

# Linear algebra

Sofia Olhede



November 10, 2020

1 Testing for linear versus constant model

2 More Linear Algebra

# Testing for the Linear Effect

- Just as a reminder from yesterday let us look at the hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \mathbb{E} Y_i = \beta_1 \quad \text{versus} \quad H_1 : \mathbb{E} Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i.$$

*const.*                      *const + linear*

- In this example we have

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \dots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{1}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 1 & x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

*(Blue arrows point from the handwritten notes above to the  $X_0$  and  $X$  matrices respectively)*

- Furthermore the matrix  $A$  is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- We then arrive at

$$P_0 = X_0(X_0^T X_0)^{-1} X_0^T = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T.$$

*(Blue arrow points from the  $X_0$  matrix in the previous block to the  $X_0$  in this equation)*

# Testing for the Linear Effect II

- We also find

$$\text{RSS} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ Y_i - \bar{Y} + \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}} \bar{x} - \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}} x_i \right\}^2 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{RSS}_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \{ Y_i - \bar{Y} \}^2 \quad (2)$$

- We then compute

*F-statistic:* 
$$F = \frac{n-2}{n-1} \frac{\text{RSS}_0 - \text{RSS}}{\text{RSS}},$$

and compare it to the quantiles of the  $F$ -distribution on 1 and  $n-2$  degrees of freedom. This can be summarized in a table.

# Some more linear algebra

If  $Q$  is an  $n \times p$  real matrix, we define the **column space (or range)** of  $Q$  to be the set spanned by its columns:

$$\mathcal{M}(Q) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \exists \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p, y = Q\beta\}.$$

- Recall that  $\mathcal{M}(Q)$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- The columns of  $Q$  provide a coordinate system for the subspace  $\mathcal{M}(Q)$
- If  $Q$  is of full column rank ( $p$ ), then the coordinates  $\beta$  corresponding to a  $y \in \mathcal{M}(Q)$  are unique.
- Allows interpretation of system of linear equations

$$Q\beta = y.$$



[existence of solution  $\leftrightarrow$  is  $y$  an element of  $\mathcal{M}(Q)$ ?]  
 [uniqueness of solution  $\leftrightarrow$  is there a unique coordinate vector  $\beta$ ?]

# Some more linear algebra

Two further important subspaces associated with a real  $n \times p$  matrix  $Q$ :

- the **null space (or kernel)**,  $\ker(Q)$ , of  $Q$  is the subspace defined as

$$\ker(Q) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^p : Qx = 0\};$$

- the **orthogonal complement** of  $\mathcal{M}(Q)$ ,  $\mathcal{M}^\perp(Q)$ , is the subspace defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}^\perp(Q) &= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y^\top Qx = 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^p\} \\ &= \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y^\top v = 0, \forall v \in \mathcal{M}(Q)\}.\end{aligned}$$

The orthogonal complement may be defined for arbitrary subspaces by using the second equality.

# Some more linear algebra

## Theorem (Spectral Theorem)

A  $p \times p$  matrix  $Q$  is symmetric if and only if there exists a  $p \times p$  orthogonal matrix  $U$  and a diagonal matrix  $\Lambda$  such that

$$Q = U\Lambda U^\top.$$

In particular:

- ① the columns of  $U = (u_1 \cdots u_p)$  are eigenvectors of  $Q$ , i.e. there exist  $\lambda_j$  such that
$$Qu_j = \lambda_j u_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, p;$$
- ② the entries of  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p)$  are the corresponding eigenvalues of  $Q$ , which are real; and
- ③ the rank of  $Q$  is the number of non-zero eigenvalues.

Note: if the eigenvalues are distinct, the eigenvectors are unique (up to changes in signs).

# Some more linear algebra

## Theorem (Singular Value Decomposition)

Any  $n \times p$  real matrix can be factorised as

$$Q = \underset{n \times p}{U} \underset{n \times p}{\Sigma} \underset{p \times p}{V}^{\top},$$

where  $U$  and  $V^{\top}$  are orthogonal with columns called *left singular vectors* and *right singular vectors*, respectively, and  $\Sigma$  is diagonal with real entries called *singular values*.

