Reasonably Programmable Literal Notation: Supplemental Material

Cyrus Omar Jonathan Aldrich

July 8, 2018 CMU-ISR-18-104

School of Computer Science Carnegie Mellon University Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Abstract

This report presents a complete technical account of the formal system that was described in the accompanying paper, as well as more details on the quasiquotation TLM.

This work was supported in part by AFRL and DARPA under agreement #FA8750-16-2-0042; by the NSA under lablet contract #H98230-14-C-0140; and by Ravi Chugh at the University of Chicago.



Contents

A	Imp	lement	ing Quasiquotation	2							
В	ML ^L	L ^{Lit} : A Calculus of Simple TLMs									
	B.1	Typog	raphic Conventions	4							
	B.2	Core I	Language	5							
		B.2.1	Syntax	5							
		B.2.2	Static Semantics								
		B.2.3		11							
	B.3	Unexp	oanded Language (UL)	12							
		B.3.1	Syntax								
		B.3.2	Type Expansion								
		B.3.3	Typed Expression Expansion								
	B.4	Proto-	Expansion Validation								
		B.4.1	Syntax of Proto-Expansions								
		B.4.2	Proto-Type Validation								
		B.4.3	Proto-Expression Validation								
		B.4.4	Proto-Pattern Validation								
	B.5	Metatl	heory								
		B.5.1	Type Expansion								
		B.5.2	Typed Pattern Expansion								
		B.5.3	Typed Expression Expansion								
		B.5.4	Abstract Reasoning Principles								

Appendix A

Implementing Quasiquotation

The Reason quasiquotation TLMs, e.g. \$proto_expr, can be implemented by the functional transformations outlined below, with an example from each step (grossly simplified from the actual Parsetree representation) on the right. In words, \$re_expr first programmatically invokes the Reason parser on the literal body. It next serializes the generated parse tree to Reason source code, then parses that. This produces a parse tree that, if evaluated in the appropriate environment, will produce the original parse tree. The final step is to implement antiquotation as described above by repurposing the generalized literal forms in the body, using the source locations from the first parse tree, which have been carried into the second parse tree as constants.

Appendix B

ML^{Lit}: A Calculus of Simple TLMs

This section defines **ML**^{Lit}, the calculus of simple expression and pattern TLMs. For some readers, it might be useful to snip out pattern matching to get a language strictly of expression TLMs. To support that, one can omit the segments typeset in gray backgrounds below to recover **ML**^{ELit}, a calculus of simple expression TLMs. We have included the necessary eliminators below (they are technically redundant with pattern matching, but don't hurt things so they're left in white.)

B.1 Typographic Conventions

Our typographic conventions below are based closely on PFPL's typographic conventions for abstract binding trees [1]. In particular, the names of operators and indexed families of operators are written in typewriter font, indexed families of operators specify indices within [braces] (except when the index is a label set, L, or natural number, n, in which case it is omitted). Term arguments are grouped roughly by sort using {curly braces} and (rounded braces). We write p.e for expressions binding the variables that appear in the pattern p. The variables in a pattern are assumed to be distinct.

We write $\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}$ for an unordered collection of type arguments τ_i , one for each $i \in L$, and similarly for arguments of other sorts. Similarly, we write $\{i \hookrightarrow J_i\}_{i \in L}$ for the finite set of derivations J_i for each $i \in L$.

We write $\{r_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$ for sequences of $n \ge 0$ rule arguments, and similarly for other finite sequences.

Empty finite sets and finite functions are written \emptyset , or omitted entirely within judgements, and non-empty finite sets and finite functions are written as comma-separated sequences identified implicitly up to exchange and contraction.

B.2 Core Language

B.2.1 Syntax

Sort			Operational Form	Description	
Тур	τ	::=	t	variable	
			$parr(\tau;\tau)$	partial function	
			$all(t.\tau)$	polymorphic	
			$rec(t.\tau)$	recursive	
			$\mathtt{prod}(\{i\hookrightarrow au_i\}_{i\in L})$	labeled product	
			$sum(\{i\hookrightarrow au_i\}_{i\in L})$	labeled sum	
Exp	е	::=	\boldsymbol{x}	variable	
			$lam\{\tau\}(x.e)$	abstraction	
			ap(e;e)	application	
			tlam(t.e)	type abstraction	
			$tap{\tau}(e)$	type application	
			fold(e)	fold	
			unfold(e)	unfold	
			$tpl(\{i \hookrightarrow e_i\}_{i \in L})$	labeled tuple	
			$\mathtt{prj}[\ell](e)$	projection	
			$\operatorname{inj}[\ell](e)$	injection	
			$case(e; \{i \hookrightarrow x_i.e_i\}_{i \in L})$	case analysis	
			$match(e; \{r_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n})$	match	
Rule	r	::=	rule(p.e)	rule	
Pat	p	::=	$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}$	variable pattern	
			wildp	wildcard pattern	
			foldp(p)	fold pattern	
			$tplp(\{i \hookrightarrow p_i\}_{i \in L})$	labeled tuple pattern	
			$injp[\ell](p)$	injection pattern	

B.2.2 Static Semantics

Type formation contexts, Δ , are finite sets of hypotheses of the form t type. We write Δ , t type when t type $\notin \Delta$ for Δ extended with the hypothesis t type.

Typing contexts, Γ, are finite functions that map each variable $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma)$, where $\text{dom}(\Gamma)$ is a finite set of variables, to the hypothesis $x : \tau$, for some τ . We write $\Gamma, x : \tau$, when $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$, for the extension of Γ with a mapping from x to $x : \tau$, and $\Gamma \cup \Gamma'$ when $\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cap \text{dom}(\Gamma') = \emptyset$ for the typing context mapping each $x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \text{dom}(\Gamma')$ to $x : \tau$ if $x : \tau \in \Gamma$ or $x : \tau \in \Gamma'$. We write $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$ ctx if every type in Γ is well-formed relative to Δ .

Definition B.2.1 (Typing Context Formation). $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$ ctx *iff for each hypothesis* $x : \tau \in \Gamma$, we have $\Delta \vdash \tau$ type.

 $\Delta \vdash \tau$ type $\mid \tau$ is a well-formed type

$$\Delta$$
, $t \text{ type} \vdash t \text{ type}$ (B.1a)

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \text{ type} \quad \Delta \vdash \tau_2 \text{ type}}{\Delta \vdash parr(\tau_1; \tau_2) \text{ type}}$$
(B.1b)

$$\frac{\Delta, t \text{ type} \vdash \tau \text{ type}}{\Delta \vdash \text{all}(t.\tau) \text{ type}}$$
 (B.1c)

$$\frac{\Delta, t \text{ type} \vdash \tau \text{ type}}{\Delta \vdash \text{rec}(t.\tau) \text{ type}}$$
 (B.1d)

$$\frac{\{\Delta \vdash \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{i \in L}}{\Delta \vdash \text{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}) \text{ type}}$$
(B.1e)

$$\frac{\{\Delta \vdash \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{i \in L}}{\Delta \vdash \text{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}) \text{ type}}$$
(B.1f)

 $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \mid e \text{ is assigned type } \tau$

$$\frac{}{\Delta \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \tag{B.2a}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash \tau \text{ type} \qquad \Delta \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e : \tau'}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash \text{lam}\{\tau\}(x.e) : \text{parr}(\tau; \tau')}$$
(B.2b)

$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash e_1 : parr(\tau; \tau') \qquad \Delta \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash ap(e_1; e_2) : \tau'}$$
(B.2c)

$$\frac{\Delta, t \text{ type } \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash \text{tlam}(t.e) : \text{all}(t.\tau)}$$
(B.2d)

$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \mathsf{all}(t.\tau) \qquad \Delta \vdash \tau' \mathsf{type}}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{tap}\{\tau'\}(e) : [\tau'/t]\tau} \tag{B.2e}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : [\text{rec}(t.\tau)/t]\tau}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash \text{fold}(e) : \text{rec}(t.\tau)}$$
(B.2f)

$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \text{rec}(t.\tau)}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash \text{unfold}(e) : [\text{rec}(t.\tau)/t]\tau}$$
(B.2g)

$$\frac{\{\Delta \Gamma \vdash e_i : \tau_i\}_{i \in L}}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{tpl}(\{i \hookrightarrow e_i\}_{i \in L}) : \mathsf{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})}$$
(B.2h)

$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau)}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash \operatorname{pri}[\ell](e) : \tau}$$
(B.2i)

$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \tau}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash \inf[\ell](e) : \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau)}$$
(B.2j)

$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}) \qquad \{\Delta \Gamma, x_i : \tau_i \vdash e_i : \tau\}_{i \in L}}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash \operatorname{case}(e; \{i \hookrightarrow x_i.e_i\}_{i \in L}) : \tau}$$
(B.2k)

$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \qquad \{\Delta \Gamma \vdash r_i : \tau \Rightarrow \tau'\}_{1 \le i \le n}}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash \mathsf{match}(e; \{r_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}) : \tau'} \tag{B.21}$$

 $\Delta \Gamma \vdash r : \tau \Rightarrow \tau'$ r takes values of type τ to values of type τ'

$$\frac{p:\tau\dashv\Gamma'\qquad\Delta\;\Gamma\cup\Gamma'\vdash e:\tau'}{\Delta\;\Gamma\vdash\mathsf{rule}(p.e):\tau\Rightarrow\tau'}\tag{B.3}$$

Rule (B.3) is defined mutually inductively with Rules (B.2).

