

**Situation:** We have  $n$  data points  $(x_i, y_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  with  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y \in \{-1, 1\}$ .

**Probit Model:**  $y_i$  is a realization of the random variable  $Y_i$ .  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are independent. The distribution of  $Y_i$  is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y_i = 1|x_i; \beta) &= \Phi(x_i^T \beta) \\ P(Y_i = -1|x_i; \beta) &= 1 - \Phi(x_i^T \beta) = \Phi(-x_i^T \beta) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . It follows that

$$P(Y_i = y_i|x_i; \beta) = \Phi(y_i x_i^T \beta)$$

**Likelihood:** The likelihood of a parameter vector  $\beta$  is given as follows:

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(Y_i = y_i|x_i; \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Phi(y_i x_i^T \beta)$$

The negative log-likelihood that we wish to minimize is:

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \log \Phi(y_i x_i^T \beta)$$

**The weighted case:** We introduce sample weights  $w_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  comprising a weight vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ . Further, let  $g(z) = -\log \Phi(-z)$ . The objective function now becomes:

$$f_w(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i g(-y_i x_i^T \beta)$$

To make the notation easier, we define  $z_i = -y_i x_i^T$  and introduce the matrix  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  with row vectors  $Z_i = z_i$ . This gives us:

$$f_w(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i g(z_i \beta)$$

**Gradient:** The gradient of the objective function is needed during optimization. To derive it, we first need the derivative of  $g(z)$ :

$$g'(z) = \frac{d}{dz} -\log \Phi(-z) = \frac{\phi(z)}{\Phi(-z)}$$

Now we can calculate the gradient of the objective function as follows:

$$\frac{\partial f_w(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \frac{\partial g(z_i \beta)}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i z_i g'(z_i \beta)$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $g(z) = -\log \Phi(-z)$ . Then it holds for all  $z \geq 0$  that:

$$\frac{1}{2}z^2 \leq g(z)$$

*Proof.* The following relationship holds for all  $z \geq 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(-z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{-z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{-z} -x \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^2\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have for  $z \geq 1$ :

$$e^{g(z)} = e^{-\log \Phi(-z)} = \frac{1}{\Phi(-z)} \geq e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2}$$

Since  $\exp(\cdot)$  is a monotonically increasing function, it follows that  $g(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}z^2$  for all  $z \geq 1$ .

Let us now turn to the case when  $0 \leq z \leq 1$ . Both  $g(z)$  and  $\frac{1}{2}z^2$  are monotonically increasing and continuous functions for  $0 \leq z \leq 1$ . Together with the fact that  $g(0) > \frac{1}{2}$  it follows for all  $0 \leq z \leq 1$  that

$$g(z) \geq g(0) > \frac{1}{2} = \max_{0 \leq z \leq 1} \frac{1}{2}z^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}z^2$$

which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $g(z) = -\log \Phi(-z)$ . Then it holds for all  $z \geq 2$  that:

$$g(z) \leq z^2$$

*Proof.* We first show that  $\Phi(-z) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{z}{z^2+1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2}$  for all  $z \geq 0$ . In order to prove this lower bound, we define  $h(z) = \Phi(-z) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{z}{z^2+1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2}$  and show that  $h(z)$  is positive for all  $z \geq 0$ . The derivative  $h'(z) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2}}{(z^2+1)^2}$  is negative for all  $z$ , so  $h(z)$  is a monotonically decreasing function. Also, it clearly holds that  $h(0) > 0$  and  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} h(z) = 0$ . It follows that  $h(z) \geq 0$  for all  $z > 0$  which proves the lower bound.

