

Relational Algebra

Databases

2018-2019

Jesús Correas – jcorreas@ucm.es

**Departamento de Sistemas Informáticos y Computación
Universidad Complutense de Madrid**

Bibliografía

- Basic Bibliography:
 - ▶ R. Elmasri, S.B. Navathe. **Fundamentals of Database Systems** (6th ed). Addison-Wesley, 2010. (in Spanish: **Fundamentos de Sistemas de Bases de Datos** (5a ed). Addison-Wesley, 2007). Chapter 6 (5th, 6th eds).
- Additional Bibliography:
 - ▶ A. Silberschatz , H. F. Korth, S. Sudarshan. **Database Systems Concepts** (5th ed) McGraw-Hill, 2006. (in Spanish: **Fundamentos de Bases de Datos** (5a ed) McGraw-Hill, 2006). Chapter 2.

Relational DB query languages

- **Query languages** are designed to request information from relational databases.
 - ▶ In principle they do not allow to modify data in the DB. We will see data modification operations later in SQL.
- There are two kinds of query languages:
 - ▶ **Procedural languages:** The language tells the DB system a series of operations that must be done to obtain the result.
 - ▶ **Non-procedural languages:** The information that must be retrieved is described, but no specific procedure is given to obtain the information.
- Several **formal languages** have been proposed to perform queries on a relational DB:
 - ▶ Procedural: **relational algebra**.
 - ▶ Non-procedural: **tuple relational calculus** and **domain relational calculus**.
- We will see **relational algebra**, since it establishes the foundations of the query subset of SQL.

Relational Algebra

- It is a **formal language** for **queries** based on **algebra of sets** in mathematical set theory:
 - ▶ It does not include data modification operations.
 - ▶ Each operation of Relational Algebra produces **a new relation** in the RM that can be further manipulated using operations of the algebra.
- Queries are defined as the application of a series of **operations** on relations in a relational DB:
 - ▶ Operations from set theory: **union** \cup , **intersection** \cap , **set difference** \setminus **and cartesian product** \times .
 - ▶ **Rename** ρ .
 - ▶ **Selection** σ .
 - ▶ **Projection** π .
 - ▶ **Join** \bowtie .
 - ▶ **Division** \div .
- We also use the **assignment** (\leftarrow) **operation** on temporary relations to give a name to intermediate results.

Operations from set theory

- **Union, intersection, set difference, and cartesian product.**
- All are **binary** operations.
- They are more restrictive than usual set theoretic operations:
Operands in the first three operations (\cup , \cap and \setminus) must contain **tuples of the same type**: instances of relation schemas **with the same number of attributes, defined in the same domains and in the same order**:

$$R(A_1, \dots, A_n), S(B_1, \dots, B_n), \text{Dom}(A_i) = \text{Dom}(B_i) (\forall i \leq n)$$

- **Union:** $R \cup S$ produces a relation that includes all valid tuples that are **either in R or in S** . Duplicate tuples are eliminated.
- **Intersection:** $(R \cap S)$ produces a relation containing the valid tuples that are **in both R and S** .
- **Set difference:** $R \setminus S$ produces a relation that contains the valid tuples that are **in R but not in S** .
- We will see the **cartesian product** later.

Rename operation

- There are some operations in which the **names of attributes and relations are important**. It is thus useful to have a renaming operation.
- Given $R(A_1, \dots, A_n)$, the **rename operation** $\rho_{S(B_1, \dots, B_n)}(R)$ produces the following relation:
 - ▶ The **name** of the schema is S .
 - ▶ **Schema attributes**: B_1, \dots, B_n .
 - ▶ **Relation instance**: The valid tuples in R .
- **Examples**: Given $\text{EMPL}(\underline{\text{Id}}, \text{Name}, \text{LastName}, \text{Salary})$:
 - ▶ **Rename attribute Id**:
 $\rho_{\text{EMPL}(\text{COD}, \text{Name}, \text{LastName}, \text{Salary})}(\text{EMPL})$
 - ▶ **Rename all attributes**:
 $\rho_{\text{EMPL}(\text{COD}, \text{N}, \text{L}, \text{S})}(\text{EMPL})$
 - ▶ **Rename schema name to employees, keeping attribute names**:
 $\rho_{\text{employees}}(\text{EMPL})$

