




# kifmm-rs: A Kernel-Independent Fast Multipole Framework in Rust

Srinath Kailasa <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, University College London, UK

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

## Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Open Journals](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

## Summary

We present kifmm-rs a Rust based implementation of the kernel independent Fast Multipole Method (kiFMM), with Python bindings, that serves as a implementation framework for kiFMMs ([Greengard & Rokhlin, 1987](#); [Ying et al., 2004](#)). The FMM is a key algorithm for scientific computing, commonly cited as one of the top algorithmic advances of the twentieth century ([Cipra, 2000](#)) due to its acceleration of the computation of  $N$ -body potential evaluation problems of the form,

$$\phi(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^N K(x_i, y_j) q(y_j) \quad (1)$$

from  $O(N^2)$  to  $O(N)$  or  $O(N \log(N))$ , where the potential  $\phi$  is evaluated at a set of target points,  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^M$ , due to a set of densities,  $\{q_j\}_{j=1}^N$  and  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the interaction kernel. Compatible kernels commonly arise from second-order elliptic partial differential equations, such as the Laplace kernel which models the electrostatic or gravitational potentials corresponding to a set of source points on a set of target points,

$$K(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi} \log\left(\frac{1}{\|x-y\|}\right), & (2D) \\ \frac{1}{4\pi\|x-y\|}, & (3D) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The FMM also finds a major application in the acceleration of Boundary Element Methods (BEM) for elliptic boundary value problems ([Steinbach, 2007](#)), which can be used to model a wide range of natural phenomena. Kernel independent variants of the FMM (kiFMMs) replace the analytical series approximations used to compress far-field interactions between clusters of source and target points, with approximation schemes that are based on kernel evaluations and extensions of the algorithm can also handle oscillatory problems specified by the Helmholtz kernel ([Engquist & Ying, 2010](#); [Ying et al., 2004](#)), with a common underlying algorithmic and software machinery that can be optimised in a kernel-independent manner.

The FMM splits (1) for a given target cluster into *near* and *far* components, the latter of which are taken to be *admissible*, i.e. amenable to approximation via an expansion scheme or alternative interpolation method such as ([Fong & Darve, 2009](#); [Ying et al., 2004](#)),

$$\phi(x_i) = \sum_{y_j \in \text{Near}(x_i)} K(x_i, y_j) q_j + \sum_{y_j \in \text{Far}(x_i)} K(x_i, y_j) q_j \quad (3)$$

the near component evaluated directly using the kernel function  $K(\cdot, \cdot)$ , and the far-field compressed via a *field translation*, referred to as the multipole to local (M2L) translation. This split, in addition to a recursive loop through a hierarchical data structure, commonly an octree

for three dimensional problems, gives rise to the linear/log-linear complexity of the FMM, as the number of far-field interactions which are admissible is limited by a constant depending on the problem dimension. The evaluation of the near field component commonly referred to as the particle to particle (P2P) operation. These two operations conspire to dominate runtimes in practical implementations. An approximate rule of thumb being that the P2P is compute bound, and the M2L is memory bound, acceleration attempts for FMM softwares often focus on reformulations that ensure the M2L has a high arithmetic intensity.

## Statement of need

Previous high-performance codes for computing kiFMMs include (Malhotra & Biros, 2015; Wang et al., 2021). However, both of these efforts are provided as templated C++ libraries with brittle optimisations specialised for x86 architectures, for the M2L and P2P operations that make it complex for users or new developers to exchange or experiment with new algorithmic or implementation ideas that improve runtime performance. Notably, neither softwares support building to Arm targets which are becoming more common as both commodity and HPC platforms. In both softwares, sub-components such as the octree data structures and kernel implementations are not readily re-usable for related algorithmic work by downstream users, and underlying software used in compute kernels such as libraries for BLAS, LAPACK, or the FFT are not readily exchangeable for experimentation with performance differences across hardware.

Our principle contributions with kifmm-rs that extend beyond current state of the art implementations are:

- A *highly portable* Rust-based data-oriented software design that allows us to easily test the impact of different algorithmic approaches and computational backends, such as BLAS libraries, for critical algorithmic sub-components such as the M2L and P2P operations as well as deploy to different CPU targets. We present the software for shared memory, with plans for distributed memory extension.
- *Competitive* single-node performance, especially in single precision, enabled by the optimisation of BLAS based M2L field translation, based entirely on level 3 operations with high arithmetic intensity that are well suited to current and future hardware architectures that prioritise minimal memory movement per flop.
- The ability to *process multiple sets of source densities* corresponding to the same particle distribution using (1), a common application in BEM.
- *Simple API*, with full Python bindings for non-specialist users. For basic usage all users need to specify are source and target coordinates, and associated source densities, with no temporary files.

kifmm-rs is a core dependency for the BEM library bempp-rs (Betcke & Scroggs, 2024), and we present a detailed exposition behind the algorithmic and implementation approach in (Kailasa et al., 2024). Currently limited to shared memory systems, distributed memory extensions are an area of active development.

