## 99 questions/Solutions/17

## From HaskellWiki

- < 99 questions | Solutions
- (\*) Split a list into two parts; the length of the first part is given.

Do not use any predefined predicates.

```
Solution using
take
and
drop
:
split xs n = (take n xs, drop n xs)
Or even simpler using
splitAt
:
split = flip splitAt
```

But these should clearly be considered "predefined predicates". Alternatively, we have the following recursive solution:

The same solution as above written more cleanly:

```
split :: [a] -> Int -> ([a], [a])
split (x:xs) n | n > 0 = let (f,l) = split xs (n-1) in (x : f, l)
split xs _ = ([], xs)
```

Or (ab)using the "&&&" arrow operator for tuples:

```
split :: [a] -> Int -> ([a], [a])

split (x:xs) n | n > 0 = (:) x . fst && snd $ split xs (n - 1)

split xs _ = ([], xs)
```

A similar solution using foldl:

```
split :: [a] -> Int -> ([a], [a])
split [] _ = ([], [])
split list n
    | n < 0 = (list, [])
    | otherwise = (first output, second output)
    where output = foldl (\acc e -> if third acc > 0 then (first acc ++ [e], second acc, third
```

Note that for the above code to work you must define your own first, second, and third functions for tuples containing three elements like so:

```
first :: (a, b, c) -> a
first (x, _, _) = x
second :: (a, b, c) -> b
second (_, y, _) = y
third :: (a, b, c) -> c
third (_, _, z) = z
```

Another foldl solution without defining tuple extractors:

```
split :: [a] -> Int -> ([a],[a])
split lst n = snd $ foldl helper (0,([],[])) lst
    where helper (i,(left,right)) x = if i >= n then (i+1,(left,right++[x])) else (i+1,(left+
```

A solution that dequeues onto a stack and then reverses at the end:

A recursive solution constructing the 2-tuple:

Retrieved from "https://wiki.haskell.org/index.php?title=99\_questions/Solutions/17&oldid=59193"

Category:

- Programming exercise spoilers
- This page was last modified on 6 January 2015, at 20:29.
- Recent content is available under a simple permissive license.