

# Audit Report

# **Tutter**

June 2024

Network BASE

Address 0x4744767B43b62207dc343C5b540F0AEF54E31f94

Audited by © cyberscope



# **Analysis**

CriticalMediumMinor / InformativePass

Severity	Code	Description	Status
•	ST	Stops Transactions	Passed
•	OTUT	Transfers User's Tokens	Passed
•	ELFM	Exceeds Fees Limit	Passed
•	MT	Mints Tokens	Passed
•	ВТ	Burns Tokens	Passed
•	ВС	Blacklists Addresses	Passed





# **Diagnostics**

CriticalMediumMinor / Informative

Severity	Code	Description	Status
•	IDI	Immutable Declaration Improvement	Unresolved
•	MEM	Misleading Error Messages	Unresolved
•	PLPI	Potential Liquidity Provision Inadequacy	Unresolved
•	RRS	Redundant Require Statement	Unresolved
•	RSML	Redundant SafeMath Library	Unresolved
•	RVD	Redundant Variable Declaration	Unresolved
•	L02	State Variables could be Declared Constant	Unresolved
•	L04	Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions	Unresolved
•	L05	Unused State Variable	Unresolved
•	L07	Missing Events Arithmetic	Unresolved
•	L09	Dead Code Elimination	Unresolved
•	L13	Divide before Multiply Operation	Unresolved
•	L16	Validate Variable Setters	Unresolved
•	L19	Stable Compiler Version	Unresolved



# **Table of Contents**

Analysis	1
Diagnostics	2
Table of Contents	3
Review	5
Audit Updates	5
Source Files	5
Findings Breakdown	6
IDI - Immutable Declaration Improvement	7
Description	7
Recommendation	7
MEM - Misleading Error Messages	8
Description	8
Recommendation	8
PLPI - Potential Liquidity Provision Inadequacy	9
Description	9
Recommendation	10
RRS - Redundant Require Statement	11
Description	11
Recommendation	11
RSML - Redundant SafeMath Library	12
Description	12
Recommendation	12
RVD - Redundant Variable Declaration	13
Description	13
Recommendation	13
L02 - State Variables could be Declared Constant	14
Description	14
Recommendation	14
L04 - Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions	15
Description	15
Recommendation	16
L05 - Unused State Variable	17
Description	17
Recommendation	17
L07 - Missing Events Arithmetic	18
Description	18
Recommendation	19
L09 - Dead Code Elimination	20
Description	20



Recommendation	21
L13 - Divide before Multiply Operation	22
Description	22
Recommendation	22
L16 - Validate Variable Setters	23
Description	23
Recommendation	23
L19 - Stable Compiler Version	24
Description	24
Recommendation	24
Functions Analysis	25
Inheritance Graph	33
Flow Graph	34
Summary	35
Disclaimer	36
About Cyberscope	37



# **Review**

Contract Name	Tutter
Compiler Version	v0.8.19+commit.7dd6d404
Optimization	200 runs
Explorer	https://basescan.org/address/0x4744767b43b62207dc343c5b5 40f0aef54e31f94
Address	0x4744767b43b62207dc343c5b540f0aef54e31f94
Network	BASE
Symbol	TUTTER
Decimals	18
Total Supply	420,690,000
Badge Eligibility	Yes

# **Audit Updates**

Initial Audit	11 Jun 2024
---------------	-------------

# **Source Files**

Filename	SHA256
Tutter.sol	9400b68ee3245d0ab33ddfbcae53c03329f590a807f4ab69d94ba43cd7 00ba5a



# **Findings Breakdown**



Sev	erity	Unresolved	Acknowledged	Resolved	Other
•	Critical	0	0	0	0
•	Medium	0	0	0	0
•	Minor / Informative	14	0	0	0



# **IDI - Immutable Declaration Improvement**

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol#L1252
Status	Unresolved

# Description

The contract declares state variables that their value is initialized once in the constructor and are not modified afterwards. The <u>immutable</u> is a special declaration for this kind of state variables that saves gas when it is defined.

maxTxAmount

#### Recommendation

By declaring a variable as immutable, the Solidity compiler is able to make certain optimizations. This can reduce the amount of storage and computation required by the contract, and make it more gas-efficient.



# **MEM - Misleading Error Messages**

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol#L979,1446,1465,1486
Status	Unresolved

### Description

The contract is using misleading error messages. These error messages do not accurately reflect the problem, making it difficult to identify and fix the issue. As a result, the users will not be able to find the root cause of the error.

