

Second Wave of RCons Data Cleaning

Luke Sonnet

2019-05-07

```
library(haven)
library(tidyverse)
f28n <- read_dta("source_data/rcons_data/Form_28_NA_List.dta")
f28p <- read_dta("source_data/rcons_data/Form_28_PROVINCIAL_List.dta")
f45 <- read_dta("source_data/rcons_data/Form_45_Male_Female_Turnout.dta")
f48 <- read_dta("source_data/rcons_data/Form_48_ResultForm.dta")
f49 <- read_dta("source_data/rcons_data/Form_49_Candidate_List.dta")
```

Form 28s

First, I will rowbind (append) the form 28s for the PAs and the form 28s for the NAs.

```
f28 <- bind_rows(
  f28n %>% mutate(assembly = "National"),
  f28p %>%
    mutate(assembly = "Provincial") %>%
    select(-assembly_type)
) %>%
  mutate(constituency_ps_id = paste0(constituency_id, "_", ps_id))
```

Inconsistent names in form 28s

RCONS FIX: There are some polling stations that have two names per polling station ID. Below I only print the few examples, but all of the errors are in “f28_ps_name_error.csv”. That file and the below data has the combinations of `constituency_id` and `ps_id` that have more than one `ps_name` within the polling station ID, which should be impossible. Please check the entries for these polling stations to see what is wrong with the names. Some are clearly just typos, other’s appear to be different names altogether.

```
ps_name_error <- f28 %>%
  group_by(constituency_id, ps_id, ps_name) %>%
  summarize() %>%
  group_by(constituency_id, ps_id) %>%
  filter(n() > 1)
ps_name_error
```

```
## # A tibble: 284 x 3
## # Groups:   constituency_id, ps_id [142]
##   constituency_id ps_id ps_name
##   <chr>          <dbl> <chr>
## 1 NA1            21 Govt Middle School (GMS)
## 2 NA1            21 Jinjirate Koh
## 3 NA1            34 Regional Institute for Teachers
## 4 NA1            34 Training (RITT) Drosh
## 5 NA1            58 Govt Girls Community Modle
## 6 NA1            58 School (GGCMS) Sahan Payeen
```

```
## 7 NA107          102 GOVT. REFORMER GIRLS HIGH SCHOOL, CHAK NO. 220/RB~
## 8 NA107          102 GOVT. REFORMER GIRLS HIGH SCHOOL, CHAK NO. 220/RB~
## 9 NA12           232 GovernmentPrimary School
## 10 NA12          232 Toba Pashto
## # ... with 274 more rows
```

```
write_csv(ps_name_error, "f28_ps_name_error.csv")
```

RCONS FIX: There are some constituency_id files that have different constituency_area names. Some of these again are just typos, but others show bigger underlying problems with the data entry. Please check thoroughly and correct. This is all 10 of the errors (all the others are correct).

```
electoral_name_error <- f28 %>%
  group_by(constituency_id, constituency_area) %>%
  summarize() %>%
  group_by(constituency_id) %>%
  filter(n() > 1)
electoral_name_error
```

```
## # A tibble: 10 x 2
## # Groups:   constituency_id [5]
##   constituency_id constituency_area
##   <chr>          <chr>
## 1 NA58          RAWALPIDNI-II
## 2 NA58          RAWALPINDI-II
## 3 PP8           RAWALPINDI-III
## 4 PP8           RAWALPINDI-VII
## 5 PP99          BHAKKAR-III
## 6 PP99          FAISALABAD-III
## 7 PS48          MIRPURKHAS-II
## 8 PS48          MIRPURKHAS-II39603010
## 9 PS67          (HYDERABAD-VI)
## 10 PS67         TANDO MUHAMMAD KHAN-I
```

Mismatch between PA and NA polling stations

RCONS CHECK/FIX: There are some PA polling stations that correspond to multiple NA polling stations. Is this possible? Are there some PA polling stations that are used as multiple NA polling stations? This seems unlikely, so please check and report back. The file "f28_ps_id_mismatch.csv" has all of the PS polling stations which correspond to multiple NA polling station IDs, and I only print some examples below.

```
ps_id_mismatch <- f28 %>%
  group_by(constituency_id, ps_id, ps_id_NA) %>%
  summarize() %>%
  group_by(constituency_id, ps_id) %>%
  filter(n() > 1)
ps_id_mismatch
```

```
## # A tibble: 688 x 3
## # Groups:   constituency_id, ps_id [341]
##   constituency_id ps_id ps_id_NA
##   <chr>          <dbl>   <dbl>
## 1 PB1            133     322
## 2 PB1            133     323
## 3 PB15           25      57
```

```
## 4 PB15          25      212
## 5 PB17          10      207
## 6 PB17          10      208
## 7 PB17          11      118
## 8 PB17          11      209
## 9 PB17          28      226
## 10 PB17         28      227
## # ... with 678 more rows
write_csv(ps_id_mismatch, "f28_ps_id_mismatch.csv")
```

Form 45

First, I create a combo of `constituency_id` and `ps_id` to create a unique id for the polling station constituency combo.

```
f45_clean <- f45 %>%
  mutate(constituency_ps_id = paste0(constituency_id, "_", ps_id))
```

Extra, missing, polling station

Then I show that there is one of these combos that isn't present in the form 28 data.

