Introduction to Type Inference

Brian Hurt

22 April 2015

Why you care

- ► Forms the basis for most static type systems (Haskell, Ocaml, Scala, Swift, etc.)
- Increasingly used in optional type systems (Typed Racket, Clojure's core.typed, C++'s auto, static analysis tools like Coverity, etc.)
- ▶ The basis for more advanced type system features
- ► Helps in understanding otherwise cryptic error messages

What this talk does

- Introduces a simple subset of Haskell called SiML
- Creates a type inference/type checking algorithm for it
- Concurrently introduces the notation used by the research (the "Natural Deduction Style")

This code is not how GHC really works, however...

Technobabble

SiML is an enriched lambda caculus on which we perform a modified and simplified Hindley-Milner-Damas algorithm.

```
data Expr a =
    Const Const
    | Var Var
    | If (Expr a) (Expr a) (Expr a)
    | Apply (Expr a) (Expr a)
    | Lambda Var (Expr a)
    | Let Var (Expr a) (Expr a)
    | LetRec [ (Var, (Expr a)) ]
            (Expr a)
    | Typed (Expr a) (Type a)
    deriving (Read, Show, Eq, Ord)
```

```
instance Functor Expr where ... instance Foldable Expr where ...
```

```
type Var = String

data Const =
    ConstInt Integer
    | ConstBool Bool
    deriving (Read, Show, Eq, Ord)
```

```
data Type a =
    TBool
    | TInt
    | TFun Type Type
    | TVar a
    deriving (Read, Show, Eq, Ord)
instance Functor Type where ...
instance Foldable Type where ...
```

Basic Intuition

The basic intuition:

The structure of the code itself imposes constraints on the types.

We can use these constraints to determine the type.

Basic Intuition

For example, given:

if e_1 then e_2 else e_3 Where:

 $e_1: t_1 \qquad e_2: t_2 \qquad e_3: t_3$

Basic Intuition

Then we know that:

$$t_1 = Bool$$

 $t_2 = t_3 =$ type of the if statement

The "natural deduction style" of logical systems.

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: t_1 \dashv e: t_2}{\Gamma \dashv \lambda x. e: t_1 \rightarrow t_2}$$

Don't Panic

If this is true
Then this is true

$$\frac{e_1: t_1 \quad e_2: t_2 \quad e_3: t_3 \quad t_1 = \mathsf{Bool} \quad t_2 = t \quad t_3 = t}{(\mathsf{if} \ e_1 \ \mathsf{then} \ e_2 \ \mathsf{else} \ e_3): t}$$

What is meant by:

$$t_1 = t_2$$

The mathematician means:

You can replace all occurrences of t_1 with t_2 .

So you can replace t_1 with Bool, and t_2 and t_3 with t:

```
\frac{e_1:\mathsf{Bool}\qquad e_2:t\qquad e_3:t}{\left(\mathsf{if}\ e_1\ \mathsf{then}\ e_2\ \mathsf{else}\ e_3\right):t}
```

The programmer means:

Change the state of the system so that $t_1 = t_2$.

```
type Matching a = ...
    instance Monad Matching where
    unify :: Type Var -> Type Var
             -> Matching (Type Var)
    unify = undefined
So t_1 = t_2 becomes unify t1 t2.
```

Type Inference: If

Type Inference: Constants

Integer and boolean constants have their obvious types.

True : Bool False : Bool

0: Int 1: Int ...

Type Inference: Constants

```
typeInfer (Const (ConstInt _)) =
    return TInt
typeInfer (Const (ConstBool _)) =
    return TBool
```

Type Inference: Constants

Type application is also obvious:

$$\frac{f:t_1\to t_2 \qquad x:t_1}{(f\ x):t_2}$$

Type Inference: Application

```
typeInfer (Apply f x) = do
    tf <- typeInfer f
    tx <- typeInfer x
    case tf of
         TFun t1 t2 \rightarrow do
             _ <- unify t1 tx</pre>
             return t2
         -> fail "Not a function!"
```

Type Inference: Typed Expressions

Typed expressions are also obvious:

$$\frac{x:t}{(x::t):t}$$

Type Inference: Typed Expressions

```
typeInfer (Typed x t) = do
    tx <- typeInfer x
    unify tx t</pre>
```

Type Inference: Var and Let

Consider...

```
typeInfer (Var x) = ...
typeInfer (Let x e1 e2) = ...
```

Type Inference: Var and Let

We need to pass around a map of variables to their types.

