

# Inference and Synthesis of co-dimension one Bifurcations

Gregory Szep<sup>1</sup>, Neil Dalchau<sup>2</sup> and Attila Csikasz-Nagy<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*King's College London*, <sup>2</sup>*Microsoft Research Cambridge*

October 16, 2019

## 1 Introduction and Motivation

- qualitative equivalence more important
- fitting to time courses not appropriate
- gradient information makes optimisation tractable

## 2 Problem Statement

Suppose we would like to know the regions within parameter space  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^N$  where the steady state of a set of differential equations takes on a specified target form  $t(p)$  along a known parameter  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ . The target is a label  $t \in \{0, 1\}$  that indicates whether the system is monostable or multistable at given  $p$ . We begin with a known form for the differential equations for  $u \in \mathbb{R}^M$

$$\partial_t u = F(u|p, \theta) \tag{2.1}$$

and the set of steady states  $U(\theta) := \{(u, p) \in \mathbb{R}^{M+1} : F(u|p, \theta) = 0\}$  can be found in a local region numerically using pseudo-arclength continuation along  $u(s|\theta), p(s|\theta)$  [] where  $s$  parametrises the one co-dimensional curve – details in section 3. In order to perform an optimisation we need mapping from the states  $U(\theta)$  to an output that can be compared to  $t(p)$ . Section 4 motivates this mapping and constructs the objective function.

### 3 Pseudo-arclength Continuation

- predictor-corrector algorithm

### 4 Objective Function

First we note that although  $U(\theta)$  contains both  $u, p$  we are only interested in how many unique  $u$  there are for a given  $p$ . This can be done by taking a histogram of equally sized bins along  $p$ . Another way of getting a quantity that is proportional to count is by convolution  $*$  of  $p(s|\theta)$  along  $s$  with a symmetric kernel  $\phi(p|\alpha)$  with a bandwidth  $\alpha$  indicating bin width. This way we get a smooth and differentiable version of the histogram operation.

$$\phi(p|\alpha) * U(\theta) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(p - p(s|\theta) | \alpha) ds \quad (4.1)$$

The result is now proportional to count, but needs to be mapped to the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  in such a way that high count regions that indicate multi-stability are mapped to one, and low counts which indicate monostability are mapped to zero. For this a sigmoidal activation function  $\sigma(\frac{x-\mu}{\beta})$  with unknown smoothness  $\beta$  and threshold  $\mu$  will do. We are now ready to write down the objective function

$$\mathcal{J}(\theta | \alpha, \beta, \mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| t(p) - \sigma \left( \frac{\phi(p|\alpha) * U(\theta) - \mu}{\beta} \right) \right|^2 dp \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\theta$  are the parameters to be optimised and  $\alpha, \beta, \mu$  are hyper-parameters. Note that  $U(\theta)$  is the only  $\theta$  dependence in the expression. When we apply

$$\partial_{\theta} \mathcal{J} = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma' \partial_{\theta} \left( \frac{\phi(p|\alpha) * U(\theta) - \mu}{\beta} \right) dp \quad (4.3)$$

$$= -\frac{2}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma' \partial_{\theta} (\phi(p|\alpha) * U(\theta)) dp \quad (4.4)$$

$$= \frac{2}{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma' \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi' \partial_{\theta} p(s|\theta) ds dp \quad (4.5)$$

remarkably everything is differentiable including the algorithm in section 3. This gradient information can be used in a gradient descent optimization to minimize  $\mathcal{J}(\theta)$ .

## 5 Normal Forms

- saddle-node, pitchfork, transcritical
- optimisation landscape with changing hyperparams
- benchmarks against other algos

## 6 Chemical Reaction Networks

- toggle switch
- cell cycle
- application to structure  $\rightarrow$  function (Luca)

## 7 Conclusions and Extensions

- hyperparam optimization
- hopf bifurcations
- pattern formation in pdes