Global sensitivity analysis of model parameters in aeroelastic wind-turbine codes

P. Kumar[1], **B. Sanderse**[1]

[1] Centrum Wiskunde & Informatica, Science Park 123, Amsterdam.

Author contact email: b.sanderse@cwi.nl

Keywords: aero-elastic turbine model, BEM, sensitivity analysis, model uncertainty

1 Introduction

Aeroelastic models such as the Blade Element Momentum (BEM) method [2] continue to play a critical role in the design, development and optimization of modern wind turbines. The accuracy of BEM predictions is affected to by uncertainties and inaccuracies, for example in the external conditions (wind parameters), in the turbine specification (geometric parameters), and in the BEM equations itself (model parameters). For the purpose of design, uncertainty quantification and optimization, is it crucial to limit the number of parameters, typically by performing sensitivity studies. Most studies focused on the effect of uncertainties in the external conditions and in the geometry, see e.g. [3, 5, 6, 8].

2 Objectives

The long-term objective of this study is to develop calibrated BEM models that give users an indication of the uncertainty associated with the predictions (loads, power, etc.) originating not only from external conditions and geometry, but also from the model formulation itself. For this purpose, we will calibrate the model parameters present in BEM models. Examples of such model parameters are the time constant in dynamic stall models, the wake correction factor, the tip loss model parameter, and the lift- and drag-polars [9]. In order to limit the number of model parameters involved in the calibration process, *the objective of the current study is to perform a global sensitivity study of the outputs of the BEM model towards both geometric and model uncertainties.*

3 Methodology

To compute parameter sensitivities we use a global sensitivity analysis based on the Sobol expansion approach, which decomposes the total variance of the quantity of interest (model output) into contributions from individual parameters and their combinations, similar to [3, 6, 7]. We employ the uncertainty quantification toolbox `UQLab` [4], which computes the Sobol indices from a sparse polynomial chaos expansion. `UQLab`'s modular structure allows for easy integration with available BEM codes.

The geometric uncertainties currently considered are chord and twist distribution, whereas the model uncertainty enters via uncertainty in lift- and drag-polars. In order to express the chord, twist, lift and drag distributions along the turbine blade, an efficient parameterization is needed that gives flexible control over the prescribed uncertainty while limit the number of required parameters. We have chosen to use NURBS curves for this purpose, similar to [3].

4 Results

The aeroelastic code that we use is the `ECN Aero-Module` [1] and the turbine is the 2MW NM80 turbine from the DANAERO project [10].

5 Conclusions

References

[1] K. Boorsma, F. Grasso, and J.G. Holierhoek. Enhanced approach for simulation of rotor aerodynamic loads. Technical Report ECN-M-12-003, 2012.

[2] Tony Burton, Nick Jenkins, David Sharpe, and Ervin Bossanyin. *Wind Energy Handbook, Second Edition*. John Wiley & Sons, 2001.

[3] Fernando Echeverría, Fermín Mallor, and Unai San Miguel. Global sensitivity analysis of the blade geometry variables on the wind turbine performance. *Wind Energy*, 20(9):1601–1616, sep 2017.

[4] Stefano Marelli and Bruno Sudret. *UQLab: A Framework for Uncertainty Quantification in Matlab*, pages 2554–2563.

[5] David Matthäus, Pietro Bortolotti, Jaikumar Loganathan, and Carlo L. Bottasso. Propagation of Uncertainties Through Wind Turbine Models for Robust Design Optimization. In *35th Wind Energy Symposium, AIAA SciTech Forum, 9-13 January 2017, Grapevine, Texas*, Reston, Virginia, jan 2017. American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics.

[6] Juan Pablo Murcia, Pierre-Elouan Réthoré, Nikolay Dimitrov, Anand Natarajan, John Dalsgaard Sørensen, Peter Graf, and Taeseong Kim. Uncertainty propagation through an aeroelastic wind turbine model using polynomial surrogates. *Renewable Energy*, 119:910–922, apr 2018.

[7] Jennifer M. Rinker. Calculating the sensitivity of wind turbine loads to wind inputs using response surfaces. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 753:032057, 2016.

[8] Amy Robertson, Latha Sethuraman, and Jason M. Jonkman. *Assessment of Wind Parameter Sensitivity on Extreme and Fatigue Wind Turbine Loads.*

[9] M. Sayed, L. Klein, Th Lutz, and E. Krämer. The impact of the aerodynamic model fidelity on the aeroelastic response of a multi-megawatt wind turbine. *Renewable Energy*, 140:304–318, 2019.

[10] N. Troldborg, C. Bak, H. Aagaard Madsen, and W.R. Skrzypinski. Danaero mw: Final report. Technical Report DTU Wind Energy E-0027, 2013.