is stored in x.

Otherwise, the user can specify the linear function to  $maximize \ f(x)$ , say, by providing a row vector F such that f(x)=F\*x. The constraints take the form: RHS\*x <=LHS. The function returns the maximum value of f(x) that satisfies the constraints, and the location of the max is stored in x.

### Nonlinear Root Finding

All of the nonlinear solver inherit from the nlsolver class:

```
class nlsolver {
   public:
    unsigned int max_iterations;
   double tol;
   int num_iterations();
   int get_exit_flag();
   int get_exit_flag(std::string& flag);
};
```

Where max\_iterations lets you specify the maximum iterations allowed, the default is 100. The parameter tol is to specify a stopping criteria, the default is 1e-3. For most solvers the stopping criteria is  $||x_{k+1} - x_k||_{\infty} < \text{tol}$ .

The member function num iterations() returns the number of iterations actually needed by the solver.

The member function get\_exit\_flag() returns a 0, 1, or 2: \* 0: the solver successfully converged. \* 1: the maximum number of iterations was reached. \* 2: a NaN or Infinite value was encountered during a function evaluation.

If a string is provided, the function will overwrite the string with a description.

#### Newton's method

This is an implementation of Newton's method for systems of nonlinear equations. A jacobian function of the system of equations is required. As well as a good initial guess:

The class is initialized with the optional parameter to specify the tolerance for the stopping criteria.

The function fsolve solves the system f(x) = 0. The initial guess should be stored in x and this value will be updated with the solution found by Newton's method. The parameter use\_cgd allows the user to specify where conjugate gradient method should be used to determine the update direction (or perform a direct solve), the default value is true. Specifying max\_iter here will set the value max\_iterations which has public scope. If max\_iter <= 0, then max\_iterations will not be changed.

There is also a single variable version:

### Broyden's Method

This solver is similar to Newton's method, but does not require the jacobian matrix to be evaluated at every step; instead, the solver takes rank 1 updates of the inverse of the estimated Jacobian using the secant equations (wikipedia). Providing a jacobian function does improve the process, but this solver requires far fewer Jacobian evaluations than Newton's method. If none is provided the initial jacobian is computed using finite differencing (as opposed to being initialized to the identity) as this drastically improves the convergence.

#### Levenberg-Marquardt Trust Region/Damped Least Squares

This solver performs Newton like iterations, replacing the Jacobian with a damped least squares version (wikipedia). The jacobian is updated with Broyden's rank 1 updates of the Jacobian itself (rather than the inverse), consequently it is recommended that a jacobian function be provided to the solver, otherwise the jacobian will be approximated via finite differences.

```
class lmlsqr : public nlsolver {
   public:
```

The parameter use\_cgd allows the user to specify where conjugate gradient method should be used to determine the update direction (or perform a direct solve), the default value is true.

The parameters damping\_param and damping\_scale directly affect how the algorithm determines the trust region. ### Fixed Point Iteration with Anderson Mixing Solves problems of the form x = g(x). It is possible to solve a subclass of these problems using fixed point iteration i.e.  $x_{n+1} = g(x_n)$ , but more generally we can solve these systems using Anderson Mixing:  $x_{n+1} = \sum_{i=p}^{n} c_i g(x_i)$ , where  $1 \le p \le n$  and  $\sum c_i = 0$ .

We can specify to the solver how many previous iterations we want to remember i.e. we can specify (n-p) using the steps\_to\_remember parameter.

#### fzero

Adaptively selects between secant method, and inverse interpolation to find a *simple* root of a single variable function in the interval [a,b].

```
double fzero(const function<double(double)>& f, double a, double b, double tol = 1e-5);
```

#### Secant

Uses the secant as the approximation for the derivative used in Newton's method. Attempts to bracket solution for faster convergence, so providing an interval rather than two initial guesses is best.

```
double secant(const function<double(double)>& f, double a, double b, double tol = 1e-5);
```

#### Bisection method

Uses the bisection method to find the solution to a nonlinear equation within an interval.

## Nonlinear Optimization

All of the nonlinear optimizers inherit from the class optimizer:

```
class optimizer {
   public:
    uint max_iterations;
```

```
double tol;
int num_iterations();
int get_exit_flag();
int get_exit_flag(std::string& flag);
};
```

Where max\_iterations lets you specify the maximum iterations allowed, the default is 100. The parameter tol is to specify a stopping criteria, the default is 1e-3. For most solvers the stopping criteria is  $||x_{k+1} - x_k||_{\infty} < \text{tol}$ .

The member function num\_iterations() returns the number of iterations actually needed by the solver.

The member function get\_exit\_flag() returns a 0, 1, or 2: \*0: the solver successfully converged. \*1: the maximum number of iterations was reached. \*2: a NaN or Infinite value was encountered during a function evaluation.

If a string is provided, the function will overwrite the string with a description.

### Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno algorithm

Uses the BFGS algorithm for minimization using the strong Wolfe conditions. This method uses symmetric rank 1 updates to the inverse of the hessian using the secant equation with the further constraint that the hessian remain symmetric positive definite.

The function minimize solves the system  $\min_x f(x)$ . The initial guess should be stored in x and this value will be updated with the solution found by BFGS.

The parameter use\_finite\_difference\_hessian allows the user to specify whether to approximate the initial hessian by finite differences, the default value is false, so instead the initial hessian is set to the identity matrix.

The parameters wolfe\_c1, wolfe\_c2 are the traditional parameters of the strong wolfe conditions:  $f(x_k + \alpha_k p_k) \le f(x_k) + c_1 \alpha_k p_k^T \nabla f(x_k)$  and  $-p_k^T \nabla f(x_k + \alpha_k p_k) \le -c_2 p_k^T \nabla f(x_k)$ . The default values are wolfe\_c1 = 1e-4 and wolfe\_c2 = 0.9.

The parameter wolfe\_scale is used in the line minimization step to determing  $\alpha_k$  satisfying the Wolfe conditions. We constrain 0 <= wolfe\_scale <= 1, a value closer to 1 allows for slower but potentially more accurate line minimization. The default value is 0.5.

**note:** like Broyden's method, bfgs() stores the inverse hessian in memory, this may become inneficient in space and time when the problem is sufficiently large.

#### Limited Memory BFGS

Uses the limited memory BFGS algorithm, which differs from BFGS by storing a limited number of previous values of x and grad\_f(x) rather than a full matrix. The number of steps stored can be specified by steps\_to\_remember.

#### Momentum Gradient Descent

Momentum gradient descent using adaptive line minimization.

The parameter damping\_param is has a good explaination found in this article. Setting this value to 0 is equivalent to traditional gradient descent.

