Faythe Chain

Abstract

The Faythe Chain provides a framework to codify contractual agreements - involving real-life parties, events and outcomes - into the blockhain.

So far, the utility of chain based contracts has been restricted to on-chain activities. Involving an off-chain parties relinquished the proof of security and reliability and has not been feasible before. A provided theoretical solution is the Trust protocol.

Historically the Trust protocol has been an elusive target because attempts for implementation assumed the existence of ultimately reliable Oracles. We at Faythe Chain believe that new AI-based fraud detection mechanisms coupled with the distributed ledger of a blockchain are enough to provide a platform that can handle contractual tasks without the involvement of a perfect Oracle.

In this paper, we present the Faythe Chain, a decentralized arbitration network. We'll define how we envision the use of real-world Oracles and Actuators to ultimately create a bridge between the analog and digital world through the execution abilities and temper proof ledger of the blockchain.

Table of contents

- 1. Rationale
- 2. Main Concepts / Definitions
 - 1. On-chain participants
 - 1. Arbitrator
 - 1. Components of an Arbitration template
 - 2. Overseer
 - 3. Validator
 - 4. Wallets
 - 5. Users
 - 2. Off-chain participants
 - 1. Oracles
 - 2. Actuators
 - 3. Real-world Interfaces Blueprints
- 3. Achieving the "Trustless" property
 - 1. Oracles List Specification
 - 2. Arbitrator Fee Pot
 - 3. Arbitration template trust
 - 4. Trust Score retargeting
- 4. Mitigating bad behaving actors
 - 1. Deciding the winning party
 - $2. \ \, minTrustScore$
- 5. Incentives
 - 1. Interfaces
 - 2. Validators
- 6. Arbitrator resolution, Trust score, and economics of Oracles
 - 1. Oracles registration fees
 - 2. Oracles Trust score
 - 3. Interface availability
 - 4. Interface participation quota
- 7. Example use-cases
 - 1. Authenticated release of physical goods
 - 2. Betting
 - 3. Airbnb on the chain
 - 4. Tracking of physical items or locations gamified example
- 8. Conclusion

Rationale

We got a sneak peek on the possible future and the power of smart contracts when the Ethereum chain became a mainstream blockchain technology. Unfortunately, the Ethereum chain turned out to be overcrowded where everyone could deploy a smart contract confined in the digital world. Ethereum got so bloated with useless copy-paste smart contracts no one uses that it has been through some severe congestion issues lately.

Since Ethereum went online, many other crypto projects tried to mimic its success by creating different smart contract solutions. Apart from their arguable success, most of them lack what we think the blockchain world lacks: a real-world interface and, consequently, a use-case.

In this paper, we present Faythe Chain, a decentralized Oracle network. We'll define how we envision the use of real-world Oracles and Actuators to ultimately create a bridge between the analog and the digital world through the power of blockchain technology.

We'll briefly cover incentives and the involved game theory that creates a balanced system that discourages bad actors. We introduce a two-tiered version of smart contracts called Arbitration Templates and Arbitrators.

Artificial Intelligence's explosive evolution in the last decade has evolved its capabilities to a mature level. We believe that AI provides the last missing key to the actual implementation of the Trust protocol. We are using state of the art methods for detecting and preventing fraud, and to analyze the behavior and calculate the trust level of each participant.

Main Concepts / Definitions

On-chain participants

Arbitrator Arbitration templates are the core of the Faythe chain. They codify an agreement between multiple parties to define the stakes of everyone involved and provide a mechanism for the decision of the outcome. E.g., one or more parties pay money in and based on the outcome of selected Oracles, they get defined payouts, or activators get activated.

We start referring to a template as an Arbitrator after its instantiation with a determined set of parameters. As a comparison to object-oriented programming concepts - the template is a class, and an Arbitrator is an object. Anyone can submit an Arbitration template to the chain, which can then be instantiated by any user or users of the chain.

