# Quo vadis, NixOS?

Peter Simons <simons@cryp.to>

http://cryp.to/nixcon-2017-slides.pdf

# Commercially Successful Distributions Offer . . .

- products that fit the user's requirements:
  - desktop vs. server
  - real hardware vs. virtualization
  - small footprint vs. feature-rich
- stability
- security
- transparency

#### Learning from Others

- ▶ SUSE Linux has been around since 1994 and it's commercially successful.
- ▶ The free distribution openSUSE operates separately since 2005.
- ▶ Tumbleweed ≈ nixos-unstable
- ► Leap ≈ nixos-yy.mm
- ▶ SUSE Linux Enterprise has no NixOS equivalent.

### Development Process in openSUSE

- ▶ All contributors use https://build.opensuse.org/ (Open Build System).
  - entry barrier
  - + everyone has an unique handle and an e-mail address
  - + tailor-made features to support the workflow
- ▶ OBS contains a set of (hierarchically named) projects:

```
openSUSE:Factory
openSUSE:Leap:42.2
devel:languages:python
home:psimons:tmp
```

# Development Process in openSUSE

- ▶ A *project* consists of a set of packages.
- ▶ Every project defines *build targets*. These are references to other projects, which are used for dependency resolution.
- Every package in a project is compiled for every target.
- ► The shape of an openSUSE installation is defined by the the set of projects the system subscribes to:

# Developing openSUSE: Factory (Tumbleweed)

- 1. Branch editors/emacs into your home project.
- 2. Modify your local copy.
- 3. Create *submit request* to push your version back upstream.
- 4. Owner of editors/emacs accepts (or denies) that request.
- 5. Owner of editors/emacs then creates submit request for openSUSE:Factory.
- 6. Review team accepts (or denies) that request.

# Package Rings

- ▶ ring 0: 106 packages required for bootstrapping
- ▶ ring 1: +1361 packages required for a minimal-X DVD
- ▶ ring 2: +1108 packages required for a complete DVD

#### **Developing Leap**

- ▶ A set of packages is collected from various sources (like openSUSE:Factory), integration tested extensively, and released.
- Submit requests can be accepted by the release managers:
  - security issues
  - bug fixes

#### But:

- no version updates
- no user-visible change in behavior
- ▶ Changes are expected to refer to a CVE or Bugzilla issue for reference.
- ▶ Every change comes with a high-level description of its purpose.

#### Lessons to Be Learned

- 1. A distribution's core task is integration testing.
- 2. Peer review all changes.
- 3. Defining (and deploying) variants can be easy.
- 4. Have clear ownership of packages and products.
- 5. Provide integrated services for development, testing, and support.

#### Opportunities to Improve NixOS

- 1. Make hydra.nixos.org accessible to the community.
- 2. Make Nixpkgs as modular as possible.
- 3. Prevent contributors from committing broken code or breaking existing code.
- 4. Every package, test, or NixOS module must have an owner.
- 5. Provide explicit project leadership.