The step size can be specified by **step\_size** this can improve performance over the adaptive line minimization when the gradient is easy to evaluate but the may require more itterations until convergence.

### Nonlinear Conjugate Gradient Descent

Uses the conjugate gradient algorithm for nonlinear objective functions with adaptive line minimization. Although this method uses only gradient information, it benefits from quasi-newton like super-linear convergence rates.

The step size can be specified by step\_size this can improve performance over the adaptive line minimization when the gradient is easy to evaluate but the may require more itterations until convergence.

#### Adjusted Gradient Descent

This method was designed to approximate the Newton direction by storing only one previous step; the idea relies on the zig-zag behavior of traditional gradient descent. This method benefits from super-linear convergence rates similar to quasi-newton methods.

```
class adj_gd : public optimizer {
   public:
    double step_size;
   adj_gd(double tolerance = 1e-3);
   void minimize(const std::function<arma::vec(const arma::vec&)>& grad_f,
```

```
arma::vec& x,
int max_iter = -1);
};
```

The step size can be specified by step\_size this can improve performance over the adaptive line minimization when the gradient is easy to evaluate but the may require more itterations until convergence.

**note:** I designed this method from experimentation, and it seems effective.

#### **Nelder-Mead Gradient Free Minimization**

The Nelder-Mead method is a derivative free method that constructs a simplex in n dimensions and iteratively updates its vertices in the direction where the function decreases in value. This method is ideal for low dimensional problems (e.g. 2,3, 10 dimensions, maybe not 100, though). The simplex is initialized using a guess  $x_0$  of the argmin of the objective function, the second vertex in the simplex is  $x_1 = x_0 + \alpha \vec{v}_1/||\vec{v}_1||$  where  $\vec{v}_1$  is a random vector  $\vec{v}_1 \sim N(0,1)$ . Iteratively each other point is constructed  $x_i = x_0 + \alpha \vec{v}_1/||\vec{v}_1||$  where  $\vec{v}_1^T \vec{v}_j = \delta_{ij}$  (i.e. a new orthogonal direction). This is done to guarantee the simplex is spread out, moreover the direction is random (as oposed to, e.g., the coordinate directions) to avoid pathological cases.

```
class nelder_mead : public optimizer {
   public:
      double step;
      double expand;
      double contract;
      double shrink;
      double initial_side_length;
      nelder_mead(double tolerance = 1e-2);

   void minimize(const std::function<double(const arma::vec&)>& f, arma::vec& x);
};
```

The parameter step is associated with the scaling of the reflection step, the default value is 1. The parameter expand is the scaling of the expanding step, the default value is 2. The parameter contract is the scaling of the contraction step, the default value is 0.5. The parameter shrink is the scaling of the shrinking step, the default value is 0.5. All of these parameters are explained here.

The parameter initial\_side\_length is the value  $\alpha$  as desribed in the simplex initialization procedure discussed above.

### Genetic Maximization Algorithm

This method uses a genetic algorithm for *maximization*.

```
class gen_optim : public optimizer {
   public:
   double reproduction_rate;
   double mutation rate;
   double search_radius;
   double diversity_weight;
   unsigned int population_size;
   unsigned int diversity_cutoff;
   unsigned int random_seed;
   unsigned int max_iterations;
   gen_optim(double tolerance = 1e-1);
   void maximize(const std::function<double(const arma::vec& x)>& f,
                    arma::vec& x,
                    const arma::vec& lower_bound,
                    const arma::vec& upper_bound);
   void maximize(const std::function<double(const arma::vec&)>& f, arma::vec& x);
};
```

This method has a variety of parameters for updating the population of parameters to minimize with respect to: \*population\_size: number of samples. \*reproduction\_rate: parameter for geometric probability distribution of "reproducing agents". i.e. if reproduction\_rate is close to 1, then only the most fit will reproduce and the algorithm will converge more quickly at the cost of possibly not optimal results (such a getting stuck at local maxima). If reproduction\_rate is close to 0, then most members will be able to participate at the cost of slower convergence. default value = 0.5. \*diversity\_limit: number of iterations after which we stop incentivising variance in the population. A lower value means quicker convergence at the cost possible not optimal results (such as getting stuck at local optima). \*mutation\_rate: rate at which to introduce random perturbation to the population. Values close to 1 result in a population with higher variance resulting in slower convergence. Values close to 0 result in a population with higher variance resulting in faster convergence at the cost possible not optimal results (such as getting stuck at local optima).

We can use this method for both box constrained and unconstrained maximization.

## Interpolation

### **Cubic Interpolation**

```
Class cubic_interp

Fits piecewise cubic polynomials to data. The fitting occurs on construction or using the fit function:

cubic_interp::cubic_interp(const arma::vec& x, const arma::mat& Y);

cubic_interp& cubic_interp::fit(const arma::vec& x, const arma::mat& Y); // returns *this

We can save/load an interpolating object to a stream (such as a file stream):

cubic_interp::save(ostream& out);

cubic_interp::load(istream& in);

We can also load a saved object on construction:

cubic_interp::cubic_interp(istream& in);
```

Note, the data matrix will be stored to the stream as part of the object and can be recovered when the object is loaded using:

```
arma::vec cubic_interp::data_X(); // independent values
arma::mat cubic_interp::data_Y(); // dependent values
```

We can predict based on the interpolation using the predict member function or the () operator:

```
arma::mat cubic_interp::predict(const arma::vec&);
arma::mat cubic_interp::operator()(const arma::vec&);
```

#### Polynomial Interpolation

Class wrapper for armadillo's polyfit and polyval specialized for interpolation.

```
class poly_interp
```

We fit the polynomial on construction or using fit():

```
poly_interp::poly_interp(const arma::vec& x, const arma::mat& Y);
poly_interp& poly_interp::fit(const arma::vec& x, const arma::mat& Y); // returns *this
```

We can save/load an interpolating object to a stream (such as a file stream):

```
poly_interp::save(ostream& out);
poly_interp::load(istream& in);
```

We can also load a saved object on construction:

```
poly_interp::poly_interp(istream& in);
```

Note, the data matrix will be stored to the stream as part of the object and can be recovered when the object is loaded using:

```
arma::vec poly_interp::data_X(); // independent values
arma::mat poly_interp::data_Y(); // dependent values
```

We can predict based on the interpolation using the predict member function or the () operator:

```
arma::mat poly_interp::predict(const arma::vec&);
arma::mat poly_interp::operator()(const arma::vec&);
```

If there is only a need to fit and interpolate a data set once, we may find it more efficient  $(\mathcal{O}(n^3) \to \mathcal{O}(n^2))$  and numerically stable(!) to interpolate using Lagrange interpolation:

where xgrid is the set of values to interpolate over.

