Breast Cancer Data Prediction Using Daimensions

In this notebook, we'll be working with a dataset from the University of California Irvine's Machine Learning Repository. It has nine attribute columns to describe various aspects of cells and one classification column that classifies each cell as benign or malignant cancer. More information about the data can be found at: https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Breast+Cancer+Wisconsin+(Diagnostic).

We have two goals: one is to build a model predicting whether a cell is benign or malignant on future cell data and the other is to use attribute rank to learn about which attributes of the cell are most important for predicting cancer in cells. Daimensions' attribute rank option is useful for a lot of biomedical data like cancer cells because most of the time we are not only looking to predict which cells are cancerous but also what caused the cancer. Attribute rank helps us learn about this aspect of the data by telling us which attributes most closely correlate with a cell's classification. This greatly contributes to our understanding of the data and helps guide us toward probable cause.

Here is a look at our training data and the attributes we're using. For the target column, 2 is benign and 4 is malignant.

```
In [1]:
```

```
head cancer_train.csv
# For Windows command prompt:
# type cancer_train.csv / more

Clump_Thickness,Uniformity_of_Cell_Size,Uniformity_of_Cell_Shape,Marginal_Adhesion,Single
_Epithelial_Cell_Size,Bare_Nuclei,Bland_Chromatin,Normal_Nucleoli,Mitoses,Class
5,1,1,1,2,1,3,1,1,2
5,4,4,5,7,10,3,2,1,2
3,1,1,2,2,3,1,1,2
6,8,8,1,3,4,3,7,1,2
4,1,1,3,2,1,3,1,1,2
8,10,10,8,7,10,9,7,1,4
1,1,1,2,10,3,1,1,2
2,1,2,1,2,1,3,1,1,2
2,1,2,1,2,1,3,1,1,2
2,1,1,1,2,1,1,1,5,2
```

1. Get Measurements

We always want to measure our data before building our predictor in order to ensure we are building the right model. For more information about how to use Daimensions and why we want to measure our data beforehand, check out the Titanic notebook.

```
In [2]:
```

```
! btc -measureonly cancer train.csv
WARNING: Could not detect a GPU. Neural Network generation will be slow.
Brainome Daimensions(tm) 0.99 Copyright (c) 2019 - 2021 by Brainome, Inc. All Rights Rese
rved.
Licensed to:
                         Alexander Makhratchev (Evaluation)
Expiration Date:
                         2021-04-30 57 days left
Number of Threads:
Maximum File Size:
                         30 GB
Maximum Instances:
                         unlimited
Maximum Attributes:
                         unlimited
Maximum Classes:
                         unlimited
Connected to:
                         daimensions.brainome.ai (local execution)
```

```
{\tt Command:}
```

03/04/2021, 04:17

Start Time:

Data:

Input: cancer train.csv

Target Column: Class Number of instances: 559 Number of attributes: Number of classes: 2

0: 63.15%, 1: 36.85% Class Balance:

Learnability:

Best guess accuracy: 63.15%

Data Sufficiency: Maybe enough data to generalize. [yellow]

Capacity Progression: at [5%, 10%, 20%, 40%, 80%, 100%] Optimal Machine Learner: 3, 4, 4, 4, 5, 5

Estimated Memory Equivalent Capacity for...

Decision Tree: 12 parameters Neural Networks: 6 parameters Random Forest: 13 parameters

Risk that model needs to overfit for 100% accuracy using...

Decision Tree: 4.70% 11.13% Neural Networks: 11.50% Random Forest:

Expected Generalization using...

Decision Tree: 43.36 bits/bit Neural Network: 45.17 bits/bit 43.00 bits/bit Random Forest:

Recommendations:

Time to Build Estimates:

Decision Tree: a few seconds Neural Network: 2 minutes

Warning: Remapped class labels to be contiguous. Use -cm if DET/ROC-based accuracy measur ements are wrong.

2. Build the Predictor

Based on our measurements, Daimensions recommends we use a decision tree, which has lower risk of overfit and higher generalization for this dataset. We are also using -rank to prioritize certain attributes from our data, and we'll look at which attributes Daimensions decides are important later.

In [2]:

```
| btc -v -v -f DT cancer_train.csv -o cancer_predict.py -e 10 -rank --yes
```

WARNING: Could not detect a GPU. Neural Network generation will be slow.

Brainome Daimensions(tm) 0.99 Copyright (c) 2019 - 2021 by Brainome, Inc. All Rights Rese rved.

