

## **U-Statistics**

### **Econometric Methods for Social Spillovers and Networks**

Universität St.Gallen, October 7 to 11, 2024

*Bryan S. Graham*

University of California - Berkeley

## U-Statistics

Introduced by Wassily Hoeffding (?).

Arise frequently in semiparametric econometrics.

Also useful for the analysis of dyadic data (and network data more generally).

References: ?, Chapter 6, ?, Chapter 12 and ?.

## A familiar example

Let

$$\bar{X}_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N X_i$$

be the sample mean and

$$S^2 = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i - \bar{X}_N)^2$$

the sample variance.

It turns out that  $S^2$  is a second order U-statistic.

### Sample variance

$$\begin{aligned} S^2 &= \frac{1}{2N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \left( (X_i - \bar{X}_N)^2 + (X_j - \bar{X}_N)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \left( (X_i - \bar{X}_N) - (X_j - \bar{X}_N) \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{2} (X_i - X_j)^2 \\ &= \frac{2}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=1+1}^N \frac{1}{2} (X_i - X_j)^2 \\ &= \binom{N}{2}^{-1} \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{2} (X_i - X_j)^2. \end{aligned}$$

## Unbiasedness under random sampling

If  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$  are i.i.d random draws from  $F_Z$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[S^2]$  is unbiased for  $\mathbb{V}(X_9)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[S^2] &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[(X_9 - X_{19})^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[(X_9 - \mathbb{E}[X_9] - (X_{19} - \mathbb{E}[X_{19}]))^2] \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}[(X_9 - \mathbb{E}[X_9])^2 + (X_{19} - \mathbb{E}[X_{19}])^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(X_9 - \mathbb{E}[X_9])^2] \\ &= \mathbb{V}(X_9)\end{aligned}$$

( $X_9$  and  $X_{19}$  are my favorite draws under random sampling when when  $N \geq 19$ ).

### Definition: U-Statistic

Let  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a simple random sample from  $F_X$ .

Let  $h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_m})$  be a symmetric *kernel* function.

(We can always replace  $h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_m})$  with its average across permutations – resulting in symmetry).

A U-statistic is an average of the kernel  $h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_m})$  over all possible  $m$ -tuples of observations in the sample.

$$U_N = \binom{N}{m}^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in C_{m,N}} h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_m})$$

where  $C_{m,N}$  denotes the set of all unique combinations of indices of size  $m$  drawn from the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ .

## Definition: U-Statistic

The parameter of interest is

$$\theta = \mathbb{E} [U_N] = \mathbb{E} [h (X_1, \dots, X_m)] ,$$

where the expectation is over  $m$  independent random draws from the target population.

Our goals for today:

1.  $U_N$  is unbiased for  $\theta$ , what about  $\mathbb{V} (U_N)$ ?
2. large sample theory / asymptotic normality;
3. extension to M-estimation type problems.

## Kendall's Tau

$\{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$  are i.i.d random draws from  $F_{X,Y}$ .

The probability that a pair of observations are *concordant* is

$$c = \Pr(X_i > X_j \cap Y_i > Y_j) \cup \Pr(X_i < X_j \cap Y_i < Y_j)$$

Kendall's Tau is the population proportion of concordant pairs minus the population proportion of *discordant* pairs

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &= c - (1 - c) \\ &= 2c - 1.\end{aligned}$$

A “nonparametric” measure of correlation/monotonicity:  $-1$  (never concordant) and  $1$  (always concordant).



## Kendall's Tau (continued)

Let  $Z_i = (X_i, Y_i)$  and define the kernel  $h(Z_i, Z_j)$  as

$$h(Z_i, Z_j) = 2 \left[ \mathbf{1}(X_i > X_j) \mathbf{1}(Y_i > Y_j) + \mathbf{1}(X_i < X_j) \mathbf{1}(Y_i < Y_j) \right] - 1.$$

With some work it is possible to show that

$$h(Z_i, Z_j) = \left(1 - 2\mathbf{1}(X_i < X_j)\right) \left(1 - 2\mathbf{1}(Y_i < Y_j)\right),$$

which will be useful later.

