



## Solve the recurrence relation: $T(n) = \sqrt{n} T(\sqrt{n}) + n$

$$T(n) = \sqrt{n} T(\sqrt{n}) + n$$

Master method does not apply here. Recursion tree goes a long way. Iteration method would be preferable.

The answer is  $\Theta(n \log \log n)$ .

Can anyone arrive at the solution.

(recurrence-relations)

edited Nov 17 '12 at 18:44



Adi Dani

14.7k

3

17

39

asked Nov 17 '12 at 18:35



Vishnu Vivek

668

4

11

18

### 5 Answers

Let  $n = m^{2^k}$ . We then get that

$$T(m^{2^k}) = m^{2^{k-1}} T(m^{2^{k-1}}) + m^{2^k}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(k) &= m^{2^{k-1}} f_m(k-1) + m^{2^k} = m^{2^{k-1}} (m^{2^{k-2}} f_m(k-2) + m^{2^{k-1}}) + m^{2^k} \\ &= 2m^{2^k} + m^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}} f_m(k-2) \end{aligned}$$

$$m^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}} f_m(k-2) = m^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}} (m^{2^{k-3}} f_m(k-3) + m^{2^{k-2}}) = m^{2^k} + m^{7 \cdot 2^{k-3}} f_m(k-3)$$

Hence,

$$f_m(k) = 2m^{2^k} + m^{3 \cdot 2^{k-2}} f_m(k-2) = 3m^{2^k} + m^{7 \cdot 2^{k-3}} f_m(k-3)$$

In general, it is not hard to see that

$$f_m(k) = \ell m^{2^k} + m^{(2^\ell - 1)2^{k-\ell}} f_m(k-\ell)$$

$\ell$  can go up to  $k$ , to give us

$$f_m(k) = km^{2^k} + m^{(2^k - 1)} f_m(0) = km^{2^k} + m^{(2^k - 1)} m^{2^0} = (k+1)m^{2^k}$$

This gives us

$$f_m(k) = (k+1)m^{2^k} = n (\log_2(\log_m n) + 1) = \mathcal{O}(n \log_2(\log_2 n))$$

since

$$n = m^{2^k} \implies \log_m(n) = 2^k \implies \log_2(\log_m(n)) = k$$

edited Nov 17 '12 at 19:11

answered Nov 17 '12 at 18:48

user17762

Thanks Marvis. But how did log appear suddenly. Are there some more steps in between. –

Vishnu Vivek Nov 17 '12 at 18:56

@VISHNUVIVEK I have added some more details. Hope it is clear now. – user17762 Nov 17 '12 at 19:12

I appreciate your effort Marvis. I have a question for you. Is it possible to determine the base-case for a recurrence problem if it is not given in the question. Some say that it is not possible to solve recurrence problems if the base-case is not given. In this problem, we have to assume that the base case is  $T(2)=2$ . How did you solve it without knowing it. In the answer by Amr, he has left it at the end as  $T(2)$ . Thus if we substitute  $T(2)=2$ , we almost arrive at the answer. – Vishnu Vivek Nov 17 '12 at 22:47

@VISHNUVIVEK The base case is  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  and hence it typically won't affect the overall order. For instance, as Amr has shown that

$$T(m) = m \left( \log_2 \log_2(m) + \frac{T(2)}{2} \right)$$

$T(2)$  is just a constant say  $T(2) = k$ . Hence, the overall cost is

$$T(m) = m \left( \log_2 \log_2(m) + \frac{k}{2} \right) = \mathcal{O}(m \log_2 \log_2 m)$$

– user17762 Nov 17 '12 at 22:50

Oh! I almost forgot the fact that it was a constant term. Great. Thanks buddy. – Vishnu Vivek Nov 17 '12

at 22:50

at 22:00

Yes!!, the Master Theorem can be applied. I'm going to show you

$$T(n) = \sqrt{n} T(\sqrt{n}) + n = \sqrt{n} T(\sqrt{n}) + O(n)$$

Let  $n = 2^k$ ,  $\sqrt{n} = 2^{k/2}$ , and  $k = \log n$

$$T(2^k) = 2^{k/2} T(2^{k/2}) + 2^k \quad / \text{dividing by } 2^k$$

$$\frac{T(2^k)}{2^k} = \frac{2^{k/2} T(2^{k/2})}{2^k} + 1 \quad (\text{we know that } \frac{2^{k/2}}{2^k} = \frac{1}{2^{k/2}})$$

