

# Mini-project: Integrated nested Laplace Approximation with Automatic Differentiation

Adam Howes

Imperial College London

April 2020

# Motivation

- R-INLA (Martins et al. 2013) only works for the particular models which have been implemented
- Alternative implementation based on automatic differentiation (AD) would allow INLA to be used for a **broader class** of models
- For example, the HIV inference group at Imperial is working on a model just outside R-INLA's capacity

What is INLA, why do we want to use it, and why can't we currently?

# Three-stage model

- Want to do Bayesian inference in spatiotemporal statistics
- Three-stage model covers most of the models used

$$\text{(Observations)} \quad \mathbf{y} \sim p(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}),$$

$$\text{(Latent field)} \quad \mathbf{x} \sim p(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

$$\text{(Hyperparameters)} \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} \sim p(\boldsymbol{\theta}),$$

where  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)$

- Interested in learning both  $(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x})$  from data  $\mathbf{y}$

# Have you tried MCMC?

- Markov chain Monte Carlo is slow for high dimensional correlated parameter spaces
- We have both of these problems:
  - If  $\mathbf{x}$  represents spatiotemporal location then  $\dim(\mathbf{x}) = n$  will be very large
  - Tobler's first law of geography "everything is related to everything else, but near things are more related than distant things"  $\implies \mathbf{x}$  has lots of correlation structure

# Approximate Bayesian inference

- In applied statistics (at least in health and social science) we fit misspecified models to biased and incomplete data
- Is inferential exactness (as  $n_{\text{sim}} \rightarrow \infty$  for chain of length  $n_{\text{sim}}$ ) the scientific bottleneck?
- If not  $\implies$  shouldn't be afraid of approximate methods
  - Approximate Bayesian computation (ABC)
  - Variational Bayes
  - Integrated nested Laplace approximation (INLA)

# Integrated nested Laplace approximation (I)

- See Rue, Martino, and Chopin (2009) or Blangiardo and Cameletti (2015)
- Approximate Bayesian inference for **latent Gaussian models** (LGMs), which are three-stage models with middle layer

$$(\text{Latent field}) \quad p(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}(\boldsymbol{\theta}), \mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})^{-1}).$$

- Takes advantage of sparsity properties of  $\mathbf{Q}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ , i.e. if  $\mathbf{x}$  is a Gaussian Markov random field (GMRF)
- Gives approximate **posterior marginals**  $\{\tilde{p}(x_i | \mathbf{y})\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $\{\tilde{p}(\theta_j | \mathbf{y})\}_{j=1}^m$

## Integrated nested Laplace approximation (II)

- 1) First Laplace approximate hyperparameter posterior

$$\tilde{p}(\boldsymbol{\theta} | \mathbf{y}) \propto \frac{p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\tilde{p}_G(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y})} \Big|_{\mathbf{x}=\boldsymbol{\mu}^*(\boldsymbol{\theta})} \quad (1)$$

which can be marginalised to get  $\tilde{p}(\theta_j | \mathbf{y})$

- 2) Choose integration points and weights  $\{\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}, \Delta^{(k)}\}$  to integrate w.r.t. (1)
- 3) Choose approximation for  $\tilde{p}(x_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{y})$  (simplest version: Gaussian)
- 4) Finally use quadrature to get

$$\tilde{p}(x_i | \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{k=1}^K \tilde{p}(x_i | \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}, \mathbf{y}) \times \tilde{p}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} | \mathbf{y}) \times \Delta^{(k)} \quad (2)$$



# Naomi, evidence synthesis for HIV

- Combine HIV prevalence  $\rho_i$  and ART coverage  $\alpha_i$  models together
- Model is close to, but not, a LGM
- Small non-linearities e.g. multiplying two latent Gaussian fields

$$A_i \sim \text{Bin}(\rho_i \alpha_i, N_i),$$

where  $A_i$  be the number observed on ART and  $N_i$  the population

- Need something more flexible than R-INLA



Figure 1: Supermodel

What do we do currently instead?

# Template Model Builder (I)

- Currently we use TMB (Kristensen et al. 2016)
- R package which implements the Laplace approximation for latent variable models using AD (via CppAD)
  - For more about AD see e.g. Griewank and Walther (2008)
- Write an objective function  $f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})$  in C++ (“user template”)
  - We select  $f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\log p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$

## Template Model Builder (II)

```
#include <TMB.hpp>

template <class Type>
Type objective_function<Type>::operator()() {
  // Define data e.g.
  DATA_VECTOR(y);
  // Define parameters e.g.
  PARAMETER(mu);
  // Calculate negative log-likelihood e.g.
  nll = Type(0.0);
  nll -= dnorm(y, mu, 1, true).sum()
  return(nll);
}
```

## Template Model Builder (III)

- Performs the Laplace approximation  $L_f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \approx L_f^*(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  (step 1 of INLA) – use R to optimise this with respect to  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  to give  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$
- MAP estimate of  $\mathbf{x}$  conditional on  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$  (REML? Empirical Bayes?)
- Standard errors calculated using the  $\delta$ -method (a Gaussian assumption)

What do you want to do in the  
future?

# Aims

- Compare accuracy of TMB to R-INLA
- Implement the INLA method using AD via TMB
- Apply new method to models with different degrees of non-linearity
  - Small degree: Naomi.
  - Larger degree: ODE models e.g. SIR or other compartmental models

Thanks! Questions / comments /  
corrections?



## References I

- Blangiardo, Marta, and Michela Cameletti. 2015. *Spatial and Spatio-Temporal Bayesian Models with r-INLA*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Griewank, Andreas, and Andrea Walther. 2008. *Evaluating Derivatives: Principles and Techniques of Algorithmic Differentiation*. Vol. 105. Siam.
- Kristensen, Kasper, Anders Nielsen, Casper W. Berg, Hans Skaug, and Bradley M. Bell. 2016. "TMB: Automatic Differentiation and Laplace Approximation." *Journal of Statistical Software* 70 (5): 1–21.  
<https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v070.i05>.
- Martins, Thiago G, Daniel Simpson, Finn Lindgren, and Håvard Rue. 2013. "Bayesian Computing with INLA: New Features." *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis* 67: 68–83.

## References II

Rue, Håvard, Sara Martino, and Nicolas Chopin. 2009. "Approximate Bayesian Inference for Latent Gaussian Models by Using Integrated Nested Laplace Approximations." *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series b (Statistical Methodology)* 71 (2): 319–92.