

resevol: an R package for spatially explicit models of pesticide resistance given evolving pest genomes

A. Bradley Duthie¹², et al.

[1] Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling, UK [2]
alexander.duthie@stir.ac.uk, Biological and Environmental Sciences 3A149 University of
Stirling Stirling, FK9 4LA, UK

Abstract

1. Pesticide resistance is a serious problem.
2. We introduce the resevol R package, which runs individual-based models of pests with quantitative and covarying traits and three mating systems.
3. Simulations are on a landscape where crops and pesticides are changed.
4. We give an example of simulating pests with covarying traits
5. The resevol R package is open source under GNU Public License; source code and documents are freely available on GitHub.

Key words: *pest management, food security, ecological modelling*

1 Introduction

- Pest resistance to pesticide is a serious wicked problem that affects food security.
- A quantitative genetic approach to pesticide resistance to maintaining resistance.
- The resevol R package addresses two problems: genetic architecture and landscape selection
- The R package can facilitate general questions and targetted ones

The resevol package uses individual-based modelling and a quantitative genetics approach to simulate the evolution of a pest population on a changing landscape. A focal goal of the software is to model traits with a pre-specified, but potentially evolving, covariance structure. To achieve this goal, each individual has a genome with L loci that underlie a set of T potentially evolving traits. Pleiotropic loci can vary in their effects on the direction and magnitude of polygenic trait, causing population-wide trait covariance to arise mechanistically from the underlying genetic architecture of individuals. To achieve this, two separate steps are necessary. First, a genetic algorithm is used to find a network of internal nodes that map standard random normal loci values to covarying traits. Values used to map loci to traits are incorporated into individual genomes. Second, a population of asexual or sexual individuals is initialised and simulated on a spatially explicit landscape separated into distinct units (e.g., farms). Land units can apply one of up to 10 pesticides, and one of up to 10 crops; pesticides and crops rotate independently in a pre-specified way over time. The resevol package can thereby model complex and evolving traits in agricultural pests over realistic landscapes that undergo different pesticide use and crop regimes.

2 Covarying pest quantitative traits

The first step of simulation with the resevol package is building individual genomes. This step is separate because building genomes is often time-consuming, and genomes that are built might need to be saved or inspected before actual simulation. High computation time is due to the mechanistic nature of how genomes and covarying traits are modelled. Instead of imposing a trait covariance structure directly, the objective is to use an evolutionary algorithm to find a network that maps standard random normal values (loci) to covarying values (traits). This is useful because it allows genomes to model potentially evolving physiological constraints, and trade-offs among traits, from the bottom up. Since multiple networks can potentially map loci to the same trait covariance structure, it is possible to replicate evolution with differently randomised genetic architectures. This approach to modelling individual genomes and traits thereby increases the complexity of questions that can be addressed for simulating evolution in agricultural pests.




Figure 1: Example network mapping loci (green circles) to traits (red diamonds) through an intermediate set of hidden layers (blue squares) in the `mine_gmatrix` function. Individual genomes in the `resevol` R package consist of standard random normal values for all loci, real values for black arrows linking nodes, and real values of traits. Values shown for loci and arrows are an example for illustration.

Conceptually, the relationship between individual genotypes and traits is defined by a network connecting loci to traits through a set of hidden internal nodes (Figure 1). Values for loci are randomly drawn from a standard normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Links between loci, internal nodes, and traits, can take any real value and are represented by black arrows in Figure 1. Traits can take any real value, and are calculated as the summed effects of all preceding nodes (i.e., the blue squares immediately to the left of the traits in Figure 1). Mathematically, loci are represented by row a vector of length L . Effects of loci on the first layer of internal nodes (black arrows emanating from loci in Figure 1) are represented by an $L \times T$ matrix, and transitions between internal nodes, and between the last set of internal nodes and the final traits, are represented by $T \times T$ matrices. For the example in Figure 1,

$$(0.1, -0.1, 0.2) \begin{pmatrix} 0.4 & 0.1 \\ -1.2 & -0.6 \\ 0.2 & 2.2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1.3 & -0.5 \\ -0.1 & 0.0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2.2 & 0.9 \\ 1.6 & 0.8 \end{pmatrix} = (0.2998, 0.1081).$$

