NBER WORKING PAPER SERTES

THE ECONOMIC FOUNDATIONS OF EAST-WEST MIGRATION DURING THE NINETEENTH CENTURY

Richard H. Steckel

Working Paper No. 881

NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH

1050 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge MA 02138

April 1982

The author has benefited from comments by Jeremy Atack, Fred Bateman, Stanley Engerman, Robert Fogel, David Galenson, David Haddock, Larry Neal, Edward Ray, James Riley, and workshop participants at the University of Chicago, Harvard University, the University of Illinois, and Indiana University. The research reported here is part of the NBER's research program in Development of the American Economy. Any opinions expressed are those of the author and not those of the National Bureau of Economic Research.

The Economic Foundations of East-West

Migration During the Nineteenth Century

ABSTRACT

In this paper argues that latitude-specific investments in seeds and human capital provided an incentive for farmers to move along east-west lines. The incentives were greatest during the early and mid 1800s. Towards the end of the century migration patterns changed as farmers learned about farming in different environments, as settlement reached the Great Plains and beyond, and as farming declined in importance. Census manuscript schedules and Mormon family-group records form the basis for empirical work.

Richard H. Steckel
Department of Economics
Ohio State University
1775 College Road
Columbus, Ohio 43210

(614) 422-5008

<u>Introduction</u>

development of the United States during the nineteenth century (Mathews, 1909; Thornthwaite, 1934; Lathrop, 1949; Holbrook, 1950; Clark, 1959; Bogue, 1963; Billington, 1967). After the American Revolution pioneers developed lands west of the Appalachians and during the ensuing decades settlement reached the region of the Mississippi River. By the 1840s many observers argued that the "manifest destiny" of the United States was to occupy and control the territory that lay in the path of settlement to the Pacific Coast.

Although there are many accounts and descriptions of migration during the nineteenth century, the economic incentives behind ecographic patterns of migration have been neglected. This paper investigates these incentives. It is aroued that latitude specific investments in seeds and human capital induced farmers to move along east-west lines. The incentives were greatest during the early and mid 1800s, and the area between the Appalachians and the Great Plains became stratified by migrants according to their place of birth. The incentives weakened and migration patterns changed towards the end of the century as settlement reached the Great Plains and beyond, as the economy industrialized, and as information about farming in different environments became more readily available. Empirical work is based on samples from the 1860 manuscript schedules of population and Mormon family-group records.

A Framework for Analyzing Migration Patterns

Migration is an investment that has costs and returns (Schultz, 1961, 1962; Sjaastad, 1962). The money costs include expenditures on food, lodging, and transportation. The non-monetary costs include foregone earnings while traveling, searching for and learning a new job, and the psychic costs of leaving family and friends. The returns include a positive or negative increment to a real earnings stream attributable to a change in earnings; a change in the costs of employment; or a change in prices paid or received by the migrant.

This framework elucidates the stratified pattern of migration observed in the U.S. during the nineteenth century. Factors that influenced the rate of return on this investment are discussed under the headings of seeds, crops, livestock, human comfort and the home economy, and distance.

Seeds

The photoperiodic adaptation of seeds, especially corn, to a particular latitude probably contributed to east-west migration during the early and mid 1800s. The response of plants to relative lengths of day and night is called photoperiodism (Martin et al., 1976, pp. 44-45; Vince-Prue, 1975). Photoperiodic responses are usually classified on the basis of flowering. Short-day plants only flower or flower most rapidly with fewer than a certain number of hours of light in each 24 hour cycle. Long-day plants only flower most rapidly with more than a certain number of hours of light in each 24 hour cycle. Some plants are day-neutral and flower irrespective of the photoperiodic conditions. For example, small grains (except rice) are long-day plants in which

vegetable growth is stimulated (and flowering is delayed) by the short days of late spring. Corn and rice are short-day plants in which vegetative growth is stimulated (and flowering is delayed) by the long days of early summer; flowering is triggered by the shorter days of summer. Long-day or short-day plants that are grown outside their latitude of adaptation mature too early or too late for optimum perform-lance. Cotton is a day-neutral plant.

In effects of maladaptation on yields are evident from experiments on corn. Fortunately, a variety of experiments were conducted at the Illinois agricultural experiment station during the late 1800s. As a service to the public, the station at Champaign tested the claims of commercial corn seed suppliers (University of Illinois, 1888-1894). From 1888 to 1893 the station acquired seeds that were adapted to about 80 different locations in the Midwest and Northeast. Station personnel grew the seeds under carefully controlled circumstances, and determined yields at an 11 percent moisture content. They acquired some seeds from agricultural experiment stations.