- ① The left singular vectors are eigenvectors of  $QQ^{\top}$ .
- ② The right singular vectors are eigenvectors of  $Q^{\top}Q$ .
- ③ The squares of the singular values are eigenvalues of both  $QQ^{\top}$  and  $Q^{\top}Q$ .
- ④ The left singular vectors corresponding to non-zero singular values form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{M}(Q)$ .
- ⑤ The left singular vectors corresponding to zero singular values form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}(Q)$ .



# Some more linear algebra

A matrix  $Q$  is called **idempotent** if  $Q^2 = Q$ .

An **orthogonal projection** (henceforth **projection**) onto a subspace  $\mathcal{V}$  is a symmetric idempotent matrix  $H$  such that  $\mathcal{M}(H) = \mathcal{V}$ .

## Proposition

*The only possible eigenvalues of a projection matrix are 0 and 1.*

## Proposition

*Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a subspace and  $H$  be a projection onto  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then  $I - H$  is the projection matrix onto  $\mathcal{V}^\perp$ .*

Proof (\*).

$(I - H)^\top = I - H^\top = I - H$  since  $H$  is symmetric and,  
 $(I - H)^2 = I^2 - 2H + H^2 = I - H$ . Thus  $I - H$  is a projection matrix.

It remains to identify the column space of  $I - H$ . Let  $H = U\Lambda U^\top$  be the spectral decomposition of  $H$ . Then  $I - H = UU^\top - U\Lambda U^\top = U(I - \Lambda)U^\top$ . Hence the column space of  $I - H$  is spanned by the eigenvectors of  $H$  corresponding to zero eigenvalues of  $H$ , which coincides with  $\mathcal{M}^\perp(H) = \mathcal{V}^\perp$ .  $\square$

# Some more linear algebra

## Proposition

*Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a subspace and  $H$  be a projection onto  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then  $Hy = y$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{V}$ .*

## Proposition

*If  $P$  and  $Q$  are projection matrices onto a subspace  $\mathcal{V}$ , then  $P = Q$ .*

## Proposition

*If  $x_1, \dots, x_p$  are linearly independent and are such that  $\text{span}(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \mathcal{V}$ , then the projection onto  $\mathcal{V}$  can be represented as*

$$H = X(X^\top X)^{-1}X^\top$$

*where  $X$  is a matrix with columns  $x_1, \dots, x_p$ .*

# Some more linear algebra

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $H$  be a projection onto  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then

proof  
next  
slide

$$\|x - Hx\| \leq \|x - v\|, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}.$$

## Proof (\*).

Let  $H = U\Lambda U^\top$  be the spectral decomposition of  $H$ ,  $U = (u_1 \cdots u_n)$  and  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ . Letting  $p = \dim(\mathcal{V})$ ,

- ①  $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_p = 1$  and  $\lambda_{p+1} = \dots = \lambda_n = 0$ ,
- ②  $u_1, \dots, u_n$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,
- ③  $u_1, \dots, u_p$  is an an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

## Some more linear algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x - Hx\|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n (x^\top u_i - (Hx)^\top u_i)^2 && [\text{orthonormal basis}] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (x^\top u_i - x^\top H u_i)^2 && [H \text{ is symmetric}] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n (x^\top u_i - \lambda_i x^\top u_i)^2 && [u\text{'s are eigenvectors of } H] \\
&= 0 + \sum_{i=p+1}^n (x^\top u_i)^2 && [\text{eigenvalues } 0 \text{ or } 1] \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^p (x^\top u_i - v^\top u_i)^2 + \sum_{i=p+1}^n (x^\top u_i)^2 && \forall v \in \mathcal{V} \\
&= \|x - v\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

# Some more linear algebra

## Proposition

Let  $\mathcal{V}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be two nested linear subspaces. If  $H_1$  is the projection onto  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $H$  is the projection onto  $\mathcal{V}$ , then

$$HH_1 = H_1 = H_1H.$$

Proof (\*).