An unbiased estimate of  $\tau$  is

$$\hat{\tau} = \binom{N}{2}^{-1} \sum_{i < j} h(Z_i, Z_j).$$

(we will symmetrize  $h(Z_i, Z_j)$  later).

## Variance

The variance of  $U_N$  is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}(U_N) &= \mathbb{V} \left( \binom{N}{m}^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in C_{m,N}} h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_m}) \right) \\ &= \binom{N}{m}^{-2} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in C_{m,N}} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in C_{m,N}} \mathbb{C} \left( h(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_m}), h(X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_m}) \right).\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

(Some of) the summands in  $\mathbb{V}(U_N)$  covary.

Fortunately this dependence is structured.

## Variance (continued)

For  $s = 1, \dots, m$  let

$$\bar{h}_s(x_1, \dots, x_s) = \mathbb{E}[h(x_1, \dots, x_s, X_{s+1}, \dots, X_m)]$$

be the average over the last  $m - s$  elements of  $h(\cdot)$  holding the first  $s$  elements fixed.

Note that since  $X_{i_k}$  is independent of  $X_{i_l}$  for all  $k \neq l$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[h(X_1, \dots, X_s, X_{s+1}, \dots, X_m) | (X_1, \dots, X_s) = (x_1, \dots, x_s)] \\ = \mathbb{E}[h(x_1, \dots, x_s, X_{s+1}, \dots, X_m)]. \end{aligned}$$

It is also useful to observe that

$$\mathbb{E}[\bar{h}_s(X_1, \dots, X_s)] = \mathbb{E}[h(X_1, \dots, X_m)] = \theta.$$

### Variance (continued)

Define, for  $s = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$\delta_s^2 = \mathbb{V} \left( \bar{h}_s (X_1, \dots, X_s) \right).$$

The form of the covariances in (1) depends on the number of indices in common.

Let  $s$  be the number of indices in common in  $X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_m}$  and  $X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_m}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{C} \left( h \left( X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_m} \right), h \left( X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_m} \right) \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( h \left( X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_s}, X_{i_{s+1}}, \dots, X_{i_m} \right) - \theta \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \times \left( h \left( X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_s}, X_{j_{s+1}}, \dots, X_{j_m} \right) - \theta \right) \right] \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

### Variance (continued)

Conditional on  $X_1, \dots, X_s$  the two terms in (2) are independent so that, using the Law of Iterated Expectations,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C} \left( h \left( X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_m} \right), h \left( X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_m} \right) \right) \\ = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \bar{h}_s (X_1, \dots, X_s) - \theta \right) \left( \bar{h}_s (X_1, \dots, X_s) - \theta \right) \right] \\ = \delta_s^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the same argument yields

$$\mathbb{C} \left( \bar{h}_s (X_1, \dots, X_s), h (X_1, \dots, X_m) \right) = \delta_s^2.$$

### Variance (continued)

By the Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality we have

$$\frac{\mathbb{C} \left( \bar{h}_s (X_1, \dots, X_s), h (X_1, \dots, X_m) \right)}{\delta_s \delta_m} \leq 1$$

and hence

$$\delta_s^2 \leq \delta_m^2.$$

Continuing with this type of reasoning we get the weak ordering

$$\delta_1^2 \leq \delta_2^2 \leq \dots \leq \delta_m^2.$$

In what follows we will assume that  $\delta_m^2 < \infty$ .