$$\frac{T(2^k)}{2^k} = \frac{T(2^{k/2})}{2^{k/2}} + 1$$

$$\text{Let } y(k) = \frac{T(2^k)}{2^k}, \text{ then}$$

$$y(k) = y\left(\frac{k}{2}\right) + 1$$

Now, we apply the Master Theorem ( $T(n) = aT(\frac{n}{b}) + n^d$ ), where  $a=1$ ,  $b=2$ , and  $d=0$ ,  $a = b^d = 1$

$$y(k) = k^d \log k = \log k \quad (\text{because } d=0)$$

But, we also know that  $T(2^k) = 2^k y(k)$ , then

$$T(2^k) = 2^k \log k = T(n) = n \log \log n \quad (\text{because } n=2^k \text{ and } k = \log n)$$

$$\text{Finally: } T(n) = \Theta(n \log \log n)$$

edited Sep 16 '14 at 19:46

answered Sep 16 '14 at 19:31



Pedro A. Rodriguez

51 2

Looks correct and generalizable to  $T(n) = \sqrt{n} T(\sqrt{n}) + \sqrt{n}$ . – Eugene K Sep 24 '15 at 17:15

Let  $n = 2^{2^u}$ , thus we get:

$$T(2^{2^u}) = 2^{2^{u-1}} T(2^{2^{u-1}}) + 2^{2^u}$$

Now divide both sides by  $2^{2^u}$  to get (Note that  $2^{2^{u-1}}/2^{2^u} = 2^{-2^{u-1}}$ )

$$2^{-2^u} T(2^{2^u}) = 2^{-2^{u-1}} T(2^{2^{u-1}}) + 1$$

$$2^{-2^u} T(2^{2^u}) - 2^{-2^{u-1}} T(2^{2^{u-1}}) = 1$$

By summing from 1 to n we get:

$$2^{-2^n} T(2^{2^n}) - 2^{-1} T(2) = n$$

therefore:

$$T(2^{2^n}) = 2^{2^n} (2^{-1} T(2) + n)$$

answered Nov 17 '12 at 18:46



Amr

13.6k 3 24 79

Thanks Amr.. can u pls arrive till the answer which I've prescribed. – Vishnu Vivek Nov 17 '12 at 18:52

Actually I don't know the definitions of big O and theta (which you have). That's why I stopped here. I know they are used a lot in CS, but my field is not CS – Amr Nov 17 '12 at 18:53

The other answer has the big O notation. I think you might want to see this answer. – Amr Nov 17 '12 at 18:55

1 Actually your answer is quite understandable. I have a question for you. Is it possible to determine the base-case for a recurrence problem if it is not given in the question. – Vishnu Vivek Nov 17 '12 at 19:04

### Solution with Detailed Explanation:

Master theorem **cannot be applied here** because for applying the Master theorem the number of sub-problems generated must be constant (a).

$$T(n) = \sqrt{n} T(\sqrt{n}) + n$$

$$\text{Let, } m = \lg n; n = 2^m$$

Therefore

$$T(2^m) = 2^{m/2} * T(2^{m/2}) + 2^m \dots (i)$$

$$\text{Let, } S(m) = T(2^m)$$

Therefore changing the equation (i) we get,

$$S(m) = 2^{m/2} * S(m/2) + 2^m \dots (ii) \text{ //Level 1}$$

$$S(m/2) = 2^{m/4} * S(m/4) + 2^{m/2} \dots (iii)$$

$$S(m/4) = 2^{m/8} * S(m/8) + 2^{m/4} \dots (iv)$$

....

....

So on and so forth.

By putting value of **S(m/4)** from equation (iv) in equation (iii) we get,

$$S(m/2) = 2^{m/4} * [2^{m/8} * S(m/8) + 2^{m/4}] + 2^{m/2} \text{ //Replaced value is inside [ ]}$$

$$= [2^{m/4} * 2^{m/8} * S(m/8) + 2^{m/4} * 2^{m/4}] + 2^{m/2}$$

$$= [2^{m/4} * 2^{m/8} * S(m/8) + 2^{m/2}] + 2^{m/2} \dots (v) \text{ //Level 2}$$

By putting value of equation (v) in equation (ii) we get,

$$S(m) = 2^{m/2} * [[2^{m/4} * 2^{m/8} * S(m/8) + 2^{m/2}] + 2^{m/2}] + 2^m$$

$$= [2^{m/2} * 2^{m/4} * 2^{m/8} * S(m/8) + 2^{m/2} * 2^{m/2}] + 2^{m/2} * 2^{m/2} + 2^m$$

$$= [2^{m/2} * 2^{m/4} * 2^{m/8} * S(m/8) + 2^m] + 2^m \dots (vi) \text{ //Level 3}$$

So, if you follow the expressions you will find in each level a factor of **(2<sup>m</sup>)** is added and so **(2<sup>m</sup>/(2<sup>i</sup>))** is multiplied to **S(m/(2<sup>i</sup>))**.