Corn vield per acre was regressed on a second degree polynomial in the distance of the seed source in miles north (+), south (-), east (+), or west (-) of Champaign. The explanatory variables include dummies in crop year that control for variations in weather. The regression also includes a dummy variable in type of seed source because seeds grown at experiment stations may have differed from commercial varieties in their degrees of adaptation to local conditions. The discussions in the experiment station builetins and the values of the crop year coefficients in

Meather conditions.

The curves in Charts 1 and 2 depict expected vield as a function of distances and north or south of Champaign.

2 Distance north or south of Champaign. Distance north or south sharply reduced yield but distance east or west had relatively little effect. The yields of seeds adapted 250 miles south and 250 miles horth were only 62 and 72 percent, respectively, of the yield of seed adapted to Champaign. Yields of seeds adapted up to 250 miles east were slightly higher than those adapted to Champaign, whereas the yield of seeds adapted to Champaign.

In Chart 2. Other features of climate such as temperature or the timing and amount of precipitation may have affected the yields given in Chart 1.

Differences in soils between place of adaptation and place of growth probably played a small role (Gooding and Kiesselbach, 1931).

Although the experiments were conducted at one place, it seems clear from the mechanisms at work and from other experimental evidence that the effects depicted in Charts 1 and 2 are relevant to any areas suitable for corn agriculture. The results of experiments conducted at Lincoln, Nebraska during the 1930s are similar to those found at Champaign (Kiesselbach, 1937).

The sensitivity of corn yields of latitude of adaptation affected the rate of return to migration. The first settlers who left a community in search of better agricultural opportunities may have fanned out in various directions. They probably took their own supplies of seed grain,

pincluding corn (Gray, 1932, p. 123; Holbrook, 1950, p. 26; Clark, 1959, p. 214; Boque, 1962, p. 128). Corn was an ideal crop for frontier conditions; it required little seed bed preparation, little cultivation, few tools, and unlike other craims, could be harvested leisurely. Even though principles of photoperiodism were unknown in the nineteenth century, farmers observed its consequences. Farmers who went too far morth or south had poor yields, and sent relatively unfavorable reports back to the community from which they left. Thus the reputations of agricultural areas became established and influenced the migration patterns of subsequent settlers. The importance of early settlements in directing fater migration is well established (Dunlevy and Gemery, 1977).

Plant adaptation probably had a temporary effect on migration. As settlers acquired knowledge about seeds adapted to different latitudes, seed Durchases would have short-circuited the mechanism. Seed distribution by the USDA beginning in the 1860s (Boque, 1963, p. 137) facilitated the movement of migrants to different latitudes. After the mid 1870s state agricultural experiment stations distributed information that made it easier for migrants to farm in a new environment. The earliest settlers, though, may not have had the option to buy seeds adapted to their new focality. Furthermore, the knowledge of adaptation was acquired and diffused over a period of time that may have taken decades. Many factors other than adaptation determined yields, including rainfall, temperature, length of the growing season, planting and cultivating methods, and soil. The type of adaptation discussed here was probably difficult to isolate because if applied only to certain plants, varied across plants that were affected, and applied largely to north-south as opposed to east-west movements. In

farmers in the pre-Darwinian era. The fact that the Illinois experiment station conducted experiments on this question as late as the 1890s suggests that an important segment of the farming population lacked reliable information on the effects.

Crops

Climate, soil, and terrain determined the collection of crops that were grown profitably in a given locality. Given local conditions, farmers acquired skills in an effort to increase yields. They learned, for example, how and when to plow, plant, cultivate, and harvest various crops and how best to cope with the range of local weather conditions. The techniques required to grow profitably the major staples in United States agriculture were widely discussed in the agricultural journals, monographs, and hand-books of the early and mid 1800s. These sources are discussed in Bidwell and Falconer (1925) and Gray (1933). The major agricultural periodicals of the period include The Cultivator, Ine Prairie Farmer, The American Farmer, The Farmer's Register, The Southern Cultivator, and DePow's Review.

A farmer contemplating a move sought, other things being equal, a location that maximized the return on previous investments in human capital; namely, a place where the climate, soil, and terrain were familiar. The area from the Appalachians to the Great Plains was subdivided into regions where cotton, tobacco, and grain were profitably grown. While the boundaries of these regions were irregular and there was considerable overlap in some areas, when seen from the perspective of the entire country these regions approximately stratified this part of the United States along east-west

lines. Within these major cropping regions, venetation and soil strata as well as seed adaptation may have provided additional incentives to migrate within bands of latitude.