In the next step, we use this result to show that  $e^{z^2} \cdot \Phi(-z) \geq 1$  for all  $z \geq 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{z^2} \cdot \Phi(-z) &\geq e^{z^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{z}{z^2 + 1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} \\
&= e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{z}{z^2 + 1} \\
&= e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2} \frac{1}{\frac{4}{3}(z^2 + 1)} \frac{\frac{4}{3}z}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \\
&\geq \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2}}{\frac{4}{3}(z^2 + 1)} \\
&\geq \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2}}{e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2}} \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

From this it follows directly that  $\frac{1}{\Phi(-z)} \leq e^{z^2}$  and thus we have for all  $z \geq 2$ :

$$e^{g(z)} = e^{-\log \Phi(-z)} = \frac{1}{\Phi(-z)} \leq e^{z^2}$$

Since  $\exp(\cdot)$  is monotonically increasing, the claim that  $g(z) \leq z^2$  for all  $z \geq 2$  follows as a direct consequence. <sup>1</sup>  $\square$

**Definition 1.** Let  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ . Then we define

$$\mu_w(Z) = \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|(\sqrt{D_w}Z\beta)^+\|_2^2}{\|(\sqrt{D_w}Z\beta)^-\|_2^2} = \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|(\sqrt{D_w}Z\beta)^-\|_2^2}{\|(\sqrt{D_w}Z\beta)^+\|_2^2}$$

$Z$  weighted by  $w$  is called  $\mu$ -complex if  $\mu_w(Z) \leq \mu$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  weighted by  $w \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$  be  $\mu$ -complex. Let  $U$  be an orthonormal basis for the columnspace of  $\sqrt{D_w}Z$ . If for index  $i$ , the supreme  $\beta$  in (TODO) satisfies  $2 \leq z_i\beta$ , then  $w_i g(z_i\beta) \leq 2\|U_i\|_2^2(1 + \mu)f_w(\beta)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sqrt{D_w}Z = UR$ , where  $U$  is an orthonormal basis for the columnspace of

---

<sup>1</sup>The proofs of both lemmas were heavily inspired by <https://www.johndcook.com/blog/norm-dist-bounds/>.

$\sqrt{D_w}Z$ . It follows from  $2 \leq z_i\beta$  and from the monotonicity of  $g$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
w_i g(z_i\beta) &= w_i g\left(\frac{\sqrt{w_i}z_i\beta}{\sqrt{w_i}}\right) = w_i g\left(\frac{U_i R\beta}{\sqrt{w_i}}\right) \leq w_i g\left(\frac{\|U_i\|_2 \|R\beta\|_2}{\sqrt{w_i}}\right) \\
&= w_i g\left(\frac{\|U_i\|_2 \|UR\beta\|_2}{\sqrt{w_i}}\right) = w_i g\left(\frac{\|U_i\|_2 \|\sqrt{D_w}Z\beta\|_2}{\sqrt{w_i}}\right) \\
&\leq \|U_i\|_2^2 \|\sqrt{D_w}Z\beta\|_2^2 \leq \|U_i\|_2^2 (1 + \mu) \|(\sqrt{D_w}Z\beta)^+\|_2^2 \\
&= \|U_i\|_2^2 (1 + \mu) \sum_{j: \sqrt{w_j}z_j\beta \geq 0} w_j (z_j\beta)^2 \\
&\leq 2\|U_i\|_2^2 (1 + \mu) \sum_{j: \sqrt{w_j}z_j\beta \geq 0} w_j g(z_j\beta) \\
&\leq 2\|U_i\|_2^2 (1 + \mu) \sum_{j=1}^n w_j g(z_j\beta) \\
&= 2\|U_i\|_2^2 (1 + \mu) f_w(\beta)
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 4.** Let  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  weighted by  $w \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$  be  $\mu$ -complex. If for index  $i$ , the supreme  $\beta$  in (TODO) satisfies  $z_i\beta \leq 2$ , then  $w_i g(z_i\beta) \leq \frac{w_i}{\mathcal{W}}(80 + 16\mu)f_w(\beta)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K^- = \{j \in [n] \mid z_j\beta \leq -1\}$  and  $K^+ = \{j \in [n] \mid z_j\beta > -1\}$ . Note that  $g(-1) > \frac{1}{10}$  and  $g(z_i\beta) \leq g(2) < 4$ . Also,  $\sum_{j \in K^+} w_j + \sum_{j \in K^-} w_j = \mathcal{W}$ . Thus, if  $\sum_{j \in K^+} w_j \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{W}$  then

$$f_w(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j g(z_j\beta) \geq \sum_{j \in K^+} w_j g(z_j\beta) \geq \frac{\sum_{j \in K^+} w_j}{10} \geq \frac{\mathcal{W}}{20} = \frac{\mathcal{W}}{20w_i} w_i \geq \frac{\mathcal{W}}{80w_i} w_i g(z_i\beta)$$

If on the other hand  $\sum_{j \in K^+} w_j < \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{W}$ , then  $\sum_{j \in K^-} w_j \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{W}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
f_w(\beta) &= \sum_{j=1}^n w_j g(z_j \beta) \geq \sum_{j: z_j \beta > 0} w_j g(z_j \beta) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j: z_j \beta > 0} w_j (z_j \beta)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \|(\sqrt{D_w} Z \beta)^+\|_2^2 \geq \frac{1}{2\mu} \|(\sqrt{D_w} Z \beta)^-\|_2^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{2\mu} \sum_{j: z_j \beta < 0} w_j (z_j \beta)^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2\mu} \sum_{j \in K^-} w_j (z_j \beta)^2 \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2\mu} \sum_{j \in K^-} w_j \\
&\geq \frac{\mathcal{W}}{4\mu} \\
&\geq \frac{\mathcal{W}}{16\mu w_i} w_i g(z_i \beta)
\end{aligned}$$

Adding both bounds, we get that for  $z_i \beta \leq 2$ :

$$w_i g(z_i \beta) \leq f_w(\beta) \frac{80w_i}{\mathcal{W}} + f_w(\beta) \frac{16\mu w_i}{\mathcal{W}} = \frac{w_i}{\mathcal{W}} (80 + 16\mu) f_w(\beta)$$

□

**Lemma 5.** Let  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  weighted by  $w \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$  be  $\mu$ -complex. Let  $U$  be an orthonormal basis for the columnspace of  $\sqrt{D_w} Z$ . For each  $i \in [n]$ , the sensitivity of  $g_i(\beta) = g(z_i \beta)$  is bounded by  $\varsigma_i \leq s_i = (80 + 16\mu)(\|U_i\|_2^2 + \frac{w_i}{\mathcal{W}})$ . The total sensitivity is bounded by  $\mathfrak{S} \leq 192\mu d$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\varsigma_i &= \sup_{\beta} \frac{w_i g(z_i \beta)}{f_w(\beta)} \leq \sup_{\beta} \frac{2\|U_i\|_2^2(1 + \mu)f_w(\beta) + \frac{w_i}{\mathcal{W}}(80 + 16\mu)f_w(\beta)}{f_w(\beta)} \\
&= 2\|U_i\|_2^2(1 + \mu) + \frac{w_i}{\mathcal{W}}(80 + 16\mu) \\
&\leq \|U_i\|_2^2(80 + 16\mu) + \frac{w_i}{\mathcal{W}}(80 + 16\mu) \\
&= (80 + 16\mu)(\|U_i\|_2^2 + \frac{w_i}{\mathcal{W}})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{S} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \varsigma_i \leq (80 + 16\mu) \sum_{i=1}^n \|U_i\|_2^2 + \frac{w_i}{\mathcal{W}} \\
&= (80 + 16\mu)(\|U\|_F^2 + 1) \\
&= (80 + 16\mu)(d + 1) \\
&\leq 96\mu(d + 1) \\
&\leq 192\mu d
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  be an orthonormal matrix. Then  $\|U\|_F^2 = d$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U\|_F^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^d |u_{ij}|^2 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=1}^n |u_{ij}|^2 \\
&\stackrel{(1)}{=} \sum_{j=1}^d 1 \\
&= d
\end{aligned}$$

(1) follows from the fact that the columns of  $U$  have unit norm due to its orthonormality.

□

## References