Components of an Arbitration template

- List of possible interfaces (actors and Actuators)
 - Either as an enumeration
 - Or as a blueprint definition with a minimal accepted Trust score
- The Oracles' voting strategy for consensus
- Possible outcomes and payout strategies per each outcome
- Actions for each possible scenario
- Time-based constraints (penalty or dividend or interest)

Overseer The Overseer is the trust manager algorithm that is responsible for assigning and maintaining the trust level of interfaces and validators. It is unsupervised AI-based fraud detection and risk management algorithm built into the chain itself that monitors transactions and participants in the Arbitrators.

The Overseer provides an overlay layer of trust by assigning scores to Oracles and Actuators through unsupervised learning. It clusters interfaces (based on their blueprints) and contracts (based on their interface clusters and decision logic) - it monitors the expected behavior and warns when outliers are happening - even on brand new contracts.

Over the last decade, machine learning technologies made a huge leap forward. Thanks to the work of big tech giants such as Google, AI algorithms and machine learning have wide adoption and are now reasonably easy to use.

In Faythe Chain, we would like to exploit a subset of machine learning called unsupervised learning.

Unsupervised learning is a self-organized Hebbian learning that helps find previously unknown patterns in data set without pre-existing labels. It is also known as self-organization and allows modeling probability densities of given inputs....

Wikipedia

Thanks to the statistical analytical techniques, the Faythe Chain can implement anomaly detection, cluster analysis and continous training of its fraud prevention engine.

The Faythe Chain Overseer is bundled within the core code and monitors transactions and participants in the Arbitrators. Thanks to the above mentioned unsupervised learning, the Overseer could assign a reliability score to Arbitration templates (for example) by clustering similar ones together and check for anomalies in the expected output.

Since neural networks become better and better with more data they ingest - we could reasonably say that this mechanism matures with the chain, eventually deprecating other safety mechanisms introduced in this paper (Eg: TrustScore). If this holds the Faythe Chain's Overseer would probably become the first neural network of its kind as, to the best of our knowledge, there is no other blockchain project leveraging modern ML techniques to secure a public blockchain.

Validator The validator is a black box tester of off-chain connectors (Oracles and Actuators). It creates test Arbitrators that are indistinguishable from real ones. It includes several highly trusted interfaces to provide ground truth and invites some untested interfaces to measure their correctness.

The evaluated interfaces are rewarded or penalized in the trust level dimension on how closely they match the ground truth. Validators also verify each other to avoid the possibility of a malicious scoring mechanism. The validator nodes are also the ones signing transactions, and thus the miners of Faythe token.

Wallets Wallets are addresses on the chain who have certain funds and can instantiate Arbitrator templates. Arbitrators, users, interfaces, and arbitration templates all have their addresses.

Users A user is a person who can own one or more wallets. The chain works anonymously as well, so the complete functionality is available without having users. The user concept is adding a layer of authenticity to individual wallets or Arbitration templates or interfaces.

Off-chain participants

Oracles A blockchain Oracle is a third-party information source whose only function is to provide data into the blockchain, which reacts to the real-world information. Since blockchain technologies are, by design, trustless, blockchain Oracles try to fill the gap between on and off chain. As an example - A Weather Oracle could provide weather information for a specific geographic area. An Arbitrator might want to use weather information to pay tourists back a chunk (or the totality) of their deposits in case of bad weather on the day of the hike.

Actuators In Faythe Chain, we believe that just pulling the data from the real world won't just provide much innovation to the blockchain ecosystem. We believe that a two-way communication channel with the real world is needed, and that's where Actuators shine. Actuators trigger or implement real life actions. An Actuator is something that can interface with the real world. Just like any other component in the Faythe Chain project, Actuators can have a varying degree of trustworthiness (more on that later). A simple Actuator could be a vending machine that releases a coke or a bank Actuator, which initiates a bank transfer upon the completion of an Arbitrator.

Real-world Interfaces Blueprints Both *Oracles* and *Actuators* are "Real World Interfaces." To improve chain flexibility and promote healthy competition amongst both Oracles and Actuators, we need to introduce a new concept: Blueprints.