 $p: \tau \dashv \mid \Gamma \mid p$ matches values of type τ and generates hypotheses Γ

$$\frac{}{x:\tau\dashv x:\tau} \tag{B.4a}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{wildp}: \tau \dashv \varnothing}$$
 (B.4b)

$$\frac{p : [\operatorname{rec}(t.\tau)/t]\tau \dashv \Gamma}{\operatorname{foldp}(p) : \operatorname{rec}(t.\tau) \dashv \Gamma}$$
(B.4c)

$$\frac{\{p_i : \tau_i \dashv | \Gamma_i\}_{i \in L}}{\mathsf{tplp}(\{i \hookrightarrow p_i\}_{i \in L}) : \mathsf{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}) \dashv | \cup_{i \in L} \Gamma_i}$$
(B.4d)

$$\frac{p:\tau\dashv \Gamma}{\operatorname{injp}[\ell](p):\operatorname{sum}(\{i\hookrightarrow\tau_i\}_{i\in L};\ell\hookrightarrow\tau)\dashv \Gamma} \tag{B.4e}$$

Metatheory

The rules above are syntax-directed, so we assume an inversion lemma for each rule as needed without stating it separately or proving it explicitly. The following standard lemmas also hold.

The Weakening Lemma establishes that extending the context with unnecessary hypotheses preserves well-formedness and typing.

Lemma B.2.2 (Weakening).

- *1. If* $\Delta \vdash \tau$ type *then* Δ , t type $\vdash \tau$ type.
- 2. (a) If $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ then Δ , t type $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$.
 - (b) If $\Delta \Gamma \vdash r : \tau \Rightarrow \tau'$ then Δ , t type $\Gamma \vdash r : \tau \Rightarrow \tau'$.
- 3. (a) If $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau''$ type then $\Delta \Gamma, x : \tau'' \vdash e : \tau$.
 - (b) If $\Delta \Gamma \vdash r : \tau \Rightarrow \tau'$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau''$ type then $\Delta \Gamma, x : \tau'' \vdash r : \tau \Rightarrow \tau'$.
- *4. If* $p : \tau \dashv \mid \Gamma$ *then* Δ , t type $\vdash p : \tau \dashv \mid \Gamma$.

Proof Sketch.

- 1. By rule induction over Rules (B.1).
- 2. By mutual rule induction over Rules (B.2) and Rule (B.3), and part 1.
- 3. By mutual rule induction over Rules (B.2) and Rule (B.3), and part 1.
- 4. By rule induction over Rules (B.4).

Note clause 4, which allows weakening of Δ but requires that the pattern typing judgement is *linear* in the pattern typing context, i.e. it does *not* obey weakening of the pattern typing context. This is to ensure that the pattern typing context captures exactly those hypotheses generated by a pattern, and no others.

The Substitution Lemma establishes that substitution of a well-formed type for a type variable, or an expanded expression of the appropriate type for an expanded expression variable, preserves well-formedness and typing.

Lemma B.2.3 (Substitution).

- 1. If Δ , t type $\vdash \tau$ type and $\Delta \vdash \tau'$ type then $\Delta \vdash [\tau'/t]\tau$ type.
- 2. (a) If Δ , t type $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau'$ type then $\Delta [\tau'/t]\Gamma \vdash [\tau'/t]e : [\tau'/t]\tau$.
 - (b) If Δ , t type $\Gamma \vdash r : \tau \mapsto \tau''$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau'$ type then $\Delta [\tau'/t]\Gamma \vdash [\tau'/t]r : [\tau'/t]\tau \mapsto [\tau'/t]\tau''$.
- 3. (a) If $\Delta \Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash e : \tau$ and $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau'$ then $\Delta \Gamma \vdash [e'/x]e : \tau$.
 - (b) If $\Delta \Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash r : \tau \Rightarrow \tau''$ and $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau''$ then $\Delta \Gamma \vdash [e'/x]r : \tau \Rightarrow \tau''$.

Proof Sketch.

- 1. By rule induction over Rules (B.1).
- 2. By mutual rule induction over Rules (B.2) and Rule (B.3).

3. By mutual rule induction over Rules (B.2) and Rule (B.3).

The Decomposition Lemma is the converse of the Substitution Lemma.

Lemma B.2.4 (Decomposition).

- *1.* If $\Delta \vdash [\tau'/t]\tau$ type and $\Delta \vdash \tau'$ type then Δ , t type $\vdash \tau$ type.
- 2. (a) If $\Delta [\tau'/t]\Gamma \vdash [\tau'/t]e : [\tau'/t]\tau$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau'$ type then Δ , t type $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$.
 - (b) If $\Delta [\tau'/t]\Gamma \vdash [\tau'/t]r : [\tau'/t]\tau \Rightarrow [\tau'/t]\tau''$ and $\Delta \vdash \tau'$ type then Δ , t type $\Gamma \vdash r : \tau \Rightarrow \tau''$.
- 3. (a) If $\Delta \Gamma \vdash [e'/x]e : \tau$ and $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau'$ then $\Delta \Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash e : \tau$.
 - (b) If $\Delta \Gamma \vdash [e'/x]r : \tau \mapsto \tau''$ and $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau'$ then $\Delta \Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash r : \tau \mapsto \tau''$.

Proof Sketch.

- 1. By rule induction over Rules (B.1) and case analysis over the definition of substitution. In all cases, the derivation of $\Delta \vdash [\tau'/t]\tau$ type does not depend on the form of τ' .
- 2. By mutual rule induction over Rules (B.2) and Rule (B.3) and case analysis over the definition of substitution. In all cases, the derivation of $\Delta [\tau'/t]\Gamma \vdash [\tau'/t]e : [\tau'/t]\tau$ or $\Delta [\tau'/t]\Gamma \vdash [\tau'/t]r : [\tau'/t]\tau \mapsto [\tau'/t]\tau''$ does not depend on the form of τ' .
- 3. By mutual rule induction over Rules (B.2) and Rule (B.3) and case analysis over the definition of substitution. In all cases, the derivation of $\Delta \Gamma \vdash [e'/x]e : \tau$ or $\Delta \Gamma \vdash [e'/x]r : \tau \mapsto \tau''$ does not depend on the form of e'.

Lemma B.2.5 (Pattern Regularity). *If* $p : \tau \dashv \Gamma$ *and* $\Delta \vdash \tau$ type *then* $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$ ctx *and* patvars $(p) = dom(\Gamma)$.

Proof. By rule induction over Rules (B.4).

Case (B.4a).

- (1) p = x
- (2) $\Gamma = x : \tau$
- (3) $\Delta \vdash \tau$ type
- (4) $\Delta \vdash x : \tau \operatorname{ctx}$
- (5) $fv(p) = dom(\Gamma) = \{x\}$

- by assumption
- by assumption
- by assumption
- by Definition B.2.1 on (3)

by definition

Case (B.4b).

- (1) p = wildp by assumption
- (2) $\Gamma = \emptyset$ by assumption
- (3) $\Delta \vdash \emptyset$ ctx by Definition B.2.1
- (4) $patvars(p) = dom(\Gamma) = \emptyset$ by definition

Case (**B.4d**).

- (1) $p = tplp(\{i \hookrightarrow p_i\}_{i \in L})$ by assumption
- (2) $\tau = \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})$ by assumption
- (3) $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i \in L} \Gamma_i$ by assumption
- (4) $\{p_i : \tau_i \dashv \mid \Gamma_i\}_{i \in L}$ by assumption
- (5) $\Delta \vdash \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})$ type by assumption (6) $\{\Delta \vdash \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{i \in L}$ by Inversion of Rule
- (6) $\{\Delta \vdash t_i \text{ type}\}_{i \in L}$ by inversion of Rule (B.1e) on (5)
- (7) $\{\Delta \vdash \Gamma_i \operatorname{ctx}\}_{i \in L}$ by IH over (4) and (6)
- (8) {patvars $(p_i) = \text{dom}(\Gamma_i)$ }_{$i \in L$} by IH over (4) and (6)
- (9) $\Delta \vdash \cup_{i \in L} \Gamma_i$ ctx by Definition B.2.1 over (7), then Definition B.2.1 iteratively
- (10) $\mathsf{patvars}(p) = \mathsf{dom}(\Gamma) = \emptyset$ by definition and (8)

Case (B.4e).

- (1) $p = injp[\ell](p')$ by assumption
- (2) $\tau = \text{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau')$ by assumption
- (3) $\Delta \vdash \text{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau')$ type by assumption (4) $p' : \tau' \dashv \Gamma$ by assumption
- (5) $\Delta \vdash \tau'$ type by Inversion of Rule (B.1f) on (3)
- (6) $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$ ctx by IH on (4) and (5)
- (7) $\operatorname{patvars}(p') = \operatorname{dom}(\Gamma)$ by IH on (4) and (5)

(8)
$$patvars(p) = dom(\Gamma)$$
 by definition and (7)

B.2.3 Structural Operational Semantics

The *structural operational semantics* is specified as a transition system, and is organized around judgements of the following form:

Judgement Form	Description		
$e \mapsto e'$	e transitions to e'		
e val	e is a value		
e matchfail	e raises match failure		

We also define auxiliary judgements for *iterated transition*, $e \mapsto^* e'$, and *evaluation*, $e \Downarrow e'$.

Definition B.2.6 (Iterated Transition). *Iterated transition*, $e \mapsto^* e'$, *is the reflexive, transitive closure of the transition judgement*, $e \mapsto e'$.

Definition B.2.7 (Evaluation). $e \Downarrow e' \text{ iff } e \mapsto^* e' \text{ and } e' \text{ val.}$

Our subsequent developments do not make mention of particular rules in the dynamic semantics, nor do they make mention of other judgements, not listed above, that are used only for defining the dynamics of the match operator, so we do not produce these details here. Instead, it suffices to state the following conditions.