Values mapping loci to traits become part of an individual's genome, so the genome for the individual represented by Figure 1 is stored in the model as below:

0.1, -0.1, -0.2, 0.4, 0.1, -1.2, -0.6, 0.2, 2.2, 1.3, -0.5, -0.1, 0.0, 2.2, 0.9, 1.6, 0.8

Individuals with different loci can therefore have different covarying traits that are constrained by the network structure encoded in each genome. Individuals can be haploid (as in Figure 1) or diploid (in which case, allele values are summed at a locus).

An evolutionary algorithm is used to find appropriate values that produce covarying traits from loci (see Supporting Information for details). Evolutionary algorithms are heuristic tools can simulate adaptive evolution to find solutions for a broad range of problems (Hamblin, 2013; Duthie et al., 2018). In the resevol package, the `mine_gmatrix` function runs an evolutionary algorithm, and requires the argument `gmatrix`, which specifies the desired trait covariance matrix. The function runs a genetic algorithm that initialises a population of `npsize` networks (Figure 1), and this population evolves until some maximum generation number (`max_gen`) or minimum expected network stress (`term_cri`) is met. In a single generation of the algorithm, network values crossover and mutate. Next, trait covariances produced for each network are estimated by initialising `indivs` individuals with loci sampled from a standard normal distribution. Network stress is calculated as the logged mean squared deviation between estimated covariances and those in `gmatrix`. Tournament selection (Hamblin, 2013) is then used to determine the networks for the next generation of the algorithm. Networks with the lowest estimated stress have the highest fitness, so these networks are disproportionately represented in the next generation. Throughout the evolutionary algorithm, the lowest stress network is saved and output upon network termination. The robustness of this network's stress to sets of individuals with different loci values can be tested using the `stress_test` function.

An example run of `mine_gmatrix` with the same number of loci and internal nodes is shown below with traits that do not covary:

```
trait_covs <- matrix(data = c(1, -0.4, -0.4, 1), nrow = 2, ncol = 2);
new_network <- mine_gmatrix(loci = 3, layers = 2, gmatrix = trait_covs,
                           max_gen = 1000, term_cri = -6.0, prnt_out = FALSE);
```

The code above found a genome that produced the following expected trait covariances:

```
##           [,1]      [,2]
## [1,]  0.9496718 -0.4763565
## [2,] -0.4763565  1.0101641
```

The mean deviation between elements of the above matrix and the identity matrix provided by `trait_covs` is 0.0035742. Lower values of `term_cri` and higher values of `max_gen` will result in a lower stress, but this will require more computation time, especially if the number of traits is high. Similarly, higher values of `indivs` will result in more accurate estimations of true stress, but this also requires more computation time. Additional arguments to `mine_gmatrix` can also be used to improve the performance of the evolutionary algorithm (see Supporting Information).

3 Simulating landscape-level pesticide resistance

The full output of `mine_gmatrix` is passed to the `run_farm_sim` function, which initialises and simulates an evolving population of pests on a changing landscape for a natural number of time steps (`time_steps`). In this section, we explain the landscape, pest ecology, and evolving pest traits.

3.1 Landscape

Landscapes are spatially explicit and initialised in `run_farm_sim` in one of two ways. First, a landscapes can be built from the arguments `xdim`, `ydim`, and `farms`. These arguments specify the dimensions of the landscape and the number of farms on it. Contiguous rectangular farms of roughly equal size are generated on the landscape using a splitline algorithm. Second, a custom landscapes can be input using the `terrain`

argument, which takes a matrix with elements that includes integers 1 to `farms`. Each value defines a unique farm, but values do not need to be contiguous. These ‘farms’ could even model non-farmland (e.g., water, roads), if pesticides and crops on them are invisible to pests (see below). This `terrain` customisation therefore allows for a high degree of landscape detail, and offers the potential for modelling real-world landscapes from raster images. Landscapes are assumed to be a torus, so pests that move off of one edge return on the opposite side of the landscape.