Blueprints set an interface of needed input and outputs. For example, a blueprint for a $Weather\ Oracle$ might be:

Inputs:

- 1) Latitude, Longitude
- 2) timestamp
- 3) requested information. E.g.: rain_probability, humidity, pressure, or other weather data

Outputs:

- 1) Float value with different meanings based on input#3
- 2) (Optional) Accuracy

Blueprints are defined in structured english. The blueprint definition language is an extendable semantic hierarchy that can adjust to the needs of the Faythe Chain users.

Achieving the "Trustless" property

"Trustless" is one quality any blockchain project should have. In Faythe Chain, we operate with real-world interfaces (Oracles and Actuators). While there is no real way to prevent bad actors from joining the open blockchain network Faythe Chain, we can introduce incentives to encourage good behaving actors.

Both Oracles and Actuators could ask for an operating fee. The *Weather Station* from the example above has some operational costs (such as maintenance and electricity) that need to be covered by the fees.

When instantiating an Arbitration Template, a user can either.

- 1) Specify one or multiple Oracles that are allowed to provide data.
- 2) Specify a fee pot.

Oracles List Specification

If the user trusts a specific one or more Oracles for an Arbitrator resolution such as (but not limited to): * Government-issued weather stations, * Popular sport betting company, * A reliable source of flight information to provide info about delays.

Arbitrator Fee Pot

There is a way to specify an Arbitration template where instead of enumerating the possible Oracles, the Oracles are invited based on a *blueprint*.

Participating Oracles send their output to be included and signed within the Arbitration resolution. Participating Oracles that were in the majority share the pot.

Starting with 50% as the default value, trust score that matures along with their participation and confidentiality. Ultimately the $Fee\ Pot$ is dynamically distributed to all participating Oracles. Even "wrong" Oracles could get a slice of the pot, if the Arbitration template distribution formula implements so. A simple $Fee\ Pot$ distribution formula might use the TrustScore as weighting parameter like below:

$$\Xi(v_x) = \frac{pot}{\tau(v_x)} \sum_{i=0}^n \tau(v_i).$$

As a result, new Oracles can still participate in the network and gain trust.

Arbitration template trust

Anyone can write arbitration templates, but most users would use certified Arbitration templates, which they can customize for their deal. Users don't have to review the code or be able to code, and they can instantiate any template of the chain and know what's expected to happen based on the description and the trust score assigned to it or the instantiated Arbitrators of the same kind.

So when Bob hears about the Faythe Chain and wants to bet Joe that by tomorrow midday, the price of oil is higher than 100\$ / barrel, he may not need to implement a full Arbitration template from scratch, choose a commodities product from a financial service online.

Anyone can write Arbitration templates, but it is preferable to choose a battle-tested and, more importantly, SIGNED template over a hardly used and anonymous one. A possible incentive to use the less popular (lower Trust score) template is that it may provide the service cheaper, although the lack of sufficient validation may include a higher risk.

Trust Score retargeting

Given that trust plays a vital role in the Faythe Chain operations, its retargeting is a delicate matter.

When Oracles participate in an Arbitration, they only see their own results submitted. While this is still a research topic in Faythe Chain, we think that τ could be retargeted using the following formula.

$$\tau'(v_x) = \tau(v_x) + \lambda \times \delta$$

Where δ is the minimum weight:

$$\delta = \min 0.1, \tau(v_0), \tau(v_1), ..., \tau(v_n) \ \forall \ v_x$$

And λ is either +1 or -1 depending if v_x was amongst the winning or losing a set of all Oracles.

Using this straightforward and straight forward formula, we leverage the following outcomes:

- Only Arbitration templates with multiple Oracles have trust score retargeting,
- Two colluding trusted Oracles are not able to set up Arbitrators with the only purpose of gaining more trust,
- All participating Oracles benefit the same amount reaching fairness.

Mitigating bad behaving actors

Along with trust score retargeting and the economic incentive of behaving correctly by providing valuable and correct data to Arbitrators, some bad actors might still arise and try to cheat.

To mitigate even further, we need to: 1) Decide the winning party amongst all participating Oracles 2) Introduce the "minTrustScore" safety mechanism

Deciding the winning party Flexibility is another crucial factor in Faythe Chain. Just like security, we need to make sure we future proof the project, and setting workflows and interfaces in stone is a no-go. Much like ETH has no features but instead gives developers the ability to specify their logic, deciding the winning party should be flexible.