#### Recommendation

The team is suggested to provide a descriptive message to the errors. This message can be used to provide additional context about the error that occurred or to explain why the contract execution was halted. This can be useful for debugging and for providing more information to users that interact with the contract.



# **PLPI - Potential Liquidity Provision Inadequacy**

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol#L1419
Status	Unresolved

### Description

The contract operates under the assumption that liquidity is consistently provided to the pair between the contract's token and the native currency. However, there is a possibility that liquidity is provided to a different pair. This inadequacy in liquidity provision in the main pair could expose the contract to risks. Specifically, during eligible transactions, where the contract attempts to swap tokens with the main pair, a failure may occur if liquidity has been added to a pair other than the primary one. Consequently, transactions triggering the swap functionality will result in a revert.

```
uniswapV2Router.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(
    tokenAmount,
    0, // accept any amount of ETH
    path,
    address(this),
    block.timestamp
);
```



#### Recommendation

The team is advised to implement a runtime mechanism to check if the pair has adequate liquidity provisions. This feature allows the contract to omit token swaps if the pair does not have adequate liquidity provisions, significantly minimizing the risk of potential failures.

Furthermore, the team could ensure the contract has the capability to switch its active pair in case liquidity is added to another pair.

Additionally, the contract could be designed to tolerate potential reverts from the swap functionality, especially when it is a part of the main transfer flow. This can be achieved by executing the contract's token swaps in a non-reversible manner, thereby ensuring a more resilient and predictable operation.



# **RRS - Redundant Require Statement**

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol#L19
Status	Unresolved

### Description

The contract utilizes a require statement within the add function aiming to prevent overflow errors. This function is designed based on the SafeMath library's principles. In Solidity version 0.8.0 and later, arithmetic operations revert on overflow and underflow, making the overflow check within the function redundant. This redundancy could lead to extra gas costs and increased complexity without providing additional security.

```
function add(uint256 a, uint256 b) internal pure returns (uint256) {
   uint256 c = a + b;
   require(c >= a, "SafeMath: addition overflow");
   return c;
}
```

#### Recommendation

It is recommended to remove the require statement from the add function since the contract is using a Solidity pragma version equal to or greater than 0.8.0. By doing so, the contract will leverage the built-in overflow and underflow checks provided by the Solidity language itself, simplifying the code and reducing gas consumption. This change will uphold the contract's integrity in handling arithmetic operations while optimizing for efficiency and cost-effectiveness.



# **RSML - Redundant SafeMath Library**

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol
Status	Unresolved

### Description

SafeMath is a popular Solidity library that provides a set of functions for performing common arithmetic operations in a way that is resistant to integer overflows and underflows.

Starting with Solidity versions that are greater than or equal to 0.8.0, the arithmetic operations revert to underflow and overflow. As a result, the native functionality of the Solidity operations replaces the SafeMath library. Hence, the usage of the SafeMath library adds complexity, overhead and increases gas consumption unnecessarily in cases where the explanatory error message is not used.

```
library SafeMath {...}
```

#### Recommendation

The team is advised to remove the SafeMath library in cases where the revert error message is not used. Since the version of the contract is greater than 0.8.0 then the pure Solidity arithmetic operations produce the same result.

If the previous functionality is required, then the contract could exploit the unchecked { ... } statement.

Read more about the breaking change on https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.16/080-breaking-changes.html#solidity-v0-8-0-breaking-changes.



#### **RVD - Redundant Variable Declaration**

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol#L1178
Status	Unresolved

# Description

There are code segments that could be optimized. A segment may be optimized so that it becomes a smaller size, consumes less memory, executes more rapidly, or performs fewer operations.

The contract declares certain variables that are not used in a meaningful way by the contract. As are result, these variables are redundant.

```
uint256 public Optimization = 171761018627231859;
```

#### Recommendation

The team is advised to take these segments into consideration and rewrite them so the runtime will be more performant. That way it will improve the efficiency and performance of the source code and reduce the cost of executing it.



#### L02 - State Variables could be Declared Constant

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol#L1178
Status	Unresolved

# Description

State variables can be declared as constant using the constant keyword. This means that the value of the state variable cannot be changed after it has been set. Additionally, the constant variables decrease gas consumption of the corresponding transaction.

uint256 public Optimization = 171761018627231859

#### Recommendation

Constant state variables can be useful when the contract wants to ensure that the value of a state variable cannot be changed by any function in the contract. This can be useful for storing values that are important to the contract's behavior, such as the contract's address or the maximum number of times a certain function can be called. The team is advised to add the constant keyword to state variables that never change.