Each farm can hold one pesticide and one crop type at any time step. The `crop_init` and `pesticide_init` arguments initialise one of `crop_number` crops and one of `pesticide_number` pesticides for each farm, respectively (maximum of 10 each). Initialisation can be random for each farm with equal probability, or can be set using a vector of length `farms` in which vector elements define the initialised crop or pesticide number. After initialisation, crops and pesticides rotate once ever `crop_rotation_time` and `pesticide_rotation_time` time steps, respectively. Each of these rotation time arguments can take either an integer value from 1-3, or a matrix. Integer values specify (1) no rotation, (2) random transition from one type to another, or (3) cycling through each available crop or pesticide in order. Square matrices can be used to define the probability that a given crop or pesticide in row i transitions to that in column j . Hence, any possible Markov chain can be used to transition between crop or pesticide types on farms.

3.2 Pest ecology

Individual pests can be modelled to have several reproductive systems and life histories. Pest reproductive system can be specified in the `run_farm_sim` function using the `repro` argument, which accepts arguments `"asexual"` (haploid), `"sexual"` (monoecious), and `"biparental"` (dioecious). For `"sexual"` pests, the `selfing` argument specifies if self-fertilisation is (`TRUE`) or is not (`FALSE`) allowed. At the start of a simulation, pests are initialised in a random location. Initialised pests are age of zero if `rand_age = FALSE` or a random age from zero to `max_age` if `rand_age = TRUE`. Following initialisation, a single time step proceeds with landscape change (see above), pest aging and metabolism, feeding, pesticide consumption, movement, reproduction, mortality, and immigration. Feeding, pesticide consumption, movement, and reproduction all depend on pest age. Pests feed and consume pesticide from ages `min_age_feed` to `max_age_feed`, move from ages `min_age_move` to `max_age_move`, and reproduce from ages `min_age_reproduce` to `max_age_reproduce` (all inclusive). Food accumulated is lost during aging if `baseline_metabolism > 0` and pest age is within `min_age_metabolism` and `max_age_metabolism`. The option to set minimum and maximum ages for events makes it possible to model pests with much different life histories (e.g., [Sudo et al., 2018](#)).

In each time step, pests feed in a random order, consuming the crop on their landscape cell. Pests consume a maximum amount of the crop as specified by the `food_consume` argument, which takes a vector with as many elements as there are crops (i.e., if `crop_number = 2`, then `food_consume` has two elements, the first and second defining consumption of crops 1 and 2, respectively). If crop amount on the landscape cell exceeds pest consumption ability, then pests consume their maximum amount, and this amount is removed from the landscape cell. If crop amount is less than pest consumption ability, then pests consume whatever crop is left and crop amount is reduced to zero. Pesticide consumption works identically to crop consumption, except that the amount of pesticide on a landscape cell is not decreased. Pests simply consume an amount of pesticide as specified by the `pesticide_consume` argument, which also takes a vector with as many elements as there are pesticides. Hence, each pest can potentially feed and be affected by the pesticide of their focal landscape cell.

After interacting with their landscape cell, pests can move. Each pest visits a number of cells during movement, as is specified by the parameter `movement_bouts`. Individual movement bouts occur in a random order across pests. During a movement bout, a pest can travel to any cell within a value defined by `movement_distance` from their current location, which could include their current location (i.e., moving zero distance). Upon arrival to a cell, a pest can feed if they are of an appropriate feeding age and `feed_while_moving = TRUE`. The pest also consumes pesticide if they are of the appropriate age and `pesticide_while_moving = TRUE`. Having pests feed and consume pesticide in a random order while moving among landscape cells can model a population competing for food and encountering pesticides on a shorter time scale than an individual time step.