Condition B.2.8 (Canonical Forms). *If* \vdash *e* : τ *and e* val *then*:

- 1. If $\tau = parr(\tau_1; \tau_2)$ then $e = lam\{\tau_1\}(x.e')$ and $x : \tau_1 \vdash e' : \tau_2$.
- 2. If $\tau = \text{all}(t.\tau')$ then e = tlam(t.e') and t type $\vdash e' : \tau'$.
- 3. If $\tau = \mathbf{rec}(t.\tau')$ then $e = \mathbf{fold}(e')$ and $\vdash e' : [\mathbf{rec}(t.\tau')/t]\tau'$ and e' val.
- 4. If $\tau = \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})$ then $e = \operatorname{tpl}(\{i \hookrightarrow e_i\}_{i \in L})$ and $\vdash e_i : \tau_i$ and e_i val for each $i \in L$.
- 5. If $\tau = \text{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})$ then for some label set L' and label ℓ and type τ' , we have that L = L', ℓ and $\tau = \text{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L'}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau')$ and $e = \text{inj}[\ell]$ (e') and $\vdash e' : \tau'$ and e' val.

Condition B.2.9 (Preservation). *If* \vdash e : τ *and* $e \mapsto e'$ *then* \vdash e' : τ .

Condition B.2.10 (Progress). *If* \vdash e : τ *then either* e val *or* e matchfail *or there exists an* e' *such that* $e \mapsto e'$.

B.3 Unexpanded Language (UL)

B.3.1 Syntax

Stylized Syntax

```
Sort
                          Stylized Form
                                                                                                            Description
UTyp \hat{\tau} ::= \hat{t}
                                                                                                            identifier
                          \hat{\tau} \rightharpoonup \hat{\tau}
                                                                                                            partial function
                          \forall \hat{t}.\hat{\tau}
                                                                                                            polymorphic
                          ut̂.τ
                                                                                                            recursive
                          \langle \{i \hookrightarrow \hat{\tau}_i\}_{i \in L} \rangle
                                                                                                           labeled product
                          [\{i \hookrightarrow \hat{\tau}_i\}_{i \in L}]
                                                                                                            labeled sum
\mathsf{UExp} \quad \hat{e} \quad ::= \quad \hat{x}
                                                                                                            identifier
                          \hat{e}:\hat{\tau}
                                                                                                            ascription
                          let val \hat{x} = \hat{e} in \hat{e}
                                                                                                            value binding
                          \lambda \hat{x}:\hat{\tau}.\hat{e}
                                                                                                            abstraction
                          \hat{e}(\hat{e})
                                                                                                            application
                          Λî.ê
                                                                                                            type abstraction
                          ê[î]
                                                                                                            type application
                                                                                                            fold
                          fold(\hat{e})
                          unfold(\hat{e})
                                                                                                            unfold
                          \langle \{i \hookrightarrow \hat{e}_i\}_{i \in L} \rangle
                                                                                                            labeled tuple
                          \hat{e} \cdot \ell
                                                                                                            projection
                          \operatorname{inj}[\ell](\hat{e})
                                                                                                            injection
                          case \hat{e} \{ i \hookrightarrow \hat{x}_i.\hat{e}_i \}_{i \in L}
                                                                                                            case analysis
                          notation \hat{a} at \hat{\tau}
                           { expr parser e; expansions require \hat{e} } in \hat{e}
                                                                                                           seTLM definition
                          â '(b) '
                                                                                                            seTLM application
                          \operatorname{match} \hat{e} \{\hat{r}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}
                                                                                                            match
                          notation \hat{a} at \hat{\tau} { pat parser e } in \hat{e}
                                                                                                            spTLM definition
URule \hat{r} ::= \hat{p} \Rightarrow \hat{e}
                                                                                                            match rule
UPat \hat{p} ::= \hat{x}
                                                                                                            identifier pattern
                                                                                                            wildcard pattern
                          fold(\hat{p})
                                                                                                            fold pattern
                          \langle \{i \hookrightarrow \hat{p}_i\}_{i \in L} \rangle
                                                                                                            labeled tuple pattern
                          \operatorname{inj}[\ell](\hat{p})
                                                                                                            injection pattern
                          â '(b) '
                                                                                                            spTLM application
```

Body Lengths We write ||b|| for the length of b. The metafunction $||\hat{e}||$ computes the sum of the lengths of expression literal bodies in \hat{e} :

```
= 0
\|\hat{x}\|
                                                                                                                                                                 =\|\hat{e}\|
\|\hat{e}:\hat{\tau}\|
\| \text{let val } \hat{x} = \hat{e}_1 \text{ in } \hat{e}_2 \|
                                                                                                                                                                = \|\hat{e}_1\| + \|\hat{e}_2\|
\|\lambda \hat{x}:\hat{\tau}.\hat{e}\|
                                                                                                                                                                 =\|\hat{e}\|
\|\hat{e}_1(\hat{e}_2)\|
                                                                                                                                                                 = \|\hat{e}_1\| + \|\hat{e}_2\|
\|\Lambda \hat{t}.\hat{e}\|
                                                                                                                                                                 =\|\hat{e}\|
\|\hat{e}[\hat{\tau}]\|
                                                                                                                                                                 =\|\hat{e}\|
\|\mathbf{fold}(\hat{e})\|
                                                                                                                                                                 =\|\hat{e}\|
\|\mathbf{unfold}(\hat{e})\|
                                                                                                                                                                 = \|\hat{e}\|
                                                                                                                                                                =\sum_{i\in L}\|\hat{e}_i\|
\|\langle \{i \hookrightarrow \hat{e}_i\}_{i \in L} \rangle \|
                                                                                                                                                                 =\|\hat{e}\|
\|\ell \cdot \hat{e}\|
                                                                                                                                                                 = \|\hat{e}\|
\|\operatorname{inj}[\ell](\hat{e})\|
\|\operatorname{case} \hat{e} \{i \hookrightarrow \hat{x}_i.\hat{e}_i\}_{i \in L} \|
                                                                                                                                                                = \|\hat{e}\| + \sum_{i \in L} \|\hat{e}_i\|
||notation \hat{a} at \hat{\tau} { expr parser e; expansions require \hat{e} } in \hat{e}'|| = ||\hat{e}|| + ||\hat{e}'||
||â '(b) '||
                                                                                                                                                                = \|b\|
\|match \hat{e} \{\hat{r}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|
                                                                                                                                                                = ||\hat{e}|| + \sum_{1 \le i \le n} ||r_i||
\|notation \hat{a} at \bar{\hat{	au}} \{ pat parser e \} in \hat{e}\|
```

and $\|\hat{r}\|$ computes the sum of the lengths of expression literal bodies in \hat{r} :

$$\|\hat{p} \Rightarrow \hat{e}\| = \|\hat{e}\|$$

Similarly, the metafunction $\|\hat{p}\|$ computes the sum of the lengths of the pattern literal bodies in \hat{p} :

$$\|\hat{x}\| = 0$$
 $\| ext{fold}(\hat{p}) \| = \|\hat{p}\|$
 $\| \langle \{i \hookrightarrow \hat{p}_i\}_{i \in L} \rangle \| = \sum_{i \in L} \|\hat{p}_i\|$
 $\| ext{inj}[\ell](\hat{p}) \| = \|\hat{p}\|$
 $\| \hat{a} \text{ '}(b) \text{ '} \| = \|b\|$

Common Unexpanded Forms Each expanded form maps onto an unexpanded form. We refer to these as the *common forms*. In particular:

• Each type variable, t, maps onto a unique type identifier, written \hat{t} .

• Each type, τ , maps onto an unexpanded type, $\mathcal{U}(\tau)$, as follows:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{U}(t) &= \widehat{t} \\ \mathcal{U}(\mathtt{parr}(\tau_1; \tau_2)) &= \mathcal{U}(\tau_1) \rightharpoonup \mathcal{U}(\tau_2) \\ \mathcal{U}(\mathtt{all}(t.\tau)) &= \forall \widehat{t}.\mathcal{U}(\tau) \\ \mathcal{U}(\mathtt{rec}(t.\tau)) &= \mu \widehat{t}.\mathcal{U}(\tau) \\ \mathcal{U}(\mathtt{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})) &= \langle \{i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\tau_i)\}_{i \in L} \rangle \\ \mathcal{U}(\mathtt{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})) &= [\{i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(\tau_i)\}_{i \in L}] \end{split}$$

- Each expression variable, x, maps onto a unique expression identifier, written \hat{x} .
- Each core language expression, e, maps onto an unexpanded expression, $\mathcal{U}(e)$, as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \widehat{x}$$

$$\mathcal{U}(\operatorname{lam}\{\tau\}(x.e)) = \lambda \widehat{x} : \mathcal{U}(\tau) . \mathcal{U}(e)$$

$$\mathcal{U}(\operatorname{ap}(e_1; e_2)) = \mathcal{U}(e_1) (\mathcal{U}(e_2))$$

$$\mathcal{U}(\operatorname{tlam}(t.e)) = \Lambda \widehat{t} . \mathcal{U}(e)$$

$$\mathcal{U}(\operatorname{tap}\{\tau\}(e)) = \mathcal{U}(e) [\mathcal{U}(\tau)]$$

$$\mathcal{U}(\operatorname{fold}(e)) = \operatorname{fold}(\mathcal{U}(e))$$

$$\mathcal{U}(\operatorname{unfold}(e)) = \operatorname{unfold}(\mathcal{U}(e))$$

$$\mathcal{U}(\operatorname{tpl}(\{i \hookrightarrow e_i\}_{i \in L})) = \langle \{i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(e_i)\}_{i \in L} \rangle$$

$$\mathcal{U}(\operatorname{prj}[\ell](e)) = \mathcal{U}(e) \cdot \ell$$

$$\mathcal{U}(\operatorname{inj}[\ell](e)) = \operatorname{inj}[\ell](\mathcal{U}(e))$$

$$\mathcal{U}(\operatorname{match}(e; \{r_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n})) = \operatorname{match} \mathcal{U}(e) \{\mathcal{U}(r_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$$