## Software design

### Rust

As a platform for scientific computing, Rust's principal benefits are its build system Cargo enabling builds with as little as one terminal command from a user's perspective with dependencies specified in a modern TOML style, and its single centrally supported LLVM based compiler rustc that ensures consistent cross-platform performance. Compiled Rust code is compatible with the C application binary interface (ABI), which enables linking the extensive

77 existing scientific ecosystem. This also makes it easy to create language bindings for Rust  
78 projects from Python, C++, Fortran and other languages.

## 79 Data oriented design with traits

80 Rust's 'trait' system effectively allows us to write our code towards the 'data oriented design'  
81 paradigm. Which places optimal memory movement through cache hierarchies at the centre of  
82 a software's design. Resultantly, 'structs of arrays' are preferred to 'arrays of structs' -  
83 i.e. simple objects that wrap contiguously stored buffers in comparison to complex objects  
84 storing complex objects.

85 Traits are contracts between types, and types can implement multiple traits. Therefore we  
86 are able to compose complex polymorphic behaviour for our data structures, which consist  
87 of simple structs of arrays, by writing all interfaces using traits. In this way, we are able to  
88 easily compose sub-components of our software, such as field translation algorithms, explicit  
89 SIMD vectorisation strategies for different architectures (via the Pulp library ([Kazdadi, 2024](#))),  
90 single node and MPI distributed octrees, interaction kernels and underlying BLAS or LAPACK  
91 implementations (via the RLST library ([Bettecke, 2024](#))). This makes our software more akin to  
92 a framework for developing kiFMMs, which can take different flavours, and be used to explore  
93 the efficacy of different FMM approaches across hardware targets and software backends.

## 94 API

95 Our Rust APIs are simple, with the requirement for no temporary metadata files ([Wang et  
96 al., 2021](#)), or setup of ancillary data structures such as hierarchical trees ([Malhotra & Biros,  
97 2015](#)), required by the user. FMMs are simply parameterised using the builder pattern, with  
98 operator chaining to modulate the type of the runtime object. At its simplest, a user only  
99 specifies buffers associated with source and target particle coordinates, and associated source  
100 densities. Trait interfaces implemented for FMM objects allows users to access the associated  
101 objects such as PDE kernels and data such as multipole expansions.

```
use rand::{thread_rng, Rng};
use green_kernels::{laplace_3d::Laplace3dKernel, types::EvalType};
use kifmm::{BlasFieldTranslationSaRcmp, SingleNodeBuilder};
use kifmm::traits::tree::{FmmTree, Tree};
use kifmm::traits::fmm::Fmm;

fn main() {
    // Generate some random source/target/charge data
    let dim = 3;
    let nsources = 1000000;
    let ntargets = 2000000;

    // The number of vectors of source densities, FMM is configured from data
    let n = 1;
    let mut rng = thread_rng();
    let mut sources = vec![0f32; nsources * dim * n];
    let mut targets = vec![0f32; ntargets * dim * n];
    let mut charges = vec![0f32; nsources * n];

    sources.iter_mut().for_each(|s| *s = rng.gen());
    targets.iter_mut().for_each(|t| *t = rng.gen());
    charges.iter_mut().for_each(|c| *c = rng.gen());

    // Set tree parameters
    // Library refines tree till fewer than 'n_crit' particles per leaf box
```

```

let n_crit = Some(150);
// Alternatively, users can specify the tree depth they require
let depth = None;
// Choose to remove empty leaves
let prune_empty = true;

// Set FMM Parameters
// Can either set globally for whole tree, or level-by-level
let expansion_order = &[6];
// Parameters which control speed and accuracy of BLAS based field translation
let singular_value_threshold = Some(1e-5);
let check_surface_diff = Some(2);

// Create an FMM
let fmm = SingleNodeBuilder::new()
    .tree(&sources, &targets, n_crit, depth, prune_empty) // Create tree
    .unwrap()
    .parameters(
        &charges,
        expansion_order, // Set expansion order, by tree level or globally
        Laplace3dKernel::new(), // Choose kernel,
        EvalType::Value, // Choose potential or potential + deriv evaluation
        BlasFieldTranslationSaRcmp::new(
            singular_value_threshold,
            check_surface_diff
        ), // Choose field translation
    )
    .unwrap()
    .build()
    .unwrap();

// Run FMM
let times = fmm.evaluate(true); // Optionally time the operators

// Lookup potentials by leaf from target leaf boxes
let leaf_idx = 0;
let leaf = fmm.tree().target_tree().all_leaves().unwrap()[leaf_idx];
let leaf_potential = fmm.potential(&leaf);
}


```

Indeed, the full API is more extensive, including features that enable for variable expansion orders by level useful for high-frequency problems, accelerated pre-computations for the BLAS based field translations based on randomised SVDs, alternative field translation implementations, data visualisation with MayaVi and methods for file IO. Both [Python](#) and [Rust](#) examples can be found in the repository.

