Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Numerical Analysis

Mar. 12, 2015

Numerical Analysis

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

1 / 33

Error Bounds

The linear system can be solved accurately using direct methods

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

if A and b are exact.

• In the real world, the right hand side b may not be exact. In this case, the solution is not exact either. We have solved

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b} + \delta \mathbf{b},\tag{1.3.1}$$

then

$$\delta \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \delta \mathbf{b} \tag{1.3.2}$$

and

$$\|\delta \mathbf{x}\| \le \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\delta \mathbf{b}\| \tag{1.3.3}$$

• For relative error, $\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|/\|\mathbf{x}\|$, we have

$$\frac{\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \le \frac{\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\delta \mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} = \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\delta \mathbf{b}\| \left\| \frac{\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{b}} \right\| \le \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|}$$
(1.3.4)

 $oldsymbol{\circ}$ $\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|$ is the condition number

Condition Number Properties

- Note that the condition number of a matrix ${\bf A}$ can be defined with any matrix norm $\|{\bf A}\|$. Some popular norms are 1-norm, 2-norm and ∞ -norm:
 - $\kappa_1(\mathbf{A}) = \|\mathbf{A}\|_1 \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_1$,
 - $\kappa_2(\mathbf{A}) = ||\mathbf{A}||_2 ||\mathbf{A}^{-1}||_2$,
 - $\kappa_{\infty}(\mathbf{A}) = \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty} \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_{\infty}$,

Property 1.3.1. Condition Number

- 1. $\kappa(\mathbf{A}) \geq 1$,
- $2. \ \kappa(\mathbf{A}^{-1}) = \kappa(\mathbf{A}),$
- 3. For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha \neq 0$, $\kappa(\alpha \mathbf{A}) = \kappa(\mathbf{A})$,
- 4. If **A** is orthogonal, $\kappa_2(\mathbf{A}) = 1$.
- 5. The condition number of a singular matrix is set equal to infinity.
- 6. $\kappa_2(\mathbf{A}) = \|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_2 = \frac{\sigma_1(\mathbf{A})}{\sigma_n(\mathbf{A})}$

where $\sigma_1(\mathbf{A})$ and $\sigma_n(\mathbf{A})$ are the maximum and minimum singular values of \mathbf{A} . If \mathbf{A} is symmetric and positive definite

$$\kappa_2(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}}. (1.3.5)$$

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

3 / 33

Condition Number Properties, II

Note that

$$1 = \|\mathbf{I}\| = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \le \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| = \kappa(\mathbf{A}).$$

Theorem 1.3.2.

Define the relative distance of $\bf A$ from the set of singular matrices with respect to the p-norm by

$$\operatorname{dist}_{p}(\mathbf{A}) = \min \left\{ \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{A}\|_{p}}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_{p}} : \mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A} \text{ is singular} \right\}. \tag{1.3.6}$$

Then

$$\mathsf{dist}_p(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{\kappa_p(\mathbf{A})}.\tag{1.3.7}$$

ullet Thus, if the condition number of a matrix $oldsymbol{A}$ is large, then $oldsymbol{A}$ is close to being singular.

Condition Number Properties, III

Corollary 1.3.3.

 $\mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A}$ is nonsingular if

$$\|\delta \mathbf{A}\|_p < \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_p}.$$
 (1.3.8)

Proof: Note $\mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A}$ is nonsingular if

$$\frac{\|\delta \mathbf{A}\|_p}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_p} < \mathsf{dist}_p(\mathbf{A}) = \frac{1}{\kappa_p(\mathbf{A})} = \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{A}\|_p \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_p}$$
(1.3.9)

and

$$\|\delta \mathbf{A}\|_p < \frac{1}{\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_p}.$$

- Note that this holds for all matrix norm $\|\mathbf{A}\|_p$.
- Equation (1.3.8) can also be written as

$$\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_p \|\delta\mathbf{A}\|_p < 1. \tag{1.3.10}$$

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015 5 / 33

Error bounds, II

Thus, we have

$$\frac{\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \le \kappa(\mathbf{A}) \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} \tag{1.3.11}$$

- Any relative change in the right hand side, the relative change in the solution is amplified by the condition number $\kappa(\mathbf{A})$.
- ullet For symmetric and positive definite matrix ${f A}$

$$\kappa_2(\mathbf{A}) = \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| = \frac{\lambda_{max}}{\lambda_{min}}.$$
(1.3.12)