• Each core language rule, r, maps onto an unexpanded rule, $\mathcal{U}(r)$, as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathtt{rule}(p.e)) = \mathtt{urule}(\mathcal{U}(p).\mathcal{U}(e))$$

• Each core language pattern, p, maps onto the unexpanded pattern, $\mathcal{U}(p)$, as follows:

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \widehat{x}$$
 $\mathcal{U}(\mathtt{wildp}) = \mathtt{uwildp}$
 $\mathcal{U}(\mathtt{foldp}(p)) = \mathtt{ufoldp}(\mathcal{U}(p))$
 $\mathcal{U}(\mathtt{tplp}(\{i \hookrightarrow p_i\}_{i \in L})) = \mathtt{utplp}[L](\{i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}(p_i)\}_{i \in L})$
 $\mathcal{U}(\mathtt{injp}[\ell](p)) = \mathtt{uinjp}[\ell](\mathcal{U}(p))$

Textual Syntax

In addition to the stylized syntax, there is also a context-free textual syntax for the UL. For our purposes, we need only posit the existence of partial metafunctions $\mathsf{parseUTyp}(b)$ and $\mathsf{parseUExp}(b)$ and $\mathsf{parseUPat}(b)$.

Condition B.3.1 (Textual Representability).

- 1. For each $\hat{\tau}$, there exists b such that parseUTyp $(b) = \hat{\tau}$.
- 2. For each \hat{e} , there exists b such that parseUExp $(b) = \hat{e}$.
- 3. For each \hat{p} , there exists b such that $parseUPat(b) = \hat{p}$.

We also impose the following technical conditions.

Condition B.3.2 (Expression Parsing Monotonicity). *If* parseUExp(b) = \hat{e} *then* $\|\hat{e}\| < \|b\|$.

Condition B.3.3 (Pattern Parsing Monotonicity). *If* parseUPat(b) = \hat{p} *then* $\|\hat{p}\| < \|b\|$.

B.3.2 Type Expansion

Unexpanded type formation contexts, $\hat{\Delta}$, are of the form $\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle$, i.e. they consist of a *type identifier expansion context,* \mathcal{D} , paired with a type formation context, Δ .

A *type identifier expansion context*, \mathcal{D} , is a finite function that maps each type identifier $\hat{t} \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{D})$ to the hypothesis $\hat{t} \leadsto t$, for some type variable t. We write $\mathcal{D} \uplus \hat{t} \leadsto t$ for the type identifier expansion context that maps \hat{t} to $\hat{t} \leadsto t$ and defers to \mathcal{D} for all other type identifiers (i.e. the previous mapping is *updated*.)

We define $\hat{\Delta}, \hat{t} \leadsto t$ type when $\hat{\Delta} = \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle$ as an abbreviation of

$$\langle \mathcal{D} \uplus \hat{t} \leadsto t; \Delta, t \text{ type} \rangle$$

Definition B.3.4 (Unexpanded Type Formation Context Formation). $\vdash \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle$ utctx *iff for each* $\hat{t} \leadsto t$ type $\in \mathcal{D}$ we have t type $\in \Delta$.

 $\hat{\Delta} dash \hat{ au} \leadsto au$ type $\hat{ au}$ has well-formed expansion au

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta}, \hat{t} \leadsto t \text{ type} \vdash \hat{t} \leadsto t \text{ type}}{\hat{\Delta}, \hat{t} \leadsto t \text{ type}}$$
 (B.5a)

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \hat{\tau}_1 \leadsto \tau_1 \text{ type} \qquad \hat{\Delta} \vdash \hat{\tau}_2 \leadsto \tau_2 \text{ type}}{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \text{uparr}(\hat{\tau}_1; \hat{\tau}_2) \leadsto \text{parr}(\tau_1; \tau_2) \text{ type}}$$
(B.5b)

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta}, \hat{t} \leadsto t \text{ type} \vdash \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau \text{ type}}{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \text{uall}(\hat{t}.\hat{\tau}) \leadsto \text{all}(t.\tau) \text{ type}}$$
(B.5c)

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta}, \hat{t} \leadsto t \text{ type} \vdash \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau \text{ type}}{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \text{urec}(\hat{t}.\hat{\tau}) \leadsto \text{rec}(t.\tau) \text{ type}}$$
(B.5d)

$$\frac{\{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \hat{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \; \mathsf{type}\}_{i \in L}}{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \mathsf{uprod}[L](\{i \hookrightarrow \hat{\tau}_i\}_{i \in L}) \leadsto \mathsf{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}) \; \mathsf{type}} \tag{B.5e}$$

$$\frac{\{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \hat{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \; \mathsf{type}\}_{i \in L}}{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \mathsf{usum}[L](\{i \hookrightarrow \hat{\tau}_i\}_{i \in L}) \; \leadsto \; \mathsf{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}) \; \mathsf{type}}$$
(B.5f)

B.3.3 Typed Expression Expansion

Contexts

Unexpanded typing contexts, $\hat{\Gamma}$, are, similarly, of the form $\langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle$, where \mathcal{G} is an expression identifier expansion context, and Γ is a typing context. An expression identifier expansion context, \mathcal{G} , is a finite function that maps each expression identifier $\hat{x} \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{G})$ to the hypothesis $\hat{x} \leadsto x$, for some expression variable, x. We write $\mathcal{G} \uplus \hat{x} \leadsto x$ for the expression identifier expansion context that maps \hat{x} to $\hat{x} \leadsto x$ and defers to \mathcal{G} for all other expression identifiers (i.e. the previous mapping is updated.)

We define $\hat{\Gamma}, \hat{x} \leadsto x : \tau$ when $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle$ as an abbreviation of

$$\langle \mathcal{G} \uplus \hat{x} \leadsto x; \Gamma, x : \tau \rangle$$

Definition B.3.5 (Unexpanded Typing Context Formation). $\Delta \vdash \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle$ uctx *iff* $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$ ctx and for each $\hat{x} \leadsto x \in \mathcal{G}$, we have $x \in dom(\Gamma)$.

Body Encoding and Decoding

An assumed type abbreviated Body classifies encodings of literal bodies, b. The mapping from literal bodies to values of type Body is defined by the *body encoding judgement* $b \downarrow_{\mathsf{Body}} e_{\mathsf{body}}$. An inverse mapping is defined by the *body decoding judgement* $e_{\mathsf{body}} \uparrow_{\mathsf{Body}} b$.

Judgement Form	Description
$b\downarrow_{Body} e$	b has encoding e
$e \uparrow_{Body} b$	<i>e</i> has decoding <i>b</i>

The following condition establishes an isomorphism between literal bodies and values of type Body mediated by the judgements above.

Condition B.3.6 (Body Isomorphism).

- 1. For every literal body b, we have that $b \downarrow_{\mathsf{Body}} e_{body}$ for some e_{body} such that $\vdash e_{body}$: Body and e_{body} val.
- 2. If $\vdash e_{body}$: Body and e_{body} val then $e_{body} \uparrow_{\mathsf{Body}} b$ for some b.
- 3. If $b \downarrow_{\mathsf{Body}} e_{body}$ then $e_{body} \uparrow_{\mathsf{Body}} b$.
- 4. If $\vdash e_{body}$: Body and e_{body} val and $e_{body} \uparrow_{\mathsf{Body}} b$ then $b \downarrow_{\mathsf{Body}} e_{body}$.
- 5. If $b \downarrow_{\mathsf{Body}} e_{body}$ and $b \downarrow_{\mathsf{Body}} e'_{body}$ then $e_{body} = e'_{body}$.
- 6. If $\vdash e_{body}$: Body and e_{body} val and $e_{body} \uparrow_{\mathsf{Body}} b$ and $e_{body} \uparrow_{\mathsf{Body}} b'$ then b = b'.

We also assume a partial metafunction, subseq(b; m; n), which extracts a subsequence of b starting at position m and ending at position n, inclusive, where m and n are natural numbers. The following condition is technically necessary.

Condition B.3.7 (Body Subsequencing). *If* subseq(b; m; n) = b' then $||b'|| \le ||b||$.

Parse Results

The type abbreviated ParseResultE, and an auxiliary abbreviation used below, is defined as follows:

$$L_{ t SE} \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} ext{Error}, ext{SuccessE}$$
 ParseResultE $\stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} ext{sum}(ext{Error} \hookrightarrow \langle
angle$, SuccessE \hookrightarrow PrExpr)

The type abbreviated ParseResultP, and an auxiliary abbreviation used below, is defined as follows:

$$L_{ ext{SP}} \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} ext{Error}, ext{SuccessP}$$

$$ext{ParseResultE} \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} ext{sum}(ext{Error} \hookrightarrow \langle \rangle, ext{SuccessP} \hookrightarrow ext{PrPat})$$

seTLM Contexts

seTLM contexts, Ψ , are of the form $\langle \mathcal{A}; \Psi \rangle$, where \mathcal{A} is a *TLM identifier expansion context* and Ψ is a *seTLM definition context*.

A *TLM identifier expansion context*, \mathcal{A} , is a finite function mapping each TLM identifier $\hat{a} \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{A})$ to the *TLM identifier expansion*, $\hat{a} \rightsquigarrow x$, for some variable x. We write $\mathcal{A} \uplus \hat{a} \rightsquigarrow x$ for the TLM identifier expansion context that maps \hat{a} to $\hat{a} \rightsquigarrow x$, and defers to \mathcal{A} for all other TLM identifiers (i.e. the previous mapping is *updated*.)

