

Audit Report Oracle Al

February 2024

SHA256

b9850e5342b2ebf3c6c7da5e075823dde6bc07090030be0eda7614344a1d9243

Audited by © cyberscope



Analysis

CriticalMediumMinor / InformativePass

| Severity | Code | Description | Status |
|----------|------|-------------------------|------------|
| • | ST | Stops Transactions | Unresolved |
| • | OTUT | Transfers User's Tokens | Passed |
| • | ELFM | Exceeds Fees Limit | Passed |
| • | MT | Mints Tokens | Passed |
| • | ВТ | Burns Tokens | Passed |
| • | ВС | Blacklists Addresses | Passed |



Diagnostics

CriticalMediumMinor / Informative

| Severity | Code | Description | Status |
|----------|------|--|------------|
| • | PLPI | Potential Liquidity Provision Inadequacy | Unresolved |
| • | PVC | Price Volatility Concern | Unresolved |
| • | UFP | Unused Function Parameter | Unresolved |
| • | L02 | State Variables could be Declared Constant | Unresolved |
| • | L04 | Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions | Unresolved |
| • | L07 | Missing Events Arithmetic | Unresolved |
| • | L08 | Tautology or Contradiction | Unresolved |
| • | L17 | Usage of Solidity Assembly | Unresolved |



Table of Contents

| Analysis | 1 |
|--|----|
| Diagnostics | 2 |
| Table of Contents | 3 |
| Review | 4 |
| Audit Updates | 4 |
| Source Files | 4 |
| Findings Breakdown | 6 |
| ST - Stops Transactions | 7 |
| Description | 7 |
| Recommendation | 7 |
| PLPI - Potential Liquidity Provision Inadequacy | 8 |
| Description | 8 |
| Recommendation | 9 |
| PVC - Price Volatility Concern | 10 |
| Description | 10 |
| Recommendation | 11 |
| UFP - Unused Function Parameter | 12 |
| Description | 12 |
| Recommendation | 12 |
| L02 - State Variables could be Declared Constant | 13 |
| Description | 13 |
| Recommendation | 13 |
| L04 - Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions | 14 |
| Description | 14 |
| Recommendation | 15 |
| L07 - Missing Events Arithmetic | 16 |
| Description | 16 |
| Recommendation | 16 |
| L08 - Tautology or Contradiction | 17 |
| Description | 17 |
| Recommendation | 17 |
| L17 - Usage of Solidity Assembly | 18 |
| Description | 18 |
| Recommendation | 18 |
| Functions Analysis | 19 |
| Inheritance Graph | 22 |
| Flow Graph | 23 |
| Summary | 24 |
| Disclaimer | 25 |

About Cyberscope

26

4



Review

| Contract Name | Oracle |
|-------------------|--|
| Testing Deploy | https://testnet.bscscan.com/address/0x56212d671466410e7e3 7ecd06b01002060267858 |
| Symbol | ORACLE |
| Decimals | 18 |
| Total Supply | 1,000,000,000 |
| Badge Eligibility | Yes |

Audit Updates

| Initial Audit | 07 Feb 2024 https://github.com/cyberscope-io/audits/blob/main/2-oracle/v1/ audit.pdf |
|-------------------|---|
| Corrected Phase 2 | 13 Feb 2024 https://github.com/cyberscope-io/audits/blob/main/2-oracle/v2/ audit.pdf |
| Corrected Phase 3 | 16 Feb 2024 |

Source Files

| Filename | SHA256 |
|------------------------|--|
| contracts/token_v4.sol | b9850e5342b2ebf3c6c7da5e075823dde6 bc07090030be0eda7614344a1d9243 |



| contracts/lib/IV2Pair.sol | 72e4d1f173754ea270e3fbb80e375440e50 a4bd75a1654b83d66959b0a2a2bc6 |
|------------------------------|--|
| contracts/lib/IRouter02.sol | f377cfd9244dfa9d707118bd71451b5edf8 586bbcff343da59fcb034035a0fc5 |
| contracts/lib/IRouter01.sol | 13d90aa270f4305a1f70a2eac357b709caa cff55d99022138f99f97bcb38cd02 |
| contracts/lib/IFactoryV2.sol | 295e59f29cb4b0374666ce6e900db1cb91 7c7931a5041d8c038b2a240849ef53 |
| contracts/lib/IERC20.sol | 11e301dcd4a99fd3fa03e54e6985b4e0b93 10465c65e195ad25ec1a48ea2c138 |