For high order polynomial interpolation, there is a likely hazard of exteme fluctuations in the values of polynomial (Runge's Phenomenon). We can attempt to address this problem in lagrange\_interp by setting normalize=true. If the  $i^{th}$  Lagrange interpolating polynomial is  $L_i(x) = \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{x - x_j}{x_i - x_j}$ , then the interpolant is of the form:  $f(x) = \sum_i y_i L_i(x)$ . When normalize=true, the interpolant is instead:  $\hat{f}(x) = \sum_i y_i L_i(x) e^{-(x-x_i)^2/\nu}$ , where  $\nu = \text{range}(x)/2n$ . This normalization helps whenever x is approximately uniform.

note: When using normalize=true, remember that the resulting function is no longer a polynomial.

### Sinc interpolation

Given sorted *uniformly spaced* points on an interval, we can interpolate the data using a linear combination of sinc functions  $\operatorname{sinc}(x) = \frac{\sin(\pi x)}{\pi x}$ :

### **Data Science**

#### K-Fold Train Test Split

When performing cross validation we may want to split the data into training and testing subsets. The k\_fold procedure splits the data into k equal sized subsets of the data (randomly selected) for repeated training and testing.

```
class k_folds {
   public:
    k_folds(const arma::mat& x, const arma::mat& y, unsigned int k=2, unsigned int dim=0);
   arma::mat test_set_X(unsigned int j);
   arma::mat train_set_Y(unsigned int j);
   arma::mat train_set_Y(unsigned int j);
   arma::mat train_set_Y(unsigned int j);
};

class k_fold_1d {
   public:
    k_folds(const arma::mat& x, unsigned int k=2, unsigned int dim=0);
   arma::mat test_set(unsigned int j);
```

```
arma::mat train_set(unsigned int j);
};
```

The parameter dim informs over which dimension of x,y to split over, if dim is 0, then we split up the columns, if dim is 1, then we split up the rows.

we access the jth fold using fold X and fold Y and we access the complement to the jth fold using not fold X and not\_fold\_Y. We can alternatively use the [] operator has the functionality both fold\_X using positive indexing and not\_fold\_X using negative indexing. We can use the () operator as both fold\_Y using positive indexing and not\_fold\_Y using negative indexing.

example:

```
mat X = randu(100,1);
mat Y = 2*x;
k fold train test(X,Y,3);
int j = 0;
mat train_X = train_test.train_set_X(j);
mat test_X = train_test.test_set_X(j);
mat train_Y = train_test.train_set_Y(j);
mat test_Y = train_test.test_set_Y(j);
```

### **Data Binning**

Whenever data is sampled from continuous features, it is sometimes useful (and easy) to bin the data into uniformly spaced bins. A primary benefit is reducing the complexity to O(1) for any computation (number of bins is fixed by the user). In the univariate case, 500 bins is more than sufficient for large data.

The following class produces bins for univariate data.

```
class bin_data
```

we initialize the object:

```
bin_data::bin_data(unsigned int num_bins=0);
```

When num\_bins is not specified, it is selected during call to to\_bins(). It will be set to 500 if the data size n > 1000, or n/10 if n/10 > 30, or n/5 in all other cases.

The bin\_data object can bin two varieties of data:

```
void to_bins(const arma::vec& x);
```

In this case x is stratified into uniformly spaced bins in the range  $[\min(x) - \epsilon, \max(x) + \epsilon]$  (for a small  $\epsilon > 0$ ) which has an associated spacing  $\delta$ . To each bin we associate a "count" which is computed by finding every point in x that is within  $\pm \delta$  of that bin and weighing the count by 1 minus the normalized linear distance, i.e.:

```
for each x_i \in bin(j) \pm \delta: bin(j).count += 1 - \frac{|bin(j)-x_i|}{\delta}
```

note: most likely bin(j).count is not an integer but it is guaranteed that  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} bin(j).count = n$ .

We can also bin data with an associated univariate response variable:

```
void to_bins(const arma::vec& x, const arma::vec& y);
```

In this case, x is similarly stratified, but the counts are computed by scaling the counts by the response variable y. i.e.:

1. for each  $x_i \in \text{bin}(j) \pm \delta$ :

```
1. w_i = 1 - \frac{|\sin(j) - x_i|}{\delta}
2. \sin(j).count += w_i * y_i
```

```
2. bin(j).count /= \sum_i w_i
```

Either way, we access our bins and counts by referencing the member variables:

```
const vec& bins;
const vec& counts;
```

we can also reference the variables:

```
const int& n_bins;
const double& bin_width;
evample:
```

```
example:
```

```
vec x = randn(200);
vec y = exp(-x);

int n_bins = 20;
bin_data distribution(n_bins);
distribution.to_bins(x);
vec x_bins = distribution.bins;
vec x_counts = distribution.counts;
// if we plot x_bins and x_counts we should expect a bell shape

bin_data discretized_response(n_bins);
discretized_response.to_bins(x,y);
x_bins = discretized_response.bins;
y_discrete = discretized_response.counts;
// if we plot x_bins and y_discrete we should expect a decaying exponentional
```

### K-Means Clustering

#### class kmeans

we can cluster unlabled data using the kmeans algorithm. On construction, we must specify our data and the number of clusters; our data is provided as an arma::mat where each row is a data entry.

```
kmeans::kmeans(arma::mat& data, int num_clusters);
```

We can save and load a kmeans object to file using stream objects (note, the data matrix will be stored to the stream as part of the object and can be recovered when the object is loaded).

```
kmeans::save(ostream& out);
kmeans::load(istream& in);
kmeans::kmeans(istream& in); // can be loaded in on construction
```

Once our kmeans object is constructed we can get information about which data went to which cluster with get\_clusters() and we can get the cluster means themselves using get\_centroids():

```
arma::vec kmeans::get_clusters() const;
/*
the i^th elem corresponds to the i^th data point.
The i^th element contains the cluster number which is an int ranging on [0,k-1]
*/
arma::mat kmeans::get_centroids() const;
/*
the i^th row is the i^th cluster where i is ranging on [0, k-1]
/*
```

We can test our clustering by predicting the cluster of data the kmeans was not trained on, we can use either the ()

operator or predict():

```
arma::rowvec kmeans::operator()(const arma::mat& X);
arma::rowvec kmeans::predict(const arma::mat& X);
/*
the i^th elem corresponds to the i^th data point.
The i^th element contains the cluster number which is an int ranging on [0,k-1]
*/
int kmeans::operator()(const arma::rowvec& X);
int kmeans::predict(const arma::rowvec& X);
/*
output in [0,k-1]
*/
```

We can also ask kmeans to give us all the data from our original data belonging to a specific cluster. We can do this with the [] operator or all\_from\_cluster():

```
arma::mat operator[](int i);
arma::mat all_from_cluster(int i);
```

We can print a summary of our kmeans clustering to a stream:

```
ostream& kmeans::summary(ostream& out = cout);
```

We can also retrieve basic documentation for the class using the kmeans::help(ostream& out = cout) member function.