RCONS FIX: The below polling station appears to be missing in the form 28 data. When we look at the name, I think it should just be changed to 903 from 904 but please check and correct.

```
# There's an extra constituency_ps_id in form 45s
setdiff(f45_clean$constituency_ps_id, f28$constituency_ps_id)
```

```
## [1] "NA21_904"
```

```
f45_clean %>%
  filter(constituency_ps_id == "NA21_904") %>%
  as.data.frame()
```

```
## province      assembly_type constituency_id ps_id
## 1      KPK National Assembly          NA21    904
##                                     ps_name total_votes
## 1 GOVT. ELEMENTARY PRIMARY SCHOOL, SHAMILAT (P) COMBINED      145
## total_male_turnout total_female_turnout total_turnout comments
## 1              669              301              970
## constituency_ps_id
## 1      NA21_904
```

Mismatched totals

RCONS CHECK: There are many instances with mismatched total turnout numbers, but most of these are commented by your staff as numbers that appeared incorrect in the original data. Only the two below entries have no entries for comment. Please check the source file and report back.

```
f45 %>%
  mutate_if(is.numeric, list(~ifelse(. < 0, NA, .))) %>%
  mutate(total_turnout_sum_check = total_male_turnout + total_female_turnout) %>%
```

```
filter(total_turnout_sum_check != total_turnout, comments == "") %>%
as.data.frame()
```

```
## province      assembly_type constituency_id ps_id
## 1  PUNJAB National Assembly           NA79    187
## 2  PUNJAB Provincial Punjab           PP162    115
##
##                                     ps_name
## 1 GOVERNMENT BOYS PRIMARY SCHOOL PANDORI KALAN (COMBINED)
## 2 GOVT. GIRLS HIGH SCHOOL NISHAT COLONY LAHORE CANTT. (P) (COMBINED)
## total_votes total_male_turnout total_female_turnout total_turnout
## 1         1265             675             570         1265
## 2          974             559             353          952
## comments total_turnout_sum_check
## 1
## 2
```

Form 48

Inconsistent data within polling stations

Again, as with the Form 28s, there are some inconsistent data within polling stations.

RCONS FIX: The following polling stations have different candidate names per candidate ID in the form 48 data. These are simple typos, but please correct them.

```
f48 %>%
  group_by(constituency_id) %>%
  select(constituency_id, contains("can_name")) %>%
  gather(variable, name, -constituency_id) %>%
  group_by(constituency_id, variable, name) %>%
  summarize() %>%
  group_by(constituency_id, variable) %>%
  filter(n() > 1)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 3
## # Groups:   constituency_id, variable [3]
## constituency_id variable name
## <chr>          <chr>    <chr>
## 1 NA17          can_name_5 RIZWAN
## 2 NA17          can_name_5 RIZWAN SAEED MUGHAL
## 3 NA40          can_name_4 SYED AKHUN SADA CHATTAN
## 4 NA40          can_name_4 SYED AKHUN ZADA CHATTAN
## 5 PP188         can_name_6 HAFIZ SHABAN AHMAD
## 6 PP188         can_name_6 HAFIZ SHABAN AHMED
```

RCONS FIX: there also appears to be a problem with the variables for the total number of PS for NA 83.

```
f48 %>%
  group_by(constituency_id) %>%
  select(constituency_id, starts_with("total_number"), starts_with("registered_voters"), constituency_n
  gather(variable, name, -constituency_id) %>%
  group_by(constituency_id, variable, name) %>%
  summarize() %>%
```

```
group_by(constituency_id, variable) %>%  
filter(n() > 1)
```

```
## # A tibble: 4 x 3  
## # Groups:   constituency_id, variable [2]  
##   constituency_id variable      name  
##   <chr>           <chr>         <chr>  
## 1 NA83           total_number_ps_combined -77  
## 2 NA83           total_number_ps_combined -88  
## 3 NA83           total_number_ps_total    75  
## 4 NA83           total_number_ps_total    86
```

Conclusion

Once the above are fixed, it should be easy for me to merge the data confidently and to check mismatches in vote totals and names across the different datasets. Please let us know when you can check the above! Thank you.