Findings Breakdown



| Severity | Unresolved | Acknowledged | Resolved | Other |
|---------------------------------------|------------|--------------|----------|-------|
| Critical | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Medium | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Minor / Informative | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



ST - Stops Transactions

| Criticality | Critical |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Location | contracts/token_v4.sol#L538 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

The trading initially is disabled and the contract owner has to enable it. The contract owner has to call the <code>confirmlP</code> function.

```
if (!tradingEnabled) {
    revert("Trading not yet enabled!");
}
```

Recommendation

The team should carefully manage the private keys of the owner's account. We strongly recommend a powerful security mechanism that will prevent a single user from accessing the contract admin functions.

Temporary Solutions:

These measurements do not decrease the severity of the finding

- Introduce a time-locker mechanism with a reasonable delay.
- Introduce a multi-signature wallet so that many addresses will confirm the action.
- Introduce a governance model where users will vote about the actions. Permanent Solution:
- Renouncing the ownership, which will eliminate the threats but it is non-reversible.



PLPI - Potential Liquidity Provision Inadequacy

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Location | contracts/token_v4.sol#L426 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

The contract operates under the assumption that liquidity is consistently provided to the pair between the contract's token and the native currency. However, there is a possibility that liquidity is provided to a different pair. This inadequacy in liquidity provision in the main pair could expose the contract to risks. Specifically, during eligible transactions, where the contract attempts to swap tokens with the main pair, a failure may occur if liquidity has been added to a pair other than the primary one. Consequently, transactions triggering the swap functionality will result in a revert.



```
function contractSwap(uint256 contractTokenBalance) internal
swapLock {
    TaxPercentages memory taxPercentages = taxPercentages;
        allowances[address(this)][address(dexRouter)] !=
type(uint256).max
        allowances[address(this)][address(dexRouter)] =
type(uint256).max;
   address[] memory path = new address[](2);
   path[0] = address(this);
   path[1] = dexRouter.WETH();
dexRouter.swapExactTokensForETHSupportingFeeOnTransferTokens(
       contractTokenBalance,
       0,
       path,
       address(this),
       block.timestamp
   ) ;
    . . .
```

Recommendation

The team is advised to implement a runtime mechanism to check if the pair has adequate liquidity provisions. This feature allows the contract to omit token swaps if the pair does not have adequate liquidity provisions, significantly minimizing the risk of potential failures.

Additionally, the contract could be designed to tolerate potential reverts from the swap functionality, especially when it is a part of the main transfer flow. This can be achieved by executing the contract's token swaps in a non-reversible manner, thereby ensuring a more resilient and predictable operation.



PVC - Price Volatility Concern

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Location | contracts/token_v4#L329,569,581 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

The contract accumulates tokens from the taxes to swap them for ETH. The variable swapThreshold sets a threshold where the contract will trigger the swap functionality. If the variable is set to a big number, then the contract will swap a huge amount of tokens for ETH.

It is important to note that the price of the token representing it, can be highly volatile. This means that the value of a price volatility swap involving Ether could fluctuate significantly at the triggered point, potentially leading to significant price volatility for the parties involved.

```
function setSwapSettings(
    uint256 threshold,
    uint256 time
) external onlyOwner {
    require(threshold > 0);
    require(threshold > 0);
    swapThreshold = (_tSupply * threshold) / thresholdDivisor;
    contractSwapTimer = time;
}

if (contractTokenBalance >= swapThreshold) {
    contractTokenBalance = swapThreshold;
    contractSwap (contractTokenBalance);
    lastSwap = block.timestamp;
}
```



Recommendation

The contract could ensure that it will not sell more than a reasonable amount of tokens in a single transaction. A suggested implementation could check that the maximum amount should be less than a fixed percentage of the exchange reserves. Hence, the contract will guarantee that it cannot accumulate a huge amount of tokens in order to sell them.