### **L04 - Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions**

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol#L703,705,736,778,1178,1442,1443,1444,1461,1462,1463,1482, 1483,1484
Status	Unresolved

#### Description

The Solidity style guide is a set of guidelines for writing clean and consistent Solidity code. Adhering to a style guide can help improve the readability and maintainability of the Solidity code, making it easier for others to understand and work with.

The followings are a few key points from the Solidity style guide:

- 1. Use camelCase for function and variable names, with the first letter in lowercase (e.g., myVariable, updateCounter).
- 2. Use PascalCase for contract, struct, and enum names, with the first letter in uppercase (e.g., MyContract, UserStruct, ErrorEnum).
- Use uppercase for constant variables and enums (e.g., MAX\_VALUE, ERROR\_CODE).
- 4. Use indentation to improve readability and structure.
- 5. Use spaces between operators and after commas.
- 6. Use comments to explain the purpose and behavior of the code.
- 7. Keep lines short (around 120 characters) to improve readability.

```
function DOMAIN_SEPARATOR() external view returns (bytes32);
function PERMIT_TYPEHASH() external pure returns (bytes32);
function MINIMUM_LIQUIDITY() external pure returns (uint256);
function WETH() external pure returns (address);
uint256 public Optimization = 171761018627231859
uint256 _wholeNumber
uint256 _firstNumberAfterDecimal
uint256 _secondNumberAfterDecimal
```



#### Recommendation

By following the Solidity naming convention guidelines, the codebase increased the readability, maintainability, and makes it easier to work with.

Find more information on the Solidity documentation

https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.17/style-guide.html#naming-convention.



#### L05 - Unused State Variable

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol#L1080
Status	Unresolved

### Description

An unused state variable is a state variable that is declared in the contract, but is never used in any of the contract's functions. This can happen if the state variable was originally intended to be used, but was later removed or never used.

Unused state variables can create clutter in the contract and make it more difficult to understand and maintain. They can also increase the size of the contract and the cost of deploying and interacting with it.

```
int256 private constant MAX_INT256 = ~(int256(1) << 255)</pre>
```

#### Recommendation

To avoid creating unused state variables, it's important to carefully consider the state variables that are needed for the contract's functionality, and to remove any that are no longer needed. This can help improve the clarity and efficiency of the contract.



# **L07 - Missing Events Arithmetic**

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol#L1438,1451,1470,1491
Status	Unresolved

# Description

Events are a way to record and log information about changes or actions that occur within a contract. They are often used to notify external parties or clients about events that have occurred within the contract, such as the transfer of tokens or the completion of a task.

It's important to carefully design and implement the events in a contract, and to ensure that all required events are included. It's also a good idea to test the contract to ensure that all events are being properly triggered and logged.



#### Recommendation

By including all required events in the contract and thoroughly testing the contract's functionality, the contract ensures that it performs as intended and does not have any missing events that could cause issues with its arithmetic.



#### L09 - Dead Code Elimination

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol#L580,978,986,1126,1131,1142
Status	Unresolved

### Description

In Solidity, dead code is code that is written in the contract, but is never executed or reached during normal contract execution. Dead code can occur for a variety of reasons, such as:


- Conditional statements that are always false.
- Functions that are never called.
- Unreachable code (e.g., code that follows a return statement).

Dead code can make a contract more difficult to understand and maintain, and can also increase the size of the contract and the cost of deploying and interacting with it.

```
function _burn(address account, uint256 amount) internal virtual {
    require(account != address(0), "ERC20: burn from the zero
address");

    _beforeTokenTransfer(account, address(0), amount);

    _balances[account] = _balances[account].sub(
        amount,
        "ERC20: burn amount exceeds balance"
    );
    _totalSupply = _totalSupply.sub(amount);
    emit Transfer(account, address(0), amount);
}
...
```



#### Recommendation

To avoid creating dead code, it's important to carefully consider the logic and flow of the contract and to remove any code that is not needed or that is never executed. This can help improve the clarity and efficiency of the contract.



# L13 - Divide before Multiply Operation

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol#L1252,1256,1491
Status	Unresolved

# Description

It is important to be aware of the order of operations when performing arithmetic calculations. This is especially important when working with large numbers, as the order of operations can affect the final result of the calculation. Performing divisions before multiplications may cause loss of prediction.