### Variance (continued)

To use these results to get an expression for  $\mathbb{V}(U_N)$  begin by observing that the number of pairs of  $m$ -tuples  $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$  and  $(j_1, \dots, j_m)$  having exactly  $s$  elements in common is

$$\binom{N}{m} \binom{m}{s} \binom{N-m}{m-s}.$$

## Variance (continued)

This follows since:

1.  $\binom{N}{m}$  equals the number of ways of choosing  $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$  from the set  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ .
2. For each unique  $m$ -tuple there are  $\binom{m}{s}$  ways of choosing a subset of size  $s$  from it.
3. Having fixed the  $s$  indices in common there are then  $\binom{N-m}{m-s}$  ways of choosing the  $m - s$  non-common elements of  $(j_1, \dots, j_m)$  from the  $N - m$  integers not already present in  $(i_1, \dots, i_m)$ .



### Variance (continued)

We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{V}(U_N) &= \binom{N}{m}^{-2} \sum_{s=0}^m \binom{N}{m} \binom{m}{s} \binom{N-m}{m-s} \delta_s^2 \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^m \left[ \frac{m!^2}{s! (m-s)!^2} \times \right. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

$$\left. \frac{(N-m)(N-m-1) \cdots (N-2m+s+1)}{N(N-1) \cdots (N-m+1)} \right] \delta_s^2 \tag{4}$$

### Variance (continued)

To understand this expression note that each of the covariances in (4) above have  $s = 0, \dots, m$  elements in common.

The coefficients on the  $\delta_s^2$  in (4) give the number of covariances with  $s$  elements in common.

Also note that  $\delta_0^2 = 0$ .

### Variance (continued)

The coefficient on  $\delta_1^2$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{m!^2}{1! (m-1)!^2} \frac{(N-m)(N-m-1) \cdots (N-2m+1+1)}{N(N-1) \cdots (N-m+1)} \\ &= m^2 \frac{\overbrace{(N-m)(N-m-1) \cdots (N-2m+2)}^{\text{m-1 terms}}}{\underbrace{N(N-1) \cdots (N-m+1)}_{\text{m terms}}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\approx \frac{m^2}{N}.$$

### Variance (continued)

The coefficient on  $\delta_2^2$  is  $O(N^{-2})$  etc. We therefore have

$$\mathbb{E}[U_N] = \theta, \quad \mathbb{V}(U_N) = \frac{m^2}{N} \delta_1^2 + O(N^{-2})$$

and also that  $\mathbb{V}(\sqrt{N}(U_N - \theta)) \rightarrow m^2 \delta_1^2$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $\delta_1 = 0$  we say that  $U_N$  is a degenerate U-Statistic with degeneracy of order 1.

## Large sample theory

Basic idea:

1. We are interested in the asymptotic distribution of  $U_N$  (a priori complicated).
2. Find another statistics  $U_N^*$  with well-understood asymptotic distribution.
3. Show that  $U_N$  is “close enough” to  $U_N^*$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  such that they have the same asymptotic distribution.

## Hajek Projection

The asymptotic properties of sums of independent random variables, appropriately scaled, are especially well-understood.

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N$  be independent  $K \times 1$  random vectors. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the linear subspace containing of all functions of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^N g_i(X_i) \tag{5}$$

for  $g_i : \mathbb{R}^K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  arbitrary with  $\mathbb{E} [g_i(X_i)^2] < \infty$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ .

## Hajek Projection (continued)

Next let  $Y$  be an arbitrary random variable with finite variance, but unknown distribution.

Use the Projection Theorem to approximate the statistic  $Y$  with one composed of a sum of independent random functions.

Such a sum, by appeal to a CLT, may be well-described by a normal distribution.

If the projection is also a very good approximation of  $Y$ , then the hope is that  $Y$  may be accurately described by a normal distribution as well.

## Hajek Projection (continued)

The projection of  $Y$  onto  $\mathcal{L}$ , equals

$$\Pi(Y|\mathcal{L}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}[Y|X_i] - (N-1)\mathbb{E}[Y]. \quad (6)$$

To verify (6) it suffices to check the necessary and sufficient orthogonality condition of the Projection Theorem.



