MapReduce and Transactions

Module Objectives

In this module, you will look at:

- MapReduce, DataSets and Transactions
- Key difference of MapReduce in Transactions
- Failure of a MapReduce Job

Transactions in MapReduce

For a MapReduce job that interacts with DataSets, the system creates a long-running transaction Similar to the transaction of a Flowlet or a Procedure, here are rules to follow:

- Reads can only see the writes of other transactions that were committed at the time the long-running transaction was started
- All writes of the long-running transaction are committed atomically, and only become visible to others after they are committed
- The long-running transaction can read its own writes

Key Difference of MapReduce in Transactions

However, there is a key difference between Flowlets and Procedures: long-running transactions do not participate in conflict detection

- If another transaction overlaps with the long-running transaction and writes to the same row, it will not cause a conflict but simply overwrite it
- It is not efficient to fail the long-running job based on a single conflict
- Because of this, it is not recommended to write to the same DataSet from both real-time and MapReduce programs
- It is better to use different DataSets, or at least ensure that the real-time processing writes to a disjoint set of columns

What Happens If A Job Fails?

Important:

MapReduce framework will reattempt a task (Mapper or Reducer) if it fails

If the task is writing to a DataSet, the reattempt of the task will most likely repeat the writes that were already performed in the failed attempt

Highly advisable that all writes performed by MapReduce programs be idempotent

Module Summary

You should now understand:

- MapReduce, DataSets and Transactions
- Key difference of MapReduce in Transactions
- Failure of a MapReduce Job

Module Completed