Selection operation

- The **selection** operation selects the tuples of a relation that satisfy a **selection condition** given as a **Boolean expression**.
- Given a relation schema $R(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and a Boolean expression C specified on the attributes of R , $\sigma_C(R)$ produces a relation with the following characteristics:
 - ▶ **Schema attributes:** the same attributes as R .
 - ▶ **Relation instance:** contains the tuples of R that satisfy C .
- Valid conditions are Boolean expressions of the form:
 - $C \rightarrow \text{attribute OP attribute}$
 - $C \rightarrow \text{attribute OP constant}$ ▶ *attribute* is an attribute of R .
 - $C \rightarrow C \wedge C$ ▶ *constant* is a constant value.
 - $C \rightarrow C \vee C$
 - $C \rightarrow \neg C$ ▶ **OP** is a relational operator:
 $\{<, >, \leq, \geq, =, \neq\}$.
- The selection condition is evaluated **for each tuple contained in the input relation**.

Selection operation

- If we see a relation as a table of values, the selection operation performs a **“horizontal partition”** of the input relation into two sets of tuples, discarding the tuples that do not satisfy the condition.

- **Examples:** Given the schema $\text{EMPL}(\underline{\text{Id}}, \text{Name}, \text{LastName}, \text{Salary})$:

- ▶ Select the **employee with Id '27347234T'**:

$$\sigma_{\text{Id}='27347234\text{T}'}(\text{EMPL})$$

- ▶ Select the **employees with a salary between 1200 and 1500 €:**

$$\sigma_{(\text{salary} \geq 1200) \wedge (\text{salary} \leq 1500)}(\text{EMPL})$$

- ▶ **Employees whose family name is 'García' or have a salary of less than 1000 €:**

$$\sigma_{(\text{LastName} = \text{'García'}) \vee (\text{salary} < 1000)}(\text{EMPL})$$

- ▶ The input relation can be another expression of relational algebra. **What is the meaning of the following expression?**

$$\sigma_{\text{LastName} = \text{'García'}}(\sigma_{\text{salary} < 1000}(\text{EMPL}))$$

Projection operation

- The **projection** operation **extracts columns** (values of attributes) from a relation.
- Given a relation schema $R(A_1, \dots, A_n)$ and a subset of attributes $\{B_1, \dots, B_k\} \subseteq \{A_1, \dots, A_n\}$, the operation $\pi_{(B_1, \dots, B_k)}(R)$ produces a relation with the following characteristics:
 - ▶ **Schema attributes:** $\{B_1, \dots, B_k\}$.
 - ▶ **Relation instance:** the set of tuples composed of the values of attributes B_1, \dots, B_k in the set of valid tuples of R .
- Observe that the relation obtained **may contain less tuples than the ones contained in R** if the primary key is not contained in $\{B_1, \dots, B_k\}$.
 - ▶ Relation instances are **sets**: the result of a projection is a **set** of tuples and **cannot contain duplicate tuples**.