### **Splines**

Fit radial basis splines to any dimensional data set. The construction is based on both multivariate polynomial terms and a radial basis kernel (polyharmonic). We regularize the fit by constraining the magnitude of the polyharmonic basis. Essentially we are solving the quadratic optimization problem:  $\min_{c,d}(y-Kc-Pd)^T(y-Kc-Pd) + \lambda c^T Kc$ . The parameter  $\lambda \geq 0$  can be provided during construction, or can be determined automatically by cross validation (when  $\lambda = 0$ , the resulting function interpolates. When  $\lambda \to \infty$  the resulting function tends toward the polynomial fit). The cross validation is done efficiently by computing the eigenvalue decomposition of K once and solving each regularized problem using matrix multiplication which improves the overall complexity from  $\mathcal{O}(kN^3)$  (i.e. k Cholesky decompositions) to  $\mathcal{O}(N^3 + kN^2)$  (i.e. k matrix multiplications). Spline fitting is based on ridge regression with regularization matrix  $\Gamma_{i,j} = \phi_j(x_i)$ . Where  $\phi$  is the radial basis function.

```
class splines
```

We construct the object via any of the following:

Where m is the degree of the polynomial, and it should be noted, that the size of the system grows exponentially with m and becomes extremely ill conditioned for large m. It is recomended that  $1 \le m \le 3$ . Where m=1 (linear) minimizes bias.

The parameter lambda =  $\lambda$ . When lambda = -1 or nan we determine its value by cross validation.

We can fit after construction using:

```
splines& fit(const arma::mat& X, const arma::mat& Y);
arma::mat fit_predict(const arma::mat& X, const arma::mat& Y);
```

Where fit\_predict is equivalent to calling obj.fit(X,Y).predict(X).

We can predict based on our fit using the following functions:

```
arma::mat splines::predict(const arma::mat& X);
arma::mat splines::operator()(const arma::mat& X);
```

We can extract a variety of additional information from our fit:

```
arma::mat splines::data_X(); // independent variable data matrix
arma::mat splines::data_Y(); // dependent variable data matrix

arma::mat splines::rbf(const arma::mat&); // evaluate unscaled radial basis function
arma::mat splines::rbf_coef() const; // return radial basis coefficients

arma::mat splines::polyKern(const arma::mat&); // evaluate unscaled polynomial basis functions
arma::mat splines::poly_coef() const; // return polynomial basis coefficients

double splines::gcv_score() const;
    // return generalized cross validation score from fit (MSE scaled by eff_df)
double splines::eff_df() const;
    // return approximate effective degrees of freedom determined from sensitivities of the system double splines::smoothing_param() const; // return lambda
```

We can save and load a splines object to a stream (file):

```
void splines::save(ostream& out);

void splines::load(istream& in);
splines::splines(istream& in); // load on construction
```

### Logistic Regression

Fit linear or kernel logistic model to any dimensional data set (logistic or ridge classification). By default we fit gaussian kernel basis with radius 1 along side linear basis, but just an option exists to remove the radial basis. By default the regression is done via L2-regularization and the regularization parameter is determined by cross validation, the metric for the cross validation and for the optimization procedure is log-likelihood. The resulting model is a one vs. all probabilistic model, when the categories are predicted the maximum probability is selected. When fitting the full rbf model, lbfgs solver is used, but when fitting just the linear basis, nelder\_mead is used instead.

```
class logistic regression
```

We initialize the class by specifying  $\beta$  which is the radius of the gaussian, i.e.  $K(x,x_0) = e^{-||x-x_0||^2/\beta}$ , and the regularizing paramter Lambda:

```
logistic_regression::logistic_regression(double Beta = 1, double Lambda = nan)
```

if Beta <= 0, then only a linear basis is used, if Lambda < 0 || isnan(Lambda) then it is determined by cross validation.

We fit the model by calling fit():

```
void logistic_regression::fit(const arma::mat& x, const arma::mat& y);
```

The parameter x is simply the independent variable, where each row is an observation. The parameter y on the other hand should have as many colomns as categories and the (i,j) element should be 1.0 if the i^th observation belongs to the j^th category, and 0.0 otherwise.

Once our logistic model is fit, we can predict probabilities and categories using:

```
arma::mat predict_probabilities(const arma::mat& xx);
arma::umat predict_categories(const arma::mat& xx);
```

The output of predict\_categories() is of the same format as the y matrix from fitting. The (i,j) element of predict\_probabilities() specifies the probability that the i^th observation belongs to the j^th category.

We can extract extra information from the fit such as the various parameters and coefficients computed and we can get the radial basis matrix for any new sample data:

```
double logistic_regression::kernel_param() const; // beta
double logistic_regression::regularizing_param() const; // lambda

/* z = [1, X]*c + K(X)*d ==> probability = softmax(z) */
arma::mat logistic_regression::rbf(const arma::mat& xx); // K(X) radial basis kernel
arma::mat logistic_regression::linear_coefs() const; // c
arma::mat logistic_regression::kernel_coefs() const; // d
```

The logistic\_regression object also saves a copy of the data which can be retrieved:

```
arma::mat logistic_regression::data_X();
arma::mat logistic_regression::data_Y();
```

We can also get a table of the results from cross validation:

```
arma::mat logistic_regression::get_cv_results() const;
```

Where the first colomn is the set of lambdas tested, and the second colomn is the coresponding average log-likelihood score from cross validation, the value lambda used by the solver will be the one with the maximum log-likelihood associated with it.