## Hajek Projection: verification

It is helpful to observe that, for  $j \neq i$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E} [Y | X_i] | X_j \right] &= \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [Y | X_i]] \\ &= \mathbb{E} [Y],\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

due to independence of  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  and the law of iterated expectations.

In contrast, if  $j = i$ , then

$$\mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E} [Y | X_i] | X_i] = \mathbb{E} [Y | X_i].\tag{8}$$

## Hajek Projection: verification (continued)

The orthogonality condition to verify, for  $U = Y - \Pi(Y|\mathcal{L})$ , is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathbb{E} \left[ U \left( \sum_{j=1}^N g_j(X_j) \right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E}[U|X_j] g_j(X_j) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Next observe that, using (7) and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[U|X_j] &= \mathbb{E}[Y|X_j] - \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y|X_i]|X_j] + (N-1)\mathbb{E}[Y] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Y|X_j] - \mathbb{E}[Y|X_j] - (N-1)\mathbb{E}[Y] + (N-1)\mathbb{E}[Y] \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .

## Hajek Projection: iid simplification

If, in addition to independence, we have that (i)  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$  are identically distributed and (ii)  $Y = h(X_1, \dots, X_N)$  is a permutation symmetric function of  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[Y | X_i = x] &= \mathbb{E}[Y | X_1 = x] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[h(x, X_2, \dots, X_N)] \\ &\stackrel{def}{=} \bar{h}_1(x)\end{aligned}$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Since  $\bar{h}_1(x)$  does not depend on  $i$  it follows that (6) simplifies, in this case, to

$$\boxed{\Pi(Y | \mathcal{L}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{h}_1(X_i) - (N-1) \mathbb{E}[Y]} \tag{9}$$

## Large Sample Theory

Let  $\{Y_N\}$  be a sequence of statistics indexed by the sample size and  $\mathcal{L}_N$  a corresponding sequence of linear subspaces of form (5).

Goal: use the limiting distribution of  $\sqrt{N} (\Pi(Y_N | \mathcal{L}_N) - \Pi(Y_N | 1))$  to approximate that of  $\sqrt{N} (Y_N - \Pi(Y_N | 1))$ .

Valid if these two statistics converge in mean square (to one another).

Attractive because in many cases of interest the asymptotic sampling distribution of  $\sqrt{N} (\Pi(Y_N | \mathcal{L}_N) - \Pi(Y_N | 1))$  is straightforward to derive, whereas that of  $\sqrt{N} (Y_N - \Pi(Y_N | 1))$  may be ex ante non-obvious.

## Large Sample Theory (continued)

The “Analysis of Variance” decomposition for projections gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|Y_N - \Pi(Y_N|1)\|^2 &= \|Y_N - \Pi(Y_N|\mathcal{L}_N)\|^2 \\ &\quad + \|\Pi(Y_N|\mathcal{L}_N) - \Pi(Y_N|1)\|^2,\end{aligned}$$

which, after some re-arrangement, yields

$$\begin{aligned}N \|Y_N - \Pi(Y_N|\mathcal{L}_N)\|^2 &= N \|Y_N - \Pi(Y_N|1)\|^2 \\ &\quad - N \|\Pi(Y_N|\mathcal{L}_N) - \Pi(Y_N|1)\|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Or, invoking the covariance inner product, that  $\Pi(Y|1) = \mathbb{E}[Y]$ , as well as the definition of variance,

$$N\mathbb{E}[(Y_N - \Pi(Y_N|\mathcal{L}_N))^2] = N\mathbb{V}(Y_N) - N\mathbb{V}(\Pi(Y_N|\mathcal{L}_N)). \quad (10)$$

## Large Sample Theory

If the limits of  $N\mathbb{V}(Y_N)$  and  $N\mathbb{V}(\Pi(Y_N|\mathcal{L}_N))$  coincide as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  we have that  $\sqrt{N}(Y_N - \Pi(Y_N|\mathcal{L}_N))$  converges in mean square to zero.