Arbitration templates can set their logic on how they would like to handle Oracles output. Along with the *Fee Pot distribution", Arbitrators can decide how they read Oracles data. For instance, some Oracles might provide a non-discrete output leaving the Arbitration template's code the flexibility to set the rules that produce the Arbitrator output based on the Oracles-input.

minTrustScore While having an open network is a nice feature to have, in Faythe Chain, we also account for the user's security. That's why we introduce here the *minTrustScore* option users might want to specify to filter relatively new Oracles as long as untrusted bad-behaving interfaces.

Users instantiating the Arbitration templates need to specify a minimum amount of trust using one of the UI (Eg: FaytheWallet) that they desire.

Incentives

The participants of the system (interfaces, validators and contracts) are rewarded for their contributions.

Interfaces

The interfaces charge a fee for their services. An exemplary weather station's maintainer invests money and energy into the availablity of the station and people start using it as reference weather data in their contracts. To make this worth for the maintainer, he will expect a fee from Arbitrators.

The Arbitrators will choose a well maintained weather station with a long history because it participated in many contracts and has a trust score of 99.999%. The validator nodes routinely send the station requests for weather info and compare it to 100 others in my city. If the data ever tends to be off from the high reliability nodes, the station gets penalized with a decrease of trust rate.

Validators

Validators are signing the blocks of the chain, executing contracts and are also running the black-box testing and the AI overlay of fraud detection. Their operation costs money because they routinely request data into fake contracts to test the interfaces. They get the signing fees.

Arbitrator resolution, τ rust score, and economics of Oracles Oracles registration fees

Registering an Oracle to the Faythe Chain is not feeless. Fees are determined by simply looking at how much market for such Oracle there might be. This is done by observing the number of similar Oracles created and used in the past N blocks.

To provide a more formal definition of the Oracles registration fees, we need to set some definitions: * $\omega_x(h_l, h_h)$ = the total collected Fees by Oracles adhering the blueprint x within blocks having height $h_l \leq x \leq h_h$, * $\xi_x(h_l, h_h)$ = the total amount of Oracles that registered within height $h_l \leq x \leq h_h$. * H = the current height constant * N = the number of blocks in the past we want to take into account Hence:

$$\Phi_{x,H} = \frac{\omega_x(0, H - N)}{\xi_x(0, H - N)} \times 0.3 + \frac{\omega_x(H - N, H)}{\xi_x(H - N, H)} \times 0.7$$

This simple formula mitigates any attempt of raising Arbitrator pricing by taking into account the collected fees since creation with 30% weight factor.

Note: When $\Phi_{x,H}$ is ≤ 0 then we use baseFee instead.

Oracles Trust score

Upon registration, Oracles are in an unknown trust state. Oracles can prove the chain that they are trusted by providing data, for lower fees. Arbitrators (including the tests created by validators) may include less trusted (but not untrusted) Oracles if they are willing provide data for a low fee.

If there are many Oracles of the same kind, the supply-demand mechanics won't support the introduction of a redundant item as it won't be selected into many Arbitrators and reduces the chance to operate an Oracle on a profitable rate.

Once Oracles are fully functional, it's essential to notice that Oracles might provide conflicting information with each other. That's why τ is important. When more than one Oracle participate to an Arbitrator the weight of any possible outcome x will be calculated using the following formula:

$$\omega(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tau_i \quad \forall i \text{ who voted for } x$$

So that calculating the winning party is trivial. If there is uncertainty about the winning party for any reason, the Arbitrator should be responsible for handling that case and decide the outcome.

Please note that the Arbitration template implementation identifies the winning party. While this might sound scary and unnecessary, we don't want Faythe Chain to allow discrete output Oracles. E.g.: consider a weather station that provides a non-discrete value for "raining probability," it'll be up to the Arbitrator to decide what to do with the given value.

