

# COT5405 Analysis of Algorithms

## Homework 1 Solution

Summer 2012

Due: June 1, 2012, 11:55pm

### Problem 1 5 Pts

Prove or disprove:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 \in \Theta(n^2)$$

#### Answer

Disprove. Since  $\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(2n+1) = \Theta(n^3) = \Omega(n^2)$

### Problem 2 10 Pts

List the 16 functions below from lowest asymptotic order to highest asymptotic order.  
If any two (or more) are of the same asymptotic order, indicate which.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} n & 2^n & n \lg n & n^3 & n^2 & \lg n & n - n^3 + 7n^5 & n^2 + \lg n \\ e^n & \sqrt{n} & 2^{n-1} & \lg \lg n & \ln n & (\lg n)^2 & n! & n^{1.5} \end{array}$$

#### Answer

From low asymptotic order to high asymptotic order

$\lg \lg n$

$\lg n$   $\ln n$

$(\lg n)^2$

$\sqrt{n}$

$n$

$n \lg n$

$n^{1.5}$

$n^2$   $n^2 + \lg n$

$n^3$

$n - n^3 + 7n^5$

$2^{n-1}$   $2^n$

$e^n$

$n!$

**Problem 3** 15 Pts

The first  $n$  cells of the array  $E$  contain integers sorted in increasing order. The remaining cells all contain some very large integer that we may think of as infinity (call it *maxint*). The array may be arbitrarily large (you may think of it as infinite), and *you don't know*  $n$ . Give an algorithm to find the position of a given integer  $x$  ( $x < \text{maxint}$ ) in the array in  $O(\log n)$  time.

**Answer**

We examine selected elements in the array in increasing order until an entry larger than  $x$  is found, then do a binary search in the segment that must contain  $x$  if it is in the array at all. To keep the number of comparisons in  $O(\log n)$ , the distance between elements examined in the first phase is doubled at each step. That is, compare  $x$  to  $E[1]$ ,  $E[2]$ ,  $E[4]$ ,  $E[8]$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $E[2^k]$ . We will find an element larger than  $x$  (perhaps *maxint*) after at most  $\lceil \lg n \rceil + 1$  probes. ( $k < \lceil \lg n \rceil$ )

If  $x$  is found, the search terminates. Otherwise do the Binary Search in the range  $E[2^{k-1} + 1], \dots, E[2^k]$  using at most  $k - 1$  comparisons. Thus the number of comparisons is at most  $2k = 2\lceil \lg n \rceil$

**Problem 4** 15 Pts

Suppose  $c$  is a constant and  $0 < c < \infty$ . Solve the following recurrence relations *without* using the master theorem (You may assume  $T(1) = 1$ ).

(a)  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + cn$ .

(b)  $T(n) = 3T(n/2) + cn^2$

(c)  $T(n) = T(n/2) + c \lg n$ .

**Answer** We assume  $T(1) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad T(n) &= 2T(n/2) + cn \\ &= 2(2T(n/4) + c(n/2)) + cn \\ &= 4T(n/4) + 2cn \\ &= 8T(n/8) + 3cn \\ &= \dots \\ &= nT(1) + (\lg n)cn \\ &= n + cn \lg n \\ &\in \Theta(n \lg n). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b)} \quad T(n) &= 3T(n/2) + cn^2 \\ &= 3(3T(n/4) + c(n/2)^2) + cn^2 \\ &= 3^2T(n/4) + cn^2(1 + 3/4) \\ &= 3^3T(n/8) + cn^2(1 + 3/4 + 9/16) \\ &= \dots \\ &= 3^{\lg n}T(1) + cn^2(1 + 3/4 + 9/16 + \dots) \end{aligned}$$