- Thus, the solution accuracy depends on the accuracy of the right hand side vector \mathbf{b} and the condition number, which is a property of the matrix \mathbf{A} alone.
- Note that for a positive definite matrix A, all its eigenvalues are positive.
- If the spread of the eigen values are small, i.e., $\lambda_{max} \approx \lambda_{min}$, then $\kappa(\mathbf{A}) \approx 1$, and the relative solution accuracy tracks the right hand side accuracy.
- If $\lambda_{max} \gg \lambda_{min}$, then any small error in b will result in a very different solution x.

Error bounds - Example

• Given the linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0.1 \\ 10 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.1 \\ 5.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution is $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

But if the right hand side is slightly different

$$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0.1 \\ 10 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution is $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

- The solutions are significantly different
- The eigenvalues of the matrix are: $\lambda_1=12.0834$, $\lambda_2=0.0165516$ and $\lambda_1/\lambda_2=730.04$.

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

7 / 33

Error bounds – Example 2

• Given another linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.1 \\ 10.05 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution is $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

• But if the right hand side is slightly different

$$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 9.9 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution is $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.492 \\ 0.985 \end{bmatrix}$

- The solutions are not too different
- The eigenvalues of the matrix are: $\lambda_1=12.005$, $\lambda_2=9.99501$ and $\lambda_1/\lambda_2=1.201$.

Error bounds, III

• It is also possible that the matrix A is inexact when we solve the linear system

$$(\mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A})(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b} \tag{1.3.13}$$

and we wish to know $\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|/\|\mathbf{x}\|$.

Lemma 1.3.4.

If \mathbf{F} is an $n \times n$ matrix with $\|\mathbf{F}\| < 1$, then $(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F})^{-1}$ exists and satisfies

$$\|(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F})^{-1}\| \le \frac{1}{1 - \|\mathbf{F}\|}.$$

Proof:

$$\|(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F})\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}\| \ge \|\mathbf{x}\| - \|\mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}\| \ge (1 - \|\mathbf{F}\|)\|\mathbf{x}\| > 0$$

Thus, $\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F}$ is nonsingular. Let $\mathbf{C} = (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F})^{-1}$ then

$$1 = \|\mathbf{I}\| = \|(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{F})\mathbf{C}\| = \|\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{F}\mathbf{C}\| \ge \|\mathbf{C}\| - \|\mathbf{C}\|\|\mathbf{F}\| = \|\mathbf{C}\|(1 - \|\mathbf{F}\|). \quad \Box.$$

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

9 / 33

Error bounds, IV

Theorem 1.3.5.

If A is an $n \times n$ nonsingular matrix, $\|\delta A\| < \|A\|$ and x and δx satisfy Ax = b, $(A + \delta A)(x + \delta x) = b$ then

$$\frac{\|\delta\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \le \frac{\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\delta\mathbf{A}\|}{1 - \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\delta\mathbf{A}\|},\tag{1.3.14}$$

also

$$\frac{\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \le \frac{\kappa(\mathbf{A}) \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{A}\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\|}}{1 - \kappa(\mathbf{A}) \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{A}\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\|}}.$$
 (1.3.15)

Proof: From

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$
$$(\mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A})(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b}$$
$$\delta \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$
$$= (\mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A})^{-1} [\mathbf{A} - (\mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A})] \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}$$
$$= (\mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A})^{-1} [\mathbf{A} - (\mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A})] \mathbf{x}$$

Error bounds, V

$$\frac{\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \le \|(\mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A})^{-1} [\mathbf{A} - (\mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A})]\|$$

$$= \|(\mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A})^{-1} \delta \mathbf{A})\|$$

$$= \|(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}^{-1} \delta \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \delta \mathbf{A}\|$$

$$\le \|(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}^{-1} \delta \mathbf{A})^{-1} \|\|\mathbf{A}^{-1} \delta \mathbf{A}\|$$

$$\le \frac{\|\mathbf{A}^{-1} \delta \mathbf{A}\|}{\|\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^{-1} \delta \mathbf{A}\|} \le \frac{\|\mathbf{A}^{-1} \delta \mathbf{A}\|}{1 - \|\mathbf{A}^{-1} \delta \mathbf{A}\|}$$