#### Recommendation

To avoid this issue, it is recommended to carefully consider the order of operations when performing arithmetic calculations in Solidity. It's generally a good idea to use parentheses to specify the order of operations. The basic rule is that the multiplications should be prior to the divisions.



#### L16 - Validate Variable Setters

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol#L1004,1230,1279,1300
Status	Unresolved

### Description

The contract performs operations on variables that have been configured on user-supplied input. These variables are missing of proper check for the case where a value is zero. This can lead to problems when the contract is executed, as certain actions may not be properly handled when the value is zero.

```
_owner = msgSender

payable(addr_).transfer(msg.value)

uniswapV2Pair = _uniswapV2Pair

marketingWallet = wallet
```

#### Recommendation

By adding the proper check, the contract will not allow the variables to be configured with zero value. This will ensure that the contract can handle all possible input values and avoid unexpected behavior or errors. Hence, it can help to prevent the contract from being exploited or operating unexpectedly.



### L19 - Stable Compiler Version

Criticality	Minor / Informative
Location	Tutter.sol#L6
Status	Unresolved

### Description

The symbol indicates that any version of Solidity that is compatible with the specified version (i.e., any version that is a higher minor or patch version) can be used to compile the contract. The version lock is a mechanism that allows the author to specify a minimum version of the Solidity compiler that must be used to compile the contract code. This is useful because it ensures that the contract will be compiled using a version of the compiler that is known to be compatible with the code.

```
pragma solidity ^0.8.15;
```

#### Recommendation

The team is advised to lock the pragma to ensure the stability of the codebase. The locked pragma version ensures that the contract will not be deployed with an unexpected version. An unexpected version may produce vulnerabilities and undiscovered bugs. The compiler should be configured to the lowest version that provides all the required functionality for the codebase. As a result, the project will be compiled in a well-tested LTS (Long Term Support) environment.



# **Functions Analysis**

Contract	Туре	Bases		
	Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
SafeMath	Library			
	add	Internal		
	sub	Internal		
	sub	Internal		
	mul	Internal		
	div	Internal		
	div	Internal		
	mod	Internal		
	mod	Internal		
Context	Implementation			
	_msgSender	Internal		
	_msgData	Internal		
IERC20	Interface			
	totalSupply	External		-
	balanceOf	External		-
	transfer	External	✓	-
	allowance	External		-



	approve	External	✓	-
	transferFrom	External	✓	-
IERC20Metadat	Interface	IERC20		
	name	External		-
	symbol	External		-
	decimals	External		-
ERC20	Implementation	Context, IERC20, IERC20Meta data		
		Public	<b>✓</b>	-
	name	Public		-
	symbol	Public		-
	decimals	Public		-
	totalSupply	Public		-
	balanceOf	Public		-
	transfer	Public	✓	-
	allowance	Public		-
	approve	Public	✓	-
	transferFrom	Public	✓	-
	increaseAllowance	Public	✓	-
	decreaseAllowance	Public	✓	-
	_transfer	Internal	✓	



	_mint	Internal	✓	
	_burn	Internal	✓	
	_approve	Internal	✓	
	_beforeTokenTransfer	Internal	✓	
IUniswapV2Fac tory	Interface			
	feeTo	External		-
	feeToSetter	External		-
	getPair	External		-
	allPairs	External		-
	allPairsLength	External		-
	createPair	External	✓	-
	setFeeTo	External	✓	-
	setFeeToSetter	External	✓	-
IUniswapV2Pair	Interface			
	name	External		-
	symbol	External		-
	decimals	External		-
	totalSupply	External		-
	balanceOf	External		-
	allowance	External		-
	approve	External	✓	-



	transfer	External	✓	-
	transferFrom	External	✓	-
	DOMAIN_SEPARATOR	External		-
	PERMIT_TYPEHASH	External		-
	nonces	External		-
	permit	External	1	-
	MINIMUM_LIQUIDITY	External		-
	factory	External		-
	token0	External		-
	token1	External		-
	getReserves	External		-
	price0CumulativeLast	External		-
	price1CumulativeLast	External		-
	kLast	External		-
	mint	External	✓	-
	burn	External	✓	-
	swap	External	✓	-
	skim	External	<b>✓</b>	-
	sync	External	<b>✓</b>	-
	initialize	External	<b>✓</b>	-
IUniswapV2Rou ter01	Interface			
	factory	External		-



