

Lemma 1. *There is no proof of $A \rightarrow A$ in the Lukasiewicz system that is less than 5 steps long.*

Proof. In order to prove that no such proof exists we will attempt to construct such a proof. We will despite our best efforts fail to do so demonstrating the impossibility of the task.

We will start our proof at the end. We know that the statement $A \rightarrow A$ must appear in the proof, and that any steps after it are extraneous and can be removed. Thus $A \rightarrow A$ must be the last step of the proof.

$$A \rightarrow A$$

We also know that $A \rightarrow A$ does not fit the form of any of our axioms. This means that we must have arrived at it from modus ponens.

$$A \rightarrow A \quad \text{Modus Ponens}$$

Since we arrived at this from modus ponens we know that there must be earlier statements of the form ϕ and $\phi \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)$. Since all statements are finite we also know that there is no ϕ such that

$$\phi = \phi \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)$$

Thus the two statements must be separate.

$$\begin{array}{l} \phi \\ \phi \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A) \\ A \rightarrow A \end{array} \quad \text{Modus Ponens}$$

We can express $\phi \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)$ as a statement of L.S.1 if $\phi = A$, however doing so would mean that our proof would need to include a proof of A . Since A clearly is independent of our axioms we know that the statement cannot be a reference to L.S.1.

If we try to write the same statement as L.S.2 we will find it impossible.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A) &= (\psi \rightarrow (\chi \rightarrow \omega)) \rightarrow ((\psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \omega)) \\ \phi &= (\psi \rightarrow (\chi \rightarrow \omega)), A \rightarrow A = (\psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \omega) \\ \phi &= (\psi \rightarrow (\chi \rightarrow \omega)), A = \psi \rightarrow \chi, A = \psi \rightarrow \omega \end{aligned}$$

Since A cannot be of the form $\psi \rightarrow \chi$ (nor the form $\psi \rightarrow \omega$) there is no instantiation of L.S.2 of the form $\phi \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)$.

If we set ϕ equal to $\neg A \rightarrow \neg A$ we will find that L.S.3 allows us to conclude our statement.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \neg A \rightarrow \neg A & \\ (\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A) & \text{L.S.3} \\ A \rightarrow A & \text{Modus Ponens} \end{array}$$

Now using the same thought process as $A \rightarrow A$ we can show that $\neg A \rightarrow \neg A$ must be derived via modus ponens. This gives us a proof of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \psi & \\ \psi \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) & \\ (\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A) & \text{L.S.3} \\ \neg A \rightarrow \neg A & \text{Modus Ponens} \\ A \rightarrow A & \text{Modus Ponens} \end{array}$$

Now since we are looking for a proof with 4 steps we know that it must be the case that ψ is equal to $(\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)$, otherwise we would have 5 steps.

$$\begin{array}{ll} ((\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)) \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) & \\ (\neg A \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A) & \text{L.S.3} \\ \neg A \rightarrow \neg A & \text{Modus Ponens} \\ A \rightarrow A & \text{Modus Ponens} \end{array}$$

Now we need to check whether the first statement can be a instantiation of one of our axioms. If we check we find that there are no values of ϕ , ψ and χ for which the our statement is an instantiation of any of the axioms.

Now we backtrace to the last descision we made. We chose to represent $\phi \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)$ as L.S.3. Since that arrived us at an incorrect conclusion we know that it cannot be the case that in a four step proof that step is introduced by L.S.3. Since we have removed all of the axioms as possibilites to introduce $\phi \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)$ we know that it is introduced by modus ponens.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
& \phi & \\
& \psi & \\
\psi \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)) & & \\
\phi \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A) & & \text{MP} \\
A \rightarrow A & & \text{Modus Ponens}
\end{array}$$

Since we have 5 claims here we know that in order to reduce our proof to 4 steps we must have two of them that are equal. It is clear that no statement containing ϕ can be equal to ϕ and the same goes for ψ . We also know that if a statement χ that relies on a statement ω , it must be the case that $\chi \neq \omega$ otherwise our proof would be circular.

Of the remaining statements that could be equal there is only one pair that has the same form. This leaves us to say that $\phi = \psi$.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
& \phi & \\
\phi \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A)) & & \\
\phi \rightarrow (A \rightarrow A) & & \text{MP} \\
A \rightarrow A & & \text{Modus Ponens}
\end{array}$$

□