

MA 413 - Statistics for Data Science

Solutions to Exercise 6

1. (a) To see if S or T is ancillary, we check if their CDFs depend on parameters θ_1 and θ_2 . We start to compute the CDF of S ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_S(s) &= \Pr(S \leq s) = \Pr(\min(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \leq s) \\ &= 1 - \Pr(\min(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) > s) \\ &= 1 - \Pr(Y_1 > s, \dots, Y_n > s) \\ &= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr(Y_i > s) \quad (Y_i \text{ independent}) \\ &= 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \Pr(Y_i \leq s)), \end{aligned}$$

and when $s \in (\theta_1, \theta_2)$,

$$\Pr(Y_i \leq s) = \int_{\theta_1}^s f_{Y_i}(y_i) dy = \int_{\theta_1}^s 1/(\theta_2 - \theta_1) dy = \frac{s - \theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}.$$

It follows

$$F_S(s) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{s - \theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}\right) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{s - \theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}\right)^n.$$

Similarly for T , we compute its CDF when $t \in (\theta_1, \theta_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_T(t) &= \Pr(T \leq t) = \Pr(\max(Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \leq t) \\ &= \Pr(Y_1 \leq t, \dots, Y_n \leq t) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr(Y_i \leq t) \quad (Y_i \text{ independent}) \\ &= \left(\frac{t - \theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Note that neither S nor T is ancillary as their distributions depend on θ_1 and θ_2 .

- (b) Differentiating $F_S(s)$ and $F_T(t)$ leads to the density function of S and T , respectively,

$$f_S(s) = \frac{n}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left(1 - \frac{s - \theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}\right)^{n-1} \mathbf{1}(\theta_1 < s < \theta_2),$$

$$f_T(t) = \frac{n}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left(\frac{t - \theta_1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1}\right)^{n-1} \mathbf{1}(\theta_1 < t < \theta_2).$$

- (c) To see if $f_S(s)$ or $f_T(t)$ becomes concentrated, we compute the mean and variance of S and T . Let $\theta_3 := \theta_2 - \theta_1$ and consider change of variable $x = s - \theta_1$. We start with the mean of S ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(S) &= \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} s f_S(s) ds = \int_0^{\theta_3} (x + \theta_1) \frac{n}{\theta_3} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\theta_3}\right)^{n-1} dx \\ &= -(x + \theta_1) \frac{n}{\theta_3} \frac{\theta_3}{n} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\theta_3}\right)^n \Big|_0^{\theta_3} + \int_0^{\theta_3} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\theta_3}\right)^n dx \\ &= \theta_1 - \frac{\theta_3}{n+1} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\theta_3}\right)^{n+1} \Big|_0^{\theta_3} = \theta_1 + \frac{\theta_3}{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we identify the following relationship

$$I(n-1) := \int_0^{\theta_3} (x + \theta_1) \left(1 - \frac{x}{\theta_3}\right)^{n-1} dx = \frac{\theta_1 \theta_3}{n} + \frac{\theta_3^2}{n(n+1)}.$$

With this, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} E(S^2) &= \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} s^2 f_S(s) ds = \int_0^{\theta_3} (x + \theta_1)^2 \frac{n}{\theta_3} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\theta_3}\right)^{n-1} dx \\ &= -(x + \theta_1)^2 \frac{n}{\theta_3} \frac{\theta_3}{n} \left(1 - \frac{x}{\theta_3}\right)^n \Big|_0^{\theta_3} + \int_0^{\theta_3} 2(x + \theta_1) \left(1 - \frac{x}{\theta_3}\right)^n dx \\ &= \theta_1^2 + 2I(n) = \theta_1^2 + \frac{2\theta_1 \theta_3}{n+1} + \frac{2\theta_3^2}{(n+1)(n+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

The variance of S is

$$\text{Var}(S) = E(S^2) - (E(S))^2 = \theta_1^2 + \frac{2\theta_1 \theta_3}{n+1} + \frac{2\theta_3^2}{(n+1)(n+2)} - \left(\theta_1 + \frac{\theta_3}{n+1}\right)^2 = \frac{n\theta_3^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}.$$