Then since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\delta\mathbf{A}\| &\leq \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\delta\mathbf{A}\| \\ &= \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\delta\mathbf{A}\| / \|\mathbf{A}\| \\ &= \kappa(\mathbf{A}) \frac{\|\delta\mathbf{A}\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\|} \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. \Box

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

11 / 33

Error bounds - Example 3

As the example 1

$$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0.1 \\ 10 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.1 \\ 5.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution is $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

• But if the matrix is slightly different

$$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0 \\ 10 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.1 \\ 5.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution is
$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.508333 \\ 0.166667 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The solutions are significantly different
- Again, the eigenvalues of the matrix are: $\lambda_1 = 12.0834$, $\lambda_2 = 0.0165516$ and $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 = 730.04$.

Error bounds - Example 4

• Given a different linear system

$$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0.1 \\ 0.1 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.1 \\ 10.05 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution is $\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

But if the matrix is slightly different

$$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0 \\ 0.1 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.1 \\ 10.05 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution is
$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.508333 \\ 0.999917 \end{bmatrix}$$

- The solutions are not very different
- Again, the eigenvalues of the matrix are: $\lambda_1=12.005,\ \lambda_2=9.99501$ and $\lambda_1/\lambda_2=1.201.$

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

12 / 22

Error Bounds, VI

• If both A and b are inaccurate, we have

$$(\mathbf{A} + \delta \mathbf{A})(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b} + \delta \mathbf{b}.$$
 (1.3.16)

The following theorem estimates $\delta \mathbf{x}$.

Theorem 1.3.6.

If ${f A}$ is nonsingular and together with $\delta {f A}$ satisfy

$$\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|\|\delta\mathbf{A}\| < 1. \tag{1.3.17}$$

Then if x is the solution of Ax = b, $b \neq 0$, and δx satisfies equation (1.3.16) for δb , then

$$\frac{\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \le \frac{\kappa(\mathbf{A})}{1 - \kappa(\mathbf{A})\|\delta \mathbf{A}\|/\|\mathbf{A}\|} \left(\frac{\|\delta \mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} + \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{A}\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\|}\right). \tag{1.3.18}$$

Proof: From Corollary (1.2.6) and Eq. (1.3.17) we have $A + \delta A$ nonsingular, so is $I + A^{-1}\delta A$, and

$$\|(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}^{-1}\delta\mathbf{A})^{-1}\| \le \frac{1}{1 - \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\delta\mathbf{A}\|} \le \frac{1}{1 - \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|\|\delta\mathbf{A}\|}$$
 (1.3.19)

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

Error Bounds, VII

And from Eq. (1.3.16) and Ax = b

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \delta\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + (\mathbf{A} + \delta\mathbf{A})\delta\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} + \delta\mathbf{b}$$

$$(\mathbf{A} + \delta\mathbf{A})\delta\mathbf{x} = \delta\mathbf{b} - \delta\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$$

$$\delta\mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{A} + \delta\mathbf{A})^{-1}(\delta\mathbf{b} - \delta\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})$$

$$= (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}^{-1}\delta\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\delta\mathbf{b} - \delta\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x})$$

$$\|\delta\mathbf{x}\| \le \frac{\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|}{1 - \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\delta\mathbf{A}\|} (\|\delta\mathbf{b}\| + \|\delta\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{x}\|)$$

$$\frac{\|\delta\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \le \frac{\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|}{1 - \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\delta\mathbf{A}\|} (\|\delta\mathbf{b}\| \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} + \|\delta\mathbf{A}\|)$$

$$\frac{\|\delta\mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \le \frac{\|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|}{1 - \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\delta\mathbf{A}\|} (\frac{\|\delta\mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} + \frac{\|\delta\mathbf{A}\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\|})$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \le \frac{\kappa(\mathbf{A})}{1 - \kappa(\mathbf{A})\|\delta \mathbf{A}\|/\|\mathbf{A}\|} \left(\frac{\|\delta \mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} + \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{A}\|}{\|\mathbf{A}\|}\right). \qquad \Box$$

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

15 / 33

Error Bounds, VIII

- Note that Eq. (1.3.18) can be reduced to Eq. (1.3.11) or Eq. (1.3.15) if $\delta \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$ or $\delta \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$.
- In case of $\delta {f A} = {f 0}$, we can have stronger bounds.