We can save/load logistic\_regression objects to stream (files) via:

```
void logistic_regression::load(std::istream& in);
void logistic_regression::save(std::ostream& out);
```

or on construction:

```
logistic_regression::logistic_regression(std::istream& in);
```

## k Nearest Neighbors Regression

Predict on data using k nearest neighbors. The method applies both kd-trees and a brute force strategy to compute the nearest neighbors. The kd-tree implementation is the default if the dimension of the space is relatively small and there is sufficient data to justify it. If this is not the case, the algorithm will instead default to a brute force strategy. When predicting there are two options (1) average value of the k nearest neighbors or (2) a distance weighing of the nearest neighbors using a radial basis function  $w_i = e^{-||x-x_i||^2/\sigma^2} / \sum_j e^{-||x-x_j||^2/\sigma^2}$ . The default method is averaging. The number of nearest neighbors can be defined explicitly or a set of integers can be provided and the data structure will determine the optimal value by cross validation.

```
class knn_regression
```

To construct a knn object, we must supply either k the number of NNs or a vector of k values to test via cross validation on the MSE score.

```
enum class knn_algorithm {
   AUTO,
   KD_TREE,
   BRUTE
};
enum class knn_metric {
```

```
CONSTANT,
DISTANCE
};

knn_regression::knn_regression(unsigned int k, knn_algorithm alg, knn_metric metr);

knn_regression::knn_regression(const arma::uvec& k, knn_algorithm alg, knn_metric metr);
```

We fit the object via:

```
knn_regression% knn_regression::fit(const arma::mat% x, const arma::mat% y);
```

The parameter x is the independent variable, where each row is an observation. The parameter y is the dependent variable.

Once our model is fit, we can predict values of our function using:

```
arma::mat knn_regression::predict(const arma::mat& xx);
```

We can also request additional information from the object:

```
const int& knn_regression::num_neighbors; // get k used to predict
```

We can use a modified version of knn\_regression for (multi-)classification:

```
class knn_classifier : public knn_regression
```

This class is treated the same as knn\_regression with the additional functionality:

```
arma::mat knn_classifier::predict_probabilities(const arma::mat& xx);
arma::umat knn_classifier::predict_categories(const arma::mat& xx);
```

Where predict\_probabilities() is equivalent to predict(). Additionally cross validation is performed on the F1 score instead of MSE.

#### Kernel Smoothing

Kernel smoothing may be applied to quickly approximate a function at a point  $x_0$  by weighted sum of samples within a bandwidth  $\beta$  of  $x_0$ . The weights are determined by a symmetric positive definite kernel function  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$  of which there are variety of options (we define  $K_{\beta}(x, x_0) = k\left(r = \frac{||x - x_0||}{\beta}\right)$ ):

- RBF:  $k(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-r^2/2}$ • square:  $k(r) = 0.5I_{r < 1}(r)$
- triangle:  $k(r) = (1-r)I_{r \le 1}(r)$
- parabolic:  $k(r) = \frac{3}{4}(1-r^2)I_{r\leq 1}(r)$

Choosing  $\beta$  may be difficult, so, by default, the bandwidth will be determined by cross-validation.

The final estimate of the function looks like:  $\hat{y}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i K_\beta(x, x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n K_\beta(x, x_i)}$ 

We can make kernel smoothing more efficient (effectively O(1)) for large data by first binning the data (linear binning). We compute the kernels with respect to the bins rather than the observations without reducing the quality of fit significantly. For large data sets Gramacki (2018) argues that 400-500 bins is almost always sufficient for univariate distributions. By default, when binning is requested and n > 1000 the number of bins defaults to 500 (otherwise, it is selected to be n/10 if n/10 > 30 or n/5 for all other cases).

```
class kernel_smooth
```

We construct a smoothing object via:

```
enum class kernels {
    gaussian,
    square,
```

```
triangle,
    parabolic
};
kernel_smooth::kernel_smooth(kernels k=gaussian, bool binning=false);
kernel smooth::kernel smooth(double bdw, kernels k=gaussian, bool binning=false);
where bdw = \beta. Whenever bdw is not specified, we use cross validation.
We fit the object:
kernel_smooth& kernel_smooth::fit(const arma::vec& x,
                                    const arma::vec& y);
arma::vec kernel_smooth::fit_predict(const arma::vec& x,
                                       const arma::vec& y);
where obj.fit_predict(x,y) is equivalent to obj.fit(x,y).predict(x).
We can predict based on our fit accroding:
arma::mat kernel_smooth::predict(const arma::mat& xgrid);
arma::mat kernel_smooth::operator()(const arma::mat& xgrid);
arma::mat kernel_smooth::predict(double xval);
arma::mat kernel_smooth::operator()(double xval);
The functions, predict and the operator () are equivalent.
The object retains additional information from fitting,
const arma::vec& data_x;
const arma::vec& data y;
const double& bandwidth;
```

```
The kernel smoothing object may be saved to a file, and loaded from one:
```

```
void kernel_smooth::save(const std::string& fname);

void kernel_smooth::load(const std::string& fname);
kernel_smooth::kernel_smooth(const std::string& fname); // load on construction
```

#### **Kernel Density Estimation**

Smoothing kernels may be applied to density estimation as well. We define  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$  same as for kernel\_smooth only now we estimate the density for a single variable by:  $\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{n\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{\beta}(x, x_i)$ .

Note we defined the kernels above so that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(x) dx = 1$  and  $\hat{f}(x) \ge 0$  i.e. a valid density function.

In this case, too, selecting a good bandwidth  $\beta$  is not trivial, and since the true density at the sampled values is not known, performing cross-validation is not as straight forward. Consequently we have four well known methods for bandwidth selection:

- 1. Rule of Thumb (1) :  $\beta = 1.06 sn^{-1/5}$ , this method is optimal whenever the data is sampled from a normal distribution.
- 2. Rule of Thumb (2):  $\beta = 0.9 \min(s, \frac{IQR}{1.34}) n^{-1/5}$ , this method is similar to (1) but is better at handling non-symmetric and bi-model distributions. This method is the most commonly used default estimate, and usually produces good results.
- 3. Direct Plug-in:  $\beta$  is computed to minimize the asymptotic expansion of the mean integrated squared error (MISE =  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{f}_{\beta}(x) f(x))^2 dx$ ). The plug-in method is iterative, but for most applications 2 iterations are sufficient, and that is the version implemented here.

4. Grid search cross validation:  $\beta$  is sampled in the range [0.05s, range(x)/4] using log-spacing. We compute an optimal  $\beta$  by approximating the RMSE, where the true density is estimated by a pilot density. The pilot density is computed using the entire data set and the second rule of thumb for the bandwidth. Then an RMSE for each bandwidth is computed by comparing the pilot density to the new density in line using training and testing sets.

