#### VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF WELLINGTON Te Whare Wānanga o te Ūpoko o te Ika a Māui



#### School of Mathematics and Statistics Te Kura Mātai Tatauranga

PO Box 600 Wellington New Zealand

Tel: +64 4 463 5341 Fax: +64 4 463 5045 Internet: sms-office@vuw.ac.nz

# Graph Algorithms with Hostile Partners

Matthew Askes

Supervisor: Rod Downey

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for Bachelor of Science with Honours in Mathematics.

#### **Abstract**

A short description of the project goes here.

### **Contents**

1	Introduction			
2	Dominating sets	3		
	2.1 min size dominating set	3		

## **Figures**

## **Chapter 1**

### Introduction

#### **Chapter 2**

### **Dominating sets**

We begin by listing some definitions.

**Definition.** The Dominating set, D, of a graph G = (E, V) is any subset of V such that every vertex in V is adjacent to at least one vertex in D.

**Definition.** The Dominating number,  $\gamma(G)$ , of a graph G = (E, V) is the size of the smallest dominating set of G.

**Definition.** Independent set, maximum independent set, independence number  $\alpha(G)$ 

#### 2.1 min size dominating set

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let G be a graph.* 

$$\gamma(G) \ge \alpha(G)$$

*Proof.* Let X be a minimum dominating set in some graph G = (V, E). By definition of dominating set vertex in V is adjacent to at least one vertex in

Recall that  $\chi(G)$  is the chromatic number of the graph G.

**Theorem 2.2** (Willis 2011 3.1). *For any graph G* = (V, E) [4]

$$\alpha(G) \le \frac{|V|}{\chi(G)}$$

Recall that  $\Delta(G)$  is the maximum degree of any vertex in G.

**Theorem 2.3** (Balakrishnan 2012 10.3.2). [2] For any graph G with n vertices,

$$\left\lceil \frac{n}{1 + \Delta(G)} \right\rceil \le \gamma(G) \le n - \Delta(G)$$

It is obvious that in the case when  $\gamma(G)$  is known that  $\gamma(G) > \gamma_g(G)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** (Ore 1962) [3] For any graph G with n vertices,

$$\gamma(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

**Theorem 2.5.** Let G be a graph. If x is a tight upper bound for the domination number,  $\gamma(G)$ , then

$$\gamma_{g}(G) \geq x$$

*Proof.* Let *G* be a graph where  $\gamma(G) = x$ . Thus for *G* we are unable to find a dominating set with < x vertices. Therefore there cannot be a winning strategy for Alice with < x vertices. Therefore  $\gamma_g(G) \ge x$ 

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let* G *be a graph with n vertices, such that*  $n \geq 4$ . *Then,* 

$$\gamma_g(G) \ge \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$$

*Proof.* By combination of theorems 2.4 and 2.5 we get  $\gamma_g(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ 

Thereom 2.6 is also proved in Alona, Baloghc, Bollobas, and Szabo 2002 [1]. The trivial upper bound is n.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then,* 

$$\gamma_g(G) \le \frac{2n}{3}$$

*Proof.* For any graph, *G*, it suffices to show we have a winning strategy for a spanning tree of *G*. This is because a dominating set on a spanning tree in a dominating set in the graph. Thus, let *T* be a spanning tree of *G*. The winning strategy for Alice is as follows.

- 1. Pick any vertex, v, not in D with a maximal number of neighbours not in D. That is maximise the set  $\{x: x \in N(v) \land v \notin D\}$ .
- 2. repeat until you have a dominating set.

### **Bibliography**

- [1] ALON, N., BALOGH, J., BOLLOBÁS, B., AND SZABÓ, T. Game domination number. *Discrete Mathematics* 256, 1 (2002), 23 33.
- [2] BALAKRISHNAN, R. A Textbook of Graph Theory (Universitext). Springer, sep 2012.
- [3] ORE, O. *Theory of Graphs (COLLOQUIUM PUBLICATIONS (AMER MATHEMATICAL SOC))*. American Mathematical Society, dec 1962.
- [4] WILLIS, W. Bounds for the independence number of a graph.