phort-staple cotton required at least 200 days between frosts, and therefore was generally not grown north of the piedmont region of North Garolina, parts of Southern Tennessee, and northern Arkansas (Gray, 1933, pp. 888-893). Tobacco can be grown under a wide range of climatic conditions, but the value of the crop depends heavily on the environment where it is grown (Martin et al., 1976, p. 849). During the nineteenth century the most successful tobacco growing areas were in Maryland, Wirginia, northern North Carolina, northern Tennessee, Kentucky, southern parts of Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois, and in central Missouri. Wheat can be grown under a variety of climatic conditions, but is poorly adapted to warm or most climates that promote parasitic diseases (Martin et al., 1976, pp. 433-434). During the nineteenth century most of the wheat raised in the U.S. was grown in or north of the border states (Bidwell and Falconer, 1925, pp. 316-338). Corn can be adapted to a wide range of environmental conditions (Martin et al., 1976, p. 326); and during the nineteenth century this crop was widely grown in states east of the Great Plains (Bidwell and Falconer, 1925, pp. 339-349).

Through experience with their native environments, farmers learned to evaluate soils by their color and vegetation that they supported (Hulbert, 1930, pp. 68-82). Black soils, for example, contained a lot of humus and were generally fertile. Red soils were high in iron and usually well-drained. Vegetation was thought to be a more informative guide, however, because it revealed what soils produced under different

they grew, and terms such as "piney soil," "white oak land," and "chestnut cak soil" came into use. Treeless areas such as the "bluegrass" region of Kentucky were characterized by the types of grass vegetation. Whatever the vegetation, thick growth usually indicated good soil, and thin growth poor soil.

Plains were induced to move within bands of latitude. Zonal soil groups roughly divide the North and the South at the southern edge of the border states (Martin et al., 19/6, p. 49). The South is characterized by red and yellow soils, where much of the Northeast and Midwest (except prairie areas) have a grey-brown podzolic (forest) soil.

Similarly, vegetation groups roughly divided the U.S. east of the Great Plains into broad zones that induced migration to the West or the Southwest (United States Department of Agriculture, 1936). Along the southern Atlantic and Gulf plains, longleaf and loblolly pine forest predominated, whereas the upland areas of this region had an oak-pine forest. Much of the land in the border states had a chestnut, chestnut oak, and yellow poplar forest. The Midwest (except for the prairies) was covered with an oak hickory forest, and the northern Great Lakes region had a birch, beech, maple and hemlock forest. Several species of trees imparted a strata within these major forest regions (Preston, 1976). Prairie grasslands dominated much of the landscape west of Indiana.

Livestock

Animals tend to be genetically adapted to a particular climate (Hafex, 1968a.b). Types of adaptation include: (1) Body size and conformation.

pieat loss is a function of body size and surface area. Animals that are suited to cold climates tend to have a large body size with a relative-ly small surface area, relatively short legs, and small ears; (2) Hairland skin. The skin, subcutaneous tissue, and hair covering control the loss of body heat. Animals that are adapted to cold climates tend to have dark-colored hair, pink or pale skin, thick skin, relatively few sweatly glands, thick heavy coats, and a thick layer of subcutaneous fat. Seasonal variations in hair growth (shedding) are under photoperiodic control in horses and cattle. Animal productivity based on milk output, body growth, wool growth among sheep, food consumption and fertility may be adversely affected by maladaptation.

Over a period of weeks or months a given animal may acclimatize to an environment through thermoregulation, body fluid regulation, and cardiovascular regulation (Bianca, 1968; Whittow, 1968a,b; Macfarlane, 1968; Hensel, 1968). Chronic exposure to either heat or cold affects food intake, metabolic rates, hair coat thickness, and weight of internal body organs, the amount of subcutaneous fat, and the composition of body fluids.

puring the nineteenth century there was a tendency for regions to specialize in certain types of livestock (Bidwell and Falconer, 1925, p. 387-447; Gray, 1933, pp. 831-857). Oxen and horses have a relatively small surface area relative to weight and were relatively common in the North and border states. Mules are well adapted to warm clinates because their long ears and legs expel excess body heat. Southern farmers observed that mules withstood the heat better than horses (Southern Cultivator 11 (July 1843), p. 116; Oliver, 1925, p. 173). Southern observers of the

debate over the best type of draft animal also pointed out that oxen were of limited value in the South because they could not take the heat of late spring and summer (Brooks, 1838, p. 500). Mule production was concentrated in the border states and exports were directed primarily to the South. Sheep and dairy cattle were concentrated in the North and to some extent in the border states. Swine production flourished in Kentucky, Tennessee, and southern Ohio and Indiana.