An seTLM definition context, Ψ , is a finite function mapping each variable $x \in dom(\Psi)$ to an expanded seTLM definition, $x \hookrightarrow setlm(\tau; e_{parse})$, where τ is the seTLM's type annotation, and e_{parse} is its parse function. We write $\Psi, x \hookrightarrow setlm(\tau; e_{parse})$ when $x \notin dom(\Psi)$ for the extension of Ψ that maps x to $x \hookrightarrow setlm(\tau; e_{parse})$. We write $\Delta \vdash \Psi$ seTLMs when all the type annotations in Ψ are well-formed assuming Δ , and the parse functions in Ψ are closed and of the appropriate type.

Definition B.3.8 (seTLM Definition Context Formation). $\Delta \vdash \Psi$ seTLMs *iff for each* $x \hookrightarrow setIm(\tau; e_{parse}) \in \Psi$, we have $\Delta \vdash \tau$ type and $\emptyset \oslash \vdash e_{parse} : parr(Body; ParseResultE).$

Definition B.3.9 (seTLM Context Formation). $\Delta \vdash \langle \mathcal{A}; \Psi \rangle$ seTLMctx *iff* $\Delta \vdash \Psi$ seTLMs *and for each* $\hat{a} \leadsto x \in \mathcal{A}$ *we have* $x \in dom(\Psi)$.

We define
$$\hat{\Psi}, \hat{a} \leadsto x \hookrightarrow \mathsf{setlm}(\tau; e_{\mathsf{parse}})$$
, when $\hat{\Psi} = \langle \mathcal{A}; \Phi \rangle$, as an abbreviation of $\langle \mathcal{A} \uplus \hat{a} \leadsto x; \Psi, x \hookrightarrow \mathsf{setlm}(\tau; e_{\mathsf{parse}}) \rangle$

spTLM Contexts

spTLM contexts, $\hat{\Phi}$, are of the form $\langle \mathcal{A}; \Phi \rangle$, where \mathcal{A} is a TLM identifier expansion context, defined above, and Φ is a *spTLM definition context*.

An spTLM definition context, Φ , is a finite function mapping each variable $x \in dom(\Phi)$ to an expanded seTLM definition, $a \hookrightarrow sptlm(\tau; e_{parse})$, where τ is the spTLM's type annotation, and e_{parse} is its parse function. We write $\Phi, a \hookrightarrow sptlm(\tau; e_{parse})$ when $a \notin dom(\Phi)$ for the extension of Φ that maps x to $a \hookrightarrow sptlm(\tau; e_{parse})$. We write $\Delta \vdash \Phi$ spTLMs when all the type annotations in Φ are well-formed assuming Δ , and the parse functions in Φ are closed and of the appropriate type.

Definition B.3.10 (spTLM Definition Context Formation). $\Delta \vdash \Phi$ spTLMs *iff for each a* \hookrightarrow $sptlm(\tau; e_{parse}) \in \Phi$, we have $\Delta \vdash \tau$ type and $\emptyset \oslash \vdash e_{parse}$: parr(Body; ParseResultP).

Definition B.3.11 (spTLM Context Formation). $\Delta \vdash \langle \mathcal{A}; \Phi \rangle$ spTLMctx *iff* $\Delta \vdash \Phi$ spTLMs and for each $\hat{a} \leadsto x \in \mathcal{A}$ we have $x \in dom(\Phi)$.

We define $\hat{\Phi}, \hat{a} \leadsto x \hookrightarrow \operatorname{sptlm}(\tau; e_{\operatorname{parse}})$, when $\hat{\Phi} = \langle \mathcal{A}; \Phi \rangle$, as an abbreviation of $\langle \mathcal{A} \uplus \hat{a} \leadsto x; \Phi, a \hookrightarrow \operatorname{sptlm}(\tau; e_{\operatorname{parse}}) \rangle$

Typed Expression Expansion

 $\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau$ \hat{e} has expansion e of type τ

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta}\,\hat{\Gamma},\hat{x}\leadsto x:\tau\vdash_{\hat{\Psi};\hat{\Phi}}\hat{x}\leadsto x:\tau}{(B.6a)}$$

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau \text{ type} \qquad \hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau}{\hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} : \hat{\tau} \leadsto e : \tau}$$
(B.6b)

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}_1 \leadsto e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma}, \hat{x} \leadsto x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}_2 \leadsto e_2 : \tau_2}{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \text{let val } \hat{x} = \hat{e}_1 \text{ in } \hat{e}_2 \leadsto \text{ap}(\text{lam}\{\tau_1\}(x.e_2); e_1) : \tau_2}$$
(B.6c)

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau \text{ type } \qquad \hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma}, \hat{x} \leadsto x : \tau \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau'}{\hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \lambda \hat{x} : \hat{\tau}. \hat{e} \leadsto \text{lam}\{\tau\}(x.e) : \text{parr}(\tau; \tau')}$$
(B.6d)

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}_{1} \leadsto e_{1} : \operatorname{parr}(\tau; \tau') \qquad \hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}_{2} \leadsto e_{2} : \tau}{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}_{1}(\hat{e}_{2}) \leadsto \operatorname{ap}(e_{1}; e_{2}) : \tau'}$$
(B.6e)

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta}, \hat{t} \leadsto t \text{ type } \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau}{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \Lambda \hat{t}. \hat{e} \leadsto \text{tlam}(t.e) : \text{all}(t.\tau)}$$
(B.6f)

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \rightsquigarrow e : \mathsf{all}(t.\tau) \qquad \hat{\Delta} \vdash \hat{\tau}' \rightsquigarrow \tau' \; \mathsf{type}}{\hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}: \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}[\hat{\tau}'] \; \rightsquigarrow \; \mathsf{tap}\{\tau'\}(e) : [\tau'/t]\tau} \tag{B.6g}$$

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \rightsquigarrow e : [\text{rec}(t.\tau)/t]\tau}{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \text{fold}(\hat{e}) \rightsquigarrow \text{fold}(e) : \text{rec}(t.\tau)}$$
(B.6h)

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \rightsquigarrow e : \text{rec}(t.\tau)}{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \text{unfold}(\hat{e}) \rightsquigarrow \text{unfold}(e) : [\text{rec}(t.\tau)/t]\tau}$$
(B.6i)

$$\frac{\{\hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}_i \leadsto e_i : \tau_i\}_{i \in L}}{\hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \langle \{i \hookrightarrow \hat{e}_i\}_{i \in L}\rangle \leadsto \mathsf{tpl}(\{i \hookrightarrow e_i\}_{i \in L}) : \mathsf{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})}$$
(B.6j)

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \rightsquigarrow e : \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau)}{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \cdot \ell \rightsquigarrow \operatorname{prj}[\ell](e) : \tau}$$
(B.6k)

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \,\hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi};\hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau'}{\hat{\Delta} \,\hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi};\hat{\Phi}} \inf[\ell](\hat{e}) \leadsto \inf[\ell](e) : \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau')} \tag{B.6l}$$

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \rightsquigarrow e : \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}) \qquad \{\hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma}, \hat{x}_i \leadsto x_i : \tau_i \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}_i \leadsto e_i : \tau\}_{i \in L}}{\hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \operatorname{case} \hat{e} \; \{i \hookrightarrow \hat{x}_i.\hat{e}_i\}_{i \in L} \leadsto \operatorname{case}(e; \{i \hookrightarrow x_i.e_i\}_{i \in L}) : \tau} \tag{B.6m}$$

$$\hat{\Delta} \vdash \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau \text{ type}$$

$$\varnothing \varnothing \vdash e_{\text{parse}} : \text{parr}(\texttt{Body}; \texttt{ParseResultE}) \qquad \hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}_{\text{dep}} \leadsto e_{\text{dep}} : \tau_{\text{dep}}$$

$$\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle \qquad \hat{\Delta} \; \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma, x : \tau_{\text{dep}} \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}, \hat{a} \leadsto x \hookrightarrow \text{set} \text{lm}(\tau; e_{\text{parse}}); \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau'$$

$$e_{\text{defn}} = \text{ap}(\text{lam}\{\tau_{\text{dep}}\}(x.e); e_{\text{dep}})$$

 $\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}}$ notation \hat{a} at $\hat{\tau}$ { expr parser e_{parse} ; expansions require \hat{e} } in $\hat{e} \leadsto e_{\text{defn}} : \tau'$ (B.6n)

$$\hat{\Psi} = \hat{\Psi}', \hat{a} \leadsto x \hookrightarrow \text{setlm}(\tau; e_{\text{parse}}) \qquad \hat{\Gamma} = \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma, x : \tau_{\text{dep}} \rangle \\
b \downarrow_{\text{Body}} e_{\text{body}} \qquad e_{\text{parse}}(e_{\text{body}}) \Downarrow \text{inj}[\text{SuccessE}](e_{\text{proto}}) \qquad e_{\text{proto}} \uparrow_{\text{PrExpr}} \hat{e} \\
\frac{\text{seg}(\hat{e}) \text{ segments } b \qquad \emptyset \oslash \vdash^{\hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Gamma}; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \text{parr}(\tau_{\text{dep}}; \tau)}{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{a} \text{ '(b) '} \leadsto \text{ap}(e; x) : \tau} \qquad (B.60)$$