**Note:** You can verify it by comparing equation (ii) and equation (v) or equation (v) and equation (vi).

So it turns out,

$$S(m) = [2^{m/(2^1)} * 2^{m/(2^2)} * 2^{m/(2^3)} * \dots * 2^{m/(2^i)}] * S(m/(2^i)) + [2^m + 2^m + \dots i \text{ terms}]$$

**Note:** You may directly reach to the above relation just after equation (ii) or (iii) depending on your expertise.

Now, if you follow the first part in [ ] you can make it,

$$2^{m/2} [1 + (1/2) + (1/4) + \dots + (1/(2^{i-1}))] \dots (vii)$$

The part in [ ] in the above line is a GP series with first term **a=1** and **r=1/2** with **i** terms. As this is a monotonically increasing series, if we make it for infinite terms, still it holds the upper bound.

Therefore, the sum of the series ie. the section inside [ ] has become,

$$1/(1-(1/2)) = 2$$

So now putting the value in equation (vii), we get,

$$2^{m/2} * 2 = 2^m$$

Therefore,

$$S(m) \leq 2^m * S(m/(2^i)) + i * 2^m \text{ //As we took the series sum for infinite terms}$$

No if we consider **S(1)** as the base case and **S(1)=1**, then

$$m/(2^i) = 1; \text{ and } i = \lg m; \dots (viii)$$

put the value of **i** in the equation (viii) to get

$$S(m) \leq 2^m * S(1) + \lg m * 2^m$$

$$\leq 2^m + \lg m * 2^m \text{ //As, } S(1)=1$$

No return to **T(n)** by replacing the variables.

$$S(\lg n) \leq n + \lg(\lg n) * n$$

$$T(n) \leq n + n * \lg(\lg n)$$

Therefore,

$$T(n) = O(n \lg(\lg n))$$

Now, for the approximation we made for the GP series in equation (vii) is not relevant for calculation that lower bound as

Calculation that lower bound as,

$$n = O(n \lg(\lg n))$$

So, we can conclude,

$$T(n) = \Theta(n \lg(\lg n)) \text{ // "Theta of } n \lg \text{ of } \lg \text{ of } n"$$

answered Mar 3 '15 at 12:38



Partha De

11 1

Use substitution method:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= \sqrt{n} T(\sqrt{n}) + n \\ &= n^{\frac{1}{2}} T\left(n^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) + n \\ &= n^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( n^{\frac{1}{2^2}} T\left(n^{\frac{1}{2^2}}\right) + n^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) + n \\ &= n^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2}} T\left(n^{\frac{1}{2^2}}\right) + n^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} + n \\ &= n^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2}} T\left(n^{\frac{1}{2^2}}\right) + 2n \\ &= n^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2}} \left( n^{\frac{1}{2^3}} T\left(n^{\frac{1}{2^3}}\right) + n^{\frac{1}{2^2}} \right) + 2n \\ &= n^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^3}} T\left(n^{\frac{1}{2^3}}\right) + n^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^2}} + 2n \\ &= n^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{2^3}} T\left(n^{\frac{1}{2^3}}\right) + 3n \\ &\vdots \\ &= n^{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2^i}} T\left(n^{\frac{1}{2^k}}\right) + kn \end{aligned}$$

assuming  $T(2) = 2$ , which is the least value of  $n$  that could be.

So,

$$\begin{aligned} n^{\frac{1}{2^k}} &= 2 \\ \frac{1}{2^k} \log_2(n) &= \log_2(2) \\ \log_2(n) &= 2^k \\ \log_2 \log_2(n) &= k \log_2(2) \\ \log_2 \log_2(n) &= k \end{aligned}$$

therefore, the recurrence relation will look like:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= n^{\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{2^i}} T\left(n^{\frac{1}{2^k}}\right) + kn \\ &= n^{\log_2 \log_2(n)} \frac{1}{2^i} T\left(n^{\frac{1}{2^{\log_2 \log_2(n)}}}\right) + n \log_2 \log_2(n) \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\log_2 \log_2(n)} \frac{1}{2^i} = 1 - \frac{1}{\log_2(n)} = \text{fraction always, as } n \geq 2$$

so,

$$T(n) = \mathcal{O}(n \log_2 \log_2 n)$$

answered Oct 2 '16 at 9:46



amarVashishth

219 1 12