Theorem 1.3.7.

Assume the conditions of Theorem (1.2.9) holds and $\delta {f A} = {f 0}$ then

$$\frac{1}{\kappa(\mathbf{A})} \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} \le \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|} \le \kappa(\mathbf{A}) \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|}.$$
 (1.3.20)

Proof. The second inequality has been proven before. Since

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} + \delta \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{b} + \delta \mathbf{b}$$

$$\delta \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{A} \delta \mathbf{x}$$

$$\|\delta \mathbf{b}\| \le \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\delta \mathbf{x}\|$$

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| \|\delta \mathbf{b}\| \le \|\mathbf{x}\| \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\delta \mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}\| \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\delta \mathbf{x}\|$$

$$\le \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| \|\mathbf{b}\| \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\delta \mathbf{x}\| = \kappa(\mathbf{A}) \|\mathbf{b}\| \|\delta \mathbf{x}\|$$

$$\frac{1}{\kappa(\mathbf{A})} \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{b}\|} \le \frac{\|\delta \mathbf{x}\|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|}.$$

Thus,

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015 16 / 33

Error Bounds, IX

• In engineering problems, one may not get the exact linear system to solve for

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$
.

- The right hand side or the matrix itself could have errors
- In these cases, the solution might not be exact
- The condition number $\|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|$ plays an important roll in how inaccurate the solutions are
 - The larger the condition number, the larger the solution errors
 - A property of the matrix itself
 - Solution algorithm cannot improve these errors

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bound

Mar. 12, 2015

17 / 33

Improving Solution Accuracy - Scaling

 The linear system below is known to be very sensitive to the error on the right hand side

$$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0.1 \\ 10 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.1 \\ 5.1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (1.3.21)

• If we let $y_1 = 10x_1$, $y_2 = x_2$ then

And the linear system becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0.1 \\ 10 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.1 \\ 5.1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.2 & 0.1 \\ 1 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.1 \\ 5.1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.3.23)

The solution is

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Improving Solution Accuracy - Scaling, II

• If the right hand side is perturbed a little

$$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0.1 \\ 10 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Setting, again, $y_1 = 10x_1$ and $y_2 = x_2$, we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.2 & 0.1 \\ 1 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

The solution is

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Though the solution in terms of $\mathbf{x}^T = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is identical as before. The sensitivity of \mathbf{y} appears to be smaller than \mathbf{x} . In fact, the matrix of the linear system of \mathbf{y} has the eigenvalues of $\lambda_1 = 1.20834$ and $\lambda_2 = 0.0165516$. And the condition number of $\lambda_1/\lambda_2 = 73.004$.

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

19 / 33

Improving Solution Accuracy - Scaling, III

- Comparing the matrices of Eqs. (1.3.21) and (1.3.23), it is apparent that the first column of the matrix in (1.3.23) has been divided by 10 column scaling.
 - The diagonal elements now have the magnitudes closer to each other.
 - The round off errors during LU decomposition will be smaller as well.
- The solution vector, \mathbf{x} , can be found by multiplying \mathbf{y} with the scaling matrix, as shown in Eq. (1.3.22).
 - ullet The sensitivity of ${f x}$ to ${f b}$ is, however, unchanged with scaling.
- It is possible to choose the scaling matrix as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then the linear system becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6.1 \\ 5.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the solution is

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015 20 / 33

Improving Solution Accuracy - Scaling, IV

• The perturbed system is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the solution is

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- For the scaled variable, z, the sensitivity to the right hand side is small.
- The eigenvalues and the condition number are

$$\lambda_1 = 21.0499, \lambda_2 = 0.0950124, \kappa(\mathbf{A}) = 22.1549.$$

- The condition number continues to improve.
- The round-off error during LU factorization and forward and backward substitutions can be further reduced.

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

21 / 22

Improving Solution Accuracy - Scaling, V

Algorithm 1.3.8. Column scaling.

Given a linear system

$$Ax = b$$

find a diagonal matrix ${f C}$ such that the diagonal entries of ${f F}{=}{\bf A}{f C}$ have similar magnitudes. Solve the linear system

$$\mathbf{F}\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{b}$$

and then the solution ${\bf x}$ can be found by

$$x = Cy$$
.

