

# INCIDENCE NUMBERS IN CELLULAR HOMOLOGY

COLTON GRAINGER (SCRIBE) AND SHEN LU (PRESENTER)

This problem is set from Bredon [1, No. IV.11.12]. It demonstrates

- for a CW-complex  $K$ , the differential  $\beta$  of the chain complex  $C_*^{\text{CW}}(K)$  satisfies  $\beta^2 = 0$ , and thus
- for an  $n + 1$  cell  $\sigma$  and an  $n - 1$  cell  $\omega$ , we've  $\sum_{\tau} [\omega : \tau][\tau : \sigma] = 0$  with  $\tau$  ranging over all  $n$ -cells.

**Given.** Let  $K$  be a CW-complex, with  $n$ -skeleton  $K^{(n)}$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Because  $K^{(n)}$  contains an open neighborhood around the closed subset  $K^{(n-1)}$  that deformation retracts onto  $K^{(n-1)}$ , we know:

- The relative homology  $H_*(K^{(n)}, K^{(n-1)})$  is isomorphic to the reduced homology  $\tilde{H}_*(K^{(n)}/K^{(n-1)})$ .
- The quotient space  $K^{(n)}/K^{(n-1)}$  is homeomorphic to the wedge  $\vee(I^n/\partial I^{n-1}) \approx \vee S^n$ , and thus

$$(1) \quad H_*(K^{(n)}, K^{(n-1)}) \xrightarrow[e_*]{\cong} \tilde{H}_*(K^{(n)}/K^{(n-1)}) \xrightarrow[\text{h.a.}]{\cong} \tilde{H}_*(\vee(I^n/\partial I^{n-1})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{n\text{-cells of } K^{(n)}} \tilde{H}_*(S^n).$$

We may define a chain complex  $C_*^{\text{CW}}(K)$  associated to  $K$  as follows:

- Let the chain group  $C_n^{\text{CW}}(K)$  be  $H_n(K^{(n)}, K^{(n-1)})$ . This is the free abelian group (in the  $n$ th degree of the graded group) at the end of (1) whose basis is the set of  $n$ -cells attached to  $K^{(n-1)}$ .
- Let the differential  $\beta_n : C_n^{\text{CW}}(K) \rightarrow C_{n-1}^{\text{CW}}(K)$  be the composite

$$(2) \quad C_n^{\text{CW}}(K) = H_n(K^{(n)}, K^{(n-1)}) \xrightarrow{\delta_n} H_{n-1}(K^{(n-1)}) \xrightarrow{j_{n-1}} H_{n-1}(K^{(n-1)}, K^{(n-2)}) = C_{n-1}^{\text{CW}}(K).$$

$\searrow \beta_n \nearrow$

In (2), the boundary map  $\delta_n$  arises from the long exact sequence for the pair  $(K^{(n)}, K^{(n-1)})$ , and the map of relative homology groups  $j_{n-1} : H_{n-1}(K^{(n-1)}) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(K^{(n-1)}, K^{(n-2)})$  is induced by the inclusion of skeleta  $j : (K^{(n-1)}, \emptyset) \hookrightarrow (K^{(n-1)}, K^{(n-2)})$ .

From lecture [2, No. 1.11.3], we know  $\delta_n$  respects the attaching maps; for an  $n$ -cell  $\sigma$  with attaching map  $f_{\partial\sigma}$ ,

$$\delta_n[I_\sigma^n] = [f_{\partial\sigma}(\partial I_\sigma^n)].$$

And so, the differential  $\beta_n$  can be described with “incidence numbers” [3, No. 8.5]. For an  $n$ -cell  $\sigma$  and an  $n - 1$  cell  $\tau$ , define

$$(3) \quad [\tau : \sigma] := \deg \left( \begin{array}{ccccccc} S^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{f_{\partial\sigma}} & K^{(n-1)} & \longrightarrow & K^{(n-1)}/K^{(n-2)} & \xrightarrow{\approx} & \vee S^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\text{find } \tau} S^{n-1} \\ \parallel & & & & & & \parallel \\ \partial I_\sigma^n & & & \searrow p_\tau & & & I_\tau^{n-1}/\partial I_\tau^{n-1} \end{array} \right).$$

To make three comments. First, we take for granted the rule  $\sigma \mapsto \sum_{\tau} [\tau : \sigma]\tau$  on generators  $\sigma$  in  $C_n^{\text{CW}}(K)$  extends linearly and is the differential  $\beta_n$  in (2). See [1, p. 203]. So write  $\beta_n(\sigma) := \sum_{\tau} [\tau : \sigma]\tau$ . Second, all but finitely many terms in the sum  $\sum_{\tau} [\tau : \sigma]\tau$  must be zero. This is because the compact set  $\partial I_\sigma^n$  is

attached by  $f_{\partial\sigma}$  to a *compact* subset of  $K^{(n-1)}$ . Third, the projection  $p_\tau$  that “finds”  $\tau$  in (3) is the unique map  $p_\tau: K^{(n-1)} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$  satisfying:

- i.  $p_\tau \circ f_\tau = \gamma_{n-1} = \text{smash product } \gamma \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma \text{ of } n-1 \text{ copies of the quotient map } \gamma: I^1 \rightarrow S^1$
- ii.  $p_\tau \circ f_{\tau'} = \text{constant map to base point, for } \tau' \neq \tau$ .

