20200210 [Data Analyst Nanodegree] P04M01L03 Part 04: Practical Statistics

 Module 01: Practical Stats Lesson 03: Admissions Case Study • 01. Admissions Case Study Introduction • 02. Admissions 1 • 03. Admissions 2 • 04. Admissions 3 • 05. Admissions 4

• 20200210 [Data Analyst Nanodegree] P04M01L03

Learn how to apply inferential statistics and probability to important, real-world scenarios, such as analyzing A/B tests and building supervised learning models.

• 06. Gender Bias

• <u>07. Aggregation</u> • <u>08. Aggregation 2</u>

• <u>09. Aggregation 3</u> • 10. Gender Bias Revisited

• 11. Dangers of Statistics

• 12. Text: Recap + Next Steps • 13. Case Study in Python • 14. Conclusion • 15. Appendix: Glossary Module 01: Practical Stats

In this case study, you're going to witness an instance of Simpson's paradox. A phenomenon that shows how powerful and dangerous statistics can be. Sometimes just grouping your data differently for

The problem I'd like to tell you about is motivated by an actual study the University of California Berkeley, which many years back wanted to know whether it's admissions procedure is gender biased.

And I would say yes, in part because the acceptance rate is so different for the different student populations, even though the numbers are relatively large. So, it doesn't seem just like random

And looking at the data alone, it makes sense to say the female students are favored because for both majors, they have a better admission rate than the corresponding male students.

Lesson 03: Admissions Case Study

Learn to ask the right questions, as you learn about Simpson's Paradox.

your analysis can make your conclusions disappear or even be reversed.

The paradox is indeed the same and is often called, "Simpson's Paradox(辛普森悖论)".

rate

50%

rate

50%

10%

rate

50%

10%

rate

80%

20%

rate

50%

10%

rate

80%

20%

01. Admissions Case Study Introduction

admitted

admitted

admitted

admitted

450

10

80

180

admitted

admitted

450

10

80

180

But the thing that will blow your mind away is a different question.

Who is being favored—the male students or the female students?

admitted

450

10

460

80

180

admitted

450

10

460

admitted

80

180

260

admitted

450

10

460

80

180

260

admitted

admitted

rate

50%

10%

rate

80%

20%

rate

50%

10%

46%

rate

80%

20%

26%

rate

50%

10%

46%

rate

80%

20%

26%

these skills in a day to day environment as a Data Analyst or Data Scientist.

And surprisingly, when you look at both majors together, you find that males have a much higher admissions rate than females.

So how come, when you do this, what looks like an admissions bias in favor of females switches into admissions bias in favor of males?

As you've seen in this example, on Simpson's paradox, the way you choose to look at your data can lead to completely different results.

And often, you can majorly impact what people believe to be true with how you choose to communicate your findings. You can guess how people intentionally or unintentionally come to false

In this example lesson, you learned about Simpson's Paradox, and you had the opportunity to apply it to a small example with Sebastian, as well as work through similar example in Python.

It is so easy to get caught up in looking at full aggregates of your data. Hopefully, the examples here serve as a reminder to look at your data multiple ways.

Use the Jupyter notebook to analyze admission_data.csv to find the following values and for the quizzes below. Indexing, query, and groupby may come in handy!

df.query('gender=="female" and admitted==True').admitted.count()/df.query('gender=="female"').admitted.count()

df.query('gender=="male" and admitted==True').admitted.count()/df.query('gender=="male"').admitted.count()

df.query('gender=="female" and major=="Physics"').gender.count()/df.query('major=="Physics"').gender.count()

df.query('gender=="male" and major=="Physics"').gender.count()/df.query('major=="Physics"').gender.count()

df.query('gender=="female" and major=="Physics" and admitted==True').admitted.count()/df.query('gender=="female" and major=="Physics"').admitted.count()

df.query('gender=="male" and major=="Physics" and admitted==True').admitted.count()/df.query('gender=="male" and major=="Physics"').admitted.count()

df.query('gender=="female" and major=="Chemistry" and admitted==True').admitted.count()/df.query('gender=="female" and major=="Chemistry"').admitted.count()

df.query('gender=="male" and major=="Chemistry" and admitted==True').admitted.count()/df.query('gender=="male" and major=="Chemistry"').admitted.count()

df.query('gender=="female" and major=="Physics"').major.count()-df.query('gender=="female" and major=="Chemistry"').major.count()

df.query('gender=="male" and major=="Physics"').major.count()-df.query('gender=="male" and major=="Chemistry"').major.count()

df.query('gender=="female" and major=="Chemistry"').gender.count()/df.query('major=="Chemistry"').gender.count()

df.query('gender=="male" and major=="Chemistry"').gender.count()/df.query('major=="Chemistry"').gender.count()

df.query('admitted==True and major=="Physics"').admitted.count()/df.query('major=="Physics"').admitted.count()

df.query('admitted==True and major=="Chemistry"').admitted.count()/df.query('major=="Chemistry"').admitted.count()