$$= n^{\lg 3} + cn^2(1 + 3/4 + 9/16 + \dots)$$

Since the geometric series  $1, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{9}{16}, \dots$  has a finite sum, and  $\lg 3 < 2$ , so  $T(n) \in \Theta(n^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(c) } T(n) &= T(n/2) + c \lg n \\ &= T(n/4) + c \lg(n/2) + c \lg n \\ &= T(n/8) + c \lg(n/4) + c \lg(n/2) + c \lg n \\ &= \dots \\ &= T(1) \lg n + c[\lg n + \lg(n/2) + \lg(n/4) + \dots + \lg(n/n)] \\ &= \lg n + c(\lg n + (\lg n - 1) + (\lg n - 2) + \dots + (\lg n - \lg n)) \\ &= \lg n + c[(\lg n)^2 - (1 + 2 + \dots + \lg n)] \\ &= \lg n + c[(\lg n)^2 - \lg n(1 + \lg n)/2] \\ &= \lg n + \frac{c}{2}((\lg n)^2 - \lg n) \\ &\in \Theta((\lg n)^2) \end{aligned}$$

### Problem 5 15 Pts

How many key comparisons are done by (a) Insertion Sort (b) Quick Sort (c) Merge Sort when all the keys are already in order when the sort begins?

#### Answer

(a) *Insertion Sort*. In each loop the current key just compares to its left neighbour. Since it is larger than the left neighbour so this loop terminates. Only 1 comparison is done in each loop so a total of  $n - 1$  comparisons are done.

(b) *Quick Sort*. In each partition we just leave out 1 key (the *pivot*), so the total number of comparisons are  $(n - 1) + (n - 2) + (n - 3) + \dots + 1 = n(n - 1)/2$ .

(c) *Merge Sort*. If the keys are already sorted, merging the two halves will need only  $n/2$  comparisons. So the recurrence relation is:

$$T(n) = T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + \lceil n/2 \rceil, \text{ and } T(1) = 0$$

For simplicity assume  $n$  is a power of 2. Then it is easy to see  $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n/2 = (n \lg n)/2$ .

### Problem 6 20 Pts

Each of  $n$  elements in an array may have one of the key values *red*, *white* or *blue*. Give a linear time  $O(n)$  algorithm for rearranging the elements so that all the *reds* come before all the *whites*, and all the *whites* come before all the *blues*. (It may happen that there are no elements of one or two of the colors.) The only operations permitted on the elements are examination of a key to find out what color it is, and swap, or interchange, of two elements (specified by their indexes).

#### Answer

We assume the elements are stored in the range  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . At an intermediate step the elements are divided into four regions: the first contains only *reds*, the second

contains only *whites*, the third contains elements of unknown color, and the fourth contains only *blues*. There are three indexes, described in the comments below.

```
int r; // index of last red
int u; // index of first unknown
int b; // index of first blue
```

```
r = 0; u = 1; b = n + 1;
while (u < b)
  if (E[u] == red)
    Interchange E[r+1] and E[u].
    r ++;
    u ++;
  else if (E[u] == white)
    u ++;
  else //E[u] == blue
    Interchange E[b-1] and E[u].
    b --;
```

While each iteration of *white* loop either  $u$  is incremented or  $b$  is decremented, so there are  $n$  iterations.

### Problem 7 20 Pts

Suppose we have an unsorted array  $A$  of  $n$  elements and we want to know if the array contains any duplicate elements.

- Outline an efficient method for solving this problem.
- What is the asymptotic order of running time of your method in the worst case?
- Suppose we know the  $n$  elements are integers from the range  $1, \dots, 2n$ , so other operations besides comparing keys may be done. Give an algorithm for the same problem that is specified to use this information. Tell the asymptotic order of the worst-case running time for this solution. It should be of lower order than your solution for part (a).

### Answer

- It could be done by first sorting the array  $A$ , then doing a post-scan of the sorted array to check for equal adjacent elements.
- The time complexity for sorting is  $O(n \lg n)$ , and the time complexity for scanning the sorted array is  $O(n)$ . So the overall time complexity is  $O(n \lg n)$ .
- Since the elements are all integers, we could use a count table  $T$  with size  $2n$ . First we initialize the table by setting all of its values to 0. Then we scan the array  $A$ . For each elements in  $A$  we increase  $T[A[i]]$  by 1. Once we meet with an element in count table  $T$  with value 2 we can assert that duplicates exist in  $A$ . The time complexity is  $O(n)$ .