Interface availability

Oracles and Actuators should provide a result that is ultimately used by the Arbitrator. If a required data is not available, the Arbitrator cannot come to a conclusion. Arbitrator errors waste both hashing power and real-world user's time. That's why we believe that Interface Availability should also be considered as a fundamental value in the Faythe Chain economics and game theory.

Building such alternative reputation system is somehow trivial and requires the nodes to account the following information:

- $\alpha_x = \#$ of assigned requests,
- $\beta_x = \#$ of completed requests.

Since both interfaces unavailability could be considered disruptive for the network with different levels of magnitude, we present the $\frac{\beta_x}{\alpha_x}$ ratio very clearly to the user making sure he poses the right attention to the availability topic and its decision implications.

While we can always integrate the ratio as mentioned above within the chain, we let this as an eventual future core code improvement.

Interface participation quota

High reputation interfaces have the advantage of participating in arbitrations. All of the previous paragraphs and chapters outline precisely how we plan to discourage bad behaving actors.

While we can anticipate a virtuous circle in which good behaving (and always available) Oracles/Actuators develop a good τ rust score, we also want to discourage newcomers to quickly get a nice τ by simply creating Arbitration templates (and their instances) on which they'll participate throwing away some fees for building an excellent trust+availability reputation.

As a preemptive safety mechanism, Oracles are not allowed to participate in Arbitrators during their cooldown period. The cooldown period (defined with " ζ ") is the block-based time frame in which interfaces are not allowed to "write" to the blockchain (unless directly invoked).

 ζ builds up with their reputation and scale down in case they prove themselves untrustworthy.

Given that τ 's domain is $[0,\infty]$, the participation quota, defined in several blocks, is lower, the more significant τ gets.

Example use-cases

As the Faythe Chain is a versatile arbitration protocol with real-world interfaces, its possibilities are endless. We want to provide a few possible use cases to showcase the system's capabilities.

Authenticated release of physical goods

A doctor prescribes some rare medicine with high potential for peruse. He may create a release token into a pharmacy Arbitration template with my trusted certificate, which signs the identifier (in an arbitrary form, printed QR code, patients DNA, fingerprint), and assign it to the patient.

The patient goes to the pharmacies who are trusted Oracles. Their Oracle is (an RFID reader, DNA sequencer, fingerprint reader) checks the Arbitrator where the doctors signed release code is, matches it with the customer's unique key, releases the medicine. Payment happens instantly to everyone involved in the exchange.

Betting

Betting is an area where digitalized Oracles are already familiar (sports sites with APIs, for example) and is easy to verify. An arbitration template may use several betting data sources, and the users of the template can also choose from many several odds calculation mechanisms.

The betting parties can select the betting Arbitration template they like to create an Arbitrator with the specific Oracles. The Arbitrator holds the money until the underlying event is finished, and the Oracles achieve their consensus. Upon completion, the money is transferred based on the payout mechanism to the participants. Also, the template owner and the Oracles/Actuators get their fee.

Airbnb on the chain

Airbnb may have an Arbitration template, where the renter puts up its house as the product, its bank's Oracle to verify payments, and also its apartment door's NFC reader as an Oracle.

The customer's transfer (with a reservation code in the comment field) and her phone's NFC are the input for the two Oracles to fulfill the Arbitrator.

The Actuator is the door opener in the apartment. The bank can be an escrow account's bank that releases the funds to the renter upon successful entry to the apartment.

Tracking of physical items or locations - gamified example

We're using a "capture the flag" Arbitration template to organize a bike race.

The Oracles are RFID tag readers, placed around town with, and have to be visited in a certain order. The race participants register their RFID tags into the Arbitrator and submit the fee into the "pot," which is the Arbitrator wallet. The winner gets the pot.

Conclusion

We've introduced Faythe Chain, a decentralized Oracle and Actuators blockchain network for mediator free arbitration to securely interact with the real world. In this paper, we have outlined the main concepts and incentive mechanisms that provide the fundamentals of the chain's functionality.

With our relentless work, we are striving for a more transparent and fair future. In this future, there is no need to rely on formal establishments or centralized authorities, which have often shown to be corrupt or unreliable. Our goal is to create a transparent and fair system available to anyone so that trust becomes a shared resource and reliability.