UFP - Unused Function Parameter

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Location | contracts/token_v4.sol#L483 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

The function __finalizeTransfer has an unused function parameter bool other. This parameter is declared but not utilized anywhere within the function's body. Unused parameters can lead to confusion about the function's intended behavior and may suggest that there is incomplete implementation or redundant code.

```
function finalizeTransfer(
  address from,
   address to,
   uint256 amount,
   bool takeFee,
   bool buy,
   bool sell,
   bool other
) internal returns (bool) {
    tokenOwned[from] -= amount;
   uint256 amountReceived = (takeFee)
        ? takeTax(from, buy, sell, amount)
       : amount;
    tokenOwned[to] += amountReceived;
   emit Transfer(from, to, amountReceived);
   return true;
```

Recommendation

It is recommended to review the purpose of the bool other parameter to determine if it was intended for use or if it is redundant. If the parameter is not needed, removing this parameter is advisable to enhance the code's clarity.



L02 - State Variables could be Declared Constant

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| Location | contracts/token_v4.sol#L16,92,93,94 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

State variables can be declared as constant using the constant keyword. This means that the value of the state variable cannot be changed after it has been set. Additionally, the constant variables decrease gas consumption of the corresponding transaction.

Recommendation

Constant state variables can be useful when the contract wants to ensure that the value of a state variable cannot be changed by any function in the contract. This can be useful for storing values that are important to the contract's behavior, such as the contract's address or the maximum number of times a certain function can be called. The team is advised to add the constant keyword to state variables that never change.



L04 - Conformance to Solidity Naming Conventions

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|--|
| Location | contracts/token_v4.sol#L15,27,30,37,44,140 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

The Solidity style guide is a set of guidelines for writing clean and consistent Solidity code. Adhering to a style guide can help improve the readability and maintainability of the Solidity code, making it easier for others to understand and work with.

The followings are a few key points from the Solidity style guide:

- 1. Use camelCase for function and variable names, with the first letter in lowercase (e.g., myVariable, updateCounter).
- 2. Use PascalCase for contract, struct, and enum names, with the first letter in uppercase (e.g., MyContract, UserStruct, ErrorEnum).
- 3. Use uppercase for constant variables and enums (e.g., MAX_VALUE, ERROR_CODE).
- 4. Use indentation to improve readability and structure.
- 5. Use spaces between operators and after commas.
- 6. Use comments to explain the purpose and behavior of the code.
- 7. Keep lines short (around 120 characters) to improve readability.



Recommendation

By following the Solidity naming convention guidelines, the codebase increased the readability, maintainability, and makes it easier to work with.

Find more information on the Solidity documentation

https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.17/style-guide.html#naming-convention.



L07 - Missing Events Arithmetic

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Location | contracts/token_v4.sol#L410 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

Events are a way to record and log information about changes or actions that occur within a contract. They are often used to notify external parties or clients about events that have occurred within the contract, such as the transfer of tokens or the completion of a task.

It's important to carefully design and implement the events in a contract, and to ensure that all required events are included. It's also a good idea to test the contract to ensure that all events are being properly triggered and logged.

```
_maxWalletSize = (_tSupply * percent) / divisor
```

Recommendation

By including all required events in the contract and thoroughly testing the contract's functionality, the contract ensures that it performs as intended and does not have any missing events that could cause issues with its arithmetic.



L08 - Tautology or Contradiction

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Location | contracts/token_v4.sol#L310 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

A tautology is a logical statement that is always true, regardless of the values of its variables. A contradiction is a logical statement that is always false, regardless of the values of its variables.

Using tautologies or contradictions can lead to unintended behavior and can make the code harder to understand and maintain. It is generally considered good practice to avoid tautologies and contradictions in the code.

```
require(marketing>=0 && marketing<=100,'Percentage should be
between 0 - 100')</pre>
```

Recommendation

The team is advised to carefully consider the logical conditions is using in the code and ensure that it is well-defined and make sense in the context of the smart contract.



L17 - Usage of Solidity Assembly

| Criticality | Minor / Informative |
|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Location | contracts/token_v4.sol#L142 |
| Status | Unresolved |

Description

Using assembly can be useful for optimizing code, but it can also be error-prone. It's important to carefully test and debug assembly code to ensure that it is correct and does not contain any errors.

Some common types of errors that can occur when using assembly in Solidity include Syntax, Type, Out-of-bounds, Stack, and Revert.

```
assembly {
      size := extcodesize(_addr)
    }
```

Recommendation

It is recommended to use assembly sparingly and only when necessary, as it can be difficult to read and understand compared to Solidity code.



