

C/

Bart Geurts. 2019-06-17
skype

1. 2nd / 3rd person is really just common ground / no common ground

2. All mental state attr are normative
Caution: third-person attributions might not be.

3. If you say it ^{to me} you're spx to believe it.
(It's raining') (NOT in the spectator case).

in Bart's sense.
You will use words like good/shall/bad/etc
there are normative behaviors associated with it.
You can ask for an explanation.

E1 conflicting beliefs

E2 conflicting evidence for belief.

D/ We'd like to do a simple experiment
to clarify the idea that some mental
states have a phenomenal component.

E/ Where are the car keys? I say I believe they're
in the car. But when I need them, I go to the
kitchen. ^{seems to believe is really believing.}
i.e. conflicting evidence about what I believe.

come up with a question about
about mental state attribution
Eg: how do you express conflicting

A Anything special about
inconsistent beliefs?
Expt. needs more thought!

B Typology of mental state
terms across languages.
What mental state terms exist
in the languages? "He spoke
to himself in his heart" Hebrew B.H.