

---

# Workshop Proposal: Advances in Programming Languages and Neurosymbolic Systems (AIPLANS)

---

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

## Abstract

1 Automatic differentiation libraries and frameworks have enabled much progress in  
2 gradient-based learning over the last decade. Recent domain-specific languages  
3 for automatic programming hold the promise of unleashing similar progress in  
4 e.g., probabilistic and classical reasoning. Concurrently, machines have made  
5 steady progress in representing and synthesizing programs. Other workshops have  
6 explored these themes separately, yet few have highlighted the interplay between  
7 automatic and synthetic programming, a situation we hope to remedy.

## 8 1 Introduction

9 Neural information processing systems have benefited tremendously from the availability of program-  
10 ming languages and abstractions for automatic differentiation. Similar domain-specific languages  
11 have begun to automate inference in other logical disciplines, such as probabilistic and classical logic,  
12 and related message passing schemes on tree- and graph-structured data.

13 Not only does machine learning itself benefit from tools and languages for programmable inference,  
14 learning can also be seen as a kind of programming language which humans program indirectly, and  
15 which is increasingly capable of emitting human-readable procedures. Early examples of synthetic  
16 functions of this sort are starting to emerge, thanks to recent progress in statistical language modeling,  
17 resembling procedures a human programmer might plausibly write by hand.

18 Using techniques from programmable inference to transform and generate programs, and adapting  
19 insights gained developing those programs to drive innovation in AD and probabilistic programming  
20 is a virtuous cycle, with a growing stream of software and academic papers. We envision collaboration  
21 between automatic and synthetic programming will continue to unlock deeper insights as researchers  
22 become more accustomed to outsourcing low-level reasoning tasks to these systems.

23 Many ideas have been reinvented and rediscovered in this process. AD was invented over a half a  
24 dozen times over the last century and research continues to reveal unexpected connections to implicit  
25 differentiation, optimal control, stochastic processes and differential equations. Semiring program-  
26 ming has existed in various forms for many decades and shares deep connections to reinforcement  
27 learning, structured inference and probabilistic programming. Much work remains.

28 Likewise, many recent topics in machine learning have been well-studied in the programming  
29 language literature. For example, functional and type-safe programming are lingua franca in PL  
30 circles but relatively new to Python, the primary language used in machine learning. The duality  
31 between code and data is well-known in PL under the aegis of homoiconicity. Other PLs have thought  
32 deeply about higher-order functions, currying, partial application, and denotational and operational  
33 semantics, which enables routines to interoperate smoothly and run reliably.

34 Similarly, programming languages has wrestled with issues of expressivity and tractability, and  
35 intensional and extensional representation, a distinction which has long since been reconciled by  
36 the statistical learning community under the umbrella of model-based learning and approximation  
37 theory. PL could take a page from structured inference and propagation algorithms as a medium for  
38 distributed computation. . . We believe many other such examples await discovery.

39 Other areas where the interaction could be fruitful are tools for equivalence, proof search and metrics.  
40 A deeper understanding of programming language semantics are largely missing from neural program  
41 synthesis discussions. New language models could enable natural language and assistive programming.

42 As outlined above, we believe that recent advances in statistical learning and programming languages  
43 have been largely siloed, and these two communities have many ideas to exchange. A joint workshop  
44 could help to facilitate yet unrealized research connections. Our workshop is designed to be as  
45 inclusive as possible. For illustration, we include the following non-exhaustive list of topics:

- 46 • Differentiable programming / automatic differentiation
- 47 • Probabilistic programming / statistical inference
- 48 • Declarative programming / constraint programming
- 49 • Dynamic programming / reinforcement learning
- 50 • Functional programming /  $\lambda$ -calculus
- 51 • Array programming / linear algebra
- 52 • Semiring programming / message passing
- 53 • Metaprogramming / reflection
- 54 • Logic programming / proof search
- 55 • Domain-specific languages

56 We encourage developers of libraries and frameworks to submit their work for evaluation.