

Radiative Processes in Astrophysics / Problem Set #5 / Answers

1. The Rosseland mean absorption is the applicable mean absorption throughout most of a star:

$$\alpha_R^{\text{ff}} = \frac{\int d\nu \partial B_\nu / \partial T}{\int d\nu (1/\alpha_\nu^{\text{ff}}) \partial B_\nu / \partial T} \quad (1)$$

Use the formula for free-free absorption:

$$\alpha_\nu^{\text{ff}} \propto n_e n_i \frac{1}{\nu^3} [1 - \exp(-h\nu/kT)] T^{-1/2} \quad (2)$$

to derive the dependence of α_R^{ff} on temperature. You do not have to derive the coefficient of the dependence. Note there is an annoying integral you will encounter, whose evaluation you do not have to do explicitly. This dependence is known as *Kramer's Opacity Law* and is relevant in low-to-medium mass stars.

The scaling with T of the numerator of the formula for α_R^{ff} can be derived as follows using the Stefan-Boltzmann law:

$$\int d\nu \frac{\partial B}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \int d\nu B \propto \frac{\partial}{\partial T} T^4 \propto T^3 \quad (3)$$

The denominator can be simplified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\nu \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_\nu^{\text{ff}}} \right) \frac{\partial B}{\partial T} &\propto \int d\nu T^{1/2} \nu^3 (1 - \exp(-h\nu/kT))^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \left(\frac{2h\nu^3 c^2}{\exp(h\nu/kT) - 1} \right) \\ &\propto \int d\nu T^{1/2} \nu^6 (1 - \exp(-h\nu/kT))^{-1} \left(\frac{(h\nu/kT^2) \exp(h\nu/kT)}{(\exp(h\nu/kT) - 1)^2} \right) \\ &\propto \int d\nu T^{-3/2} \nu^7 f\left(\frac{h\nu}{kT}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where we encapsulate the dependence on $h\nu/kT$ in the function f , and then we can perform the substitution $x = h\nu/kT$:

$$\int d\nu \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_\nu^{\text{ff}}} \right) \frac{\partial B}{\partial T} \propto T^{-3/2} T^8 \int dx x^7 f(x) \propto T^{13/2} \quad (5)$$

Then (reinserting the dependence on number densities) the Rosseland mean is:

$$\alpha_R^{\text{ff}} \propto n_e n_i T^{-7/2} \quad (6)$$

Kramer's Law is usually expressed in terms of the opacity $\kappa = \alpha/\rho \propto \rho T^{-7/2}$.

2. Consider a massive cluster of galaxies, with mass $M \sim 10^{15} M_{\odot}$ (total) and radius $R \sim 1$ Mpc. Assume the cluster has the cosmic baryon fraction ($\sim 15\%$) and that most of the gas is distributed in a large spherical region the size of the cluster.

- (a) Estimate the number density of protons and electrons.

In terms of the total mass, radius, and the cosmic baryon fraction $\Omega_b/\Omega_m \approx 0.15$ we have the number density:

$$n = \frac{N}{V} = \frac{M(\Omega_b/\Omega_m)/m_p}{4\pi R^3/3} = \frac{2 \times 10^{71}}{10^{74} \text{ cm}^3} \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3} \quad (7)$$

- (b) Use the virial theorem relating kinetic energy K and potential energy U ($U = -2K$) to find an order-of-magnitude temperature of the gas (go ahead and assume for this estimate that it is all hydrogen), and the energy in keV of photons at the exponential cutoff of free-free emission.

The potential energy associated with the gas will be of order:

$$U \sim -\frac{GMM_b}{R} \sim -\frac{\Omega_b}{\Omega_m} \frac{GM^2}{R} \sim -5 \times 10^{63} \text{ erg} \quad (8)$$

The total kinetic energy in the gas will be of order:

$$K = N \frac{3}{2} kT \quad (9)$$

and so:

$$T \sim -\frac{3U}{Nk} \sim 10^9 \text{ K} \quad (10)$$

Converting to $h\nu \sim kT$, we find $h\nu \sim 100$ keV. The temperature is an overestimate by about a factor of ten relative to a typical rich cluster.

- (c) Justify the assumption that the gas is fully ionized.

If collisions between free electrons and neutral atoms occur at all, the energies involved will be far higher than the 13.6 eV required to ionize the hydrogen. So virtually every such collision will result in an ionization.

The rate of collisions will be reasonably high. The typical velocity of a hydrogen atom would be:

$$v \sim \sqrt{\frac{kT}{m_p}} \sim 1,000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \quad (11)$$

and the cross-section is something like $\sigma = \pi a_0^2$, where $a_0 = 5.3 \times 10^{-9}$ cm is the Bohr radius. The rate of collisions is $n\sigma v \sim 2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ s}^{-1}$, or once every 1,000 years or so. So there is ample opportunity to ionize. The recombination rate will be very much lower, since most proton-electron encounters will be at energies far higher than 13.6 eV.

- (d) Compare the optical depth to Thomson scattering in this system to the optical depth to free-free absorption for photons with $h\nu \sim kT$. What is the total effective optical depth? Recall the results we discussed earlier in the semester regarding optical depth in the case of absorption and scattering! Is the system optically thin?

The mean free path for Thomson scattering is:

$$l = \frac{1}{n\sigma_T} \sim 10^{27} \text{ cm} \sim 300 \text{ Mpc} \quad (12)$$

and thus the optical depth $\tau_s \sim R/l \sim 0.003$, indicating that it is very very optically thin to scattering.

Using the formula in R&L for the free-free absorption in cgs units:

$$\alpha_\nu^{\text{ff}} = (3.7 \times 10^8) T^{-1/2} Z^2 n_e n_i \nu^{-3} (1 - e^{-h\nu/kT}) \bar{g}_{\text{ff}} \quad (13)$$

we find for $Z = 1$, $\bar{g}_{\text{ff}} = 1$, and $h\nu = kT$ that $\alpha_\nu \sim 10^{-60} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, which means the mean free path $l \sim 10^{60} \text{ cm} \sim 10^{35} \text{ Mpc}$, i.e. extremely large, leading to a very close to zero optical depth to absorption ($\tau_a \sim 10^{-35}$).

Clearly the cluster is extremely optically thin to its own thermal X-rays. But for completeness the “effective” optical depth is:

$$\tau_* \approx \sqrt{\tau_a(\tau_a + \tau_s)} \sim \sqrt{\tau_a \tau_s} \sim 10^{-16}. \quad (14)$$

- (e) If the metallicity of the gas is roughly solar (0.02 by mass), how important is the contribution of higher mass ions likely to be to the level of free-free emission? Give just an order of magnitude estimate (and just assume all the metals are oxygen for simplicity).

The emission scales as follows (assuming the Gaunt factor differences are negligible):

$$j_\nu \propto Z^2 n_e n_i \quad (15)$$

Assuming all the metals are ^{16}O , the factor Z^2 will increase the emission by a factor 64. The number density of oxygen ions will be about 0.001 the number density of protons. There will be up to 8 time more free electrons per ion than for ions, but that is still only a fractional difference of about 0.01. So overall the increase in j_ν will be 5–10%, or fairly modest.