Projection operation

- If we see a relation as a table of values, the projection operation performs a “**vertical partition**” of the input relation, keeping a subset of columns (attributes).
- **Examples:** Given the schema $EMPL(\underline{Id}, Name, LastName, Salary)$:
 - ▶ Obtain the **Id and salary of employees:**

$$\pi_{(Id, Salary)}(EMPL)$$

- ▶ **Id of employees with salary greater than 1200 euros:**

$$\pi_{(Id)}(\sigma_{(salary > 1200)}(EMPL))$$

- ▶ We can use **temporary relation names** for intermediate results:

$$Emp1 \leftarrow \sigma_{(salary > 1200)}(EMPL)$$

$$Emp2 \leftarrow \pi_{(Id)}(Emp1)$$

Cartesian product operation

- The **cartesian product** combines the values contained in two **relations**.
- The relational cartesian product **slightly differs from the standard set-theoretic cartesian product**.
- Given $R(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ and $S(B_1, \dots, B_n)$, the cartesian product produces a relation schema $R \times S$ with the following characteristics:
 - ▶ **Schema attributes:** The output schema has $m + n$ attributes:
 $A_1, \dots, A_m, B_1, \dots, B_n$
 - ▶ If two attributes A_i and B_j have the same name, they are renamed using the relation name as prefix: $R.A_i$ y $S.B_j$.
 - ▶ **Relation instance:** The tuples of the result are those coming from the set-theoretic cartesian product of the sets of tuples R and S .
- We can avoid the automatic renaming of attribute names if we apply a rename operation to the input relations before applying the cartesian product.
 - ▶ Otherwise, we can always use **attribute names prefixed by relation names in conditions**. Example: **EMPL.Id = DPTO.Id**

Cartesian product operation

- **Example:** Given the following relation schemas:

EMPL(Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT(PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

- **Name of the employees that are managers of (at least) one project:**

Cartesian product operation

- **Example:** Given the following relation schemas:

EMPL(Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT(PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

- **Name of the employees that are managers of (at least) one project:**

- ▶ We can combine both tables using the **cartesian product**:

Managers1 \leftarrow **EMPL** \times **PROJECT**

This obtains **all possible combinations of employees with projects.**

Cartesian product operation

- **Example:** Given the following relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

- **Name of the employees that are managers of (at least) one project:**

- ▶ We can combine both tables using the **cartesian product**:

Managers1 \leftarrow EMPL \times PROJECT

This obtains **all possible combinations of employees with projects**.

- ▶ We can then **select** those tuples in which the employee Id matches the manager of the project (in the same tuple):

Managers2 $\leftarrow \sigma_{(Id=ManagerId)}(Managers1)$

Cartesian product operation

- **Example:** Given the following relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

- **Name of the employees that are managers of (at least) one project:**

- ▶ We can combine both tables using the **cartesian product**:

Managers1 \leftarrow EMPL \times PROJECT

This obtains **all possible combinations of employees with projects**.

- ▶ We can then **select** those tuples in which the employee Id matches the manager of the project (in the same tuple):

Managers2 $\leftarrow \sigma_{(Id=ManagerId)}(Managers1)$

- ▶ Finally, we **project** the name of the managers to obtain the final result:

Managers $\leftarrow \pi_{(Name, LastName)}(Managers2)$

- Observe that each manager appears only once **even though that manager possibly manages several projects**.
- In a compositional way:

$\pi_{(Name, LastName)}(\sigma_{(Id=ManagerId)}(EMPL \times PROJECT))$

Cartesian product operation

- **Another example:** Given the following relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

ALLOCATION (PrjCode, EmplId, Hours)

- **Name of the employees that work on a project more than 10 hours:**

Cartesian product operation

- **Another example:** Given the following relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

ALLOCATION (PrjCode, EmplId, Hours)

- **Name of the employees that work on a project more than 10 hours:**
 - ▶ We first **select** the allocations of employees to projects of more than 10 hours:
 $\text{Distr10} \leftarrow \sigma_{\text{Hours} > 10}(\text{ALLOCATION})$

Cartesian product operation

- **Another example:** Given the following relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

ALLOCATION (PrjCode, EmplId, Hours)

- **Name of the employees that work on a project more than 10 hours:**
 - ▶ We first **select** the allocations of employees to projects of more than 10 hours:
 $\text{Distr10} \leftarrow \sigma_{\text{Hours} > 10}(\text{ALLOCATION})$
 - ▶ We then **combine** this result with the EMPL relation to get the information of those employees:
 $\text{Empl10} \leftarrow \sigma_{(\text{Id}=\text{EmplId})}(\text{Distr10} \times \text{EMPL})$