The regional stratification of livestcck types was refined to some extent by specialized breeds. Regional adaptation was accomplished during the Colonial Period by selective retention or disposal of animals according to needs and animal productivity. The number of breeds multiplied rapidly during the antebellum period by importing stock from Europe. Within the North, dairy cattle were particularly well-adapted to the Great Lakes region. Shorthorn cattle thrived in the relatively mild climate of the Ohio Valley. Saxony sheep were small and produced a light fleece and were best suited to the warmer parts of the North. Light saddle horses flourished in the border state climate, whereas heavy draft horses were generally produced and used further north.

Optimal use of livestock types, and to some extent livestock breeds, usually required skills particular to the type or breed. The best methods to raise, train, and care for heavy draft horses, for example, represented a considerable body of knowledge. The best methods to raise, train, and care for livestock types and particular breeds were widely discussed in the agricultural literature cited in the discussion of crops.

Animals were suited or adapted to climate (particularly temperature)

zones, and human capital was tied to the animals. Other things being equal,

Except for mountain or coastal areas, temperature zones tended to follow lines of latitude (United States Department of Interior, 1970, pp. 102-111).

Mountain and coastal areas, however, absorbed only a small portion of the appricultural population.

<u>Fuman Comfort and the Home Economy</u>

People acclimatize to a certain environment over a period of weeks or months (Hirsh, 1941). People accustomed to warm temperatures in the South, for example, have low body-heat production and therefore less energy and less protection against disease when traveling to colder temperatures in the North. Southerners avoided going to far north in part for this reason (Farmer's Register 6 (Dec. 1838), p. 521). People adapted to cold temperatures have high levels of heat production and are uncomfortable at warm temperatures.

People acquired skills that enabled them to live comfortably in a given environment. Settlers often built their own homes and the floor plan, materials, methods of construction, and type of exterior treatment reflected the climate (Pickering, 1951, pp. 19-25). A mild climate encouraged outdoor living and a rambling architectural plan. Log cabins in Tennessee and Georgia, for example, frequently had two single rooms separated by a passage with both units covered by a single roof (Weslager, 1969, p. 72). In Virginia the kitchen was often removed from the house itself. In the North houses were compact rather than rambling, windows were kept small, and usually a single large chimney provided heat.

Other types of latitude specific knowledge contributed to the output of the home economy. Tastes for food and knowledge of recipes depended on the crops that were profitably produced within the region. People who lived south of the border states, for example, made extensive use of corn and corn flour in part because small grains were usually not grown locally. It is clear that methods of preserving and preparing meat were a function of climate (Buley, 1951, pp. 213-215). Refore ready-made clothing was generally available in small towns and rural areas, the home manufacture of warm clothes was vital for human comfort in the North.

Distance

Imagine, temporarily, that the frontier of settlement moved from
east to west along a line of longitude. Then if the terrain of
the United States was uniform, it would be difficult to establish the
arguments advanced so far in this paper versus the hypothesis that
farmers moved from east to west merely to minimize the distance traveled
to new land. However, the frontier did not move from east to west
along a line of longitude and the terrain was not uniform. Kentucky
and Tennessee were the first states west of the Appalachians to be
settled. By 1815 the region of settlement west of the Appalachians
resembled a triangle with points at St. Louis, Pittsburgh, and southeast Tennessee. If distance to new land was the only consideration,
then many migrants would have moved north or south out of this triangle.

Yet relatively few settlers from the border states ventured north of
southern Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois (Mathews, 1909; Billington, 1960;

booue, 1963); this was despite the fact that low cost water transportation along the Ohio and Mississippi Rivers and their tributaries provided an incentive for beople from Kentucky and Tennessee to move into these areas. The 1850 census data on nativity show that migrants from Tennessee avoided states that were substantially outside the path of east-west settlement; only 10 percent of those born in Tennessee but living outside the state resided in Texas, Louisiana, or Iowa (ILS, Census Office, 1853, D. xxxvi). Furthermore, immigrants from Tennessee to Texas preferred the northern part of the state (Lathrop, 1949, p. 35).

The previous discussion does not deny that distance was a relevant consideration in east-west migration. It is clear, however, that distance was not the only consideration.

Results

one must recognize that many factors other than investments in human capital were involved in the choice of a new living site by an individual or family. The terrain influenced transportation costs and therefore travel routes. The Valley of Virginia and the Ohio River, for example, deflected some migration from the Mid-Atlantic States towards the South—west. The timing of land surveys, land prices, the threat of Indian attacks, and opportunities to export agricultural surpluses by rail or steamboat were also relevant. While all of these factors may have been exogenous for an individual, some were endogenous when analyzed from the view of the economy as a whole. Land surveys, for example, were influenced by anticipated demand for land, which was a function of the number of potential migrants living to the east. The farmers living in a particular

area probably had similar investments in human capital; if
the farmers were sufficiently numerous they may have influenced the course
of public policy or the plans of railway or steamboat companies. Investments in human capital were therefore more important determinants of
deportantic patterns of migration than analysis of the choices facing an
individual would suggest.

