

# Identifier Update for OSCORE

*draft-ietf-core-oscore-id-update-05*

**Rikard Höglund**, RISE  
Marco Tiloca, RISE

IETF CoRE WG meeting – IETF 124 – November 7<sup>th</sup>, 2025

# Recap

## › Method for updating peers' OSCORE Sender/Recipient IDs

- This procedure can be initiated by a client or by a server
- Peers start with an original OSCORE Security Context, CTX\_A
  - ...and use the new IDs for deriving a new OSCORE Security Context CTX\_B

## › Properties

- The message sender indicates its new wished Recipient ID, the other peer acks it
- Both peers have to opt-in and agree in order for the IDs to be updated
- Must not be done immediately following a reboot if run standalone (e.g., KUDOS must be run first)
- Offered Recipient ID must not be used yet under the same (Master Secret, Master Salt, ID Context)
- Received Recipient ID must not be used yet as own Sender ID under the same triple
- **Overall goal:** Mitigate privacy issues due to message correlation and tracking of OSCORE peers

# Design in line with KUDOS (1/2)

## › The procedure starts when either peer

- Sends a message including the Recipient-ID Option, or
- Receives such a message from the other peer

## › During the procedure

### › Sending a first message

- The first messages sent after the procedure has started must include the Recipient-ID Option, if this peer hasn't offered its Recipient ID already

### › Acknowledgment

- If a peer has received a valid message from the other peer including the Recipient-ID Option, it must include the Recipient-ID-Ack Option in subsequent messages (with value the Recipient ID received from the other peer)

### › Sending Subsequent Messages

- A peer must send a message with the Recipient ID Option regularly, specifically when the local timer REPEAT\_TIMER expires

No.	C	U	N	R	Name	Format	Length	Default
TBD24					Recipient-ID	opaque	any	(none)

Table 1: The Recipient-ID Option. C=Critical, U=Unsafe, N=NoCacheKey, R=Repeatable

No.	C	U	N	R	Name	Format	Length	Default
TBD32					Recipient-ID-Ack	opaque	any	(none)

Table 2: The Recipient-ID-Ack Option. C=Critical, U=Unsafe, N=NoCacheKey, R=Repeatable

# Design in line with KUDOS (2/2)

## › Procedure Completion

### – Success

- › If a peer has received and successfully verified at least three message from the other peer containing the Recipient-ID-Ack Option
- › Now safe to delete CTX\_A (does not mean that CTX\_A has to be deleted at this point)
- › CTX\_B is considered valid and can be used
  - › The peers can start using it after a network migration

### – Failure

- › An ENDING\_TIMER, is maintained and started when the procedure starts
- › If the ENDING\_TIMER expires, the procedure times out without confirmation, and fails
- › The offered Recipient ID must be discarded and added to the list of IDs to prevent reuse

# Changes for v-05

## › Editorial improvements

## › Add additional message flow examples, including failure case

- OSCORE ID Update Procedure Initiated with a Response Message
  - › Successful execution of the procedure, initiated by a CoAP response
- Failure of the OSCORE ID Update Procedure Initiated with a Request Message
  - › The client repeatedly tries sending requests to the client including the Recipient-ID option, but does not receive acknowledgments in the form of responses containing the Response-ID-Ack option from the server
  - › ...thus the client eventually reaches the expiration of its ENDING\_TIMER, aborts the OSCORE ID update procedure, and proceeds to continue communication with normal OSCORE messages

Client

Server

```
CTX_A {  
  SID = 0x01  
  RID = 0x00  
}
```

Protect  
with CTX\_A

Request #1

```
OSCORE {  
  ...  
  kid: 0x01  
}  
Encrypted Payload {  
  ...  
  Recipient-ID: 0x42  
  ...  
  Application Payload  
}
```

Response #1

Verify  
with CTX\_A

```
OSCORE {  
  ...  
}  
Encrypted Payload {  
  ...  
  Application Payload  
}
```

Protect  
with CTX\_A

Request #2

```
OSCORE {  
  ...  
  kid: 0x01  
}  
Encrypted Payload {  
  ...  
  Recipient-ID: 0x42  
  ...  
  Application Payload  
}
```

Response #2

Verify  
with CTX\_A

```
OSCORE {  
  ...  
}  
Encrypted Payload {  
  ...  
  Application Payload  
}
```

```
CTX_A {  
  SID = 0x00  
  RID = 0x01  
}
```

/temp

Verify  
with CTX\_A

Protect  
with CTX\_A

/temp

Verify  
with CTX\_A

Protect  
with CTX\_A



# Summary and next steps

- › **Consider message counting as alternative to the timers**
  - i.e., alternative to ENDING\_TIMER and REPEAT\_TIMER
- › **Examples of running ID update integrated with KUDOS, considering the change to the KUDOS design**
  - Add message flow examples
  - Textual description of how this should work
- › **Implement the OSCORE ID Update procedure**
  - Starting from our Java OSCORE implementation
- › **Comments and reviews are welcome!**



Thank you!

Comments/questions?

<https://github.com/core-wg/oscore-id-update>

# Backup