The default method is (2) as it only requires computing descriptive statistics.

```
class kde
```

We construct a kernel density object via:

```
enum class bandwidth estimator {
    rule_of_thumb_sd,
    min_sd_iqr,
    direct_plug_in,
    grid_cv
};
kde::kde(kernels k=gaussian, bandwidth_estimator method=min_sd_iqr, bool binning=false);
kde::kde(double bdw, kernels k=gaussian, bool binning=false);
where bdw = \beta.
we fit the density:
kde& kde::fit(const arma::vec& x);
we can predict densities for new query points:
arma::vec kde::predict(const arma::vec& xgrid);
arma::vec kde::operator()(const arma::vec& xgrid);
double kde::predict(double x);
double kde::operator()(double x);
We can sample our density (bootstrapping):
arma::vec kde::sample(unsigned int n=1);
The object retains additional information from fitting,
const arma::vec& data;
const double& bandwidth;
The kde object may be saved to a file, and loaded from one:
void kde::save(const std::string& fname);
void kde::load(const std::string& fname);
```

### Regularized Linear Least Squares Regression

kde::kde(const std::string& fname); // load on construction

When fitting a large basis set to data (often the case in non-parametric modeling), overfitting becomes a significant problem. To combat this problem we can regularize our parameters during the fit. Essentially we are solving the minimization problem:  $\min_c ||y - \Phi c||^2 + \lambda c^T R c$ . Where  $\lambda \geq 0$  is the regularization parameter which can be determined from cross validation. When  $\lambda = 0$ , the fit tends toward high variance. When  $\lambda \to \infty$ , the fit tends toward high bias. Determining  $\lambda$  may be achieved by cross validation, like the spline class we take advantage of the (symmetric) eigenvalue decomposition (of  $\Phi^T \Phi$ ) to speed up computations of the regularized solution. By default R = I.

```
class regularizer
```

With constructor:

where R is the regularizer matrix, if one is not provided, R will be set to the identity matrix (i.e.  $L^2$  regularization). The parameter lambda is the regularization parameter; when lambda=nan, its value will be determined by cross validation (recommended). The parameter use\_conj\_grad tells the object whether to solve the least squares problem directly or iteratively using conjugate gradient method.

We fit the object and return the coefficient matrix c such that  $\hat{Y} = Xc$ :

where obj.fit\_predict(x,y) is equivalent to obj.fit(x,y).predict().

Our object retains some extra information from fit:

```
arma::mat regularizer::coef(); // returns the coefficient matrix

double regularizer::MSE() const; // returns the MSE from fit
double regularizer::eff_df() const;
    /* returns the approximate effictive degrees of freedom in the system
    determined from the sensitivity of the system */
double regularizer::regularizing_param() const; // returns the regularization parameter
arma::mat regularizer::regularizing_mat() const; // returns the regularizing matrix
```

### numerics::ode Documentation

### **Table of Contents**

- numerics.hpp documentation
- differential operators
- Initial Value Problems
  - Dormand-Prince 4/5
  - Runge-Kutta explicit  $\mathcal{O}(4)$
  - Runge-Kutta adaptive implicit  $\mathcal{O}(4)$
  - Runge-Kutta impliet  $\mathcal{O}(5)$
  - backwards Euler
  - Adams-Moulton second order
  - event control
- Boundary Value Problems
  - variable order method
  - spectral method
  - Lobatto IIIa
- Poisson's Equation

The following are all member of namespace numerics::ode.

## **Differentiation Operators**

Given an interval  $\Omega = [L, R]$ , if we sample  $\Omega$  at points  $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$  we can approximate the continuous operator  $\frac{d}{dx}$  at the sampled points with discrete operator D. This operator can be applied to any differentiable  $f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  given the function values at the sample points:  $y = \{f(x_1), \dots, f(x_N)\}$  according to:  $f'(x) \approx Dy$ .

In all of these functions the discrete operator is assigned to D, and the sample points are assigned to x. The parameters L and R define the end points of the interval  $\Omega$ . The parameter sample\_points defines how many points to sample from the interval.

The function diffmat4 samples the interval uniformly and provides a fourth order error term i.e. error is  $\mathcal{O}(N^{-4})$ . The resulting operator has a bandwidth of 4. It is also the case that the eigenvalues of D are all of the form  $\lambda_k = -b_k i$  where  $b_k \geq 0$  and  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ .

The function diffmat2 samples the interval uniformly and provies a second order error term i.e. error is  $\mathcal{O}(N^{-2})$ . The resulting operator has a bandwidth of 2. It is also the case that the eigenvalues of D are all of the form  $\lambda_k = -b_k i$  where  $b_k \geq 0$  and  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ .

The function cheb samples the interval at Chebyshev nodes and converges spectrally. If no interval is provided, the interval is set to [-1,1]. The resulting operator is dense. Moreover  $(D_{\text{cheb}})^k = \frac{d^k}{dx^k}$ .

In all three cases the  $n \times n$  operator has rank n-1 which follows from the intuition that the null space of the derivative is the set of all (piecewise-)constant functions.

A more generic differentiation matrix is offered by the following two functions:

```
arma::rowvec diffvec(const arma::vec& x, double x0, unsigned int k=1);
diffmat(arma::mat& D, const arma::vec& x, unsigned int k=0, unsigned int bdw=2);
diffmat(arma::sp_mat& D, const arma::vec& x, unsigned int k=0, unsigned int bdw=2);
```

Where diffuse returns a rowvector  $\vec{d}_k$  such that  $\vec{d}_k \cdot f(\vec{x}) \approx f^{(k)}(x_0)$ .

The function diffmat produces a differentiation matrix D for any grid of points x (does not need to be uniform or sorted) such that  $D_k f(x) \approx f^{(k)}(x)$ . Setting the bdw parameter will allow the user to select the number of nearby points to use in the approximation, for example if bdw=2 then for  $x_i$ , the points  $\{x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1}\}$  will be used in the approximation. Moreover, expect the error in the approximation to be  $\mathcal{O}(h^{\text{bdw}})$  where h is the maximum spacing in x. A special benefit of diffmat is that we can find any order derivative, moreover for any  $n \times n$   $D_k$  we have  $\text{rank}(D_k) = n - k$ , and the eigenvalues are of the form  $\lambda = i^k b$  where  $b \geq 0$  and  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . (so  $D_2$  is positive semi-definite for example).