- ullet Note the matrix condition number improves for the column scaled matrix ${f F}$.
- For the example,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 0.1 \\ 10 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.2 & 0.1 \\ 1 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_1 = 12.0834,$$

$$\lambda_2 = 0.0165516,$$

$$\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = 730.044.$$

$$\lambda_1 = 1.28443,$$

$$\lambda_2 = 0.0155711,$$

$$\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = 82.488.$$

$$\lambda_1 = 2.10499,$$

$$\lambda_2 = 0.0950124,$$

$$\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = 22.1549.$$

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015 22 / 33

Improving Solution Accuracy - Scaling, VI

Algorithm 1.3.9. Row scaling.

Given a linear system

$$Ax = b$$

find a diagonal matrix ${f R}$ such that the diagonal entries of ${f G}{=}{f R}{f A}$ have similar magnitudes. Solve the linear system

$$Gx = Rb$$

to find the solution vector x.

- Note the matrix condition number improves for the row scaled matrix also.
- For the example,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 12 & 10 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.2 & 1 \\ 0.1 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_1 = 12.0834,$$

$$\lambda_1 = 1.28443,$$

$$\lambda_1 = 2.10499,$$

$$\lambda_2 = 0.0165516,$$

$$\lambda_2 = 0.0155711,$$

$$\lambda_2 = 0.0950124,$$

$$\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = 730.044.$$

$$\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = 82.488.$$

$$\kappa(\mathbf{A}) = 22.1549.$$

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

23 / 33

Improving Solution Accuracy - Scaling, VII

- Scaling techniques can be applied to improve solution accuracy.
 - Round-off error reduced during LU decomposition and forward, backward substitution processes.
 - Sensitivity of the scaled variable to the right hand side can be reduced, but unchanged for the solution vector.
 - Column and row scaling have the same effects.
- Column scaling applies when one of the unknowns in the solution vector has a different magnitude from other unknowns.
 - \bullet For example, length measured in μm and voltage in $\it{V}.$
 - The original solution can be found after applying the scaling matrix again.
- Row scaling applied when an equation in the linear system has different magnitudes from other equations.
 - Row scaling should scale the right hand side simultaneously, and the solution can be obtained directly.
- Column scaling and row scaling can be combined.

Iterative Refinement

- In Unit 1.1, we have shown that if the computer's number system has few significant digits, then even LU decomposition method can result in significant errors.
- Example, using 4-digit decimal system to solve

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.001 & 2.42 \\ 1 & 1.58 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5.2 \\ 4.57 \end{bmatrix}$$

We get the solution

$$\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2\\2.148 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 5.2\\5.394 \end{bmatrix}$$

And

$$\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -0.824 \end{bmatrix}$$

Significant errors are obtained.

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

25 / 33

Iterative Refinement, II

ullet Let ${f r}={f b}-{f A}{f x}^{(0)}$, and solve for ${f y}$ in ${f A}{f y}={f r}$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0.001 & 2.42 \\ 1 & 1.58 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -0.824 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since the LU factors have been obtained, y can be found quickly.

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -0.8247 \\ 0.0003408 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let
$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = \mathbf{x}^{(0)} + \mathbf{y}$$
,

$$\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.175 \\ 2.148 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then,

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 5.199 \\ 4.569 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \mathbf{r}^{(1)} = \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.001 \\ 0.001 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have a good approximation to the linear system solution.

Iterative Refinement, III

Algorithm 1.3.10. Iterative Refinement.

```
Given a linear system \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b}, and small number \varepsilon. Let \mathbf{x}^{(0)}=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{r}^{(0)}=\mathbf{b}, err=1+\varepsilon, k=1. While (err>=\varepsilon) { Solve \mathbf{A}\mathbf{y}^{(k)}=\mathbf{r}^{(k-1)} by LU decomposition to get \mathbf{y}^{(k)}, Let \mathbf{x}^{(k)}=\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)}+\mathbf{y}^{(k)}, \mathbf{r}^{(k)}=\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(k)}, err=\|\mathbf{r}^{(k)}\|, k=k+1. }
```

- The number of iterations is not known a priori and thus the CPU time needed cannot be predicted.
 - Iterative method.