Figure 2: Overview of simulated events in the resevol R package. Note that metabolism, feeding, pesticide consumption, movement, and reproduction are all subject to a minimum and maximum pest age. Consequently, simulation order might not reflect the order of events from the perspective of a focal pest (e.g., pests might move from ages 1-2, but only feed from ages 2-4). Crops and pesticides are also not necessarily rotated in each time step (see Landscape). Statistics collected within a time step are printed in a CSV file.

After all pests are done moving, pests reproduce. Offspring production is possible for asexual, monoecious, or female pests. Pest expected offspring number is defined by a fixed parameter if `reproduction_type = "lambda"`, or is calculated from the amount of food consumed if `reproduction_type = "food_based"`. The former requires specifying `lambda_value`, which becomes the rate parameter for sampling offspring number from a Poisson distribution. The latter requires specifying a real value for `food_needed_repr`, which is the amount of food needed to produce one offspring. For food-based reproduction, the total amount of food consumed is divided by `food_needed_repr`, then floored to determine offspring number. Sexual reproduction requires a mate of reproductive age that is either monoecious or male, and within range of the reproducing focal pest (potential including the focal pest, if `selfing = TRUE`). A potential mate is within range if it is within an integer number of cells from the focal pest, as defined by `mating_distance` (e.g., if `mating_distance = 0`, then mates must share a landscape cell). All available potential mates sire offspring with equal probability, and reproducing pests are assumed to mate multiply (i.e., paternity is a fair raffle for all offspring). If a carrying capacity on birth is set (`K_on_birth > 0`) and total offspring number in the population exceeds this capacity, then offspring are removed at random until they are within carrying capacity. An real value `immigration_rate` specifies the rate parameter for Poisson random sampling of the number of immigrants added to the population at the end of a time step. Immigrants are initialised in the same way as pests were at the start of the simulation. Hence, any spatial structure or evolution that occurs during the simulation does not affect immigrant locations, genomes, or traits.

3.3 Pest evolution

Pest genomes evolve in a complex and highly mechanistic way. Offspring inherit genome values from their parent(s) with the possibility for mutation and recombination; offspring traits are then calculated from their newly created genomes. For asexual pests, genomes are haploid and mutation .

4 Example of individual-based simulations

- Example of evolving species with different crop and pesticide applications

5 Conclusions

6 Availability

The resevol R package can be downloaded from CRAN (<https://cran.r-project.org/package=resevol>) or GitHub (<https://bradduthie.github.io/resevol/>). The package is open source under GNU Public License.

7 Conclusions

8 Acknowledgements

This software was developed as part of the project for Enhancing Diversity to Overcome Resistance Evolution (ENDORSE). The ENDORSE project is a joint Newton funded international partnership between the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) in the UK and the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) in Brazil under BBSRC award reference BB/S018956/1 and FAPESP award reference 2018/21089-3. ENDORSE is a partnership among Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), the University of Stirling (UoS), and the Centre for Agricultural and Biosciences International (CABI).

References

- Duthie, A. B., Cusack, J. J., Jones, I. L., Nilsen, E. B., Pozo, R. A., Rakotonarivo, O. S., Moorter, B. V., and Bunnefeld, N. (2018). GMSE: an R package for generalised management strategy evaluation. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 9:2396–2401.
- Hamblin, S. (2013). On the practical usage of genetic algorithms in ecology and evolution. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 4(2):184–194.
- Sudo, M., Takahashi, D., Andow, D. A., Suzuki, Y., and Yamanaka, T. (2018). Optimal management strategy of insecticide resistance under various insect life histories: Heterogeneous timing of selection and interpatch dispersal. *Evolutionary Applications*, 11(2):271–283.