Type Inference: Var and Let

```
typeInfer :: [ (Var, Type Var) ]
                -> Expr Var
                -> Matching (Type Var)
typeInfer ctx (Var x) =
    case (lookup x ctx) of
        Just t -> return t
        Nothing -> fail "Unknown variable"
typeInfer ctx (Let x e1 e2) = do
    t1 <- typeInfer ctx e1
    typeInfer ((x, t1) : ctx) e2
```

Type Inference: If and Constants (v2.0)

```
typeInfer ctx (If e1 e2 e3) = do
    t1 <- typeInfer ctx e1
    t2 <- typeInfer ctx e2
    t3 <- typeInfer ctx e3
    _ <- unify t1 TBool
    unify t2 t3

typeInfer _ (Const (ConstInt _)) =
    return TInt

typeInfer _ (Const (ConstBool _)) =
    return TBool</pre>
```

Natural Deduction Style: Contexts

We use Γ to represent our context, and \dashv to mean "evaluate the right hand side with the context on the left":

$$\frac{\Gamma \dashv e_1 : Bool \qquad \Gamma \dashv e_2 : t \qquad \Gamma \dashv e_3 : t}{\Gamma \dashv (\text{if } e_1 \text{ then } e_2 \text{ else } e_3) : t}$$

Natural Deduction Style: Contexts

$$\frac{x:t\in\Gamma}{\Gamma\dashv x:t}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \dashv e_1 : t_1 \qquad \Gamma, x : t_1 \dashv e_2 : t_2}{\Gamma \dashv (\text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e2) : t_2}$$

Type Inference: Lambda

This works great for let (where we know the value being bound, and therefor the type).

But what about lambda?

We need to know the type of the argument before we can infer the type of the body- except it's how the argument is used which determines it's type! For example:

$$(\x -> x + 1)$$

With math, we can just assume it exists:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: t_1 \dashv e: t_2}{\Gamma \dashv (\lambda x. e): t_1 \rightarrow t_2}$$

With code, we can't pull this stunt.

```
typeInfer ctx (Lambda x e) = do
   t1 <- what goes here?
   t2 <- typeInfer ((x, t1) : ctx) e
   return (TFun t1 t2)</pre>
```

By the way, does this expression look familiar?

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: t_1 \dashv e: t_2}{\Gamma \dashv \lambda x. e: t_1 \rightarrow t_2}$$

(hint: Don't Panic)

The Two Types of Type Variables

Type Variables: Universal

Definition

A universal type variable can be any type.

Also known as: rigid type variables, skolem type variables.

Type Variables: Universal

Universal type variables are the "normal" type variables:

Type Variables: Existential

Definition

A existential type variable represents a specific type that is not yet known. The type is known when the type variable is unified with some other type. Also known as: flexible type variables.

Type Variables: Universal

You don't see existential type variables in Haskell, but other languages do display them:

Type Variables

Universal type variable: this type could be any type in the whole wide universe.

Existential type variable: this type exists, but we don't know what it is yet.

Existential type variables solve our Lambda problem.

```
typeInfer ctx (Lambda x e) = do
    t1 <- allocExistVar
    t2 <- typeInfer ((x, t1) : ctx) e
    return (TFun t1 t2)</pre>
```

Of course, this requires some type signature changes:

```
type EVar = ...
type TVar = Either Var EVar
allocExistVar :: Matching (Type TVar)
allocExistVar = undefined
unify :: Type TVar -> Type TVar
         -> Matching (Type TVar)
unify = undefined
typeInfer :: [ (Var, Type TVar) ]
                -> Expr Var
                -> Matching TVar
```

Existential type variables also solves the problem with let rec:

```
typeInfer ctx (LetRec defns e) = do
        ts <- mapM getEVar defns
        let ctx' = ts ++ ctx
        mapM_ (inferBody ctx') defns
        typeInfer ctx' e
    where
        getEVar(v, _) = do
            t <- allocExistVar
            return (v, t)
        inferBody c (_, e1) =
            typeInfer c e1
```

Type Variables: Two Problems

Two Problems

Type Variables: Problem 1: Typed Expressions

With Typed expressions, the AST gives us Type Var, but we need Type TVar to pass in to unify.

Note: the types given in the AST can only contain universal type variables!

Type Variables: Problem 1: Typed Expressions

Using fmap Left converts a Type Var into a Type TVar (making all variables universal):

```
typeInfer (Typed x t) = do
    tx <- typeInfer x
    unify tx (fmap Left t)</pre>
```

Type Variables: Problem 2: Using Variables

Consider map:

Inside map, a and b are universal type variables, and can not be unified with any other type.