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau \qquad \{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{r}_i \leadsto r_i : \tau \mapsto \tau'\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}}{\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \mathsf{match} \hat{e} \{\hat{r}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n} \leadsto \mathsf{match}(e; \{r_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}) : \tau'}$$
(B.6p)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{\Delta} \vdash \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau \; \text{type} & \varnothing \varnothing \vdash e_{\text{parse}} : \text{parr}(\texttt{Body}; \texttt{ParseResultP}) \\ & & \hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}, \hat{a} \leadsto x \hookrightarrow \texttt{sptlm}(\tau; e'_{\text{parse}})} \; \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau' \\ \hline & \hat{\Delta} \; \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \text{notation} \; \hat{a} \; \text{at} \; \hat{\tau} \; \{ \; \texttt{pat} \; \texttt{parser} \; e_{\text{parse}} \; \} \; \text{in} \; \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau' \end{array} \tag{B.6q}$$

 $\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{r} \leadsto r : \tau \mapsto \tau'$ \hat{r} has expansion r taking values of type τ to values of type τ'

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv \langle \mathcal{G}'; \Gamma' \rangle \qquad \hat{\Delta} \langle \mathcal{G} \uplus \mathcal{G}'; \Gamma \cup \Gamma' \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau'}{\hat{\Delta} \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}: \hat{\Phi}} \text{urule}(\hat{p}.\hat{e}) \leadsto \text{rule}(p.e) : \tau \mapsto \tau'}$$
(B.7)

Typed Pattern Expansion

 $\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv \hat{\Gamma} \mid \hat{p}$ has expansion p matching against τ generating hypotheses $\hat{\Gamma}$

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{x} \rightsquigarrow x : \tau \dashv \langle \hat{x} \leadsto x; x : \tau \rangle}$$
 (B.8a)

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \quad \rightsquigarrow \text{wildp} : \tau \dashv \langle \emptyset; \emptyset \rangle} \tag{B.8b}$$

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p} \leadsto p : [\operatorname{rec}(t.\tau)/t]\tau \dashv \hat{\Gamma}}{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \operatorname{fold}(\hat{p}) \leadsto \operatorname{foldp}(p) : \operatorname{rec}(t.\tau) \dashv \hat{\Gamma}}$$
(B.8c)

$$\begin{split} \tau &= \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}) \\ & \frac{\{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p}_i \leadsto p_i : \tau_i \dashv | \hat{\Gamma}_i\}_{i \in L}}{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \langle \{i \hookrightarrow \hat{p}_i\}_{i \in L}\rangle \leadsto \operatorname{tplp}(\{i \hookrightarrow p_i\}_{i \in L}) : \tau \dashv | \uplus_{i \in L} \hat{\Gamma}_i} \end{split}$$
 (B.8d)

$$\frac{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv \hat{\Gamma}}{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \inf[\ell](\hat{p}) \leadsto \inf[\ell](p) : \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau) \dashv \hat{\Gamma}}$$
(B.8e)

$$\hat{\Phi} = \hat{\Phi}', \hat{a} \leadsto _ \hookrightarrow \operatorname{sptlm}(\tau; e_{\operatorname{parse}})$$

$$b \downarrow_{\operatorname{Body}} e_{\operatorname{body}} \qquad e_{\operatorname{parse}}(e_{\operatorname{body}}) \Downarrow \operatorname{inj}[\operatorname{SuccessP}](e_{\operatorname{proto}}) \qquad e_{\operatorname{proto}} \uparrow_{\operatorname{PrPat}} \hat{p}$$

$$seg(\hat{p}) \operatorname{segments} b \qquad \hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv |\hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Phi}; b| \hat{\Gamma}$$

$$\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{a} \text{`(b)'} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv |\hat{\Gamma}$$
(B.8f)

In Rule (B.8d), $\hat{\Gamma}_i$ is shorthand for $\langle \mathcal{G}_i; \Gamma_i \rangle$ and $\bigcup_{i \in L} \hat{\Gamma}_i$ is shorthand for

$$\langle \uplus_{i \in L} \mathcal{G}_i; \cup_{i \in L} \Gamma_i \rangle$$

B.4 Proto-Expansion Validation

B.4.1 Syntax of Proto-Expansions

Sort	Operational Form	Stylized Form	Description
PrTyp $\dot{\tau} ::=$	t	t	variable
	$prparr(\hat{\tau};\hat{\tau})$	$\dot{\tau} \rightharpoonup \dot{\tau}$	partial function
	$prall(t.\dot{ au})$	$\forall t.\dot{\tau}$	polymorphic
	$\mathtt{prrec}(t.\grave{ au})$	μt.τ̀	recursive
	$ exttt{prprod}(\{i\hookrightarrow\grave{ au}_i\}_{i\in L})$	$\langle \{i \hookrightarrow \grave{\tau}_i\}_{i \in L} \rangle$	labeled product
	$ exttt{prsum}(\{i\hookrightarrow \grave{ au}_i\}_{i\in L})$	$[\{i \hookrightarrow \grave{\tau}_i\}_{i \in L}]$	labeled sum
	splicedt[m;n]	splicedt[m;n]	spliced type ref.
$PrExp \hat{e} ::=$	\mathcal{X}	χ	variable
	$prasc{\hat{\tau}}(\hat{e})$	$\dot{e}:\dot{\tau}$	ascription
	$prletval(\hat{e}; x.\hat{e})$	$let val x = \hat{e} in \hat{e}$	value binding
	$prlam{\hat{\tau}}(x.\hat{e})$	λx:t.è	abstraction
	$prap(\hat{e};\hat{e})$	$\dot{e}(\dot{e})$	application
	$prtlam(t.\grave{e})$	$\Lambda t.\dot{e}$	type abstraction
	$prtap\{\dot{ au}\}(\dot{e})$	è[τ]	type application
	prfold(è)	$\mathtt{fold}(\grave{e})$	fold
	$prunfold(\grave{e})$	$unfold(\grave{e})$	unfold
	$\mathtt{prtpl}(\{i \hookrightarrow \grave{e}_i\}_{i \in L})$	$\langle \{i \hookrightarrow \grave{e}_i\}_{i \in L} \rangle$	labeled tuple
	$\mathtt{prprj}[\ell]$ (\grave{e})	$\grave{e} \cdot \ell$	projection
	$prinj[\ell]$ (\grave{e})	$\mathtt{inj}[\ell](\grave{e})$	injection
	$\operatorname{prcase}(\grave{e};\{i\hookrightarrow x_i.\grave{e}_i\}_{i\in L})$		_
	$splicede[m;n;\dot{\tau}]$	$splicede[m;n;\dot{\tau}]$	spliced expr. ref.
	$prmatch(\grave{e};\{\grave{r}_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n})$	$match\grave{e}\{\grave{r}_i\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$	match
	$prrule(p.\grave{e})$	$p \Rightarrow \grave{e}$	rule
PrPat $\hat{p} ::=$		_	wildcard pattern
	$prfoldp(\hat{p})$	$fold(\hat{p})$	fold pattern
	$prtplp[L](\{i \hookrightarrow \hat{p}_i\}_{i \in L})$		labeled tuple pattern
	$prinjp[\ell](\hat{p})$	$inj[\ell](\grave{p})$	injection pattern
	$splicedp[m;n;\dot{\tau}]$	$splicedp[m;n;\dot{\tau}]$	spliced pattern ref.

Common Proto-Expansion Terms

Each core language term, except variable patterns, maps onto a proto-expansion term. We refer to these as the *common proto-expansion terms*. In particular:

• Each type, τ , maps onto a proto-type, $\mathcal{P}(\tau)$, as follows:

```
\begin{split} \mathcal{P}(t) &= t \\ \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{parr}(\tau_1; \tau_2)) &= \mathsf{prparr}(\mathcal{P}(\tau_1); \mathcal{P}(\tau_2)) \\ \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{all}(t.\tau)) &= \mathsf{prall}(t.\mathcal{P}(\tau)) \\ \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{rec}(t.\tau)) &= \mathsf{prrec}(t.\mathcal{P}(\tau)) \\ \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})) &= \mathsf{prprod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\tau_i)\}_{i \in L}) \\ \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})) &= \mathsf{prsum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\tau_i)\}_{i \in L}) \end{split}
```

• Each core language expression, e, maps onto a proto-expression, $\mathcal{P}(e)$, as follows:

```
\mathcal{P}(x) = x
\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{lam}\{\tau\}(x.e)) = \operatorname{prlam}\{\mathcal{P}(\tau)\}(x.\mathcal{P}(e))
\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{ap}(e_1;e_2)) = \operatorname{prap}(\mathcal{P}(e_1);\mathcal{P}(e_2))
\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{tlam}(t.e)) = \operatorname{prtlam}(t.\mathcal{P}(e))
\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{tap}\{\tau\}(e)) = \operatorname{prtap}\{\mathcal{P}(\tau)\}(\mathcal{P}(e))
\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{fold}(e)) = \operatorname{prfold}(\mathcal{P}(e))
\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{unfold}(e)) = \operatorname{prunfold}(\mathcal{P}(e))
\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{tpl}(\{i \hookrightarrow e_i\}_{i \in L})) = \operatorname{prtpl}(\{i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(e_i)\}_{i \in L})
\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{inj}[\ell](e)) = \operatorname{prinj}[\ell](\mathcal{P}(e))
\mathcal{P}(\operatorname{match}(e; \{r_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n})) = \operatorname{prmatch}(\mathcal{P}(e); \{\mathcal{P}(r_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n})
```

• Each core language rule, r, maps onto the proto-rule, $\mathcal{P}(r)$, as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}(\text{rule}(p.e)) = \text{prrule}(p.\mathcal{P}(e))$$

Notice that proto-rules bind expanded patterns, not proto-patterns. This is because proto-rules appear in proto-expressions, which are generated by seTLMs. It would not be sensible for an seTLM to splice a pattern out of a literal body.