Licensed to: Alexander Makhratchev (Evaluation)

Expiration Date: 2021-04-30 56 days left

Number of Threads: Maximum File Size: 30 GB Maximum Instances: unlimited Mandana 744 ad landa لمصطلمسك المسد

Maximum Allripules: uniimilea Maximum Classes: unlimited

Connected to: daimensions.brainome.ai (local execution)

Command:

btc -v -v -f DT cancer train.csv -o cancer predict.py -e 10 -rank --yes

03/05/2021, 17:39 Start Time:

Attribute Ranking:

Important columns: Uniformity of Cell Shape, Bare_Nuclei, Clump_Thickness, N

ormal_Nucleoli, Uniformity_of_Cell_Size

0.0% Overfit risk:

Ignoring columns: Marginal_Adhesion, Single_Epithelial_Cell_Size, Bland_Chr

omatin, Mitoses

Test Accuracy Progression: Uniformity_of_Cell_Shape : 91.59%

Bare Nuclei: 95.17% change +3.58% Clump Thickness: 96.24% change +1.07% Normal Nucleoli: 97.14% change +0.89%

Uniformity of Cell Size: 97.32% change +0.18%

Data:

Input: Cancer_cr
Target Column: Class
Number of instances: 559
Number of attributes: 5
Number of classes: 2
Class Balance: 0: 63.15%, 1: 36.85%

Learnability:

Best guess accuracy: 63.15%
Data Sufficiency: Not enough data to generalize. [red]

Capacity Progression: at [5%, 10%, 20%, 40%, 80%, 100%]
Optimal Machine Learner: 2, 3, 4, 4, 4, 5

Estimated Memory Equivalent Capacity for...

Decision Tree: 5 parameters Neural Networks: 6 parameters Random Forest: 15 parameters

Risk that model needs to overfit for 100% accuracy using...

Decision Tree: 1.97% Neural Networks: 100.00% Random Forest: 13.04%

Expected Generalization using...

Decision Tree: 103.31 bits/bit
Neural Network: 44.67 bits/bit
Random Forest: 37.27 bits/bit

Recommendations:

Note: Machine learner type DT given by user.

Time to Build Estimates:

Decision Tree: a few seconds

```
System Meter:
                                   cancer predict.py
   Classifier Type:
                                   Decision Tree
   System Type:
                                   Binary classifier
   Training/Validation Split:
                                     60% : 40%
   Accuracy:
       Best-guess accuracy:
                                       63.14%
                                       97.01% (325/335 correct)
       Training accuracy:
                                       97.76% (219/224 correct)
       Validation Accuracy:
                                       97.31% (544/559 correct)
       Overall Model Accuracy:
       Improvement over best guess: 34.17% of possible 36.86%
   Model Capacity (MEC):
                                             5 bits
   Generalization Ratio:
                                        62.09 bits/bit
   Model Efficiency:
                                         6.83 /parameter
                                        30.44
   Generalization Index:
                                         3.29%
   Percent of Data Memorized:
   Training Confusion Matrix (count):
                 2 | 202
                      3 123
                 4 |
   Validation Confusion Matrix (count):
                 2 | 139 5
                 4 |
                     0
   Full Confusion Matrix (count):
                 2 | 341 12
                 4 |
                      3 203
   Accuracy by Class:
             class | TP
                               TN FN TPR TNR PPV
                                                                   NPV
                                                                              F1
                           FP
TS
                 2 | 341
                            12 203
                                     3 99.13% 98.54% 96.60%
                                                                   98.54% 97.85%
95.79%
                 4 | 203
                           3 341 12 94.42% 96.60% 98.54%
                                                                   96.60%
                                                                          96.44%
93.12%
End Time:
Runtime Duration:
Output: cancer predict.py
READY.
Messages:
Note: Class labels required remapping onto contiguous integers. Mapped as follows: {'2':
Warning: Remapped class labels to be contiguous. Use -cm if DET/ROC-based accuracy measur
```

3. Validate the Model

ements are wrong.

Now we can validate our model on a separate set of data that wasn't used for training.

In [4]:

```
! python3 cancer_predict.py -validate cancer_valid.csv
Classifier Type: Decision Tree
```

```
System Type:

Best-guess accuracy:

Model accuracy:

Improvement over best guess:

Model capacity (MEC):

Generalization ratio:

Model efficiency:

System behavior

Binary classifier

75.00%

99.28% (139/140 correct)

24.28% (of possible 25.0%)

5 bits

22.55 bits/bit

4.85%/parameter
```

True Negatives: 74.29% (104/140) True Positives: 25.00% (35/140) False Negatives: 0.00% (0/140) False Positives: 0.71% (1/140) True Pos. Rate/Sensitivity/Recall: 1.00 0.99 True Neg. Rate/Specificity: 0.97 Precision: 0.99 F-1 Measure: False Negative Rate/Miss Rate: 0.00 Critical Success Index: 0.97 Confusion Matrix: [74.29% 0.71%] [0.00% 25.00%]

4. Learn From Attribute Rank

From validating the data, we can see that the predictor has 98.57% accuracy. This is great for making predictions on future data. However, what might be of greater interest is looking at the output from building our predictor, specifically the attributes that Daimensions decided to use. Under the section of output called "Attribute Rank," Daimensions has listed the attributes used: Uniformity_of_Cell_Size, Bare_Nuclei, Clump_Thickness, Marginal_Adhesion, Mitoses, and Uniformity_of_Cell_Shape. This information about what attributes were the best predictors of malignant cancer cells is valuable to scientists looking for the causes of this cancer.

Citation

This breast cancer databases was obtained from the University of Wisconsin Hospitals, Madison from Dr. William H. Wolberg.

Sources:

- . Dr. William H. Wolberg (physician), University of Wisconsin Hospitals, Madison, Wisconsin, USA
- Donor: Olvi Mangasarian (mangasarian@cs.wisc.edu), received by David W. Aha (aha@cs.jhu.edu)
- Date: 15 July 1992