First we show that  $HH_1 = H_1$ , and then that  $H_1H = HH_1$ . For all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have  $H_1y \in \mathcal{V}_1$ . But then  $H_1y \in \mathcal{V}$ , since  $\mathcal{V}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ .

Therefore  $HH_1y = H_1y$ . We have shown that  $(HH_1 - H_1)y = 0$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , so that  $HH_1 - H_1 = 0$ , as its kernel is all  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence  $HH_1 = H_1$ .

To prove that  $H_1H = HH_1$ , note that symmetry of projection matrices and the first part of the proof give

$$H_1H = H_1^\top H^\top = (HH_1)^\top = (H_1)^\top = H_1 = HH_1.$$

□

# Some more linear algebra

## Definition (Non-Negative Matrix – Quadratic Form Definition)

A  $p \times p$  real symmetric matrix  $\Omega$  is called **non-negative definite** (written  $\Omega \succeq 0$ ) if and only if  $x^\top \Omega x \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . If  $x^\top \Omega x > 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{0\}$ , then we call  $\Omega$  **positive definite** (written  $\Omega \succ 0$ ).

## Definition (Non-Negative Matrix – Spectral Definition)

A  $p \times p$  real symmetric matrix  $\Omega$  is called **non-negative definite** (written  $\Omega \succeq 0$ ) if and only if the eigenvalues of  $\Omega$  are non-negative. If the eigenvalues of  $\Omega$  are strictly positive, then  $\Omega$  is called **positive definite** (written  $\Omega \succ 0$ ).

## Lemma (Little exercise)

*The two definitions are equivalent.*

## Proposition (Non-Negative and Covariance Matrices)

*Let  $\Omega$  be a real symmetric matrix. Then  $\Omega$  is **non-negative definite** if and only if  $\Omega$  is the covariance matrix of some random vector  $Y$ .*

# Some more linear algebra

- Let  $Y$  be a random vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with covariance matrix  $\Omega$ .
- Find direction  $v_1 \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  such that the projection of  $Y$  onto  $v_1$  has maximal variance.
- For  $j = 2, 3, \dots, d$ , find direction  $v_j \perp \{v_1, \dots, v_{j-1}\}$  such that projection of  $Y$  onto  $v_j$  has maximal variance.

**Solution:** maximise  $\text{var}(v_1^\top Y) = v_1^\top \Omega v_1$  over  $\|v_1\| = 1$   
*spectral decomposition*

$$v_1^\top \Omega v_1 = v_1^\top U \Lambda U^\top v_1 = \|\Lambda^{1/2} U^\top v_1\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i (u_i^\top v_1)^2 \quad [\text{change of basis}]$$

Now  $\sum_{i=1}^d (u_i^\top v_1)^2 = \|v_1\|^2 = 1$  so we have a convex combination of  $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^d$ .

$$\sum_{i=1}^d p_i \lambda_i, \quad \sum_i p_i = 1, \quad p_i \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, d.$$

But  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_i \geq 0$  so clearly this sum is maximised when  $p_1 = 1$  and  $p_j = 0$   $\forall j \neq 1$ , i.e.  $v_1 = \pm u_1$ .

Iteratively,  $v_j = \pm u_j$ , i.e. principal components are eigenvectors of  $\Omega$ .

# Some more linear algebra

## Theorem (Optimal (Linear) Dimension Reduction Theorem)

Let  $\mathbf{Y}$  be a mean-zero random variable in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d \times d$  covariance  $\mathbf{\Omega}$ . Let  $\mathbf{H}$  be the projection matrix onto the span of the first  $k$  eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{\Omega}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{H} \mathbf{Y}\|^2 \leq \mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Y}\|^2$$

for any  $d \times d$  projection matrix  $\mathbf{Q}$  of rank at most  $k$ .

**Intuitively:** if you want to approximate a mean-zero random variable taking values  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by a random variable that ranges over a subspace of dimension at most  $k \leq d$ , the optimal choice is the projection of the random variable onto the space spanned by its first  $k$  principal components (eigenvectors of the covariance).