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

27 / 33

Summary

- Error bounds
- Condition number
- Error examples
- Errors due to RHS and matrix
- Improving solution accuracy
 - Scaling
 - Iterative refinement

Vector Norms

Definition 1.3.11.

A vector norm on a vector space X is a real-valued function on X, which satisfies the following three conditions:

- 1. $\|\mathbf{x}\| \ge 0$, $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}$, and $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.
- 2. $\|\alpha \mathbf{x}\| = |\alpha| \|\mathbf{x}\|, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{X}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$
- 3. $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| \le \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|, \ \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{X}$.

Definition 1.3.12.

For the case $\mathbb{X}=\mathbb{C}^n$, the Euclidean norm of a vector is defined by

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x})^{1/2}.$$
 (1.3.24)

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

29 / 33

Vector Norms, II

• The most commonly used vector norms in numerical linear algebra are special cases of the Hölder norms.

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$
 (1.3.25)

• The following norms are more important in practice,

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = |x_1| + |x_2| + \dots + |x_n|,$$
 (1.3.26)

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2} = (|x_{1}|^{2} + |x_{2}|^{2} + \dots + |x_{n}|^{2})^{1/2},$$
 (1.3.27)

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} = \max_{i=1}^{n} |x_i|. \tag{1.3.28}$$

• The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality can also be written as

$$|\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{y}| \le ||\mathbf{x}||_2 ||\mathbf{y}||_2. \tag{1.3.29}$$

Matrix Norms

Definition 1.3.13.

Given a matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, the matrix norm is defined as

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{pq} = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}} \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_p}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_q}.$$
 (1.3.30)

• In this definition, the norm $\|\cdot\|_{pq}$ is induced by two vector norms, $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $\|\cdot\|_q$. And these norms satisfy the usual properties of norms,

$$\|\mathbf{A}\| \ge 0$$
, $\forall \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, and $\|\mathbf{A}\| = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$. (1.3.31)

$$\|\alpha \mathbf{A}\| = |\alpha| \|\mathbf{A}\|, \quad \forall \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$
 (1.3.32)

$$\|\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}\| \le \|\mathbf{A}\| + \|\mathbf{B}\|, \quad \forall \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}.$$
 (1.3.33)

- When p=q the matrix norm is simplified as $\|\cdot\|_p$ and is called a matrix p-norm.
- The most important cases are still p=1,2 and ∞ .
- Matrix norms defined above satisfy the following property.

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}\|_{p} \le \|\mathbf{A}\|_{p} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{p}.$$
 (1.3.34)

• Matrix norms satisfy the above equation is also called consistent.

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Unit 1.3 Error Bounds

Mar. 12, 2015

31 / 33

Matrix Norms, II

• A consistent matrix p-norm also satisfies the following:

$$\|\mathbf{A}^k\|_p \le \|\mathbf{A}\|_p^k. \tag{1.3.35}$$

• Thus, if any of the p-norms of ${\bf A}$ is less than 1, then ${\bf A}^k \to {\bf 0}$ as $k \to \infty$.

Definition 1.3.14.

The Frobenius norm of an $n \times m$ matrix **A** is defined as

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{i,j}|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (1.3.36)

- Forbenius norm is shown to be consistent.
- Unlike matrix p-norm, $\|\mathbf{I}\|_F \neq 1$; while $\|\mathbf{I}\|_p = 1$.

Matrix Norms, III

• It can be shown that the followings are consequences of the definition beforehand.

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 = \max_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{i,j}|,$$
 (1.3.37)

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty} = \max_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} |a_{i,j}|,$$
 (1.3.38)

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 = \left(\rho(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})\right)^{1/2} = \left(\rho(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^H)\right)^{1/2},$$
 (1.3.39)

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \left(\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A})\right)^{1/2} = \left(\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^H)\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (1.3.40)

- The eigenvalues of $\mathbf{A}^H \mathbf{A}$ are nonnegative, and their square roots are called sigular values of \mathbf{A} and are denoted by σ_i , $i=1,2,\cdots,m$, ordered from large to small.
- Thus, $\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 = \sigma_1$, the largest sigular value of \mathbf{A} .

Numerical Analysis (Linear Systems)

Jnit 1.3 Error Bound

Mar. 12. 2015

33 / 33