T U V W P Q R

Explicit relation declaration in the field to target mapping and link to hub mapping (Black = explicit unnamed mapping, Star = explicit universal mapping)

Induced relation participation of a field

Declared tracked relation of effectivity satellites on links, also creates load operation

Load operations, created from explicit field mapping declarations

Load operations, induced by specific constellations(Star = universal)

Black = / = unnamed relation

Link load operation, induced by explicit parent relations

link parent connection with single relation declaration

link parent connection with explicit relation declaration for ever link load process

Explicitly Named link load operation, and its position in the list

Named link load operation induced by explicit relation list in link parent declaration, and its position in the list

Field name composition:
BK = Business Key in hub , C = Content in satellite, DC = Dependend child key in link, Number = Column in the target (Same Number = same column) ,T,U,V,W = Participation of field in relation

Note: Since Hubs must always declare their relations explicitly (else the relation would not exist) the scenarios for satellites on hubs(3000 - 3070) are combinable with all later scenarios

3000 (20)



3010



3020



3030



3040



3050



3060



3070



3080

(22)



3090

Not Recommended, since you cant distinguish the relations later,but possible



3100



3110



3120



3130



3140

(26)



3150



3160



3170



3180



3190



3200



3210



3220



3230



3240



3250



3260

(32)



3270



3280



3290



3300



3310



3320



3330



3340

Release 0.6.2



3350



3360



3370



3380



3390



3400



3410



3420



3430



3440



3450



3460



3470



3480



3490



3500



3510



3520



3530



3540



3550



This model is not recommended, since it separates the unit of work and loses the original value assignment of the DC columns. Even when both DC columns address the same content, it should be modeled like 3530. It might be normalized in business vault later. There normalization would already be done in transformation to stage to avoid this complex mapping.

3560



3570



3580



3590



3600



3610



3620



3630



Release 0.6.2

3640



Release 0.6.2

3650



3660



3670



3680



3690



Release 0.6.2

3700