This means that  $\sqrt{N}Y_N$  and  $\sqrt{N}\Pi(Y_N|\mathcal{L}_N)$  will have identical limit distributions.

## Application to U-Statistics

The Hajek Projection of  $U_N$  onto  $\mathcal{L}$  equals, from (6) above,

$$\Pi(U_N | \mathcal{L}_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{E}[U_N | X_i] - (N-1) \mathbb{E}[U_N]. \quad (11)$$

To simplify the argument assume that  $m = 2$ . The  $L^2$  projection of  $U_N$  onto just the first observation  $X_1$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[U_N | X_1] &= \binom{N}{2}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N \mathbb{E}[h(X_i, X_j) | X_1] \\ &= \binom{N}{2}^{-1} (N-1) \bar{h}_1(X_1) + \binom{N}{2}^{-1} \left( \binom{N}{2} - (N-1) \right) \theta \\ &= \frac{2}{N} \{ \bar{h}_1(X_1) - \theta \} + \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

## **Application to U-Statistics (continued)**

The second equality follows because  $\mathbb{E} \left[ h \left( X_i, X_j \right) \middle| X_1 \right] = \bar{h}_1 \left( X_1 \right)$  if either  $i$  or  $j$  equals 1 (which occurs  $N - 1$  times).

In all other cases, by random sampling,  $\mathbb{E} \left[ h \left( X_i, X_j \right) \middle| X_1 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ h \left( X_i, X_j \right) \right] = \theta$  (which occurs  $\binom{N}{2} - (N - 1)$  times).

Substituting (12) into (11) yields

$$\Pi \left( U_N - \theta \middle| \mathcal{L}_N \right) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \bar{h}_1 \left( X_i \right) - \theta \right\}.$$

For the general  $m \geq 2$  case a similar calculation gives

$$\Pi \left( U_N - \theta \middle| \mathcal{L}_N \right) = \frac{m}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \bar{h}_1 \left( X_i \right) - \theta \right\}.$$



## Application to U-Statistics (continued)

Since  $\Pi(U_N - \theta | \mathcal{L}_N)$  is a sum of i.i.d. random variables with  $\mathbb{V}(\bar{h}_1(X_1) - \theta) = \delta_1^2$ , a CLT gives

$$\sqrt{N}\Pi(U_N - \theta | \mathcal{L}_N) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, m^2\delta_1^2).$$

Our (combinatoric) variance calculations gave

$$\mathbb{V}(\sqrt{N}(U_N - \theta)) \rightarrow m^2\delta_1^2$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

## Application to U-Statistics (continued)

Therefore

$$N\mathbb{V}(U_N) - N\mathbb{V}(\Pi(U_N | \mathcal{L}_N)) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , in turn implying that  $\sqrt{N}(U_N - \theta)$  converges in mean square to  $\sqrt{N}\Pi(U_N - \theta | \mathcal{L}_N)$  and hence that

$$\boxed{\sqrt{N}(U_N - \theta) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, m^2 \delta_1^2)}$$

as needed.

## Limit Theory for Kendall's Tau

First we symmetrize the kernel:

$$h(Z_i, Z_j) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( 1 - 2\mathbf{1}(X_i < X_j) \right) \left( 1 - 2\mathbf{1}(Y_i < Y_j) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \left( 1 - 2\mathbf{1}(X_j < X_i) \right) \left( 1 - 2\mathbf{1}(Y_j < Y_i) \right) \right].$$

## Limit Theory for Kendall's Tau (continued)

To calculate the projection we evaluate (under no-concordance  $H_0$ )

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[h(Z_1, Z_2) | Z_1] &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - 2[1 - F_X(X_1)])(1 - 2[1 - F_Y(Y_1)]) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (1 - 2F_X(X_1))(1 - 2F_Y(Y_1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (2F_X(X_1) - 1)(2F_Y(Y_1) - 1) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (1 - 2F_X(X_1))(1 - 2F_Y(Y_1)).\end{aligned}$$

## Limit Theory for Kendall's Tau (continued)

Next observe that  $U_1 \stackrel{d}{=} 1 - 2F_X(X_1)$  and  $V_1 \stackrel{d}{=} 1 - 2F_Y(Y_1)$  with  $U_1$  and  $V_1$  uniform on  $[-1, 1]$ .

