

Embodied Spatial Cognition in Tangible Computing

BRENDAN ALEXANDER HARMON, North Carolina State University
 ANNA PETRASOVA, North Carolina State University
 VACLAV PETRAS, North Carolina State University
 HELENA MITASOVA, North Carolina State University
 ROSS KENDALL MEENTEMEYER, North Carolina State University
 EUGENE BRESSLER, North Carolina State University
 ART RICE, North Carolina State University

CCS Concepts: • **Human-centered computing** → **Human computer interaction (HCI); Laboratory experiments;**

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Human-computer interaction, tangible interfaces, interaction design, physical computation, embodied cognition, spatial thinking, geospatial modeling

ACM Reference Format:

Brendan A. Harmon, Anna Petrasova, Vaclav Petras, Helena Mitasova, Ross K. Meentemeyer, Eugene H. Bressler, and Art Rice, 2016. Embodied Spatial Cognition in Tangible Computing. *ACM Trans. Comput.-Hum. Interact.* 9, 4, Article 39 (March 2010), 2 pages.
 DOI: 0000001.0000001

Author's addresses: B. A. Harmon and A. Petrasova and V. Petras and H. Mitasova and R. K. Meentemeyer, Center for Geospatial Analytics, North Carolina State University; B. A. Harmon, E. H. Bressler and A. Rice, Department of Landscape Architecture, North Carolina State University.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2010 ACM. 1073-0516/2010/03-ART39 \$15.00
 DOI: 0000001.0000001

Table I. Percent cells with ridges

Method	Reference	Mean	Stdev
Difference	4.27	3.46	3.25

Table II. Percent cells

method	ridges		valleys	
	reference	mean	reference	mean
water flow	1.18	3.72	4.77	3.86

Table III. Minimum distance (ft)

method	concentrated flow		ridges		valleys	
	reference	mean	reference	mean	reference	mean
digital	0	12916	0	110419	0	98806
hand	0	10162	0	24005	0	676450
augmented	0	7121	0	19599	0	31401
difference	0	25073	0	35656	0	114378
water flow	0	28321	0	37918	0	26166

1. TABLES

Table IV. Percent cells

method	concentrated flow		ridges		valleys	
	reference	mean	reference	mean	reference	mean
digital	0.89	1.12	2.10	0.69	2.90	0.56
hand	0.89	0.80	2.10	4.00	2.90	1.66
augmented	0.89	0.77	2.10	4.13	2.90	1.48
difference	1.07	0.73	4.27	3.46	2.96	0.22
water flow	2.55	1.99	1.18	3.72	4.77	3.86

Table V. Minimum distance (ft)

method	concentrated flow		ridges		valleys	
	reference	mean	reference	mean	reference	mean
digital	0	12916	0	110419	0	98806
hand	0	10162	0	24005	0	676450
augmented	0	7121	0	19599	0	31401
difference	0	25073	0	35656	0	114378
water flow	0	28321	0	37918	0	26166