“Optimal” is with respect to the mean squared error.

For the proof, use lemma below (follows immediately from spectral decomposition)

## Lemma

$\mathbf{Q}$  is a rank  $k$  projection matrix if and only if there exist orthonormal vectors  $\{\mathbf{v}_j\}_{j=1}^k$  such that  $\mathbf{Q} = \sum_{j=1}^k \mathbf{v}_j \mathbf{v}_j^\top$ .



# Some more linear algebra

Proof of Optimal Linear Dimension Reduction (\*).

Write  $Q = \sum_{j=1}^k v_j v_j^\top$  for some orthonormal  $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^k$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E} \|Y - QY\|^2 =$$

$$= \mathbb{E} \left[ Y^\top (I - Q)^\top (I - Q) Y \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \text{tr} \{ (I - Q) Y Y^\top (I - Q)^\top \} \right]$$

$$= \text{tr} \{ (I - Q) \mathbb{E} [Y Y^\top] (I - Q)^\top \} = \text{tr} \{ (I - Q)^\top (I - Q) \Omega \}$$

$$= \text{tr} \{ (I - Q) \Omega \} = \text{tr} \{ \Omega \} - \text{tr} \{ Q \Omega \} = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i - \text{tr} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k v_j v_j^\top \Omega \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^k \text{tr} \{ v_j v_j^\top \Omega \} = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^k v_j^\top \Omega v_j$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i - \sum_{j=1}^k \text{var}[v_j^\top Y]$$

If we can minimise this expression over all  $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^k$  with  $v_i^\top v_j = \mathbf{1}\{i=j\}$ , then we're done. By PCA, this is done by choosing the top  $k$  eigenvectors of  $\Omega$ .  $\square$

?

# Some more linear algebra

## Corollary (Deterministic Version)

Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be such that  $x_1 + \dots + x_p = 0$ , and let  $X$  be the matrix with columns  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^p$ . The best approximating  $k$ -hyperplane to the points  $\{x_1, \dots, x_p\}$  is given by the span of the first  $k$  eigenvectors of the matrix  $XX^\top$ , i.e. if  $H$  is the projection onto this span, it holds that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \|x_i - Hx_i\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^p \|x_i - Qx_i\|^2$$

for any  $d \times d$  projection operator  $Q$  of rank at most  $k$ .

## Proof.

Define the discrete random vector  $Y$  by  $\mathbb{P}[Y = x_i] = 1/p$ , and use optimal linear dimension reduction as stated earlier.  $\square$

# Some more linear algebra

## Definition (Multivariate Gaussian Distribution)

A random vector  $\mathbf{Y}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  has the multivariate normal distribution if and only if  $\beta^\top \mathbf{Y}$  has the univariate normal distribution,  $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

### How can we use this definition to determine basic properties?

Recall that the *moment generating function* (MGF) of a random vector  $\mathbf{W}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is defined as

$$M_{\mathbf{W}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{W}}], \quad \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

provided the expectation exists. When the MGF exists *it characterises the distribution of the random vector*. Furthermore, two random vectors are independent if and only if their joint MGF is the product of their marginal MGF's.

# Some more linear algebra

Most important facts about Gaussian vectors:

- ① Moment generating function of  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Omega)$ :

$$M_Y(u) = \exp \left( u^\top \mu + \frac{1}{2} u^\top \Omega u \right).$$

- ②  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{p \times 1}, \Omega_{p \times p})$  and given  $B_{n \times p}$  and  $\theta_{n \times 1}$ , then

$$\theta + B Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta + B \mu, B \Omega B^\top).$$

- ③  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \Omega)$  density, assuming  $\Omega$  nonsingular:

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} |\Omega|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (y - \mu)^\top \Omega^{-1} (y - \mu) \right\}.$$

- ④ Constant density isosurfaces are ellipsoidal  
 ⑤ Marginals of Gaussian are Gaussian (converse NOT true).  
 ⑥  $\Omega$  diagonal  $\Leftrightarrow$  independent coordinates  $Y_j$ .  
 ⑦ If  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{p \times 1}, \Omega_{p \times p})$ ,

# Some more linear algebra

## Proposition (Property 1: Moment Generating Function)

*The moment generating function of  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Omega)$  is*

$$M_Y(u) = \exp\left(u^\top \mu + \frac{1}{2} u^\top \Omega u\right)$$

---

Proof (\*).

Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be arbitrary. Then  $u^\top Y$  is Gaussian with mean  $u^\top \mu$  and variance  $u^\top \Omega u$ . Hence it has moment generating function:

$$M_{u^\top Y}(t) = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{t u^\top Y}\right) = \exp\left\{t(u^\top \mu) + \frac{t^2}{2}(u^\top \Omega u)\right\}.$$

Now take  $t = 1$  and observe that

$$M_{u^\top Y}(1) = \mathbb{E}\left(e^{u^\top Y}\right) = M_Y(u).$$

Combining the two, we conclude that

$$M_Y(u) = \exp\left(u^\top \mu + \frac{1}{2} u^\top \Omega u\right), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

□

# Some more linear algebra

## Proposition (Property 2: Affine Transformation)

For  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{p \times 1}, \Omega_{p \times p})$  and given  $B_{n \times p}$  and  $\theta_{n \times 1}$ , we have

$$\theta + BY \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta + B\mu, B\Omega B^\top)$$

Proof (\*).

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\theta + BY}(u) &= \mathbb{E} [\exp\{u^\top(\theta + BY)\}] = \exp\{u^\top\theta\} \mathbb{E} [\exp\{(B^\top u)^\top Y\}] \\ &= \exp\{u^\top\theta\} M_Y(B^\top u) \\ &= \exp\{u^\top\theta\} \exp\left\{(B^\top u)^\top \mu + \frac{1}{2} u^\top B\Omega B^\top u\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{u^\top\theta + u^\top(B\mu) + \frac{1}{2} u^\top B\Omega B^\top u\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{u^\top(\theta + B\mu) + \frac{1}{2} u^\top B\Omega B^\top u\right\} \end{aligned}$$

And this last expression is the MGF of a  $\mathcal{N}(\theta + B\mu, B\Omega B^\top)$  distribution. □

# Some more linear algebra

## Proposition (Property 3: Density Function)

Let  $\Omega_{p \times p}$  be nonsingular. The density of  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_{p \times 1}, \Omega_{p \times p})$  is

$$f_Y(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} |\Omega|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (y - \mu)^\top \Omega^{-1} (y - \mu) \right\}$$

Proof (\*).

Let  $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_p)^\top$  be a vector of iid  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  random variables. Then, because of independence,

(a) the density of  $Z$  is

$$f_Z(z) = \prod_{i=1}^p f_{Z_i}(z_i) = \prod_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} z_i^2 \right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} z^\top z \right).$$

(b) The MGF of  $Z$  is

$$M_Z(u) = \mathbb{E} \left\{ \exp \left( \sum_{i=1}^p u_i Z_i \right) \right\} = \prod_{i=1}^p \mathbb{E} \{ \exp(u_i Z_i) \} = \exp(u^\top u / 2),$$

which is the MGF of a  $p$ -variate  $\mathcal{N}(0, I)$  distribution.

# Some more linear algebra

$\stackrel{(a)+(b)}{\implies}$  the  $\mathcal{N}(0, I)$  density is  $f_Z(z) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^\top z\right)$ .

By the spectral theorem,  $\Omega$  admits a square root,  $\Omega^{1/2}$ . Furthermore, since  $\Omega$  is non-singular, so is  $\Omega^{1/2}$ .

Now observe that from our Property 2, we have  $Y \stackrel{d}{=} \Omega^{1/2}Z + \mu \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Omega)$ .

By the change of variables formula,

$$\begin{aligned} f_Y(y) &= f_{\Omega^{1/2}Z + \mu}(y) \\ &= |\Omega^{-1/2}| f_Z\{\Omega^{-1/2}(y - \mu)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} |\Omega|^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(y - \mu)^\top \Omega^{-1}(y - \mu)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

[Recall that to obtain the density of  $W = g(X)$  at  $w$ , we need to evaluate  $f_X$  at  $g^{-1}(w)$  but also multiply by the Jacobian determinant of  $g^{-1}$  at  $w$ .]