Value

0.514

0.486

0.287938

0.485597

2. By only looking at gender and admission rates, who appears to be favored in the admissions process?

Value

0.121

0.879

0.742

0.516

4. Of the students applying as physics majors, who appears to be favored in the admissions process?

Value

0.926

0.074

0.226

0.111

7. Of the students applying as chemistry majors, who appears to be favored in the admissions process?

There are many Simpson's Paradox happened. Can you think of other situations where Simpson's Paradox could occur?

I hope this example made you think and learn to be skeptical, of your own results and the results from others. Moving forward even when you feel very confident about the statistics you use for your

analysis, take a moment to reconsider other ways of looking at your data and whether you chose wisely. Stay tuned as we dive into the basics of statistics. We'll begin with probability theory.

In the lessons ahead, you will be learning a lot by following along with Sebastian, but it is really important to put these ideas to practice using data and computing, because that is how you will apply

In the upcoming lessons, you will learn the fundamentals of probability by working through some examples. After finishing the lessons on probability with Sebastian, you will put what you learned to

450

10

450

02. Admissions 1

Applied

Applied

900

100

Applied

900

100

100

900

Applied

900

100

100

900

06. Gender Bias

07. Aggregation

Applied

900

100

1000

Applied

100

900

Applied

900

100

1000

Applied

100

900

1000

10. Gender Bias Revisited

Applied

900

100

1000

Applied

100

900

1000

11. Dangers of Statistics

conclusions with these choices.

Simpson's Paradox

Upcoming Lessons

practice using Python!

13. Case Study in Python

4. Admission rate for each major

admission_analysis

import pandas as pd

0.514

0.486

1. Proportion and admission rate for each gender

df = pd.read_csv('admission_data.csv')

Proportion of students that are female

Proportion of students that are male

Admission rate for females

0.28793774319066145

Admission rate for males

0.48559670781893005

0.12109375

0.87890625

0.7419354838709677

0.5155555555555555

-195

207

Proportion of females with physics majors

Proportion of males with physics majors

Admission rate for female physics majors

Admission rate for male physics majors

Difference Physics majors and chemistry majors for female

Difference Physics majors and chemistry majors for female

Proportion of males with chemistry majors

Proportion of males with chemistry majors

Admission rate for female physics majors

Admission rate for male physics majors

0.9262295081967213

0.07377049180327869

0.22566371681415928

0.11111111111111111

0.21721311475409835

Feature

Proportion of students that are female

Proportion of students that are male

1. Match the correct values

Admission rate for females

Admission rate for males

3. Match the correct values

Feature

Proportion of females with physics majors

Proportion of males with physics majors

Admission rate for female physics majors

Admission rate for male physics majors

5. Who tends to have more physics majors than chemistry majors?

8. Who tends to have more chemistry majors than physics majors?

10. Take a moment to organize and explain what just happened.

Females

Females

Females

Females

Females

Males

Physics

14. Conclusion

15. Appendix: Glossary

● Simpson's Paradox(辛普森悖论)

Chemistry

Males

6. Match the correct values

Feature

Proportion of females with chemistry majors

Proportion of males with chemistry majors

Admission rate for female chemistry majors

Admission rate for male chemistry majors

9. Which major has a lower admission rate?

Males

Males

Males

0.54296875

Quiz

2. Proportion and admission rate for physics majors of each gender

3. Proportion and admission rate for chemistry majors of each gender

df.query('gender=="female"').gender.count()/df.gender.count()

df.query('gender=="male"').gender.count()/df.gender.count()

12. Text: Recap + Next Steps

09. Aggregation 3

08. Aggregation 2

• Is there a gender bias?

Applied

05. Admissions 4

Applied

04. Admissions 3

900

03. Admissions 2

Data:

Data:

Male

major A

major B

Data:

Male

major A

major B

Female

major A

major B

Data:

Male

major A

major B

Female

major A

major B

deviations.

Data:

Male

major A

major B

Female

major A

major B

Data:

Male

major A

major B

both

Data:

Female

major A

major B

Male

major A

major B

Female

major A

major B

both

both

both

both

Male

major A