# **Usage Management of Electronic Medical Records**

Christopher C. Lamb, Pramod Jamkhedkar, Dr. Greg Heileman, Ravi Kiran

University of New Mexico

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering

Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001

{cclamb, pramod54, heileman, ravik}@ece.unm.edu

Abstract—Electronic medical record management is under new scruitiny as private companies move into the market and government agencies actively address percieved health care distribution inequalities and inefficiencies. Current systems are coarse-grained and provide consumers very little actual control over their data. Herein, we propose an alternative system for managing the use of healthcare infomormation. This system is more granular, allows for data mining and repackaging, and gives users more control over data while allowing said data to be distributed as much as needed. In this paper, we outline the characteristics of such a system, present relavant background information and research leading to the system design, and cover two specific use scenarios supported by this system that are difficult to control using simpler access control strategies.

Keywords-usage management; electronic medical records

#### I. Introduction

New healthcare legislation has spurred previously unknown levels of public and private investment into technologies supporting more efficient healthcare delivery [3]. An active area in the process of examination is electronic health records. Current systems, like Microsoft HealthVault and Google Health are a start in this area, but provide rudimentary control over health information, provide consumers with very little actual control of their information, and essentially demand proprietary lockin to these products because of the amount of effort involved with data transfer [6].

We propose a new, open, consumer-centric approach to health information storage and consumption centered around flexible and granular usage management policies. User empowering systems in this area are needed to allow users control over the information that represents them, and would be in high demand if appropriately designed [2]. We propose to address this need by bundling health information (either entire records or subsets of records) with traceable and aggregateable usage policies controlled by the users themselves. Users would have the ability to make aspects of their records available to everyone from research institutions looking for historical information for studies, to specific healthcare providers who need specific information to support diagnoses. Furthermore, institutions would be able to combine information from groups of users and determine dynamically via policy evaluation how that new set of data can be used in a way that complies with all included user policies. If the combined dataset cannot be used, policies can be analyzed to determine the cause of the policy conflict.

We will propose, design, and demonstrate a system that supports granular management of the data elements of an electronic medical record. This management will allow users to specify policies over the data itself rather than the entire record in question, providing unprecidented control over information dissemination. We will demonstrate this control in three distinct scenarios. The first will include two distinct parties negotiating over access to specific information contained in a medical record. If the parties can reach an agreement, the information consumer will be granted access to specific medical data, for an agreed-upon price. The second demostrates a data broker combining a set of previously acquired medical record data into an aggregate set for research, if the licensure is in fact compliant between all selelected data elements. Finally, the aggregated data set will be placed back into the market.

This kind of system, allowing users control over their data in innovative ways fostering ease of dissemination, use and reuse, helps users receive better, more targed care, helps providers easly access required information, and allows this kind of data to be more easily examined and mined. This is a vast improvement over current systems which function as simple binary gates, allowing or denying access to an entire record based on coarsely naive access control policies.

#### A. Previous Work

Subsection text here.

## II. Underpinnings

Describe details of technology including ontologies; need to discuss static v. dynamic term evaluation and why we require dynamic in this system

#### A. Marketplace

describe how the market works, how it incentivises desired behaviour

## B. Usage Management

describe how the usage management system works

## III. SYSTEM

#### A. Cases and Scenarios

Outline the use cases, describe them, map them to specific scenarios

## B. Scenario 1: Negotiation

Demonstrate and present results; negotiation over specific information contained in a EMR

## C. Scenario 2: Aggregate Assembly

Demonstrate and present results; assemble data elements into a single data set; show both usage term compliance and non-compliance

## D. Scenario 3: Aggregate Submission

Demonstrate and present results; insert new set into marketplace; demonstrate acquisition of set and traceability back to individual elements

## IV. CONCLUSION

Evaluate results and outline future work

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank ECE, Ravi, Greg, Pramod? [4], [1], [5]

#### REFERENCES

- Alapan Arnab and Andrew Hutchison. Extending ODRL to enable bi-directional communication. In *Proceedings of the* Second International ODRL Workshop, Lisbon, Portugal, July 2005.
- [2] Pyper C., J. Amery, M. Watson, and C. Crook. Access to electronic health records in primary care-a survey of patients' views. *Medical Science Monitor*, 10(11), 2004.
- [3] Federal Government of the United States of America. Tracking the money. http://www.recovery.gov, December 2010.
- [4] George D. Greenwade. The Comprehensive Tex Archive Network (CTAN). TUGBoat, 14(3):342–351, 1993.
- [5] Pramod A. Jamkhedkar, Gregory L. Heileman, and Chris C. Lamb. An interoperable usage management framework. In Proceedings of the tenth annual ACM workshop on Digital rights management, DRM '10, pages 73–88, New York, NY, USA, 2010. ACM.
- [6] A. Sunyaev, A. Kaletsch, and H. Krcmar. Comparative evaluation of google health api vs. microsoft healthvault api. In *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Health Informatics*, HealthInf 2010, pages 195–201, Setubal, Portugal, 2010. INSTICC.