Therefore for S , its mean approaches to θ_1 and its variance to 0 as n goes to infinity. In other words, $f_S(s)$ becomes concentrated to θ_1 as n increases. Similarly for T , its mean and variance are

$$\begin{aligned} E(T) &= \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} t f_T(t) dt = \int_0^{\theta_3} (x + \theta_1) \frac{n}{\theta_3} \left(\frac{x}{\theta_3}\right)^{n-1} dx = \theta_2 - \frac{\theta_3}{n+1}, \\ E(T^2) &= \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} t^2 f_T(t) dt = \int_0^{\theta_3} (x + \theta_1)^2 \frac{n}{\theta_3} \left(\frac{x}{\theta_3}\right)^{n-1} dx = \theta_2^2 - \frac{2\theta_2 \theta_3}{n+1} + \frac{2\theta_3^2}{(n+1)(n+2)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{Var}(T) = E(T^2) - (E(T))^2 = \frac{n\theta_3^2}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}.$$

Therefore for T , its mean approaches to θ_2 and its variance to 0 as n goes to infinity, meaning $f_T(t)$ becomes concentrated to θ_2 as n increases.

- (d) To see if U is ancillary, we compute the CDF of U ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_U(u) &= \int \int_{\{(s,t): t-s \leq u\}} f_{S,T}(s,t) ds dt = \int \int_{\{(s,t): t-s \leq u\}} f_S(s) f_T(t) ds dt \quad (S, T \text{ independent}) \\ &= \int \int_{\{(s,t): t-s \leq u\}} n(1 - (s - \theta))^{n-1} n(t - \theta)^{n-1} ds dt \\ &= \int \int_{\{(x,y): y-x \leq u\}} n^2 (1 - x)^{n-1} y^{n-1} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where we consider change of variables, $x = s - \theta$ and $y = t - \theta$. U is ancillary as $F_U(u)$ does not depend on θ .

2. (a) Recall that given $Y \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2 + \mu^2)$, its density function is

$$f(y; \mu, \sigma^2 + \mu^2) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2(\sigma^2 + \mu^2)} y^2 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2 + \mu^2} y - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi(\sigma^2 + \mu^2)) - \frac{\mu^2}{\sigma^2 + \mu^2} \right\},$$

so the density function of \mathbf{Y} is

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{y}) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2(\sigma^2 + \mu^2)} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2 + \mu^2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi(\sigma^2 + \mu^2)) - \frac{n\mu^2}{\sigma^2 + \mu^2} \right\}.$$

- (b) The Fisher-Neyman factorization implies that the statistic $(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i, \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2)$ is sufficient for (μ, σ^2) .
3. (a) As (X_i, Y_i) is iid, the density function of (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) is

$$f_{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \exp \left\{ -\theta \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \right\} \mathbf{1}(x_1 > 0, \dots, x_n > 0, y_1 > 0, \dots, y_n > 0).$$

- (b) To see if T or U is ancillary, we need to compute their density functions. When $x > 0, y > 0$, we write

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \{\theta \exp(-\theta x)\} \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta} \exp(-\frac{1}{\theta} y) \right\}.$$

Let $f_X(x) := \theta \exp(-\theta x)$ and $f_Y(y) := \theta^{-1} \exp(-\theta^{-1} y)$. We notice that $X \sim \text{Exp}(\theta)$, $Y \sim \text{Exp}(\theta^{-1})$, and they are independent. Recall that given $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\theta)$, $P := \sum_i X_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \theta)$. Likewise, $Q := \sum_i Y_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \theta^{-1})$. As P and Q are independent, their joint distribution is

$$f_{P,Q}(p, q) = f_P(p) f_Q(q) = \left\{ \frac{\theta^n}{\Gamma(n)} p^{n-1} e^{-\theta p} \right\} \left\{ \frac{\theta^{-n}}{\Gamma(n)} q^{n-1} e^{-\frac{1}{\theta} q} \right\} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)^2} (pq)^{n-1} \exp \left(-\theta p - \frac{1}{\theta} q \right).$$

From $T = \sqrt{Q/P}$ and $U = \sqrt{PQ}$, we have $P = U/T$ and $Q = UT$. The transformed density function in terms of U and T is

$$f_{U,T}(u, t) = f_{P,Q}\left(\frac{u}{t}, ut\right) \left| \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{t} & -\frac{u}{t^2} \\ t & u \end{bmatrix} \right| = \frac{2u^{2n-1}}{t\Gamma(n)^2} \exp \left(-\theta \frac{u}{t} - \frac{1}{\theta} ut \right).$$