Functions Analysis

| Contract | Туре | Bases | | |
|----------|----------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|
| | Function Name | Visibility | Mutability | Modifiers |
| | | | | |
| Oracle | Implementation | IERC20 | | |
| | | Public | Payable | - |
| | balanceOf | Public | | - |
| | confirmLP | Public | 1 | onlyOwner |
| | setPairAddress | Public | 1 | onlyOwner |
| | isContract | Public | | - |
| | preInitializeTransfer | Public | 1 | onlyOwner |
| | transferOwner | External | 1 | onlyOwner |
| | renounceOwnership | Public | 1 | onlyOwner |
| | totalSupply | External | | - |
| | decimals | External | | - |
| | symbol | External | | - |
| | name | External | | - |
| | getOwner | External | | - |
| | allowance | External | | - |
| | transfer | Public | 1 | - |
| | approve | Public | 1 | - |
| | approveContractContingency | Public | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| | transferFrom | External | ✓ | - |




| setNewRouter | Public | ✓ | onlyOwner |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|
| setLiquidityPoolPair | Public | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| setTaxes | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| setTaxPercentages | External | 1 | onlyOwner |
| setMaxTxPercent | External | 1 | onlyOwner |
| setSwapSettings | External | 1 | onlyOwner |
| setContractSwapEnabled | External | 1 | onlyOwner |
| setWallets | External | 1 | onlyOwner |
| preInitializeTransferMultiple | External | √ | onlyOwner |
| allowTrading | Internal | ✓ | |
| takeTax | Internal | ✓ | |
| setMaxWalletSize | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| setExcludedFromLimits | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| sweepContingency | External | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| contractSwap | Internal | ✓ | swapLock |
| isExcludedFromLimits | Public | | - |
| isExcludedFromFees | Public | | - |
| setExcludedFromFees | Public | ✓ | onlyOwner |
| getMaxTransaction | Public | | - |
| getMaxWallet | Public | | - |
| _finalizeTransfer | Internal | ✓ | |
| _hasLimits | Internal | | |
| _transfer | Internal | ✓ | |



| distributeTax | Public | 1 | onlyOwner |
|--------------------|----------|---------|-----------|
| _approve | Internal | 1 | |
| _checkLiquidityAdd | Internal | ✓ | |
| | External | Payable | - |



Inheritance Graph





Flow Graph





Summary

Oracle AI contract implements a token mechanism. This audit investigates security issues, business logic concerns and potential improvements. There are some functions that can be abused by the owner like stop transactions. A multi-wallet signing pattern will provide security against potential hacks. Temporarily locking the contract or renouncing ownership will eliminate all the contract threats. There is also a limit of max 25% fees.



Disclaimer

The information provided in this report does not constitute investment, financial or trading advice and you should not treat any of the document's content as such. This report may not be transmitted, disclosed, referred to or relied upon by any person for any purposes nor may copies be delivered to any other person other than the Company without Cyberscope's prior written consent. This report is not nor should be considered an "endorsement" or "disapproval" of any particular project or team. This report is not nor should be regarded as an indication of the economics or value of any "product" or "asset" created by any team or project that contracts Cyberscope to perform a security assessment. This document does not provide any warranty or guarantee regarding the absolute bug-free nature of the technology analyzed, nor do they provide any indication of the technologies proprietors' business, business model or legal compliance. This report should not be used in any way to make decisions around investment or involvement with any particular project. This report represents an extensive assessment process intending to help our customers increase the quality of their code while reducing the high level of risk presented by cryptographic tokens and blockchain technology.

Blockchain technology and cryptographic assets present a high level of ongoing risk Cyberscope's position is that each company and individual are responsible for their own due diligence and continuous security Cyberscope's goal is to help reduce the attack vectors and the high level of variance associated with utilizing new and consistently changing technologies and in no way claims any guarantee of security or functionality of the technology we agree to analyze. The assessment services provided by Cyberscope are subject to dependencies and are under continuing development. You agree that your access and/or use including but not limited to any services reports and materials will be at your sole risk on an as-is where-is and as-available basis Cryptographic tokens are emergent technologies and carry with them high levels of technical risk and uncertainty. The assessment reports could include false positives false negatives and other unpredictable results. The services may access and depend upon multiple layers of third parties.

About Cyberscope

Cyberscope is a blockchain cybersecurity company that was founded with the vision to make web3.0 a safer place for investors and developers. Since its launch, it has worked with thousands of projects and is estimated to have secured tens of millions of investors' funds.

Cyberscope is one of the leading smart contract audit firms in the crypto space and has built a high-profile network of clients and partners.



The Cyberscope team

https://www.cyberscope.io