**Docket number:** 25-CCB-0094

April 21, 2025

Alan Lezama Acharte		Bad Bunny
CLAIMANT	v	RESPONDENT

## ORDER DISMISSING CLAIM

The Copyright Claims Board issued orders on February 10, 2025 (in docket 25-CCB-0029) and March 21, 2025 (in docket 25-CCB-0094) that notified the claimant that the claim and amended claim, respectively, did not comply with the applicable statutory and regulatory requirements for filings before the Board. On April 16, 2025, the claimant filed a second amended claim, which was the final opportunity to file a compliant claim. 17 U.S.C. § 1506(f)(1)(B); 37 C.F.R. § 224.1(d). A Copyright Claims Attorney reviewed the second amended claim and determined that it is still noncompliant for several reasons:

- it does not provide facts that indicate that the respondent ever had access to claimant's work, or that any allegedly infringing work is substantially similar to material from claimant's work that copyright protects;
- it does not clearly describe any infringing use that the respondent made of the allegedly infringed work; and
- it claims infringement by an online service provider (OSP) without affirming, as 17 U.S.C. § 1506(f)(1)(C)(1) requires, that the OSP failed to expeditiously comply with a takedown notice.

The Copyright Claims Attorney referred the claim to a Copyright Claims Officer to confirm that it does not comply with the applicable requirements and that this proceeding should, therefore, be dismissed without prejudice. 17 U.S.C. § 1506(f)(1)(B). The Copyright Claims Officer reviewed the second amended claim and concurs with the finding of noncompliance. Based on the foregoing issues, which are set forth more fully in the February 10 and March 25 noncompliance orders, the Board finds that the second amended claim does not comply with the applicable requirements

Accordingly, the Board dismisses this claim without prejudice and closes this case. Dismissal without prejudice means that the allegations may be raised again by filing a new claim, if there is no agreement with the respondent to the contrary. If a compliant claim is filed—identifying infringing activity by the respondent, presenting facts that support access and substantial similarity, and providing the affirmation required if the claim is brought against an OSP—then the claim may proceed. Information about those topics is available at pages 16-17 of the <u>Starting an Infringement Claim</u> chapter of the <u>CCB Handbook</u>.