$f_U(u)$ and $f_T(t)$ are marginal density functions of $f_{U,T}(u, t)$, and in particular,

$$\begin{aligned} f_U(u) &= \frac{2u^{2n-1}}{\Gamma(n)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t} \exp \left(-\theta \frac{u}{t} - \frac{1}{\theta} ut \right) dt \\ &= \frac{2u^{2n-1}}{\Gamma(n)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\theta v} \exp \left(-\frac{u}{v} - uv \right) \theta dv \quad (\text{change of variable } v = \frac{t}{\theta}, dv = \frac{1}{\theta} dt) \\ &= \frac{2u^{2n-1}}{\Gamma(n)^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{v} \exp \left(-\frac{u}{v} - uv \right) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, U is ancillary, but T is not.

- (c) According to $f_{U,T}(u, t)$ and the Fisher-Neyman theorem, $(U/T, UT)$ is sufficient for θ , and so is (U, T) because (U, T) and $(U/T, UT)$ are 1-1. Moreover, (U, T) is minimally sufficient. To see this, we consider

$$\frac{f_{U,T}(u, t)}{f_{U,T}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t})} = 2 \frac{\tilde{t}}{t} \left(\frac{u}{\tilde{u}} \right)^{2n-1} \exp \left(-\theta \left(\frac{u}{t} - \frac{\tilde{u}}{\tilde{t}} \right) - \frac{1}{\theta} (ut - \tilde{u}\tilde{t}) \right),$$

which does not depend on θ if and only if $u/t = \tilde{u}/\tilde{t}$ and $ut = \tilde{u}\tilde{t}$. This condition is equivalent to $u = \tilde{u}$ and $t = \tilde{t}$ (all variables are greater than 0).

4. As $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\theta)$, the joint mass function is

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\theta} \frac{\theta^{x_i}}{x_i!} = e^{-n\theta} \frac{\theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{x_1! x_2! \cdots x_n!}.$$

Recall that if $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ and $Z \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu)$, then $Y + Z \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda + \mu)$. Now as $X_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\theta)$, $T = \sum_i X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\theta)$. The corresponding mass function is

$$f_T(t) = e^{-n\theta} \frac{(n\theta)^t}{t!}.$$

The conditional mass function is

$$f_{\mathbf{X}|T}(\mathbf{x}|t) = \Pr(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}|T = t) = \frac{\Pr(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}, T = t)}{\Pr(T = t)} = \frac{f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{1}(T = t)}{f_T(t)} = \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)!}{x_1! \cdots x_n!} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \mathbf{1}(T = t),$$

which does not depend on θ , so T is sufficient for θ according to definition.

5. To show that F_{X_n} converges in distribution to F_X ($X \sim U(0, 1)$), we show that given an arbitrarily small ϵ , one can always find a sufficiently large integer N , such that when $n > N$, $|F_{X_n}(x) - F_X(x)| < \epsilon$. We note that $F_X(x) = x$ ($0 < x < 1$) and $F_{X_n}(x) = k/n$, where k is an integer such that $x \in [k/n, (k+1)/n)$. Indeed, the ϵ - N condition holds when we choose $N > \epsilon^{-1}$. We see this in the following,

$$|F_{X_n}(x) - F_X(x)| = \left|x - \frac{k}{n}\right| < \left|\frac{k+1}{n} - \frac{k}{n}\right| = \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{N} < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, $F_{X_n} \xrightarrow{d} F_X$.

6. Fix $\epsilon > 0$, and let $Y_n \sim N(0, 1/n)$. Then

$$\Pr(|X_n - 1| > \epsilon) = \Pr(|1 + Y_n - 1| > \epsilon) = 1 - \Pr(-\epsilon \leq Y_n \leq \epsilon).$$

Note that as n goes to infinity, the density function of Y_n becomes concentrated around 0, meaning $\Pr(-\epsilon \leq Y_n \leq \epsilon)$ approaches to 1. Therefore, $\Pr(|X_n - 1| > \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ and $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 1$.