## Benchmarks

We benchmark our software against other leading implementations on a single node ([Malhotra & Biros, 2015](#); [Wang et al., 2021](#)) for the high performance x86 architecture in Table (1) for achieving relative errors,  $\epsilon$ , of  $1 \times 10^{-11}$  in double precision and  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  in single precision with respect to the direct evaluation of potential for particles contained in a given box for a benchmark problem of computing (1) for the three dimensional Laplace kernel (2) for problem sizes between 100,000 and 1,000,000 uniformly distributed source and target points, which are taken to be the same set. Optimal parameters were calculated for this setting using a

grid search, the results of which can be found in Appendix A of (Kailasa et al., 2024). We illustrate our software's performance using our BLAS based field translation method, which can handle multiple sets of source densities for a given set of source and target particles. This is particularly effective in single precision, where required data is smaller and in many high performance CPUs can fit in cache. We repeat the benchmark for the Arm architecture for kifmm-rs in Table (1), presented without comparison to competing software due to lack of support.



**Figure 1:** X86 benchmarks against leading kiFMM software for achieving relative error  $\epsilon$ , for kifmm-rs the number of sets of source densities being processed is given in brackets, and runtimes are then reported per FMM call.

**Table 1:** Hardware and software used in our benchmarks, for the Apple M1 Pro we report only the specifications of its 'performance' CPU cores. We report per core cache sizes for L1/L2 and total cache size for L3.

	Apple M1 Pro	AMD 3790X
Cache Line Size	128 B	64 B
L1i/L1d	192/128 KB	32/32 KB
L2	12 MB	512 KB
L3	12 MB	134 MB
Memory	16 GB	252 GB
Max Clock Speed	3.2 GHz	3.7 GHz
Sockets/Cores/Threads	1/8/8	1/32/64
Architecture	Arm V8.5	x86
BLAS	Apple Accelerate	Open BLAS
LAPACK	Apple Accelerate	Open BLAS
FFT	FFTW	FFTW
Threading	Rayon	Rayon

## Acknowledgements

Srinath Kailasa is supported by EPSRC Studentship 2417009

## References

Betcke, T. (2024). *RLST: A linear algebra library in rust*. <https://github.com/linalg-rs/rlst>; GitHub.

- 127 Betcke, T., & Scroggs, M. (2024). *Bempp-rs: Boundary element methods in rust*. <https://github.com/bempp/bempp-rs>
- 128
- 129 Cipra, B. A. (2000). The best of the 20th century: Editors name top 10 algorithms. *SIAM*
- 130 *News*, 33(4), 1–2.
- 131 Engquist, B., & Ying, L. (2010). Fast directional algorithms for the helmholtz kernel. *Journal*
- 132 *of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 234(6), 1851–1859.
- 133 Fong, W., & Darve, E. (2009). The black-box fast multipole method. *Journal of Computational*
- 134 *Physics*, 228(23), 8712–8725. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2009.08.031>
- 135 Greengard, L., & Rokhlin, V. (1987). A fast algorithm for particle simulations. *Journal of*
- 136 *Computational Physics*, 73(2), 325–348. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991\(87\)90140-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9991(87)90140-9)
- 137 Kailasa, S., Betcke, T., & El-Kazdadi, S. (2024). Designing kernel independent fast multipole
- 138 methods for modern architectures. *Submitted To SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*.
- 139 Kazdadi, S. E. (2024). *Pulp: A safe abstraction over SIMD instructions*. [https://github.com/](https://github.com/sarah-ek/pulp)
- 140 [sarah-ek/pulp](https://github.com/sarah-ek/pulp); GitHub.
- 141 Malhotra, D., & Biros, G. (2015). PVFMM: A Parallel Kernel Independent FMM for Particle
- 142 and Volume Potentials. *Commun. Comput. Phys.*, 18(3), 808–830. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.4208/cicp.020215.150515sw)
- 143 [4208/cicp.020215.150515sw](https://doi.org/10.4208/cicp.020215.150515sw)
- 144 Steinbach, O. (2007). *Numerical approximation methods for elliptic boundary value problems:*
- 145 *Finite and boundary elements*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- 146 Wang, T., Yokota, R., & Barba, L. A. (2021). ExaFMM: A high-performance fast multipole
- 147 method library with c++ and python interfaces. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 6(61),
- 148 3145.
- 149 Ying, L., Biros, G., & Zorin, D. (2004). A kernel-independent adaptive fast multipole
- 150 algorithm in two and three dimensions. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 196(2), 591–626.
- 151 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2003.11.021>