The analysis suggests several observable implications for behavior; the data available to investigate these implications include local histories, the published census, census manuscript schedules, and Mormon family—quoup sheets. Local histories and mid-century published census data establish a general east to west settlement pattern (Billington, 1960). Settlers from the Middle Colonies, for example, moved into Kentucky and Tennessee. People from New England, New York and Pennsylvania dominated the settlement of Ohio, while North Carolina, South Carolina and Georgia contributed heavily to the settlement of Alabama and Mississippi.

Stratification of settlement by place of birth is evident within states. New Englanders, for example, settled largely in northern parts of Ohio, Indiana and Illinois and in southern parts of Michigan and Wisconsin (Mathews, 1909). People from Tennessee, Missouri, and Arkansas settled in northeastern Texas (Lathrop, 1949, p. 35). Kentucky, Tennessee, and Virginia settlers predominated in southern Indiana, Illinois, and southeastern Iowa (Boque, 1963, p. 15).

Manuscript Schedules

The analysis suggests that migration patterns depended on occupation.

Other things being equal, farmers had greater incentives than non-farmers

for Illinois in 1860 can be used to test the hypothesis that there was no difference in the migration patterns of farmers and non-farmers.

Illinois lay in the paths of settlers from many states and the state therefore is well-suited for testing the hypothesis with census data.

Table 2 sets forth the results of a sample of individuals who resided in 18 Illinois counties in 1860. Among those born out of state but in the U.S., farmers were more likely than non-farmers to reside in a region due west of their state of birth. Generally the non-farmers born in a given region were more dispersed up and down the state than were farmers. The patterns of birth and residence for farmers and non-farmers were significantly different at 0.005.

Although the results for farmers versus non-farmers are consistent with the hypothesis that occupation was a determinant of destination, there was considerable stratification among non-farmers. Among non-farmers one can reject the hypothesis at 0.005 that region of residence in Illinois and region of birth were independent (chi-square = 496.4) d.f. = 12). It should be observed, however, that the category of non-farmers includes occupations such as farm laborers that were tied to farming; thus some of the stratification observed among non-farmers may have been related to the acquisition of agricultural skills. In addition, some children who moved into Illinois as part of a farm family were adults in 1860 who may have chosen non-farm occupations. The first permanent settlers in a region were largely farmers, and migration studies have found (Dunlevy and Gemery, 1977) that later migrants often seek

settlements comprised of people with a cultural background similar to their own. Thus, for example, non-farmers born in New England might have earned equal incomes in northern and southern parts of Illinois, but chose to reside in the northern part because of ties to farmers from New England.

Family-Group Sheets

The family-group sheets, described in the Appendix, contain information on the county of origin and destination, father's age at the time of the move, and the year of the move. The objects of investigation are the determinants of the extent to which people left or remained in a familiar environment. The dependent variable in the regression analysis is the number of miles moved north (+) or south (+), movement in either of these directions is an approximate measure of the degree of departure from a familiar environment. Although occupation is unknown in these data, the extent of urbanization in the county of destination at the time of the move is a proxy for this variable; the higher the percentage that lived in cities or towns the more likely it was that the migrant had a nonfarm occupation or was leaving agriculture in search of a nonfarm occupation. The expected effect of this variable on the dependent variable is positive.

Plains confronted a new farming environment in which previous investments in human capital were less applicable (Webb, 1931). Furthermore, in the regions of the Rocky Mountains and the Far West relatively more of the labor force was involved in nonfarm occupations such as mining and the fur trade. Moves to or within the West should have been less along lines of latitude than those within the East and the Midwest. An independent

variable representing region of destination within the West is therefore included in the regression. The expected effect of this variable is bositive.

Migration to a new farming environment requires investment in new skills. Since the outlays on this investment are concentrated in the early part of the investment period, the net present value of this investment is directly related to the expected length of the payback period. Consequently old compared to young farmers should have been more reluctant to move outside a familiar zone of latitude. Father's age should have a negative effect on the dependent variable.

Migrant farmers probably fanned out from a particular area. Some may have been tempted to go substantially north or south by attractive opportunities in other crops; tobacco and grain farmers who left the Chesapeake area for the cotton lands of the Southwest are an example. Others may have been tempted out of a familiar environment by favorable land prices in a different farming environment or by the attraction of family or friends engaged in nonagricultural pursuits. The predominant pattern, however, was along east-west lines. If the arguments of this paper are correct, then an independent variable that measures miles moved east (+) or west (+) should have a coefficient that is substantially less than 1.