Given a linear ODE of the form:  $y' + \alpha y = f(x)$  and the initial condition:  $y(L) = \beta$ , we can approximate the solution by solving the linear system:  $(D + \alpha I)y = f(x) \land y(L) = \beta$ . This can be solved by forward substituting  $y(L) = \beta$  into the linear system and solving the rest of the system:

```
vec f(vec& x) {
    // do something
}
```

```
mat D;
vec x, y;
double L, R, alpha, beta;
int N;
int method; // set to 1 or 2

diffmat2(D,x,L,R,N); // or diffmat4(), or cheb(), or diffmat()

mat A = D.rows(1,N-1).cols(1,N-1) + alpha*eye(N-1,N-1);
vec d0 = D.col(0);
vec F = f(x.rows(1,N-1)) - d0.rows(1,N-1)*beta;

vec y(N);
y.rows(1,N-1) = solve(A,F);
```

If we have a system of m ODEs, we can solve both initial value problems and boundary value problems using a similar method where instead the operator is replaced with  $(D \otimes I_{m,m})$  ( $\otimes$  is the Kronecker product) and f(x) is vectorized (if F is  $n \times m$  then set F = vectorise(F.t())). Once a solution y is found it is reshaped so that it is  $n \times m$  (if y is  $nm \times 1$ , then set y = reshape(y,m,n).t()). For these higher order system both initial value problems and boundary value problems may be solved.

## Initial Value Problem Solvers

We define a system of initial value problem as having the form: u' = f(t, u) with  $u(0) = u_0$ . Where t is the independent variable and u(t) is the dependent variable and is a row vector. All of the systems solvers are able to handle events. Some of the solvers have error control via adaptive step size selection. For the implicit solvers we can also provide a jacobian matrix  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}$  to improve solver performance. All implicit solvers use Broyden's method or Newton's method to compute steps.

All solver inherit from the ivp class:

Events are defined in a later section.

All IVP solvers have a function ode\_solve which, at a higher level, describes the problem to solve and the solution (recall we are solving  $u' = f(t, u), u(t_0) = u_0$ ):

```
void ode_solve(f [,J], t, U);
```

The parameter f is f(t, u).

If J is provided, it is the jacobian matrix:  $J(t, u) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}$ . The jacobian may be provided to the implicit solvers, though they perform comparably without.

The parameter t must be initialized to {t\_initial, t\_final}, this parameter will be overwritten with the grid points selected by the solver on the interval.

The parameter U should be initialized to  $u_0$  as a single row vector. This parameter will be overwritten by the solver so that the pair  $\{t(i), U.row(i)\}$  is  $\{t_i, u(t_i)\}$ .

### Dormand-Prince 4/5

Fourth order explicit Runge-Kutta solver with adaptive step size for error control.

```
class rk45 : public ivp {
   public:
    double adaptive_step_min; // 0.05
   double adaptive_step_max; // 0.5
   double adaptive_max_err; // tol
   rk45(double tol = 1e-3);
   void ode_solve(
        const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& f,
        arma::vec& t,
        arma::mat& U);
};
```

### Runge-Kutta Fourth Order

classical Fourth order explicit Runge-Kutta solver with constant step size.

```
class rk4 : public ivp {
   public:
   double step; // step_size
   rk4(double step_size = 0.1);
   void ode_solve(
        const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& f,
        arma::vec& t,
        arma::mat& U);
};
```

### Runge-Kutta Implicit Fourth Order

Fourth order diagonally implicit Runge-Kutta solver with adaptive step size controlled via a third order approximation. Method is A-stable and L-stable.

```
class rk45i : public ivp {
   public:
   double adaptive_step_min;
   double adaptive_step_max;
   double adaptive_max_err; // tol
   rk45i(double tol = 1e-3);
   void ode_solve(
        const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& f,
        arma::vec& t,
        arma::mat& U);
   void ode_solve(
        const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& f,
        const std::function<arma::mat(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& jacobian,
        arma::vec& t,
        arma::mat& U);
};
```

This object accepts a jacobian matrix.

## Runge-Kutta Implicit Fifth Order

Fifth order semi-implicit Runge-Kutta solver with constant step size. Method is A-stable and L-stable.

```
class rk5i : public ivp {
   public:
```

```
double step;
rk5i(double step_size = 0.1);
void ode_solve(
    const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& f,
    arma::wec& t,
    arma::mat& U);
void ode_solve(
    const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& f,
    const std::function<arma::mat(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& jacobian,
    arma::vec& t,
    arma::mat& U);
};
```

This object accepts a jacobian matrix.

#### **Backwards Euler**

First order implicit Euler's method with constant step size. (Euler's method is not accurate but very stable):

```
class am1 : public ivp {
   public:
    double step; // step_size
   am1(double step_size = 0.1);
   void ode_solve(
        const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& f,
        arma::wat& U);
   void ode_solve(
        const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& f,
        const std::function<arma::mat(double, const arma::vec&)>& jacobian,
        arma::wec& t,
        arma::wat& U);
};
```

This object accepts a jacobian matrix.

#### Adams-Moulton Second Order

Second order implicit linear multistep method with constant step size. (Will likely be replaced by a B-stable alternative)

```
class am2 : public ivp {
   public:
    double step; // step_size
   am2(double step_size = 0.1);
   void ode_solve(
        const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& f,
        arma::wat& U);
   void ode_solve(
        const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& f,
        const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& jacobian,
        arma::vec& t,
        arma::wat& U);
};
```

This object accepts a jacobian matrix.

#### **IVP Events**

The function:

Allows the user to add an event function which acts as a secondary stopping criterion for the solver. An event function specifies to the solver that whenever event $(t_k, u_k) = 0$  the solver should stop. We can further constrain the stopping event by controlling the sign of event $(t_{k-1}, u_{k-1})$ . e.g. if dir = NEGATIVE, the solver will stop iff: event $(t_k, u_k) = 0$  and event $(t_{k-1}, u_{k-1}) < 0$ .

The ivp member stopping\_event will be set to the event index (of the events added) that stopped it. e.g. if the third event function added stops the solver, then stopping\_event = 2.

## **Boundary Value Problems Solver**

We can solve boundary value problems using finite difference methods. A procedure for simple linear problems was described in the operators section, but the following methods are far more generalized. Our problem is defined as follows:

Given interval domain  $\Omega = [L, R]$ , and **system** of ODEs u' = f(x, u) with boundary conditions g(u) = 0 on  $\partial\Omega$  which is equivalently defined: g(u(L), u(R)) = 0. This general problem is solved, if possible, using Newton's method which requires an initial guess of the solution. One method for providing an initial guess is by solving the linearized problem  $u' = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\Big|_{u=u_0}\right) \cdot u$  where  $u_0$  should be either u(L) or u(R). Moreover, it is ideal if the initial function satisfies the boundary conditions.

