

# Generating All $k$ -subsets Of a $n$ -set

Blaž Sovdat\*

March 20, 2014

## Abstract

This note describes a simple algorithm for generating all subsets of size  $k$  of a given set of  $n$  elements.

## 1 Introduction

One of the fundamental algorithmic combinatorial problems is generation of all subsets of a given set. Many efficient algorithms are known for this problem. In this note we restrict ourselves to generating all subsets of size  $k$  of a given set of size  $n$ .

In the next section we describe a very simple algorithm for generating all  $\binom{n}{k}$  subsets of size  $k$ , denoted  $k$ -subsets, of a given  $n$ -set in lexicographic order,<sup>1</sup>. We also include C implementation of the algorithm, assuming, without loss of generality, that a  $n$ -set is  $[n] := \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . (We can always use elements of  $[n]$  as indices of some other “set” of size  $n$ .)

## 2 Simple algorithm for generating all $k$ -subsets of a $n$ -set

In this section we give a simple algorithm that, given a  $n$ -set, finds all its  $k$ -subsets.

### 2.1 Informal description.

We now give intuitively appealing description of algorithm 1 using binary string  $\alpha$  with  $k$  ones and  $n-k$  zeros, with nonzero indices of  $\alpha$  indicating members of the  $k$ -subset. The problem of generating all  $k$ -subsets of  $n$ -set is the one of generating all binary strings  $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}^n$  of length  $n$  with exactly  $k$  ones. We can think our algorithm starts with string  $\alpha_0 := 11\dots 10\dots 00$  with  $k$  initial ones, followed by  $n-k$  zeros. (The algorithm does not store  $\alpha$  explicitly; see the next subsection.)

Suppose we are in  $m$ -th iteration and that  $\alpha_m$  is the current  $k$ -subset. We then find the rightmost nonzero index  $i$  (the largest  $i$  such that  $\alpha_m[i] = 1$  and there is  $j > i$  with  $\alpha_m[j] = 0$ ) that we can move to the right. We now move this element to the right (the next configuration will thus be  $\alpha_{m+1}[i] = 0$  and  $\alpha_{m+1}[i+1] = 1$ ) and shift all elements  $\ell > i$  “as left as possible”. (See example below.) The resulting  $\alpha_{m+1}$  represents a new  $k$ -subset.

We keep repeating the above step until  $\alpha_m[n-1] = \alpha_m[n-2] = \dots = \alpha_m[n-k+1] = 1$ , which is (lexicographically) the last  $k$ -subset.

The following example illustrates how our algorithm would generate all 2-subsets of a 5-set.

---

\*Email: [blaz.sovdat@gmail.com](mailto:blaz.sovdat@gmail.com)

<sup>1</sup>Lexicographic order from the viewpoint of indices.

**Example.** Consider set  $S := \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  and suppose we want to generate all pairs of element from  $S$ . Below are  $\alpha$ 's that the algorithm would generate, with  $S_\alpha$  being the set that  $\alpha$  represents. (For now ignore the array  $p$ ; it is what algorithm actually keeps during its execution; see the next subsection.)

- Step 1)  $\alpha = 11000$ ;  $p = [0, 1]$ ;  $S_\alpha = \{a, b\}$ .  
Step 2)  $\alpha = 10100$ ;  $p = [0, 2]$ ;  $S_\alpha = \{a, c\}$ .  
Step 3)  $\alpha = 10010$ ;  $p = [0, 3]$ ;  $S_\alpha = \{a, d\}$ .  
Step 4)  $\alpha = 10001$ ;  $p = [0, 4]$ ;  $S_\alpha = \{a, e\}$ .  
Step 5)  $\alpha = 01100$ ;  $p = [1, 2]$ ;  $S_\alpha = \{b, c\}$ .  
Step 6)  $\alpha = 01010$ ;  $p = [1, 3]$ ;  $S_\alpha = \{b, d\}$ .  
Step 7)  $\alpha = 01001$ ;  $p = [1, 4]$ ;  $S_\alpha = \{b, e\}$ .  
Step 8)  $\alpha = 00110$ ;  $p = [2, 3]$ ;  $S_\alpha = \{c, d\}$ .  
Step 9)  $\alpha = 00101$ ;  $p = [2, 4]$ ;  $S_\alpha = \{c, e\}$ .  
Step 10)  $\alpha = 00011$ ;  $p = [3, 4]$ ;  $S_\alpha = \{d, e\}$ .

## 2.2 Formal description

For its working, the algorithm does not need to store  $\alpha$  explicitly. It suffices to keep indices of nonzero entries in an array  $p$  of size  $k$ .

---

**Algorithm 1** Generating all  $k$ -subsets of a given  $n$ -set in lexicographic order.

---

```

1: Let  $p := [0, 1, \dots, k-1]$ .
2: while true do ▷ Forever
3:   Visit current  $k$ -subset  $\{S_{p[i]} \mid 0 \leq i < k\}$ .
4:   if  $p[0] = n - k$  then break ▷ This was the last subset; we are done
5:   Find  $i := \max\{i \mid p[i] + k - i \neq n\}$ , the rightmost element we can still move to the right.
6:   Set  $j := 2$  and  $r := p[i]$ , and increment  $p[i] := p[i] + 1$ .
7:   for  $i < \ell < k$  do
8:     Set  $p[\ell] := r + j$  and then increment  $j := j + 1$ . ▷ Also note that we have
        $j = 2 + (\ell - (i + 1))$ 

```

---

## 2.3 Analysis

We now give simple analysis of the above algorithm. TODO: Proof of correctness; running time.

## 2.4 Implementation.

Listing 1 is C implementation of algorithm 1.

Listing 1: C implementation of algorithm 1

```

1  #include<stdlib.h>
2  #include<stdio.h>
3
4  void subs(int n, int k);
5
6  int main(int argc, char **argv)
7  {
8      if(argc != 3) return 1;
9      int n, k;
10
11     n = atoi(argv[1]); k = atoi(argv[2]);
12     subs(n, k);
13
14     return 0;
15 }
16
17 void subs(int n, int k)
18 {
19     int *p = (int *)malloc(sizeof(int)*k);
20     int i, j, r;
21
22     for(i = 0; i < k; ++i) p[i] = i; // initialize our "set"
23     // the algorithm
24     while(1)
25     { // visit the current k-subset
26         for(i = 0; i < k; ++i)
27             printf("%d ", p[i]);
28         printf("\n");
29
30         if(p[0] == n-k) break; // if this is the last k-subset, we are done
31
32         for(i = k-1; i >= 0 && p[i]+k-i == n; --i); // find the right element
33         r = p[i]; ++p[i]; j = 2; // exchange them
34         for(++i; i < k; ++i, ++j) p[i] = r+j; // move them
35     }
36     free(p);
37 }

```

Compile the above code with `g++ -O3 subs.c -o subs`.

## Acknowledgements

I discovered this algorithm on a long train ride home in 2011, but only now decided to write it up as a note. I thank Uroš Čibej for pointing out that essentially the same algorithm is already described in Knuth's TAOCP Vol. 4, Fasc. 3.