The independent variables also include a polynomial in time. The polynomial may capture fluctuations in transportation costs, variations in land policy, and changes in land prices.

Table 3 gives the estimated relationship. The urban, West, and distance

moved east or west variables perform according to expectations. The

father's age variable has the wrong sign and is statistically insignificant, possibly because the sample is demographically selective with
respect to father's age and the presence of children. Young, unattached
men who may have been willing to move to a new agricultural environment
are excluded from the sample. The time variables are jointly significant
at only .20, which suggests that relevant time related effects have not
been excluded from the regression.

The equation in Table 3 was estimated for the time period 1800 to

1874. Estimation by subperiods indicates that the explanatory power of
the model declined during the late 1800s. The incentives to move within
zones of latitude diminished in the late 1800s as farmers acquired
information and seeds relevant to farming in different environments.

Furthermore, the percentage of nonfarmers in rural counties probably
increased towards the end of the century.

Conclusions and Suggestions for Further Research

Farmers acquired latitude specific skills in an effort to increase output under local conditions. These investments provided an incentive for farmers to migrate along east-west lines. Farmers who moved too far north or too far south sacrificed crop yields, animal productivity, human comfort, and output in the home economy. The incentives diminished and national migration patterns changed during the late 1800s as farmers learned about farming in different environments, as settlement reached the Great Plains and beyond, and as agriculture declined in importance.

This research has several potential applications. First, much of the continental United States was acquired at a time when incentives to

migrate within zones of latitude were important. The political tensions and negotiations, and the military conflict that were part of this process might be understood better in light of these incentives. Second, migrants take attitudes and ideals from their place of origin and often leave family and friends behind. A stratified migration pattern implies a stratified arrangement of attitudes, ideals, and family ties that may last for years or decades, and vestiges of which may last for generations. This work may contribute to our understanding of the origins of regional differences in voting behavior, educational systems, religion, and forms of local government. Third, the analysis may shed light on deographic patterns of trans-Atlantic migration. It offers, for example, an explanation of why European immigrants avoided the American South.

FOOTNOTES

- Dolynomial terms; the coefficients of these variables are jointly significant at only 0.25, which suggests that the second degree formulation is adequate. Distances from Champaign were determined by using latitude and longitude coordinates (United States Department of Interior, 1970) for Champaign and for the town, city, or township where the seed originated. Kirkham (1976) was useful for locating obscure towns.
- The regression is the source of the curves. The curves give equal weight to each crop year and sample mean weight to the variable Exp. An attempt was made to calculate "equal yield contours" for the yield surface, but the seed source locations are not distributed in a way that provides useful results.
- by early settlers who avoided the Midwestern prairies. The great value of the prairies to agriculture is well-known today, but first generation settlers were suspicious of land that grew no timber (Bidwell and Falconer, 1925, pp. 158-159). Their skills were adapted to preparing forest land for agriculture, and wood was the basic ingredient for their houses, furniture, bridges, fencing, farm tools, and fuel. Through experiments conducted on prairie land at the edge of the forest, settlers learned about the productivity of this soil and were then willing to invest in the skills and capital equipment necessary to farm on the prairies (McManis, 1964, pp. 86-88). They learned for example, to break the tough prairie sod with several yokes of oxen and a heavy plow, to make houses out of sod or bricks, and to make hedges serve as fences.

- 4. The information in Table 2 constitues a 3-way contingency table in which regions of residence are the rows (R), regions of birth are the columns (C), and occupations are the layers (K). One can reject the hypothesis that the layers of the table are independent given that the rows and columns may be dependent. Chi-square = 122.6 and d.f. = (K-1) (IJ-1) = 20. For a discussion of this test see Fienberg (1977, pp. 24-46) and Upton (1978, pp. 39-45).
- 5. Distances were measured from county center to county center.

Appendix: The Family-Group Records

The Genealogical Library in Salt Lake City contains several million family-group records that give the date, county, and state (or country) of birth of the parents and children in a family. A change in the county of birth between successive children implies a migration.

paper. The first is a sample of 1,682 family-group records, containing 304 moves, drawn as part of the preliminary work on the economics of mortality (The project is discussed in Fogel et al., 1978). A second sample of family group records was selected by stratifying by state and time period in the nineteenth century. Records in the second sample were selected only if they contained at least one migration. Religious motives may have been important in Mormon migration. The pattern of moves to, from, or within Utah was more dispersed and significantly different from the pattern in all other moves. Moves to, from, or within Utah are therefore not considered in this investigation of the non-religious determinants of migration patterns. The combined samples contain 514 moves.

from the population that migrated during the nineteenth century. The data base includes no single individuals and is biased towards large families. Although the family-group records were assembled largely by Mormons during the last 50 years, only a small fraction of their ancestors were Mormons (Fogel et al., 1978, p. 79). Despite attempts to gain representation by region and time period, approximately 65, 16, and 19 percent of the states of origin in the sample are in the North, South, and West, respectively. The corresponding percentages in the population

in 1870 were 64, 30, and 6. The sample contains migrations in every decade of the nineteenth century, but approximately 50 percent of the moves occurred after 1870.

in the sample. Nevertheless, until more is known about migration patterns contained in the family group records, it is advisable to interpret these data as a special sample that may differ from the population in important ways.