Cartesian product operation

- **Another example:** Given the following relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

ALLOCATION (PrjCode, EmplId, Hours)

- **Name of the employees that work on a project more than 10 hours:**

- ▶ We first **select** the allocations of employees to projects of more than 10 hours:

Distr10 $\leftarrow \sigma_{\text{Hours} > 10}(\text{ALLOCATION})$

- ▶ We then **combine** this result with the EMPL relation to get the information of those employees:

Empl10 $\leftarrow \sigma_{(\text{Id}=\text{EmplId})}(\text{Distr10} \times \text{EMPL})$

- ▶ Finally, we **project** the name of the selected employees:

Result $\leftarrow \pi_{(\text{Name}, \text{LastName})}(\text{Empl10})$

- In a single expression:

$\pi_{(\text{Name}, \text{LastName})}(\sigma_{(\text{Id}=\text{EmplId})}(\sigma_{\text{Hours} > 10}(\text{ALLOCATION}) \times \text{EMPL}))$

Cartesian product operation

- **Yet another example:** Given the same relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

ALLOCATION (PrjCode, EmplId, Hours)

- **Id of the employees that are allocated to at least two projects:**

Cartesian product operation

- **Yet another example:** Given the same relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

ALLOCATION (PrjCode, EmplId, Hours)

- **Id of the employees that are allocated to at least two projects:**

- ▶ We first **rename** the ALLOCATION relation:

$$\text{ALLOC_R} \leftarrow \rho_{\text{ALLOC_R}}(\text{CodR}, \text{IdR}, \text{HR})(\text{ALLOCATION})$$

Cartesian product operation

- **Yet another example:** Given the same relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

ALLOCATION (PrjCode, EmplId, Hours)

- **Id of the employees that are allocated to at least two projects:**

- ▶ We first **rename** the ALLOCATION relation:

$$\text{ALLOC_R} \leftarrow \rho_{\text{ALLOC_R}}(\text{CodR}, \text{IdR}, \text{HR})(\text{ALLOCATION})$$

- ▶ We then **combine** this intermediate result with ALLOCATION to get all combinations of ALLOCATION pairs:

$$\text{En2} \leftarrow \sigma_{(\text{EmplId}=\text{IdR} \wedge \text{PrjCode} \neq \text{CodR})}(\text{ALLOC_R} \times \text{ALLOCATION})$$

Cartesian product operation

- **Yet another example:** Given the same relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

ALLOCATION (PrjCode, EmplId, Hours)

- **Id of the employees that are allocated to at least two projects:**

- ▶ We first **rename** the ALLOCATION relation:

$$\mathbf{ALLOC_R} \leftarrow \rho_{\mathbf{ALLOC_R}}(\mathbf{CodR}, \mathbf{IdR}, \mathbf{HR}) (\mathbf{ALLOCATION})$$

- ▶ We then **combine** this intermediate result with ALLOCATION to get all combinations of ALLOCATION pairs:

$$\mathbf{En2} \leftarrow \sigma_{(\mathbf{EmplId}=\mathbf{IdR} \wedge \mathbf{PrjCode} \neq \mathbf{CodR})} (\mathbf{ALLOC_R} \times \mathbf{ALLOCATION})$$

- ▶ Finally, we **project** the Id of employees:

$$\mathbf{Result} \leftarrow \pi_{\mathbf{EmplId}}(\mathbf{En2})$$

- ▶ **Note:** This kind of queries is usually solved differently in SQL.