• Each core language pattern, p, except for the variable patterns, maps onto a protopattern, $\mathcal{P}(p)$, as follows:

```
egin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(	exttt{wildp}) &= 	exttt{prwildp} \ \mathcal{P}(	exttt{foldp}(p)) &= 	exttt{prfoldp}(\mathcal{P}(p)) \ \mathcal{P}(	exttt{tplp}(\{i \hookrightarrow p_i\}_{i \in L})) &= 	exttt{prtplp}[L](\{i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(p_i)\}_{i \in L}) \ \mathcal{P}(	exttt{injp}[\ell](p)) &= 	exttt{prinjp}[\ell](\mathcal{P}(p)) \end{aligned}
```

Proto-Expression Encoding and Decoding

The type abbreviated PrExpr classifies encodings of *proto-expressions*. The mapping from proto-expressions to values of type PrExpr is defined by the *proto-expression encoding judgement*, $\grave{e} \downarrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} e$. An inverse mapping is defined by the *proto-expression decoding judgement*, $e \uparrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} \grave{e}$.

Judgement Form Description

 $\dot{e} \downarrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} e$ \dot{e} has encoding e $e \uparrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} \dot{e}$ e has decoding \dot{e}

Rather than picking a particular definition of PrExpr and defining the judgements above inductively against it, we only state the following condition, which establishes an isomorphism between values of type PrExpr and proto-expressions.

Condition B.4.1 (Proto-Expression Isomorphism).

- 1. For every \grave{e} , we have $\grave{e}\downarrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} e_{proto}$ for some e_{proto} such that $\vdash e_{proto}$: PrExpr and e_{proto} val.
- 2. If $\vdash e_{proto}$: PrExpr and e_{proto} val then $e_{proto} \uparrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} \grave{e}$ for some \grave{e} .
- 3. If $\grave{e} \downarrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} e_{proto}$ then $e_{proto} \uparrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} \grave{e}$.
- 4. If $\vdash e_{proto}$: PrExpr and e_{proto} val and $e_{proto} \uparrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} \grave{e}$ then $\grave{e} \downarrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} e_{proto}$.
- 5. If $\grave{e}\downarrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} e_{proto}$ and $\grave{e}\downarrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} e'_{proto}$ then $e_{proto}=e'_{proto}$.
- 6. If $\vdash e_{proto}$: PrExpr and e_{proto} val and $e_{proto} \uparrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} \grave{e}$ and $e_{proto} \uparrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} \grave{e}'$ then $\grave{e} = \grave{e}'$.

Proto-Pattern Encoding and Decoding

The type abbreviated PrPat classifies encodings of *proto-patterns*. The mapping from proto-patterns to values of type PrPat is defined by the *proto-pattern encoding judgement*, $\dot{p} \downarrow_{\text{PrPat}} p$. An inverse mapping is defined by the *proto-expression decoding judgement*, $p \uparrow_{\text{PrPat}} \dot{p}$.

Judgement Form Description

 $\dot{p} \downarrow_{\mathsf{PrPat}} p \qquad \dot{p} \text{ has encoding } p \\
p \uparrow_{\mathsf{PrPat}} \dot{p} \qquad p \text{ has decoding } \dot{p}$

Again, rather than picking a particular definition of PrPat and defining the judgements above inductively against it, we only state the following condition, which establishes an isomorphism between values of type PrPat and proto-patterns.

Condition B.4.2 (Proto-Pattern Isomorphism).

- 1. For every p, we have $p \downarrow_{\mathsf{PrPat}} e_{proto}$ for some e_{proto} such that $\vdash e_{proto}$: PrPat and e_{proto} val.
- 2. If $\vdash e_{proto}$: PrPat and e_{proto} val then $e_{proto} \uparrow_{PrPat} \hat{p}$ for some \hat{p} .
- 3. If $p \downarrow_{\mathsf{PrPat}} e_{proto}$ then $e_{proto} \uparrow_{\mathsf{PrPat}} p$.
- 4. If $\vdash e_{proto}$: PrPat and e_{proto} val and $e_{proto} \uparrow_{\mathsf{PrPat}} \dot{p}$ then $\dot{p} \downarrow_{\mathsf{PrPat}} e_{proto}$.
- 5. If $p \downarrow_{\mathsf{PrPat}} e_{proto}$ and $p \downarrow_{\mathsf{PrPat}} e'_{proto}$ then $e_{proto} = e'_{proto}$.

Segmentations

The *segmentation*, ψ , of a proto-type, $seg(\hat{\tau})$ or proto-expression, $seg(\hat{e})$, is the finite set of references to spliced types and expressions that it mentions.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{seg}(t) & = & \emptyset \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prparr}(\hat{\tau}_1; \hat{\tau}_2)) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{\tau}_1) \cup \operatorname{seg}(\hat{\tau}_2) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prall}(t.\hat{\tau})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{\tau}) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prrec}(t.\hat{\tau})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{\tau}) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prprod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \hat{\tau}_i\}_{i \in L})) & = & \bigcup_{i \in L} \operatorname{seg}(\hat{\tau}_i) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prsum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \hat{\tau}_i\}_{i \in L})) & = & \bigcup_{i \in L} \operatorname{seg}(\hat{\tau}_i) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{splicedt}[m;n]) & = & \{\operatorname{splicedt}[m;n]\} \\ \\ \operatorname{seg}(x) & = & \emptyset \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{pracc}\{\hat{\tau}\}(\hat{e})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}) \cup \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prletval}(\hat{e}_1;x.\hat{e}_2)) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}_1) \cup \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}_2) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{pram}\{\hat{\tau}\}(x.\hat{e})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}_1) \cup \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}_2) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prap}(\hat{e}_1;\hat{e}_2)) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}_1) \cup \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}_2) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prtam}(t.\hat{e})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prtap}\{\hat{\tau}\}(\hat{e})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prtap}\{\hat{\tau}\}(\hat{e})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prtpl}(\{i \hookrightarrow x_i.\hat{e}_i\}_{i \in L})) & = & \bigcup_{i \in L} \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}_i) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prrip}[\ell](\hat{e})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prinj}[\ell](\hat{e})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prinj}[\ell](\hat{e})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}) \cup \bigcup_{i \in L} \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}_i) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{splicede}[m;n;\hat{\tau}]) & = & \{\operatorname{splicede}[m;n;\hat{\tau}]\} \cup \operatorname{seg}(\hat{\tau}) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prrule}(p.\hat{e})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}) \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \operatorname{seg}(\hat{r}_i) \\ \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prrule}(p.\hat{e})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}) \\ \end{array}
```

The splice summary of a proto-pattern, $seg(\hat{p})$, is the finite set of references to spliced types and patterns that it mentions.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prwildp}) & = & \varnothing \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prfoldp}(\grave{p})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\grave{p}) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prtplp}[L](\{i \hookrightarrow \grave{p}_i\}_{i \in L})) & = & \bigcup_{i \in L} \operatorname{seg}(\grave{p}_i) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prinjp}[\ell](\grave{p})) & = & \operatorname{seg}(\grave{p}) \\ \operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{splicedp}[m;n;\grave{\tau}]) & = & \left\{\operatorname{splicedp}[m;n;\grave{\tau}]\right\} \cup \operatorname{seg}(\grave{\tau}) \end{array}
```

The predicate ψ segments b defined below checks that each segment in ψ , has positive extent and is within bounds of b, and that the segments in ψ do not overlap or sit imme-

diately adjacent to one another, and that spliced segments that are exactly overlapping have equal segment types.

Definition B.4.3 (Segmentation Validity). ψ segments b *iff*

- 1. For each $splicedt[m; n] \in \psi$, all of the following hold:
 - (a) $0 \le m \le n < ||b||$
 - (b) For each $splicedt[m'; n'] \in \psi$, either

i.
$$m = m'$$
 and $n = n'$; or

ii.
$$n' < m - 1$$
; or

iii.
$$m' > n + 1$$

(c) For each $splicede[m'; n'; \hat{\tau}] \in \psi$, either

i.
$$n' < m - 1$$
; or

ii.
$$m' > n + 1$$

(d) For each $splicedp[m'; n'; \hat{\tau}] \in \psi$, either

i.
$$n' < m - 1$$
; or

ii.
$$m' > n + 1$$

2. For each $splicede[m; n; \dot{\tau}] \in \psi$, all of the following hold:

(a)
$$0 \le m \le n < ||b||$$

(b) For each splicedt $[m'; n'] \in \psi$, either

i.
$$n' < m - 1$$
; or

ii.
$$m' > n + 1$$

(c) For each $splicede[m'; n'; \hat{\tau}'] \in \psi$, either

i.
$$m = m'$$
 and $n = n'$ and $\dot{\tau} = \dot{\tau}'$; or

ii.
$$n' < m - 1$$
; or

iii.
$$m' > n+1$$

3. For each $splicedp[m; n; \dot{\tau}] \in \psi$, all of the following hold:

(a)
$$0 \le m \le n < ||b||$$

(b) For each $splicedt[m'; n'] \in \psi$, either

i.
$$n' < m - 1$$
; or

ii.
$$m' > n + 1$$

(c) For each splicede[m'; n'; $\dot{\tau}'$] $\in \psi$, either

i.
$$n' < m - 1$$
; or

ii.
$$m' > n + 1$$

(*d*) For each splicedp[m'; n'; $\dot{\tau}'$] $\in \psi$, either

i.
$$m = m'$$
 and $n = n'$ and $\dot{\tau} = \dot{\tau}'$; or
ii. $n' < m - 1$; or
iii. $m' > n + 1$

B.4.2 Proto-Type Validation

Type splicing scenes, \mathbb{T} , are of the form $\hat{\Delta}$; b.

