

## Assignment 10

**Due Monday 11 December 2023, at 5 pm in my Chapman 101 box**

Please read Lectures 24, 25, 26, 27, and 28 in the textbook *Numerical Linear Algebra* by Trefethen and Bau. This Assignment mostly covers eigenvalues, including some iterations which approximate them: power, inverse, and Rayleigh quotient iterations. We will not get to the actual/practical QR algorithm for eigenvalues (Lecture 29), nor to material beyond that.

DO THE FOLLOWING EXERCISES from Lecture 24:

- **Exercise 24.1**

DO THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL EXERCISES.

**P19.** In an *in place* Gauss elimination algorithm uses no more memory to store  $L$  and  $U$  than is already used to store  $A$ .

(a) Write a function with signature `Z = iplu(A)` which takes as input a square  $m \times m$  matrix  $A$  and computes  $A = LU$  by Algorithm 20.1. It will not create separate matrices  $L$  and  $U$ . It will produce a matrix  $Z$  which has all numbers  $l_{jk}$  and  $u_{jk}$  in the corresponding locations. You will be able to recover matrices  $L$  and  $U$  as follows:

```
>> Z = iplu(A);
>> U = triu(Z)
>> L = tril(Z,-1) + diag(ones(m,1))
```

Demonstrate that `iplu(A)` works by applying it to the matrix  $A$  in (20.3) and recovering the factors in (20.5).

(b) Now write another function with signature `x = bslash(A,b)` which solves square systems  $Ax = b$ . It calls `iplu(A)` to compute the in-place LU factorization. Then it solves the system from  $Z$  *without* forming  $L$  or  $U$ .<sup>1</sup> It will have loops which implement forward- and backward-substitution (Alg. 17.1) using the entries of  $Z$ . Show it works by comparing to “\” on some randomly-generated  $10 \times 10$  system  $Ax = b$ :

```
>> x1 = bslash(A,b);
>> x2 = A \ b;
>> norm(x1 - x2) / norm(x2)
```

(c) **Extra Credit** Of course, Algorithm 20.1 is not a good idea. Implement Gauss elimination with partial pivoting, Algorithm 21.1, returning/using an integer vector  $p$  for the row swaps. (Do not actually move values in memory for row swaps.) Demonstrate correctness of your updated `bbslash(A)`<sup>2</sup> as in part (b). Then find an example for which this updated version produces substantially less floating-point error.

<sup>1</sup>And, of course, without using MATLAB’s backslash operation!

<sup>2</sup>“Better backslash.”

**P20.** A *circulant matrix* is one where constant diagonals “wrap around”:

$$(1) \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_m & \cdots & c_3 & c_2 \\ c_2 & c_1 & c_m & & c_3 \\ \vdots & c_2 & c_1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_{m-1} & & \ddots & \ddots & c_m \\ c_m & c_{m-1} & \cdots & c_2 & c_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Each entry of  $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$  is determined from the entries  $c_1, \dots, c_m$  in its first column:

$$C_{jk} = \begin{cases} c_{j-k+1}, & j \geq k, \\ c_{m+j-k+1}, & j < k. \end{cases}$$

Specifying the first column of a circulant matrix describes it completely.

An extraordinary fact about a circulant matrix is that it has a complete set of complex eigenvectors *that are known in advance*, without knowing the eigenvalues. Specifically, define  $f_k \in \mathbb{C}^m$  by

$$(2) \quad (f_k)_j = \exp\left(-i(j-1)(k-1)\frac{2\pi}{m}\right) = e^{-i2\pi(k-1)(j-1)/m},$$

where, as usual,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . These vectors are *waves*, i.e. combinations of familiar sines and cosines, and in fact this exercise can be regarded as “discovering” Fourier series and Fourier-type ideas generally. After some warm-up exercises you will show in part (e) that  $Cf_k = \lambda_k f_k$  for a computable eigenvalue  $\lambda_k$ .

(a) Define the *periodic convolution*  $u * w \in \mathbb{C}^m$  of vectors  $u, w \in \mathbb{C}^m$  by

$$(u * w)_j = \sum_{k=1}^m u_{\mu(j,k)} w_k \quad \text{where} \quad \mu(j,k) = \begin{cases} j - k + 1, & j \geq k, \\ m + j - k + 1, & j < k. \end{cases}$$

Show that  $u * w = w * u$ .

