COMPUTER SCIENCE 61AS

Basics of Streams

1. What is a stream?

Solution: A stream is an element and a promise to evaluate the rest of the stream. Streams are a clever idea that allows one to use sequence manipulations without incurring the costs of manipulating sequences as lists. A stream is implemented as a pair whose car is forced and whose cdr is a promise.

2. How does memoization work?

Solution: The first time the memoized procedure is run, it saves the computed result. On subsequent evaluations, it simply returns the result.

3. Is a cons-stream a special form?

Solution: Yes. cons-stream will not evaluate its second argument, making it a special form.

Practice with Streams

1. Define a procedure (ones) that, when run with no arguments, returns a cons pair whose car is 1, and whose cdr is a procedure that, when run, does the same thing. Do NOT use cons-stream.

```
Solution:
(define (ones) (cons 1 (lambda() (ones))))
```

```
or (define (ones) (cons 1 ones))
```

2. Define a procedure (integers-starting n) that takes in a number n and, when run, returns a cons pair whose car is n, and whose cdr is a procedure that, when run with no arguments, does the same thing for n+1. Again, do NOT use cons-stream for this part.

```
Solution:
  (define (integers-starting n)
    (cons n (lambda() (integers-starting (+ n 1)))))
```

3. Describe what the following expressions define:

```
a. (define s1  (add-stream\ (stream-map\ (lambda(x)\ (*\ x\ 2))\ s1)   s1))
```

Solution: infinite loop! We didnt specify a first element. Even the define statement will go into infinite loop.

```
b. (define s2 (cons-stream 1 (add-stream (stream-map (lambda(x) (* x 2)) s2) s2))
```

Solution:

```
Remember:

(define (stream-filter pred? s)

(cond ((stream-null? s) the-empty-stream)

((pred? (stream-car s))

(cons-stream (stream-car s)

(stream-filter pred? (stream-cdr s))))

(else (stream-filter pred? (stream-cdr s)))))
```

Infinite loop! stream-filter will keep trying to look for a number thats not 1. Or, more specifically, stream-filter, failing to find a non-1 element in stream-car, will call stream-filter again, which will call stream-filter again, and so on.

Solution:

```
(1 2 2 2 2...)
```

Rather counter-intuitive, but... well, we know that it starts with 1 and 2, since we said so. Then, the stream-cddr will be a stream that is produced by the stream-filter. stream-filter returns a stream whose first element is the first non-1 element of s4 (namely, 2), and whose promise is

```
(stream-filter pred? (stream-cdr s))
```

, where pred? is the lambda, and s is s4. Whats (stream-cdr s4)? Well, its a stream containing the element 2 and a promise to evaluate (stream-filter pred? s4). And we already know what that returns a stream starting with 2, with a promise to evaluate (stream-filter pred? (stream-cdr s)),etc

e. (define s5 (cons-stream 1 (add-streams s5 integers)))

4. Define facts without defining any procedures; the stream should be a stream of 1!, 2!, 3!, 4!, etc. More specifically, it returns a stream with elements (1 2 6 24 ...). Hint: use the integers stream.

```
Solution:
  (define facts
    (cons-stream 1
        (stream-map * (stream-cdr integers) facts)))
```

5. (HARD!) Define powers; the stream should be $1^1, 2^2, 3^3$ or, (1 4 16 64 ...). You cannot use the exponents procedure.

Practice with Streams

1. Define a procedure (lists-starting n) that takes in n and returns a stream containing (n), (n n+1), (n n+1 n+2) ... For example, (lists-starting 1) returns a stream containing like

```
((1) (1 2) (1 2 3) (1 2 3 4))
```

2. Define a procedure, (list->stream ls) that takes in a list and converts it into a stream. Remember, streams don't have to be infinite, and finite streams end with the-empty-stream

3. Define a procedure (chocolate name) that takes in a name and returns a stream like so:

```
STk>(chocolate 'chung)
(chung really likes chocolate chung really really likes chocolate ...)
Youll want to use helper procedures.
```

```
Solution:

(define (chocolate name)
  (define (helper n)
```

Stream-processing

1. Define a procedure, (stream-censor s replacements) that takes in a stream s and a table replacements and returns a stream with all instances of all the car of entries in replacements replaced with the cadr of the entries.

```
STk> (stream-censor (hello you weirdo ...) ((you I-am) (weirdo an-idiot))) (hello I-am an-idiot ...)
```

2. Define a procedure (make-alternating s) that takes in a stream of positive numbers and alternate their signs. So

```
STk> (make-alternating ones)
(1 -1 1 -1 1 -1 ...)
```

and

```
STk>(make-alternating integers)
(1 -2 3 -4 ...)
```

. Assume s is an infinite stream.

My Bodys Floating Down The Muddy Stream

1. Given streams ones, twos, threes and fours, write down the first ten elements of:

```
(interleave ones (interleave twos (interleave threes fours)))
```

```
Solution:
    (interleave threes fours) ==> (3 4 3 4 3 4 ...)
    (interleave twos threes-fours) ==> (2 3 2 4 2 3 2 4 ...)
    (interleave ones twos-threes-fours) ==> (1 2 1 3 1 2 1 4 1 2 1 3
```

2. Construct a stream all-integers that includes 0 and both the negative and positive integers. You may use procedures that you have defined above.

Or, you couldve interleaved the positives and the negatives.

3. Suppose we were foolish enough to try to implement stream-accumulate:

- 4. What happens when we do:
 - a. (define foo (stream-accumulate + 0 integers))

Solution: The define statement goes into an infinite loop. When we evaluate stream-accumulate, well go into the else clause, and have to call stream-accumulate again on the stream-cdr of integers, which does the same thing again. The problem is, NOTH-ING IS DELAYED.

b. (define bar (cons-stream 1 (stream-accumulate + 0 integers)))

Solution: The define statement goes into an infinite loop. When we evaluate stream-accumulate, well go into the else clause, and have to call stream-accumulate again on the stream-cdr of integers, which does the same thing again. The problem is, NOTH-ING IS DELAYED.

Solution: So the question is, does THIS delay anything? It looks like it does. If the combiner uses cons-stream, then it seems that well delay the evaluation of y, which is the next call to accumulate. Alas, thats making the same mistake as believing new-if would work. Whereas cons-stream is a special form, the combiner is NOT, and so it

will evaluate both of its arguments including the call to accumulate before evaluating its body. So the problem persists.

5. Louis Reasoner thinks that building a stream of pairs from three parts is unnecessarily complicated. Instead of separating the pair (S_0, T_0) from the rest of the pairs in the first row, he proposes to work with the whole first row, as follows:

Does this work? Consider what happens if we evaluate (pairs integers) using Louis's definition of pairs.

Solution: This doesn't work. Lets try (pairs integers integers). We start with a call to interleave. Well, interleave is not a special form, so evaluate both arguments. Whats the first argument, the call to stream-map? It returns a stream starting with (1 1). Whats the second argument, the call to pairs? Well, whats

```
(pairs (stream-cdr integers) (stream-cdr integers)?
```

Its a call to interleave. The first argument to interleave is (2 2), and the second argument is a call to pairs again ... and so on.

Note: this is the same as SICP 3.68. This is a pretty hard problem, but if you understand this you should be fine.