□



# Some more linear algebra

## Proposition (Property 4: Isosurfaces)

*The isosurfaces of a  $\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p \times 1}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{p \times p})$  are  $(p - 1)$ -dimensional ellipsoids centred at  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ , with principal axes given by the eigenvectors of  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$  and with anisotropies given by the ratios of the square roots of the corresponding eigenvalues of  $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ .*

## Proof (\*).

Exercise: Use Property 3, and the spectral theorem. □

## Proposition (Property 5: Coordinate Distributions)

*Let  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_p)^\top \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p \times 1}, \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{p \times p})$ . Then  $Y_j \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_j, \Omega_{jj})$ .*

## Proof (\*).

Observe that  $Y_j = (0, 0, \dots, \underbrace{1}_{j\text{th position}}, \dots, 0, 0) \mathbf{Y}$  and use Property 2. □

# Some more linear algebra

Proposition (Property 6: Diagonal  $\Omega \iff$  Independence)

Let  $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_p)^\top \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{p \times 1}, \Omega_{p \times p})$ . Then the  $Y_i$  are mutually independent if and only if  $\Omega$  is diagonal.

Proof (\*).

Suppose that the  $Y_j$  are independent. Property 5 yields  $Y_j \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_j, \sigma_j^2)$  for some  $\sigma_j > 0$ . Thus the density of  $\mathbf{Y}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) &= \prod_{j=1}^p f_{Y_j}(y_j) = \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{\sigma_j \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(y_j - \mu_j)^2}{\sigma_j^2} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{p/2} |\text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_p^2)|^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \text{diag}(\sigma_1^{-2}, \dots, \sigma_p^{-2}) (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathbf{Y} \sim \mathcal{N}\{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_p^2)\}$ , i.e. the covariance  $\Omega$  is diagonal.

Conversely, assume  $\Omega$  is diagonal, say  $\Omega = \text{diag}(\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_p^2)$ . Then we can reverse the steps of the first part to see that the joint density  $f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y})$  can be written as a product of the marginal densities  $f_{Y_j}(y_j)$ , thus proving independence. □

# Some more linear algebra

Proposition (Property 7:  $AY, BY$  indep  $\iff A\Omega B^\top = 0$ )

If  $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_{p \times 1}, \Omega_{p \times p})$ , and  $A_{m \times p}$ ,  $B_{d \times p}$  be real matrices. Then,

$$AY \text{ independent of } BY \iff A\Omega B^\top = 0.$$

Proof (\*). [wlog assuming  $\mu = 0$  (simplifies the algebra)]

First assume  $A\Omega B^\top = 0$ . Let  $W_{(m+d) \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} AY \\ BY \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\theta_{(m+d) \times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} u_{m \times 1} \\ v_{d \times 1} \end{pmatrix}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} M_W(\theta) &= \mathbb{E}[\exp\{W^\top \theta\}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{Y^\top A^\top u + Y^\top B^\top v\right\}\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left\{Y^\top (A^\top u + B^\top v)\right\}\right] = M_Y(A^\top u + B^\top v) \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}(A^\top u + B^\top v)^\top \Omega (A^\top u + B^\top v)\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(u^\top A\Omega A^\top u + v^\top B\Omega B^\top v + \underbrace{u^\top A\Omega B^\top}_{=0} v + v^\top \underbrace{B\Omega A^\top}_{=0} u\right)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

# Some more linear algebra

For the converse, assume that  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}$  and  $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$  are independent. Then,  $\forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}$ ,

$$M_{\mathbf{W}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = M_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{u})M_{\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{v}), \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v},$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{u} \right) \right\}$$

$$= \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{A}^\top \mathbf{u} \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{B} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{v} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \times 2 \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{u} \right\} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{B}^\top \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \forall \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v},$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \mathbf{B}^\top = 0.$$