Table

Regression of Corn Yield on Seed Source,

Crop Year, and Distance of Seed Source in

Miles North (+), South (-), East (+), or West (-) of Champaign

Variable	<u>Coeff</u>	t-value
Exp	049255	.0354
M1889	-9.2131	4.93
Y1890	24 , 262	11.7
Y1891	-22.700	10.5
Y1892	-18.262	10.3
<u>Y1893</u>	-52,404	29_6
NS	013599	1.36
EW	. 008 90 35	2.02
(NS) ²	34021 (10⁻³)	4.80
(EW) ²	34521 (10 ⁻⁴)	4.48
(NS) ² (EW)	76427 (10 ⁻⁸)	.0239
(NS)(EW)	15987 (10 ⁻³)	2.18
(NS) ² (EW) ²	.92735 (10 ⁹)	31.18
(NS)(EW) ²	.12043 (10 ⁶)	.762
CONSTANT	86.249	55.3
$\frac{2}{461, R} = .75$. De	pendent variable = bushels (of shelled corn per
e; Exp = l if seed	was from an experiment stat	ion, O otherwise:
	s i, O otherwise, i = 1889,	
rce in miles east (+) or west (-) of Champaign:	: NS = seed source
niles north (+) or	south (-) of Champaign. Sou	urce: University of
nois, Agricultural	Experiment Station Bulletin	ı, "Field Experiments
n Corn," Nos. 4, 8,	13. 20. 25, and 31 (Champa)	ion, 1889-1894).

Table 3

Regression of Distance Moved North or South on Percent Urban, Region of Destination, Father's Age,
Distance Moved Fast or West, and Tipe, 1800-1874

Mariable	Coefficient	t-value
Percent Urban	.4672	2.13
West	39.62	2.82
Father's Age	.09312	.217
Distance East or West	.1280	8.45
Year 1	253.8	1.66
Year 2	<u>-90,93</u>	1.65
Year 3	9,406	1.59
Constant	-174.8	1.21
R ² = .29, N = 261		

population of Variables: Percent Urban = percent of

population in the county of destination that resided in a

city or town of size 5,000 or more; West = 1 if the destination was west of the 95th meridian, 0 otherwise; Father's

and = father's age at the time of the move; Distance East

Or West = distance moved east or west in miles; year i =

((vear of move-1779)/20); i = 1,2,3: Dependent Variable =

distance moved north or south in miles.

Source: A sample of family-group sheets, and U.S. Census
Office, 1800 (Second) through 1870 (Ninth).

References

- Bianca, W. (1968), "Thermorequiation." Pp. 97-118 in F.S.E. Hafex, ed.,
 Adaptation of Domestic Animals. Philadelphia: Loa and Feliger.
- Bidwell, P.W., and J.I. Falconer (1925), <u>History of Agriculture in the Murthern United States</u>. 1620-1860. Washington: Carnegie Institution.
- Billington, R.H. (1967), Westward Expansion: A History of the American Frontier. New York: Macmillan
- Boque, A.G. (1963), From Prairie to Corn Belt. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Brooks, M. (1838), "On Taming and Breaking Steers," Southern Agriculturist
 [1] (September): 498-500]
- Ruley, C.C. (1951), The Old Northwest: Pioneer Period, 1815-1940.

 Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Clark, T.D. (1959), Frontier America: The Story of the Westward Movement.

 New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.
- Migration in the Settlement Fatterns of Nineteenth Century Immigrants,"

 Review of Economics and Statistics 59 (May, No. 2): 137-144.
- Farmer's Register (1838), "Some of the Blessings of Emigrating to the North Western States," 6 (December): 521-522]
- Fienberg, S.E. (1977), The Analysis of Cross-Classified Categorical Data.

 Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Fogel, R.W., S.L. Engerman, J. Trussell, R. Floud, C.L. Pope, and L.T. Wimmer (1978), "The Economics of Mortality in North America, 1690-1910: Al Pescription of a Research Project," <u>Historical Methods</u> 11 (Spring): 75-1081

- Gooding, T.H., and T.A. Kiesselbach (1931), "The Adaptation of Corn to Upland and Bottom Land Soils," Journal of the American Society of Adronomy 23 (November): 928-937.
- Gray, L.C. (1933), History of Agriculture in the Southern United States to

 [860. Washington: Carnegie Institution; reprint ed., Gloucester,

 Mass.: Peter Smith, 1958.
- Hafez, E.S.F. (1968a), "Environmental Effects on Animal Productivity,"

 Pp. 74-93 in E.S.E. Hafez, ed., Adaptation of Domestic Animals,

 Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger,
- (1968b), "Morphological and Anatomical Adaptations," PP. 61-73

 in E.S.E. Hafex, ed., Adaptation of Domestic Animals. Philadelphia:

 Lea and Febiger.
- Hensel, H. (1968), "Adaptation to Cold." PP. 183-193 in E.S.E. Hafex, ed.,

 Adaptation of Domestic Animals. Philadelphia: Lea and Febiger,
- Hirsch, J. (1941), "Comfort and Disease in Relation to Climate," Pp. 237

 245 In United States Department of Adriculture, Climate and Man.

 Washington: USGPO.
- Holbrook, S.H. (1950), The Yankee Exodus: An Account of Migration From New England. New York: Macmillan.
- Hulbert, H.B. (1930), Soil: Its Influence on the History of the United

 States. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Kiesselbach, T.A. (1937), "Fffects of Age, Size, and Source of Seed on the Corn Crop." University of Nebraska, College of Agriculture, Experiment Station Bulletin No. 305. Lincoln.
- Kirkham, E.K. (1976), <u>A Genealogical and Historical Atlas of the United</u>

 <u>States of America</u>. <u>Logan</u>, <u>Utah</u>: <u>Everton</u>.

- Historical Association.
- Macfarlane, W.V. (1968), "Adaptation of Ruminents to Iropics and Deserts."

 Pp. 164-182 in E.S.E. Hafex, Adaptation of Domestic Animals, Phila
 Celphia: Lea and Febiger.
- McManis, D.R. (1964), The Initial Evaluation and Utilization of the Illinois

 Prairies, 1815-1840, University of Chicago Department of Geography

 Research Paper No. 94. Chicago: Department of Geography.
- Martin, J.H., W.H. Leonard, and D.L. Stamp (1976), Principles of Field Crop Production. New York: Macmillan.
- Mathews, K.K. (1909), The Expansion of New England. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Oliver, R. (1825), "Prize Essay: A Dissertation on Mules," American
 Farmer 7 (August): 169-173.
- Pickering, E. (1951), The Homes of America. New York: Thomas Y. Crowell.
- Preston, R.J. (1976). North American Trees. Ames: Iowa State University

 Press.
- Schultz, T.W. (1961), "Investment in Human Capital," American Economic Review 51 (March 1961): 1-17
- (1962), "Reflections on Investment in Man," <u>Journal of</u>

 Political Economy 70 (Supplement, October 1962): 1-8.
- Sjaastad, L.A. (1962), "The Costs and Returns of Human Migration,"

 Journal of Political Economy 70 (October Supplement): 80-93.
- Southern Cultivator (1843), "Mules," 1 (July): 116-117
- Thornthwaite, C.W. (1934), <u>Internal Micration in the United States</u>.

 Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania.

```
University of Illinois (1889-1894). "Field Experiments With Corn."
     Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin Nos. 4, 8, 13, 20, 25, and
     31. Champaign, Illinois.
Upton, G.J.G. (1978). The Analysis of Cross-Tabulated Data. New York
     John Wiley and Sons.
U.S. Census Office (1853). Seventh Census of the United States. Washington.
            , (1864), Population of the U.S. in 1860. Washington.
United States Department of Agriculture (1936), Atlas of American
     Agriculture. Washington: USGPO.
United States Department of Interior, (1970), The National Atlas of the
     United States. Washington.
Wince-Frue, D. (1975), Photoperiodism in Plants, London: McGraw-Hill.
Mebb, M.P. (1931), The Great Plains. Boston: Ginn and Company.
Meslacer, C.A. (1969) The Log Cabin in America. New Brunswick: Putcers
    University Press.
Mhittaw, G.C. (1969a), "Body Fluid Regulation." Pp. 119-126 in E.S.E. Hafez
    ed., Adaptation of Domestic Animals. Philadelphia: Lea and Fabiger.
            (1968b), "Cardiovascular Regulation," Pp. 127-140 in E.S.E.
    Hafez, ed., Adaptation of Domestic Animals. Philadelphia: Lea and
```

Febiaer.