	WETH	External		-
	addLiquidity	External	1	-
	addLiquidityETH	External	Payable	-
	removeLiquidity	External	✓	-
	removeLiquidityETH	External	1	-
	removeLiquidityWithPermit	External	1	-
	removeLiquidityETHWithPermit	External	<b>✓</b>	-
	swapExactTokensForTokens	External	<b>✓</b>	-
	swapTokensForExactTokens	External	<b>✓</b>	-
	swapExactETHForTokens	External	Payable	-
	swapTokensForExactETH	External	✓	-
	swapExactTokensForETH	External	✓	-
	swapETHForExactTokens	External	Payable	-
	quote	External		-
	getAmountOut	External		-
	getAmountIn	External		-
	getAmountsOut	External		-
	getAmountsIn	External		-
IUniswapV2Rou ter02	Interface	IUniswapV2 Router01		
	removeLiquidityETHSupportingFeeOnTr ansferTokens	External	✓	-
	removeLiquidityETHWithPermitSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens	External	1	-



	swapExactTokensForTokensSupporting FeeOnTransferTokens	External	✓	-
	swapExactETHForTokensSupportingFee OnTransferTokens	External	Payable	-
	swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFee OnTransferTokens	External	✓	-
Ownership	Implementation			
		Public	✓	-
	addr	Internal		
	fee	Internal		
Ownable	Implementation	Context		
		Public	✓	-
	owner	Public		-
	renounceOwnership	Public	✓	onlyOwner
	transferOwnership	Public	✓	onlyOwner
SafeMathInt	Library			
	mul	Internal		
	div	Internal		
	sub	Internal		
	add	Internal		
	abs	Internal		
	toUint256Safe	Internal		



SafeMathUint	Library			
	toInt256Safe	Internal		
DividendPaying TokenOptionalI nterface	Interface			
	withdrawableDividendOf	External		-
	withdrawnDividendOf	External		-
	accumulativeDividendOf	External		-
Tutter	Implementation	ERC20, Ownable, Ownership		
		Public	Payable	ERC20 Ownership
		External	Payable	-
	updateUniswapV2Router	Public	✓	onlyOwner
	excludeFromFees	Public	✓	onlyOwner
	excludeMultipleAccountsFromFees	Public	1	onlyOwner
	setMarketingWallet	External	1	onlyOwner
	setAutomatedMarketMakerPair	Public	✓	onlyOwner
	_setAutomatedMarketMakerPair	Private	1	
	isExcludedFromFees	Public		-
	_transfer	Internal	<b>√</b>	
	swapAndSendToFee	Private	✓	
	swapTokensForEth	Private	✓	
	getAllTaxes	External	✓	-



setSwapAmount	External	✓	onlyOwner
setSellTax	Public	1	onlyOwner
setBuyTax	Public	1	onlyOwner
setMaxWallet	External	✓	onlyOwner




# **Inheritance Graph**





# Flow Graph





# **Summary**

Tutter contract implements a token mechanism. This audit investigates security issues, business logic concerns, and potential improvements. Tutter is an interesting project that has a friendly and growing community. The Smart Contract analysis reported no compiler errors or critical issues.

The contract's ownership has been renounced. The information regarding the transaction can be accessed through the following link:

https://basescan.org/tx/0x94b24159e413b4f797f7dc6a9af577407fc00dcf4573996933f3a4b57fdfeec2.

The fees are locked at 0% on both buy and sell transactions.



# **Disclaimer**

The information provided in this report does not constitute investment, financial or trading advice and you should not treat any of the document's content as such. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company without Cyberscope's prior written consent. This report is not nor should be considered an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not nor should be regarded as an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Cyberscope to perform a security assessment. This document does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors' business, business model or legal compliance. This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report represents an extensive assessment process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk Cyberscope's position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security Cyberscope's goal is to help reduce the attack vectors and the high level of variance associated with utilizing new and consistently changing technologies and in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze. The assessment services provided by Cyberscope are subject to dependencies and are under continuing development. You agree that your access and/or use including but not limited to any services reports and materials will be at your sole risk on an as-is where-is and as-available basis Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives false negatives and other unpredictable results. The services may access and depend upon multiple layers of third parties.

# **About Cyberscope**

Cyberscope is a blockchain cybersecurity company that was founded with the vision to make web3.0 a safer place for investors and developers. Since its launch, it has worked with thousands of projects and is estimated to have secured tens of millions of investors' funds.

Cyberscope is one of the leading smart contract audit firms in the crypto space and has built a high-profile network of clients and partners.



The Cyberscope team

https://www.cyberscope.io