But when we call map, they can be any type we want.

Type Variables: Problem 2: Using Variables

When we use a variable whose type has universal type variables, the universal type variables need to be converted into existential type variables.

But, all instances of the same universal type variable need to map to the same existential type variable.

Type Variables: Problem 2: Using Variables

```
import Data.List(nub)
import Data.Foldable(toList)
import Data.Maybe(fromJust)
typeInfer ctx (Var x) =
    case (lookup x ctx) of
        Just t -> do
            let uvars = nub (toList t)
            evars <- mapM (const allocExistVar)</pre>
                                          uvars
            let varMap = zip uvars evars
            return (fmap (fixVar varMap) t)
        Nothing -> fail "Unknown variable"
    where
        fixVar varMap v =
            fromJust (lookup v varMap)
```

Unify

```
data Type a =
    TBool
    | TInt
    | TFun Type Type
    | TVar a
unify :: Type TVar -> Type TVar
         -> Matching (Type TVar)
unify = undefined
```

The easy cases:

```
unify TBool TBool = return TBool
unify TInt TInt = return TInt
```

$$t_1
ightarrow t_2 = t_3
ightarrow t_4$$
 implies: $t_1 = t_3$ && $t_2 = t_4$ n t1 t2) (TFun t3 t4) = do

unify (TFun t1 t2) (TFun t3 t4) = do
 t5 <- unify t1 t3
 t6 <- unify t2 t4
 return (TFun t5 t6)</pre>

Two universal type variables only unify if they're the same type variable.

Interesting Question:

What is the scope of a universal type variable? That is: when does one a in one type expression match represent the same (polymorphic) type as another a in some other type expression?

Existential Types

The rules for unifying existential types are:

- Existential type variables can be assigned another type at most once.
- ▶ If an existential type variablehas been assigned another type previously, we unify with that type instead.
- Otherwise, we assign the other type to the existential type variable.
- It is possible for both types to be existential type variables which have not been assigned previously, in which case we assign one to the other.

We need some way to set an existential type variable to a given type:

```
setEVar :: EVar -> Type TVar -> Matching ()
setEVar = undefined
```

And we need a way to get the value it was set to (if it was set previously):

```
getEVar :: EVar -> Matching (Maybe (Type TVar))
getEVar = undefined
```

```
unify (TVar (Right a)) t2 = do
  mt1 <- getEVar a
  case mt1 of
    Some t1 -> unify t1 t2
    None -> do
    setEVar a t2
    return t2
```

```
unify t1 (TVar (Right b)) = do
  mt2 <- getEVar b
  case mt2 of
     Some t2 -> unify t1 t2
     None -> do
     setEVar b t1
     return t1
```

All other patterns are type errors!

```
unify _ _ = fail "Type error"
```

```
import Data.Default
type EVar = Int
data MState = MState {
    evarCounter :: Int,
    evarMappings :: [ (EVar, Type TVar) ]
type Matching a = State MState a
instance Default MState where
    def = MState 0 []
```

```
allocExistVar :: Matching (Type TVar)
allocExistVar = do
   mstate <- get
   let evar = evarCounter mstate
   put (mstate { evarCounter = evar + 1 })
   return (Type (Right evar))</pre>
```

```
getEVar :: EVar -> Matching (Maybe (Type TVar))
getEVar evar = do
    mstate <- get
    let mappings = evarMappings mstate
    return (lookup evar mappings)</pre>
```

One Last Problem

How do you prevent existential type variables from "leaking" into a global type?

One Last Problem

Before a type can be promoted to the global scope:

- ▶ If an existential type variable has been assigned another type, replace the existential type variable with the assigned type.
- ▶ If an existential type variable has not been assigned another type, generate a new, unique universal type variable and assign it to the existential type.

Repeat the above until the type no longer has any existential type variables in it.

Type Variables: Universal

Definition

The act of replacing an unassigned existential type variable with a new, unique universal type variable is called Skolemization (named after Thoralf Skolem).

Summary

We now have function:

Which can be used for both type inference and type checking.

Comming soon: working code in my github repo.

Summary

In addition, formula like the following aren't so scary any more:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: t_1 \dashv e: t_2}{\Gamma \dashv \lambda x. e: t_1 \rightarrow t_2}$$

Summary

Where to go from here:

"Types and Programming Languages" Benjamin C. Pierce

Then start reading papers.

fini