For example, given interval  $\Omega = [0,1]$ . if one of the equations is u'' = sin(u) with boundary condition u(0) = 1 and u(1) = 0. Set up the problem as a system of first order ODEs: u' = v and v' = sin(u), with the same boundary conditions. Then, solve instead  $u' = v \wedge v' = \left(\frac{d}{du}sin(u)\right|_{u=1})u = cos(1)u$ . The linearized solution is then  $u(x) = b(e^{-a(x-2)} - e^{ax}) \wedge v(x) = -ba(e^{a(x-2)} + e^{ax})$  where  $a = \sqrt{\cos 1}, b = e^{2\sqrt{a}} - 1$ .

The bvp class:

```
class bvp {
    public:
    unsigned int max_iterations; // maximum iterations for Newton's method
    double tol; // stopping criteria
    int num_iterations(); // returns the number of iterations needed by the solver
};
```

#### Variable Order Method

We first introduce a method finite differencing where the order of the polynomial interpolation from the difference formula is derived may be selected by the user. For uniformly spaced data, the method should be  $\mathcal{O}(h^{\text{order}})$  where h is the spacing. It is required that order > 1.

```
class bvp_k : public bvp
```

We initialize the solver:

```
bvp_k::bvp_k(unsigned int order = 4, double tolerance = 1e-5);
```

where order is the order of the interpolating polynomial - 1, and tolerance initializes tol.

We solve a boundary value problem with:

```
void bvp_k::ode_solve(
    arma::vec& x,
    arma::mat& U,
    const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& odefun,
    const std::function<arma::vec(const arma::rowvec&, const arma::rowvec&)>& bc
);

void bvp_k::ode_solve(
    arma::vec& x,
    arma::mat& U,
    const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& odefun,
    const std::function<arma::mat(double, const arma::rowvec&)>& jacobian,
    const std::function<arma::wec(const arma::rowvec&, const arma::rowvec&)>& bc
);
```

We are solving  $u' = f(x, u) \land g(u(L), u(R)) = 0$ , so odefun corresponds to f, and bc corresponds to g. The function jacobian corresponds to the derivative of f with respect to u, i.e.  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}$ . It is necessary that x is initialized to a grid of points (of length n) with x[0] = L and x[n-1] = R. The grid x should be sorted. The solver will solve the BVP at these grid points, so make sure this grid is dense. It is recommended that U is initialized to a guess of the initial problem (purhaps as outlined above), but this is not necessary. Regardless, the matrix must be initialized, this may achieved simply by setting U = zeros(n,dim) where dim is the dimension of the system of ODEs. The solution will overwrite U.

### Chebyshev Spectral Method

This method uses a spectrally converging method, where the BVP is solved at Chebyshev nodes scaled to the interval. Because the points are specific, an initial guess must be provided as a function that may be evaluated.

```
class bvp_cheb : public bvp
```

We initialize the solver:

```
bvp_cheb::bvp_cheb(unsigned int num_points = 32, double tolerance = 1e-5);
```

Where num\_points is the number of points to use in the approximation, and tolerance initializes tol. Note that for problems with analytic solutions, bvp\_cheb converges spectrally, thus only few points are ever needed (e.g. <50 points, while bvp\_k may require >1000 points for a sufficiently accurate approximation).

We solve a boundary value problem with:

```
void ode_solve(
    arma::vec& x,
    arma::mat& U,
    const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& odefun,
    const std::function<arma::vec(const arma::rowvec&, const arma::rowvec&)>& bc,
    const std::function<arma::rowvec(double)>& guess
    );

void ode_solve(
    arma::vec& x,
    arma::mat& U,
    const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& odefun,
    const std::function<arma::mat(double, const arma::rowvec&)>& jacobian,
    const std::function<arma::vec(const arma::rowvec&, const arma::rowvec&)>& bc,
    const std::function<arma::vec(const arma::rowvec&, const arma::rowvec&)>& bc,
    const std::function<arma::rowvec(double)>& guess
    );
```

Where odefun, jacobian, and bc are just as in bvp\_k. With bvp\_cheb, the parameter x should be initialized to a vector of length 2 and represent the boundaries of the interval, i.e.  $x = \{L,R\}$ . The matrix U may be empty. The parameter guess is an initial guess of u(x), but may just return a row of zeros you have no guess. It is important

that  $guess(x).n_elem = dim$  for all  $x \in [L, R]$ , where dim is the dimension of the system of ODEs. Both x and U will be set to the Chebyshev grid and approximate solution. This solution may be interpolated using a polynomial to get an approximation of the solution everywhere on the interval.

#### Lobatto IIIa method

This method uses a 4th order Lobatto IIIa collocation formula.

```
class bvpIIIa : public bvp
```

We initialize the solver:

```
bvpIIIa::bvpIIIa(double tolerance = 1e-5);
```

where tolerance initializes tol.

We solve a boundary value problem with:

```
void bvp_k::ode_solve(
    arma::vec& x,
    arma::mat& U,
    const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& odefun,
    const std::function<arma::vec(const arma::rowvec&, const arma::rowvec&)>& bc
    );

void bvp_k::ode_solve(
    arma::vec& x,
    arma::mat& U,
    const std::function<arma::rowvec(double,const arma::rowvec&)>& odefun,
    const std::function<arma::mat(double, const arma::rowvec&)>& jacobian,
    const std::function<arma::vec(const arma::rowvec&, const arma::rowvec&)>& bc
    );
```

Which is treated exactly in the same way as bvp\_k. This method may be slower than bvp\_k but is typically more stable (preferable for stiff problems).

#### Poisson Solver

Given a rectangular region  $\Omega$  in the x,y plane, we can numerically solve the Poisson/Helmholtz equation  $(\nabla^2 + k^2)u = f(x,y)$  with boundary conditions u(x,y) = g(x,y) on  $\partial\Omega$  using similar procedures to solving linear ODEs.

We solve the problem with the function:

```
void poisson_helmholtz_2d(
    arma::mat& X,
    arma::mat& Y,
    arma::mat& U,
    const std::function<arma::mat(const arma::mat&, const arma::mat&)>& f,
    const std::function<arma::mat(const arma::mat&, const arma::mat&)>& bc,
    double eig = 0,
    int num_grid_points = 32);
```

We initialize X with the bounds in x, i.e.  $X = \{xLB, xUB\}$ , and the same for Y, i.e.  $Y = \{yLB, yUB\}$ . The matrix U does not need to be intialized. The function bc should equal g(x,y). The parameter eig is k, which is 0 by default corresponding to Poisson's equation.

X,Y,U will be overwritten with the grid points solved for.

The parameter  $num_grid_points$  is the number of grid points along each axis, meaning the total number of points solved for will be  $num_grid_points^2$ . This solver uses the Chebyshev spectral order method only. The solver is take  $\mathcal{O}(n^6)$  with respect to  $num_grid_points$ .