Embodied Spatial Cognition in Tangible Computing

BRENDAN ALEXANDER HARMON, North Carolina State University ANNA PETRASOVA, North Carolina State University VACLAV PETRAS, North Carolina State University HELENA MITASOVA, North Carolina State University ROSS KENDALL MEENTEMEYER, North Carolina State University EUGENE BRESSLER, North Carolina State University ART RICE, North Carolina State University

${\tt CCS\ Concepts: {}^{\bullet}Human\hbox{-}{\bf centered\ computing}} \rightarrow {\tt Human\ computer\ interaction\ (HCI);\ Laboratory\ experiments;}$

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Human-computer interaction, tangible interfaces, interaction design, physical computation, embodied cognition, spatial thinking, geospatial modeling

ACM Reference Format:

Brendan A. Harmon, Anna Petrasova, Vaclav Petras, Helena Mitasova, Ross K. Meentemeyer, Eugene H. Bressler, and Art Rice, 2016. Embodied Spatial Cognition in Tangible Computing. *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.* 9, 4, Article 39 (March 2010), 2 pages.

DOI: 0000001.0000001

Author's addresses: B. A. Harmon and A. Petrasova and V. Petras and H. Mitasova and R. K. Meentemeyer, Center for Geospatial Analytics, North Carolina State University; B. A. Harmon, E. H. Bressler and A. Rice, Department of Landscape Architecture, North Carolina State University.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2010 ACM. 1073-0516/2010/03-ART39 \$15.00

DOI: 0000001.0000001

Table I. Percent cells with concentrated flow

Method	Reference	Mean	Stdev		
Digital	0.89	1.12	1.64		
Hand	0.89	0.80	1.26		
Projected	0.89	0.77	1.87		
Difference	1.07	0.73	7.25		
Water flow	2.55	1.99	4.80		

Table II. Percent cells with ridges

Method	Reference	Mean	Stdev		
Digital	2.10	0.69	3.38		
Hand	2.10	4.00	4.18		
Projected	2.10	4.13	4.08		
Difference	4.27	3.46	3.25		
Water flow	1.18	3.72	4.12		

Table III. Percent cells with valleys

Method	Reference	Mean	Stdev
Digital	2.90	0.56	1.28
Hand	2.90	1.66	1.73
Projected	2.90	1.48	2.43
Difference	2.96	0.22	3.67
Water flow	4.77	3.86	6.29

1. RESULTS

Table IV. Percent cells

method	concentrated flow		ridges			valleys			
	reference	mean	stdev	reference	mean	stdev	reference	mean	stdev
digital	0.89	1.12	1.64	2.10	0.69	3.38	2.90	0.56	1.28
hand	0.89	0.80	1.26	2.10	4.00	4.18	2.90	1.66	1.73
augmented	0.89	0.77	1.87	2.10	4.13	4.08	2.90	1.48	2.43
difference	1.07	0.73	7.25	4.27	3.46	3.25	2.96	0.22	3.67
water flow	2.55	1.99	4.80	1.18	3.72	4.12	4.77	3.86	6.29

Table V. Minimum distance (ft)

method	concentrated flow			ridges			valleys		
	reference	mean	stdev	reference	mean	stdev	reference	mean	stdev
digital	0	12916	24164	0	110419	61542	0	98806	159530
hand	0	10162	16355	0	24005	26580	0	676450	71046
augmented	0	7121	7389	0	19599	18970	0	31401	43772
difference	0	25073	14236	0	35656	98100	0	114378	79671
water flow	0	28321	45308	0	37918	22562	0	26166	64814