(b) Show that  $Cu = v * u$  if  $C$  is a circulant matrix and  $v$  is the first column of  $C$ .

(c) Show that the vectors  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  defined in (2) are orthogonal. (*Hints.* Remember the conjugate in the inner product. Then use a fact about finite geometric series.)

(d) For  $m = 20$ , use Matlab to plot the real parts of the vectors  $f_1, \dots, f_5$ , together in a single figure. (*Hint.* They should look like discretized waves.)

(e) For a general circulant matrix, i.e.  $C$  in (1) above, give a formula for the eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$ , in terms of the entries  $c_1, \dots, c_m$ . That is, show via by-hand calculation that

$$Cf_k = \lambda_k f_k$$

where  $f_k$  is given by (2). Your solution should generate a formula for  $\lambda_k$ .

(f) Construct a  $5 \times 5$  circulant matrix  $C$  with a random first column. Use the result of (e) to compute the eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$ , and compare these against the result of `eig()`. (*Hint.* They should be the same to high accuracy.)

**P21. (a)** Implement Algorithm 26.1, Householder reduction to Hessenberg form. Specifically, build a code with the signature

$$H = \text{hessen}(A, \text{stages})$$

Your code will check that  $A$  is square, print the stages if `stages` is `true`, and finally return a Hessenberg matrix  $H$  such that  $A = QHQ^*$  for some unitary  $Q$ . Note that your code can discard the vectors  $v_k$  after they are used.

**(b)** For a random  $5 \times 5$  matrix  $A$  of your choice, run the code and show the four stages  $A$ ,  $Q_1^* A Q_1$ ,  $Q_2^* Q_1^* A Q_1 Q_2$ , and  $H = Q_3^* Q_2^* Q_1^* A Q_1 Q_2 Q_3$ . (*Hint.* This illustrates the cartoons on pages 197–198, in the **A Good Idea** subsection.) Use the built-in `eig()` to show that the eigenvalues of  $A$  and  $H$  are the same to within rounding error.

**(c)** Construct a new  $4 \times 4$  Hermitian matrix  $S$  and compute  $T = \text{hessen}(S)$ . Check that  $T$  is tridiagonal and Hermitian. Show that the eigenvalues of  $S$  and  $T$  are the same within rounding error.

**P22. (a)** Implement Algorithm 27.3, Rayleigh quotient iteration. Specifically, write a code with signature

$$[\text{lam}, v] = \text{rqi}(A, v0)$$

which returns an eigenvalue `lam` corresponding to the eigenvector `v`, and which starts the iteration from a given vector `v0`. As a stopping criterion, to avoid a warning when solving the linear system with the matrix  $B = A - \lambda^{(k-1)}I$ , I suggest

$$\text{rcond}(B) < 10 * \text{eps}$$

or equivalent; using Matlab or other documentation, explain what this criterion means.

**(b)** Show your code works by (i) reproducing the iterates  $\lambda^{(0)}$ ,  $\lambda^{(1)}$ ,  $\lambda^{(2)}$  in Example 27.1, and (ii) by matching one of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors, computed by the built-in command `eig()`, of a random  $20 \times 20$  Hermitian matrix.

**Extra Credit A.** Theorem 15.1 requires that your algorithm be *backward stable*. What if it is merely *stable* according to the definition given in Lecture 14? To my surprise, I was able to prove a theorem about the relative error which is nearly as strong. Show:

**Theorem.** Suppose a stable algorithm  $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow Y$  is applied to solve a problem  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  with condition number  $\kappa$  on a computer satisfying (13.5), (13.7). Then there is a constant  $\gamma \geq 0$  so that the relative errors satisfy

$$\frac{\|\tilde{f}(x) - f(x)\|}{\|f(x)\|} = O((\kappa(x) + \gamma)\epsilon_{\text{machine}}) \quad \text{as } \epsilon_{\text{machine}} \rightarrow 0.$$

*Hints.* Roughly follow the proof of Theorem 15.1. Replace “ $\tilde{f}(x) = f(\tilde{x})$ ” with  $\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{f}(x) - f(\tilde{x}) + f(\tilde{x})$ . You will need the triangle inequality in addition to steps already in the proof of Theorem 15.1. Make it clear how the constant “ $\gamma$ ” arises; what does it depend on?