Presenters Name(s): Presentation Date: Evaluator Name: BestPoor 1. Professionalism
Evaluator Name: BestPoor 1. Professionalism 5 4 3 2 1 Was each member appropriately dressed? (business casual) – 3%
BestPoor 1. Professionalism 5 4 3 2 1 Was each member appropriately dressed? (business casual) – 3%
1. Professionalism54321Was each member appropriately dressed? (business casual) – 3%54321
Was each member appropriately dressed? (business casual) – 3%
Was each member of the group clearly identified? – 3%
vas caen member of the group clearly achterior. 570
Did each presenter conduct himself/herself in a professional manner? – 3%
Did each team member participate? – 3%
Did each team member seem well rehearsed and knowledgeable? – 3%
2. Organization of Presentation Material 5 4 3 2 1
Was the presentation well organized? – 3%
Did it follow a logically-connected format from the introduction to the
summary/conclusion? – 3%
3. Presentation Skills 5 4 3 2 1
Did the presenters make eye contact and speak clearly? – 3%
Was the presentation interesting? – 3%
Were points made clearly and concisely? – 3%
4. Quality of the Visual Aids 5 4 3 2 1
Were the visual aids clear and easy to understand? – 3%
Was the presentation text well written and free of grammatical/spelling errors? – 3%
Were the presentation graphics/diagrams professional looking? – 3%
5. Quality of the Problem Analysis 5 4 3 2 1
Are the requirements specific? – 15%
Are the requirements measureable? – 15%
Do the requirements completely describe the entire project? – 15%
If you were the customer, do you understand the proposed product that you will receive? – 10%
Is the project suitable for a capstone course? If not, please make comments in order the direction of the project can change in a timely fashion. -6%
Comments: