**Situation:** We have n data points  $(x_i, y_i)$ , i = 1, ..., n with  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y \in \{-1, 1\}$ .

**Probit Model:**  $y_i$  is a realization of the random variable  $Y_i$ .  $Y_1, ..., Y_n$  are independent. The distribution of  $Y_i$  is as follows:

$$P(Y_i = 1 | x_i; \beta) = \Phi(x_i^T \beta)$$
  
 
$$P(Y_i = -1 | x_i; \beta) = 1 - \Phi(x_i^T \beta) = \Phi(-x_i^T \beta)$$

where  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . It follows that

$$P(Y_i = y_i | x_i; \beta) = \Phi(y_i x_i^T \beta)$$

**Likelihood:** The likelihood of a parameter vector  $\beta$  is given as follows:

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(Y_i = y_i | x_i; \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Phi(y_i x_i^T \beta)$$

The negative log-likelihood that we wish to minimize is:

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \Phi(y_i x_i^T \beta)$$

The weighted case: We introduce sample weights  $w_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  comprising a weight vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ . Further, let  $g(z) = -\log \Phi(-z)$ . The objective function now becomes:

$$f_w(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i g(-y_i x_i^T \beta)$$

To make the notation easier, we define  $z_i = -y_i x_i^T$  and introduce the matrix  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  with row vectors  $Z_i = z_i$ . This gives us:

$$f_w(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i g(z_i \beta)$$

**Lemma 1.** Let  $g(z) = -\log \Phi(-z)$ . Then it holds for all  $z \ge 0$  that:

$$\frac{1}{2}z^2 \le g(z)$$

*Proof.* The following relationship holds for all  $z \geq 1$ :

$$\Phi(-z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{-z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) dx$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{-z} \frac{-x}{z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}x^2\right) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^2\right)$$

$$\leq \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^2\right)$$

We therefore have for  $z \geq 1$ :

$$e^{g(z)} = e^{-\log \Phi(-z)} = \frac{1}{\Phi(-z)} \ge e^{\frac{1}{2}z^2}$$

Since  $\exp(\cdot)$  is a monotonically increasing function, it follows that  $g(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}z^2$  for all  $z \geq 1$ .

Let us now turn to the case when  $0 \le z \le 1$ . For z = 0 we have  $g(0) > \frac{1}{2} > \frac{1}{2}0^2 = 0$  and for z = 1 we have  $g(1) > 1 > \frac{1}{2}1^2 = \frac{1}{2}$ . Since both g(z) and  $\frac{1}{2}z^2$  are continuous and monotonically increasing functions for  $0 \le z \le 1$ , it follows that  $g(z) \ge \frac{1}{2}z^2$  for all  $0 \le z \le 1$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let  $g(z) = -\log \Phi(-z)$ . Then it holds for all  $z \ge 2$  that:

$$g(z) \le z^2$$

*Proof.* We first show that  $\Phi(-z) \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{z}{z^2+1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2}$  for all  $z \geq 0$ . In order to prove this lower bound, we define  $h(z) = \Phi(-z) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{z}{z^2+1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2}$  and show that h(z) is positive for all  $z \geq 0$ . The derivative  $h'(z) = -2\frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2}}{(z^2+1)^2}$  is negative for all z, so h(z) is a monotonically decreasing function. Also, it clearly holds that h(0) > 0 and  $\lim_{z \to \infty} h(z) = 0$ . It follows that  $h(z) \geq 0$  for all z > 0 which proves the lower bound.

In the next step, we use this result to show that  $e^{z^2} \cdot \Phi(-z) \ge 1$  for all  $z \ge 2$ :

$$e^{z^{2}} \cdot \Phi(-z) \ge e^{z^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{z}{z^{2} + 1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^{2}}$$

$$= e^{\frac{1}{2}z^{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{z}{z^{2} + 1}$$

$$= e^{\frac{1}{2}z^{2}} \frac{1}{\frac{4}{3}(z^{2} + 1)} \frac{\frac{4}{3}z}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$

$$\ge \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}z^{2}}}{\frac{4}{3}(z^{2} + 1)}$$

$$\ge \frac{e^{\frac{1}{2}z^{2}}}{e^{\frac{1}{2}z^{2}}}$$

$$= 1$$

From this it follows directly that  $\frac{1}{\Phi(-z)} \le e^{z^2}$  and thus we have for all  $z \ge 2$ :

$$e^{g(z)} = e^{-\log \Phi(-z)} = \frac{1}{\Phi(-z)} \le e^{z^2}$$

Since  $\exp(\cdot)$  is monotonically increasing, the claim that  $g(z) \leq z^2$  for all  $z \geq 2$  follows as a direct consequence.

**Definition 1.** Let  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ . Then we define

$$\mu_w(Z) = \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\left\| (\sqrt{D_w} Z \beta)^+ \right\|_2^2}{\left\| (\sqrt{D_w} Z \beta)^- \right\|_2^2} = \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\left\| (\sqrt{D_w} Z \beta)^- \right\|_2^2}{\left\| (\sqrt{D_w} Z \beta)^+ \right\|_2^2}$$

Z weighted by w is called  $\mu$ -complex if  $\mu_w(Z) \leq \mu$ .

**Lemma 3.** Let  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  weighted by  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$  be  $\mu$ -complex. Let U be an orthonormal basis for the columnspace of  $\sqrt{D_w}Z$ . If for index i, the supreme  $\beta$  in (TODO) satisfies  $2 \leq z_i\beta$ , then  $w_ig(z_i\beta) \leq 2||U_i||_2^2(1+\mu)f_w(\beta)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sqrt{D_w}Z = UR$ , where U is an orthonormal basis for the columnspace of

 $\sqrt{D_w}Z$ . It follows from  $2 \leq z_i\beta$  and from the monotonicity of g that

$$w_{i}g(z_{i}\beta) = w_{i}g\left(\frac{\sqrt{w_{i}}z_{i}\beta}{\sqrt{w_{i}}}\right) = w_{i}g\left(\frac{U_{i}R\beta}{\sqrt{w_{i}}}\right) \leq w_{i}g\left(\frac{\|U_{i}\|_{2}\|R\beta\|_{2}}{\sqrt{w_{i}}}\right)$$

$$= w_{i}g\left(\frac{\|U_{i}\|_{2}\|UR\beta\|_{2}}{\sqrt{w_{i}}}\right) = w_{i}g\left(\frac{\|U_{i}\|_{2}\|\sqrt{D_{w}}Z\beta\|_{2}}{\sqrt{w_{i}}}\right)$$

$$\leq \|U_{i}\|_{2}^{2}\|\sqrt{D_{w}}Z\beta\|_{2}^{2} \leq \|U_{i}\|_{2}^{2}(1+\mu)\|(\sqrt{D_{w}}Z\beta)^{+}\|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \|U_{i}\|_{2}^{2}(1+\mu)\sum_{j:\sqrt{w_{j}}z_{j}\beta\geq0}w_{j}(z_{j}\beta)^{2}$$

$$\leq 2\|U_{i}\|_{2}^{2}(1+\mu)\sum_{j:\sqrt{w_{j}}z_{j}\beta\geq0}w_{j}g(z_{j}\beta)$$

$$\leq 2\|U_{i}\|_{2}^{2}(1+\mu)\sum_{j=1}^{n}w_{j}g(z_{j}\beta)$$

$$= 2\|U_{i}\|_{2}^{2}(1+\mu)f_{w}(\beta)$$

**Lemma 4.** Let  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  weighted by  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$  be  $\mu$ -complex. If for index i, the supreme  $\beta$  in (TODO) satisfies  $z_i\beta \leq 2$ , then  $w_ig(z_i\beta) \leq \frac{w_i}{W}(80 + 16\mu)f_w(\beta)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K^- = \{j \in [n] \mid z_j \beta \le -1\}$  and  $K^+ = \{j \in [n] \mid z_j \beta > -1\}$ . Note that  $g(-1) > \frac{1}{10}$  and  $g(z_i \beta) \le g(2) < 4$ . Also,  $\sum_{j \in K^+} w_j + \sum_{j \in K^-} w_j = \mathcal{W}$ . Thus, if  $\sum_{j \in K^+} w_j \ge \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{W}$  then

$$f_w(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j g(z_j \beta) \ge \sum_{j \in K^+} w_j g(z_j \beta) \ge \frac{\sum_{j \in K^+} w_j}{10} \ge \frac{\mathcal{W}}{20} = \frac{\mathcal{W}}{20w_i} w_i \ge \frac{\mathcal{W}}{80w_i} w_i g(z_i \beta)$$

If on the other hand  $\sum_{j \in K^+} w_j < \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}$ , then  $\sum_{j \in K^-} w_j \geq \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{W}$ . Thus

$$f_{w}(\beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{j} g(z_{j}\beta) \geq \sum_{j: z_{j}\beta>0} w_{j} g(z_{j}\beta) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j: z_{j}\beta>0} w_{j} (z_{j}\beta)^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \| (\sqrt{D_{w}} Z \beta)^{+} \|_{2}^{2} \geq \frac{1}{2\mu} \| (\sqrt{D_{w}} Z \beta)^{-} \|_{2}^{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\mu} \sum_{j: z_{j}\beta<0} w_{j} (z_{j}\beta)^{2}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2\mu} \sum_{j \in K^{-}} w_{j} (z_{j}\beta)^{2}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2\mu} \sum_{j \in K^{-}} w_{j}$$

$$\geq \frac{\mathcal{W}}{4\mu}$$

$$\geq \frac{\mathcal{W}}{16\mu w_{i}} w_{i} g(z_{i}\beta)$$

Adding both bounds, we get that for  $z_i\beta \leq 2$ :

$$w_i g(z_i \beta) \le f_w(\beta) \frac{80w_i}{\mathcal{W}} + f_w(\beta) \frac{16\mu w_i}{\mathcal{W}} = \frac{w_i}{\mathcal{W}} (80 + 16\mu) f_w(\beta)$$

**Lemma 5.** Let  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  weighted by  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$  be  $\mu$ -complex. Let U be an orthonormal basis for the columnspace of  $\sqrt{D_w}Z$ . For each  $i \in [n]$ , the sensitivity of  $g_i(\beta) = g(z_i\beta)$  is bounded by  $\varsigma_i \leq s_i = (80 + 16\mu)(\|U_i\|_2^2 + \frac{w_i}{W})$ . The total sensitivity is bounded by  $\mathfrak{S} \leq 192\mu d$ .

Proof.

$$\varsigma_{i} = \sup_{\beta} \frac{w_{i}g(z_{i}\beta)}{f_{w}(\beta)} \leq \sup_{\beta} \frac{2\|U_{i}\|_{2}^{2}(1+\mu)f_{w}(\beta) + \frac{w_{i}}{\mathcal{W}}(80+16\mu)f_{w}(\beta)}{f_{w}(\beta)} 
= 2\|U_{i}\|_{2}^{2}(1+\mu) + \frac{w_{i}}{\mathcal{W}}(80+16\mu) 
\leq \|U_{i}\|_{2}^{2}(80+16\mu) + \frac{w_{i}}{\mathcal{W}}(80+16\mu) 
= (80+16\mu)(\|U_{i}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{w_{i}}{\mathcal{W}})$$

$$\mathfrak{S} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varsigma_{i} \le (80 + 16\mu) \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||U_{i}||_{2}^{2} + \frac{w_{i}}{\mathcal{W}}$$

$$= (80 + 16\mu)(||U||_{F}^{2} + 1)$$

$$= (80 + 16\mu)(d + 1)$$

$$\le 96\mu(d + 1)$$

$$\le 192\mu d$$

## References