We therefore have

$$\mathbb{E}[h(Z_1, Z_2) | Z_1] = \bar{h}_1(Z_1) \stackrel{d}{=} U_1 V_1.$$

*Under the null* of independence of  $X_1$  and  $Y_1$

$$\delta_1^2 = \mathbb{V}(U_1 V_1) \stackrel{H_0}{=} \frac{1}{9}$$

(If  $U \sim \text{Uniform}[a, b]$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[U] = (a + b)/2$  and  $\mathbb{V}(U) = (b - a)^2/12$ ).

## Limit Theory for Kendall's Tau (continued)

Putting things together we get

$$\boxed{\sqrt{N} (\hat{\tau} - \tau) \xrightarrow[H_0]{D} \mathcal{N} \left( 0, \frac{4}{9} \right) .}$$

No need to calculate a variance to test the null of no-concordance.

Exercise: Derive limit theory for “general” case.

## U-Process Minimizers

? study the large sample properties of U-Process minimizers.

Let  $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^N$  be a sample of i.i.d random variables and consider the estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  which minimizes

$$L_N(\beta) = \binom{N}{m}^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in C_{m,N}} l(Z_{i_1}, \dots, Z_{i_m}; \beta).$$

A mean value expansion gives, after some manipulation

$$\sqrt{N}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) = -\Gamma_0^{-1} \sqrt{N} \left[ \binom{N}{m}^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in C_{m,N}} \nabla_{\beta} l(Z_{i_1}, \dots, Z_{i_m}; \beta_0) \right] + o_p(1)$$

where  $\text{plim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_{\beta\beta} L_N(\hat{\beta}) = \Gamma_0$ , assumed invertible.

## U-Process Minimizers (continued)

To make connections to the basic theory of U-Statistics outlined above define

$$h \left( Z_{i_1}, \dots, Z_{i_m}; \beta \right) = \nabla_{\beta} l \left( Z_{i_1}, \dots, Z_{i_m}; \beta \right)$$

and also

$$\tilde{h}_1 (z_1; \beta) = \mathbb{E} \left[ h \left( z_1, Z_{i_2}, \dots, Z_{i_m}; \beta \right) \right] .$$

A CLT gives

$$\frac{m}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{h}_1 (Z_i; \beta_0) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N} \left( 0, m^2 \Omega_0 \right) .$$

with

$$\Omega_0 = \mathbb{E} \left[ \tilde{h}_1 (Z_i; \beta_0) \tilde{h}_1 (Z_i; \beta_0)' \right] .$$



## U-Process Minimizers (continued)

Define

$$U_N(\beta_0) = \binom{N}{m}^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in C_{m,N}} \nabla_{\beta} l(Z_{i_1}, \dots, Z_{i_m}; \beta_0),$$
$$U_N^*(\beta_0) = \frac{m}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \tilde{h}_1(Z_i; \beta_0).$$

By our discussion of U-Statistics given above we have

$$N\mathbb{E} \left[ (U_N^*(\beta_0) - U_N(\beta_0))^2 \right] \rightarrow 0$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and hence, applying a Slutsky Theorem,

$$\boxed{\sqrt{N}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) \xrightarrow{D} \mathcal{N}(0, m^2 \Gamma_0^{-1} \Omega_0 \Gamma_0^{-1})}$$

