

Assignment Project Exam Help

CS262 Logic and Verification

Lecture 4: Normal forms

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutorcs

Complete sets of connectives

A set of connectives is said to be **complete** if we can represent every truth function $\{T, F\}^n \rightarrow \{T, F\}$ using only these connectives (there are 2^{2^n} such functions)

Assignment Project Exam Help

Example: Is $\{\neg, \wedge, \vee\}$ complete?

First look at all unary functions, i.e. the case $n = 1$:

p	f_1	f_2	f_3	f_4
T	T	T	F	F
F	F	F	T	F

<https://tutorcs.com>
WeChat: cstutorcs

This is not too difficult:

$$f_1 = \top$$

$$f_2 = p$$

$$f_3 = \neg p$$

$$f_4 = \perp$$

What about binary functions?

For $n = 2$ there are 16 possible functions:

p	q	f_1	f_2	f_3	f_4	f_5	f_6	f_7	\dots	f_{16}
T	T	T	T	T	T	F	F	T	...	F
T	F	T	T	T	F	T	T	F	...	F
F	T	T	T	F	T	T	F	F	...	F
F	F	T	F	T	T	T	F	T	...	F

How about the following:

$$f_1 = \top$$

$$f_2 = p \vee q$$

$$f_3 = p \vee \neg q$$

...

But there is still plenty to do and then there are the cases $n = 3$, $n = 4$, etc.

Proof by construction

To create a formula that is logically equivalent to any function f on variables x_1, \dots, x_n given by its truth table, do the following:

- for every valuation which maps to T construct the conjunction $L_1 \wedge \dots \wedge L_n$ where L_j is x_j if x_j is assigned T under the valuation and L_j is $\neg x_j$ if x_j is assigned F under the valuation
- take the disjunction of all conjunctions from the previous step
- for the function that is everywhere F , by convention take \perp

This shows that $\{\neg, \wedge, \vee\}$ is indeed complete.

The resulting formula is called a **disjunctive normal form (DNF)** of f .

Example

p	q	r	f
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	F
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F
F	F	F	T

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

$$\begin{aligned} f(p, q, r) &= (p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge q \wedge \neg r) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r) \\ &= (p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r) \end{aligned}$$

WeChat: cstutorcs

The disjunctive normal form of a function f is **not unique**.

Conjunctive normal form

A formula in **disjunctive normal form (DNF)** is a disjunction of conjunctions of literals. A **literal** is a variable or its negation, or \perp or \top .

A formula in **conjunctive normal form (CNF)** is a conjunction of disjunctions of literals.

Examples:

DNF: $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge q \wedge \neg r)$

CNF: $(\neg p \vee \neg q \vee r) \wedge (\neg p \vee q \vee \neg r)$

(these are two different functions)

Theorem: Every Boolean function has a DNF.

Theorem: Every Boolean function has a CNF.

First theorem follows from our construction before. Proof of second theorem in the exercises.

Further questions (see the exercises)

What other sets of connectives are complete?

How can you tell/prove that a set of connectives is not complete?

What is the minimum number of connectives needed?

Assignment Project Exam Help

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutorcs

Normal form algorithms

Problem: Given a formula, can we derive its DNF or CNF in a systematic way, other than by writing down the entire truth table?

Assignment Project Exam Help

This can be achieved by normal form algorithms.

The input is any propositional formula, the output is a semantically equivalent formula in DNF or CNF.

In every step, the algorithm applies a single rewriting rule given by one of the laws of Boolean algebra.

<https://tutorcs.com>

WeChat: cstutorcs