Join operation

- The **conditional join** is the combination of two operations: a **cartesian product** and a **selection**.
- Given $R(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ and $S(B_1, \dots, B_n)$, the **conditional join** of R and S is defined as:

$$R \bowtie_C S = \sigma_C(R \times S)$$

- Although it is a derived operation, it has its own operator symbol because it is **one of the most used operations**.
 - ▶ It simplifies the queries, that can be very complex.
- If the selection condition C does not hold for any tuple, \bowtie_C **produces an empty set**.
- If a tuple contains **NULL** in an attribute used in the condition, **the tuple is not included in the result**.

Join operation

- **Examples:** Given the same relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

ALLOCATION (PrjCode, EmplId, Hours)

- **Name of the employees that are managers of projects:**

$\pi_{(Name, LastName)}(EMPL \bowtie_{(Id=ManagerId)} PROJECT)$

- **Name of the employees that work on a project more than 10 hours:**

$\pi_{(Name, LastName)}(ALLOCATION \bowtie_{(Id=EmplId \wedge Hours > 10)} EMPL)$

- **Id of the employees that work on at least two projects:**

$ALLOC_R \leftarrow \rho_{ALLOC_R}(CodR, IdR, HR)(ALLOCATION)$

$Res \leftarrow \pi_{EmplId}(ALLOC_R \bowtie_{(EmplId=IdR \wedge PrjCode \neq CodR)} ALLOCATION)$

(This query is usually solved differently in SQL, using an *aggregation function*.)

Natural Join operation

- A very common case of conditional join is the one in which the condition is a **conjunction of equalities of those attributes with the same name in both relations**.

- **Example:** Given the relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId)

ALLOCATION (PrjCode, Id, Hours)

- ▶ **Name of the employees that work on at least one project**

$\pi_{(Name, LastName)}(ALLOCATION \bowtie (ALLOCATION.Id = EMPL.Id) \text{ } EMPL)$

- The result of this expression will repeat the attributes included in the condition with **exactly same value and name (from different relations)**.
- We can avoid duplicate columns and condition using the **Natural Join operation**.

Natural Join operation

- A natural join is like a conditional join in which the condition is composed of **all attributes with the same name in both operands**.
- The condition in \bowtie is **omitted** as it is not necessary.
- Moreover, **duplicate attributes are removed** in the result.
- Given $R(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ and $S(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ where the attributes with the same name in R and S are C_1, \dots, C_j , the **natural join** $R \bowtie S$ produces a schema with:
 - ▶ **Schema attributes:** $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\} \cup \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$ (no duplicate attributes).
 - ▶ **Relation instance:** Valid tuples are the combination of valid tuples of R and S that have the same value in C_1, \dots, C_j .
- If there are no attributes with the same name in both relations, **the natural join works just like the cartesian product**.

Natural Join operation

- **Examples:** Given the following relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId, DptId)

DEPARTMENT (DptId, Name)

- List of **all projects with the name of the department they are assigned to:**

Natural Join operation

- **Examples:** Given the following relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId, DptId)

DEPARTMENT (DptId, Name)

- List of **all projects with the name of the department they are assigned to:**

$\pi(\text{Description, Name})(\text{PROJECT} \bowtie \text{DEPARTMENT})$

- ¿What is the result of the following query?: $\text{EMPL} \bowtie \text{DEPARTMENT}$

Natural Join operation

- **Examples:** Given the following relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId, DptId)

DEPARTMENT (DptId, Name)

- List of **all projects with the name of the department they are assigned to:**

$\pi(\text{Description, Name})(\text{PROJECT} \bowtie \text{DEPARTMENT})$

- **¿What is the result of the following query?:** $\text{EMPL} \bowtie \text{DEPARTMENT}$
- List of **employees that manage projects and the departments that the projects are assigned to:**

Natural Join operation

- **Examples:** Given the following relation schemas:

EMPL (Id, Name, LastName, Salary)

PROJECT (PrjCode, Description, ManagerId, DptId)

DEPARTMENT (DptId, Name)