## U-Process Minimizers (continued)

To construct an estimate of the asymptotic variance of  $\hat{\beta}$  we compute

$$\hat{h}_1(Z_i; \hat{\beta}) = \binom{N-1}{m-1}^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in C_{m-1, N-1}} h(Z_i, Z_{j_2}, \dots, Z_{j_m}; \hat{\beta}),$$

and then calculate

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Omega} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{h}_1(Z_i; \hat{\beta}) \hat{h}_1(Z_i; \hat{\beta})', \\ \hat{\Gamma} &= \binom{N}{m}^{-1} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in C_{m, N}} \nabla_{\beta\beta} l(Z_{i_1}, \dots, Z_{i_m}; \hat{\beta}).\end{aligned}$$

### Application: partially linear logit

Consider the binary choice model

$$Y_i = 1 \left( X_i' \beta_0 + g(W_i) - U_i \geq 0 \right),$$

with  $U_i$  logistic.

Assume that  $W_i$  is discretely-valued, but perhaps with “many” support points.

An estimator which replaces the unknown function  $g(W_i)$  with a vector of dummy variables for each support point of  $W_i$  may have poor finite sample properties and/or be difficult to compute.

### Application: partially linear logit (continued)

Let  $i$  and  $j$  be two independent random draws.

Recalling results from binary choice with panel data analysis we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(Y_i = 0, Y_j = 1 | X_i, X_j, Y_i + Y_j = 1, W_i = W_j) \\ = \frac{\exp(X_j' \beta_0 + g(W_j))}{\exp(X_j' \beta_0 + g(W_j)) + \exp(X_i' \beta_0 + g(W_j))} \\ = \frac{\exp((X_j - X_i)' \beta_0)}{1 + \exp((X_j - X_i)' \beta_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

### Application: partially linear logit (continued)

If we let

$$S_{ij} = \text{sgn} \{Y_j - Y_i\} ,$$

we may base estimation of  $\beta_0$  on the U-Process

$$\begin{aligned} L_N(\beta) = & \binom{N}{2}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j < i} \left[ \mathbf{1}(W_i = W_j) |S_{ij}| \right. \\ & \times \left. \left\{ S_{ij} (X_j - X_i)' \beta - \ln \left[ 1 + \exp \left( S_{ij} (X_j - X_i)' \beta \right) \right] \right\} \right] . \end{aligned}$$

### Application: partially linear logit (continued)

To construct an estimate of the asymptotic variance of  $\hat{\beta}$  first define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_1(Z_i; \hat{\beta}) = & \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^N \left[ \mathbf{1}(Z_i = Z_j) |S_{ij}| \right. \\ & \left. \times \left\{ \mathbf{1}(S_{ij} = 1) - \frac{\exp\left((X_j - X_i)' \hat{\beta}\right)}{1 + \exp\left((X_j - X_i)' \hat{\beta}\right)} \right\} (X_j - X_i) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

### Application: partially linear logit (continued)

We can then compute

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\Gamma} &= -\frac{2}{N(N-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N \left[ \mathbf{1}(Z_i = Z_j) |S_{ij}| \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left\{ \frac{\exp\left((X_j - X_i)' \hat{\beta}\right)}{\left[1 + \exp\left((X_j - X_i)' \hat{\beta}\right)\right]^2} \right\} (X_j - X_i) (X_j - X_i)'\right] \\ \hat{\Omega} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{h}_1(Z_i; \hat{\beta}) \hat{h}_1(Z_i; \hat{\beta})' .\end{aligned}$$

## Wrapping Up

“Modern” applications may involve kernels which are indexed by  $N$  (e.g., semiparametric M-Estimation, Sparse Network Asymptotics).

Degeneracy: what happens if  $\delta_1^2 = 0$ ? See ?.

Two-sample U-Statistics (useful in Bi-Partite settings).

Exercises:

See ? and ? for many classic examples from nonparametric statistics which you can use as practice problems.

Compare exact distribution of sample variance in Gaussian model with U-Statistic large sample theory.



\*References