Now, we almost done setting up results and rehashing definitions needed to make  $C_*^{\text{CW}}(K)$  a chain complex. It remains to argue that the differential  $\beta$  is of order 2, i.e., that  $\beta^2 = 0$ . So consider the following three long exact sequences in relative homology. (This is Ulrike Tillmann’s argument [3, No. 8.6].)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 (4) & \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{n+1}(K^{(n+1)}, K^{(n)}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{n+1}} & H_n(K^{(n)}) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & & & \searrow & \nearrow & \\
 & \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_n(K^{(n)}) & \xrightarrow{j_n} & H_n(K^{(n)}, K^{(n-1)}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_n} H_{n-1}(K^{(n-1)}) \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 & & & & & \searrow & \\
 & & \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_{n-1}(K^{(n-1)}) & \xrightarrow{j_{n-1}} & H_{n-1}(K^{(n-1)}, K^{(n-2)}) \longrightarrow \cdots
 \end{array}$$

Notice  $\beta_n \beta_{n+1} = (j_{n-1} \delta_n)(j_n \delta_{n+1}) = j_{n-1}(\delta_n j_n) \delta_{n+1} = 0$ , as  $\delta_n j_n = 0$  by exactness of the middle row.

**To prove.** Let  $K$  be a CW-complex. For all  $n+1$  cells  $\sigma$  and  $n-1$  cells  $\omega$ ,

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\tau} [\omega : \tau][\tau : \sigma] = 0,$$

where  $\tau$  ranges over all  $n$ -cells.

**Proof.** We require  $\beta^2 = 0$ , as in (4). We also require  $\beta(\sigma) = \sum_{\tau} [\tau : \sigma] \tau$ , as discussed after (3). Whence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta^2(\sigma) &= \sum_{\tau} [\tau : \sigma] \beta(\tau) && (\beta \text{ is linear}) \\
 &= \sum_{\tau} [\tau : \sigma] \sum_{\omega} [\omega : \tau] \omega && (\text{evaluate}) \\
 &= \sum_{\forall \tau, \omega} [\omega : \tau][\tau : \sigma] \omega. && (\mathbf{Z} \text{ is a commutative ring})
 \end{aligned}$$

$C_{n-1}^{\text{CW}}(K)$  is the free abelian group whose basis is the set of  $n-1$  cells in  $K$ . So if  $\beta^2(\sigma) = 0$ , then the coefficient  $[\omega : \tau][\tau : \sigma]$  of each  $n-1$  cell  $\omega$  had better be zero. Thus, fixing  $\omega$ , we conclude  $\sum_{\tau} [\omega : \tau][\tau : \sigma] \omega = 0$ .  $\square$

**Remarks.**

- i. Here’s another way to remember (5) in the case that  $K$  is finite. Take the matrices  $[\beta_{n+1}]$  and  $[\beta_n]$  representing  $\beta_{n+1}$  and  $\beta_n$  with respect to the *finite* bases for  $C_{n+1}^{\text{CW}}(K)$  and  $C_n^{\text{CW}}(K)$ . Because  $\beta$  is order two,  $[\beta_n][\beta_{n+1}] = 0$  and (5) follows from matrix multiplication.
- ii. If the coefficient  $[\tau : \sigma]$  is the “incidence” of  $\sigma$  to  $\tau$ , then the matrix  $[\beta_n]$  suggests itself as the “incidence matrix” of the differential  $\beta_n$ .
- iii. But the term “incidence matrix” is typically reserved for the following situation: Take the differential  $\beta_1: C_1^{\text{CW}}(K) \rightarrow C_0^{\text{CW}}(K)$ . How does the matrix  $[\beta_1]$  describe the *directed graph* whose vertices are 0-cells in  $K^{(0)}$  and whose directed edges are oriented 1-cells in  $K^{(1)}$ ?

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. Bredon, *Topology and Geometry*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [2] A. Beaudry, “Math 6220 Class Notes.” 2019.
- [3] U. Tillmann, “Algebraic Topology Lecture Notes.” 2013.