- List of **all projects with the name of the department they are assigned to:**

$\pi(\text{Description}, \text{Name})(\text{PROJECT} \bowtie \text{DEPARTMENT})$

- ¿What is the result of the following query?: $\text{EMPL} \bowtie \text{DEPARTMENT}$
- List of **employees that manage projects and the departments that the projects are assigned to:**

$\text{Mngrs} \leftarrow \rho_{\text{EMPL}}(\text{ManagerId}, \text{ManagerName}, \text{LastName}, \text{Salary})(\text{EMPL})$

$\text{Result} \leftarrow \pi(\text{ManagerName}, \text{Name})((\text{Mngrs} \bowtie \text{PROJECT}) \bowtie \text{DEPARTMENT})$

Extensions to the Relational Algebra

- A number of additional operators have been proposed to **extend the Relational Algebra** in order to **simplify complex queries**.
- Most operations can be implemented with a basic set: $\{\cup, \setminus, \times, \sigma, \pi, \rho\}$, but the resulting queries can be **very complex**.
- For example, **intersection** could be implemented as follows:

$$R \cap S = R \setminus (R \setminus S).$$

- On the other hand, **join operators** $R \bowtie_{[C]} S$ only produce the combinations of tuples that **actually match in both R and S** .
 - ▶ Tuples in R that do not match with any tuple in S **are not included in the result**, and vice versa.
 - ▶ There are specific operators that preserve all tuples from one of the operands: they are called **outer joins**.

Outer Join operations

- The **left outer join** $R \bowtie L$ is a natural join that produces **all tuples in R** combined with those in S that match with a tuple in R .
 - ▶ Those tuples from R that do not match any tuple in S **are filled with NULL values in the attributes from S .**
- The **right outer join** $R \ltimes S$ is defined the same way, but producing all tuples from its right hand operand, combined with the matching tuples from its left hand operand.
- Finally, a **full outer join** $R \Join S$ produces all tuples in both relations, combined with the matching tuples of the other relation, or NULL if there are no matching tuples.

Outer Join operations

Example:

EMPL				
EmpId	Name	LastName		Salary
37X	Juan	Sánchez	Martín	1500
24Y	Adela	García	Sanz	2300

PROJECT		
PrjId	Descr.	EmpId
4	Accounting	24Y
7	Marketing	55Z

Outer Join operations

Example:

EMPL				
EmpId	Name	LastName		Salary
37X	Juan	Sánchez	Martín	1500
24Y	Adela	García	Sanz	2300

PROJECT		
PrjId	Descr.	EmpId
4	Accounting	24Y
7	Marketing	55Z

EMPL ⋈ PROJECT						
EmpId	Name	LastName	Salary	PrjId	Descr.	
24Y	Adela	García	Sanz	2300	4	Accounting

Outer Join operations

Example:

EMPL			
EmpId	Name	LastName	Salary
37X	Juan	Sánchez	Martín
24Y	Adela	García	Sanz

PROJECT		
PrjId	Descr.	EmpId
4	Accounting	24Y
7	Marketing	55Z

EMPL ⋈ PROJECT

EmpId	Name	LastName	Salary	PrjId	Descr.
24Y	Adela	García	Sanz	4	Accounting

EMPL ⋈ PROJECT

EmpId	Name	LastName	Salary	PrjId	Descr.
37X	Juan	Sánchez	Martín	1500	NULL
24Y	Adela	García	Sanz	2300	4

Outer Join operations

Example:

EMPL			
EmpId	Name	LastName	Salary
37X	Juan	Sánchez	Martín
24Y	Adela	García	Sanz

PROJECT		
PrjId	Descr.	EmpId
4	Accounting	24Y
7	Marketing	55Z

EMPL ⋈ PROJECT

EmpId	Name	LastName	Salary	PrjId	Descr.
24Y	Adela	García	Sanz	2300	4
					Accounting

