

A5 Tombstones

- Division Labor:
 - Chi Chun Chen
 - Shaojie Wang
 - We do most of the parts together in this assignment.

File Structure

- Testing programs under `tests` use tombstone to check memory leak and dangling references.
- Testing program under `tests-raw` has the check turned off.

```
.
├── Makefile
├── tests
│   ├── foo1.cpp
│   ├── foo2.cpp
│   ├── foo3.cpp
│   ├── foo4.cpp
│   ├── foo5.cpp
│   ├── foo6.cpp
│   ├── foo7.cpp
│   ├── foo8.cpp
│   └── foo9.cpp
├── tests-raw
│   ├── foo1.cpp
│   ├── foo2.cpp
│   ├── foo3.cpp
│   ├── foo4.cpp
│   ├── foo5.cpp
│   ├── foo6.cpp
│   ├── foo7.cpp
│   ├── foo8.cpp
│   └── foo9.cpp
└── tombstones.h
```

How to run

- Compile all the tests in `test/`

`make`

- Compile all the tests without tombstone

make raw

- After the executing of the makefile, the executables lists in the current directory, so just type `./foo<n>` to execute it, which n can be number 1~9.
- The tests given by assignment webpage might have some invalid actions that will terminate the program if we use raw pointer instead of `Pointer<T>`
 - `foo2.cpp`
 - Double free an object
 - `foo7.cpp`
 - Dereference NULL pointer

Extra Credit

- Use a non-type parameter in the template of `Pointer` class so that we can turn-off the checking at compile time.
 - Syntax for turn on tombstone
 - Set the first template parameter to true
 - `Pointer<int, true> foo(new int(12));`
 - Syntax for turn off tombstone
 - Switch the second template parameter to false
 - `Pointer<int, false> foo(new int(12));`
- Inheritance works correctly, the test case for inheritance is inside `test/foo9.cpp` and `test-raw/foo9.cpp`
- Extra credit #3
 - Should `T*` and `Pointer<T>` be interoperable?
 - In most cases, yes. See the following explanations.
 - Should you be able to use one in a context that expects the other?
 - If we want to cast `Pointer<T>` into `T*`, then yes, because the casting simply returns a `T*` raw pointer.
 - If we want to cast `T*` to `Pointer<T>` on the fly, such as in `cout` statement, then no, because this may cause a memory leak due to no assignment.
 - Should you be able to assign one into the other? If not, explain why. If so, implement the necessary support routines.
 - It is possible to assign one into the other
 - We implement it using conversion constructor and put the tests in `tests/foo10.cpp` and `tests-raw/foo10.cpp`
 - Also, the conversion has no affect on reference count since we create one `Pointer` object in the assignment, which happens after the conversion constructor
 - If `Pointer<T>` interoperates with `T*`, how about `T&`?
 - First, a reference cannot be assigned to be `NULL`, and our `Pointer<T>` can be constructed using `NULL` as given parameter

- Second, `Pointer<T>` is able to be re-assigned if freeing it before assignment (so that no memory leak happens)

Basic

- Use tombstone and reference count to detect possible dangling references and memory leaks.
 - `template <class T> struct Tomb` has `T* content` and `int ref_cnt` as properties, and `Pointer` class has a `Tomb*` variable as protected property.
 - Reference count changes at:
 - Default constructor: `ref_cnt` is 0 and `content` is `NULL`
 - Copy constructor: if `content` is `NULL`, then `ref_cnt` is 0. Otherwise, `ref_cnt++`.
 - Bootstrap constructor: if `content` is `NULL`, then `ref_cnt` is 0, otherwise 1.
 - Assignment: original `ref_cnt--`, then the same as copy constructor.
 - `free(Pointer<T>&)`: set `ref_cnt` 0 and `content` `NULL`.
 - Destructor: `ref_cnt--`.
 - Dangling references are checked when dereferencing and freeing the `Pointer` object. If operator `*` dereferences a `Pointer` with `NULL` content, or a `Pointer` object is freed with more than one reference count, then we raise a dangling reference error.
 - Memory leaks are checked in the overloading of `=` operator and the destructor. If the reference count of a tombstone goes to zero while the content is not `NULL`, then we raise a memory leak error.

Run Time Error Message

- Dangling Reference
 - The line number we print helps us knowing in which place did `tombstones.h` triggers the dangling reference.

Dangling reference at `tombstones.h` line: 279

- Memory Leak
 - Same idea is used for printing memory leak message.

Memory leak at `tombstones.h` line: 150