EMPL ⋈ PROJECT

EmpId	Name	LastName	Salary	PrjId	Descr.
37X	Juan	Sánchez	Martín	1500	NULL
24Y	Adela	García	Sanz	2300	4
					Accounting

EMPL ⋈ PROJECT

EmpId	Name	LastName	Salary	PrjId	Descr.
24Y	Adela	García	Sanz	2300	4
55Z	NULL	NULL	NULL	7	Marketing

Outer Join operations

Example (cont'd):

EMPL				
EmpId	Name	LastName		Salary
37X	Juan	Sánchez	Martín	1500
24Y	Adela	García	Sanz	2300

PROJECT		
PrjId	Descr.	EmpId
4	Accounting	24Y
7	Marketing	55Z

EMPL ⋈ PROJECT						
EmpId	Name	LastName		Salary	PrjId	Descr.
37X	Juan	Sánchez	Martín	1500	NULL	NULL
24Y	Adela	García	Sanz	2300	4	Accounting
55Z	NULL	NULL	NULL	NULL	7	Marketing

Division operation

- The **division** operation may be helpful for some DB queries.
- Produces the tuples in a relation such that some attributes take **all values** that are contained in another relation.
- Given $R(A_1, \dots, A_m)$ and $S(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ such that $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\} \subset \{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$, the operation $R \div S$ produces a relation schema with the following characteristics:
 - ▶ **Schema attributes:** $\{C_1, \dots, C_j\} = \{A_1, \dots, A_m\} \setminus \{B_1, \dots, B_n\}$.
 - ▶ **Relation instance:** A tuple t is in $T = R \div S$ if $\{t\} \times S$ is in R .
 - ▶ Informally, a tuple t is in T if t appears in R with **all values contained in S** .
- This operation requires the use of **universal quantification**. DBMS do not implement such kind of operations usually.
- We will see how it works with an example:

Division operation

- **Example:** Given the following relation schemas:

EMPL (EmpId, Name, LastName, Salary)

ALLOCATION (PrjId, EmpId, Hours)

- List the personal info of all employees that work **in all projects that work** the employee with EmpId 8967866R:

- ▶ We select first the projects in which that employee works:

$\text{PrjEmp} \leftarrow \pi_{\text{PrjId}}(\sigma_{(\text{EmpId} = '8967866R')}(\text{ALLOCATION}))$

- ▶ Next, we select the EmpIds of the employees that work in every project:

$\text{EmpIdPrj} \leftarrow \pi_{(\text{PrjId}, \text{EmpId})}(\text{ALLOCATION})$

- ▶ We then obtain the EmpIds of the sought employees using the division operation:

$\text{SoughtEmpId} \leftarrow \text{EmpIdPrj} \div \text{PrjEmp}$

- ▶ And finally, we obtain the personal info of those employees:

$\text{Result} \leftarrow \text{SoughtEmpId} \bowtie \text{EMPL}$

Handling of null values

- Any **relational operation** ($<$, $>$, \leq , \geq , $=$, \neq) applied to a NULL value produces an **unknown result**.
- Any **Boolean operation** with an **unknown value** produces an **unknown result**.
- Therefore, Boolean conditions may return three possible values: **true**, **false**, or **unknown**.
- Algebra operations behave as follows:
- **Selection $\sigma_C(R)$** : The result contains the tuples in R for which the condition C is **true** (they are **not** included if the result is unknown).
- **Projection, union, intersection, set difference**: Null values are handles just like any other value for removing duplicate tuples.

Handling of null values

- **Conditional join, natural join:** The following equivalence is used:
$$R \bowtie_C S = \sigma_C(R \times S).$$
 - ▶ Tuples with a NULL value in the connection attribute (or the attributes with the same name in natural join) **do not match** and therefore **do not appear in the result**.
- **Outer joins:**
 - ▶ Work like inner joins for tuples that **match the join condition**.
 - ▶ The tuples that **do not match the join condition** are included in the result (depending on the type of outer join) filling with NULL values.