 $\overline{\Delta \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau}$ type $\hat{\tau}$ has well-formed expansion τ

$$\frac{}{\Delta, t \text{ type} \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} t \rightsquigarrow t \text{ type}}$$
 (B.9a)

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \dot{\tau}_1 \leadsto \tau_1 \text{ type} \qquad \Delta \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \dot{\tau}_2 \leadsto \tau_2 \text{ type}}{\Delta \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \text{prparr}(\dot{\tau}_1; \dot{\tau}_2) \leadsto \text{parr}(\tau_1; \tau_2) \text{ type}}$$
(B.9b)

$$\frac{\Delta, t \text{ type} \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \dot{\tau} \leadsto \tau \text{ type}}{\Delta \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \text{prall}(t.\dot{\tau}) \leadsto \text{all}(t.\tau) \text{ type}}$$
(B.9c)

$$\frac{\Delta, t \text{ type} \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \dot{\tau} \leadsto \tau \text{ type}}{\Delta \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \text{prrec}(t.\dot{\tau}) \leadsto \text{rec}(t.\tau) \text{ type}}$$
(B.9d)

$$\frac{\{\Delta \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \hat{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{i \in L}}{\Delta \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \text{prprod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \hat{\tau}_i\}_{i \in L}) \leadsto \text{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}) \text{ type}}$$
(B.9e)

$$\frac{\{\Delta \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \dot{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{i \in L}}{\Delta \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \operatorname{prsum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \dot{\tau}_i\}_{i \in L}) \leadsto \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}) \text{ type}}$$
(B.9f)

$$\frac{\mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b;m;n)) = \hat{\tau} \qquad \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{\mathsf{app}} \rangle \vdash \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau \; \mathsf{type} \qquad \Delta \cap \Delta_{\mathsf{app}} = \emptyset}{\Delta \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{\mathsf{app}} \rangle; b} \; \mathsf{splicedt}[m;n] \leadsto \tau \; \mathsf{type}} \tag{B.9g}$$

B.4.3 Proto-Expression Validation

Expression splicing scenes, \mathbb{E} , are of the form $\hat{\Delta}$; $\hat{\Gamma}$; $\hat{\Psi}$; $\hat{\Phi}$; b. We write $\mathsf{ts}(\mathbb{E})$ for the type splicing scene constructed by dropping unnecessary contexts from \mathbb{E} :

$$ts(\hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Gamma}; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b) = \hat{\Delta}; b$$

 $\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \grave{e} \leadsto e : \tau$ \grave{e} has expansion e of type τ

$$\frac{}{\Delta \Gamma. x : \tau \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} x \leadsto x : \tau} \tag{B.10a}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \vdash^{\mathsf{ts}(\mathbb{E})} \dot{\tau} \leadsto \tau \; \mathsf{type} \qquad \Delta \; \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \dot{e} \leadsto e : \tau}{\Delta \; \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \mathsf{prasc}\{\dot{\tau}\}(\dot{e}) \leadsto e : \tau} \tag{B.10b}$$

$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e}_{1} \leadsto e_{1} : \tau_{1} \qquad \Delta \Gamma, x : \tau_{1} \vdash^{\hat{e}_{2}} e_{2} \leadsto \tau_{2} :}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \operatorname{prletval}(\hat{e}_{1}; x.\hat{e}_{2}) \leadsto \operatorname{ap}(\operatorname{lam}\{\tau_{1}\}(x.e_{2}); e_{1}) : \tau_{2}}$$
(B.10c)
$$\frac{\Delta \vdash^{\operatorname{ts}(\mathbb{E})} \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau \operatorname{type} \qquad \Delta \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau'}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \operatorname{prlam}\{\hat{\tau}\}(x.\hat{e}) \leadsto \operatorname{lam}\{\tau\}(x.e) : \operatorname{parr}(\tau; \tau')}$$
(B.10d)
$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e}_{1} \leadsto e_{1} : \operatorname{parr}(\tau; \tau') \qquad \Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e}_{2} \leadsto e_{2} : \tau}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \operatorname{prap}(\hat{e}_{1}; \hat{e}_{2}) \leadsto \operatorname{ap}(e_{1}; e_{2}) : \tau'}$$
(B.10e)
$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e} \bowtie e : \operatorname{all}(t.\tau) \qquad \Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \operatorname{prtlam}(t.\hat{e}) \leadsto \operatorname{tlam}(t.e) : \operatorname{all}(t.\tau)}$$
(B.10f)
$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e} \bowtie e : \operatorname{all}(t.\tau) \qquad \Delta \vdash^{\operatorname{ts}(\mathbb{E})} \hat{\tau}' \leadsto \tau' \operatorname{type}}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \operatorname{prtap}\{\hat{\tau}'\}(\hat{e}) \leadsto \operatorname{tap}\{\tau'\}\{e\} : \lceil \tau'/t \rceil \tau}$$
(B.10g)
$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \operatorname{e} : \operatorname{rec}(t.\tau)/t \rceil \tau}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \operatorname{prunfold}(\hat{e}) \leadsto \operatorname{fold}(e) : \operatorname{rec}(t.\tau)}$$
(B.10h)
$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \operatorname{e} : \operatorname{rec}(t.\tau)}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \operatorname{prunfold}(\hat{e}) \leadsto \operatorname{unfold}(e) : [\operatorname{rec}(t.\tau)/t \rceil \tau}$$
(B.10i)
$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_{i}\}_{i\in L})}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \operatorname{e} : \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_{i}\}_{i\in L}) \leadsto \tau}$$
(B.10h)
$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_{i}\}_{i\in L}) \leadsto \tau}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \operatorname{prinj}[\ell](\hat{e}) \leadsto \operatorname{nrj}[\ell](e) : \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_{i}\}_{i\in L}) \uplus \tau'}$$
(B.10h)
$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_{i}\}_{i\in L}) \bowtie \Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e} \bowtie e : \tau'}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \operatorname{prinj}[\ell](\hat{e}) \leadsto \operatorname{nrj}[\ell](e) : \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_{i}\}_{i\in L}) \uplus \tau'}$$
(B.10h)
$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_{i}\}_{i\in L}) \bowtie \Delta \operatorname{case}(e; \{i \hookrightarrow x_{i}, e_{i}\}_{i\in L}) : \tau}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \operatorname{proase}(\hat{e}; \{i \hookrightarrow x_{i}, \hat{e}_{i}\}_{i\in L}) \leadsto \operatorname{case}(e; \{i \hookrightarrow x_{i}, e_{i}\}_{i\in L}) : \tau}$$
(B.10m)
$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \hat{e} \leadsto \tau : \operatorname{type} \qquad \mathbb{E} = \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{\operatorname{app}} \rangle : \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{\operatorname{app}} \rangle : \psi_{i}, \hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Phi} \Longrightarrow \tau \tau}{\Delta \cap \Delta_{\operatorname{app}} = \emptyset}$$
(B.10m)

$$\frac{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \grave{e} \leadsto e : \tau \qquad \{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \grave{r}_{i} \leadsto r_{i} : \tau \mapsto \tau'\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \operatorname{prmatch}(\grave{e}; \{\grave{r}_{i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}) \leadsto \operatorname{match}(e; \{r_{i}\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}) : \tau'}$$
(B.10o)

(B.10n)

 $\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \operatorname{splicede}[m;n;\dot{\tau}] \leadsto e : \tau$

 $\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \mathring{r} \leadsto r : \tau \mapsto \tau'$ \mathring{r} has expansion r taking values of type τ to values of type τ'

$$\frac{\Delta \cup \Delta_{\text{app}} \vdash p : \tau \dashv \Gamma' \qquad \Delta \Gamma \cup \Gamma' \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \grave{e} \leadsto e : \tau'}{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \text{prrule}(p.\grave{e}) \leadsto \text{rule}(p.e) : \tau \mapsto \tau'}$$
(B.11)

B.4.4 Proto-Pattern Validation

Pattern splicing scenes, \mathbb{P} , are of the form $\hat{\Delta}$; $\hat{\Phi}$; b.

 $p \rightsquigarrow p : \tau \dashv^p \hat{\Gamma} p$ has expansion p matching against τ generating hypotheses $\hat{\Gamma}$

$$\frac{}{\mathsf{prwildp} \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{wildp} : \tau \dashv^{\mathsf{P}} \langle \emptyset; \emptyset \rangle} \tag{B.12a}$$

$$\frac{\hat{p} \leadsto p : [\operatorname{rec}(t.\tau)/t]\tau \dashv^{\mathbb{P}} \hat{\Gamma}}{\operatorname{prfoldp}(\hat{p}) \leadsto \operatorname{foldp}(p) : \operatorname{rec}(t.\tau) \dashv^{\mathbb{P}} \hat{\Gamma}}$$
(B.12b)

$$\tau = \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})$$

$$\frac{\{\hat{p}_i \leadsto p_i : \tau_i \dashv^{\mathbb{P}} \hat{\Gamma}_i\}_{i \in L}}{\operatorname{prtplp}[L](\{i \hookrightarrow \hat{p}_i\}_{i \in L}) \leadsto \operatorname{tplp}(\{i \hookrightarrow p_i\}_{i \in L}) : \tau \dashv^{\mathbb{P}} \uplus_{i \in L} \hat{\Gamma}_i}$$
(B.12c)

$$\frac{\hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv^{\mathbb{P}} \hat{\Gamma}}{\operatorname{prinjp}[\ell](\hat{p}) \leadsto \operatorname{injp}[\ell](p) : \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau) \dashv^{\mathbb{P}} \hat{\Gamma}}$$
(B.12d)

$$\frac{ \varnothing \vdash^{\hat{\Delta};b} \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau \; \mathsf{type} \qquad \mathsf{parseUPat}(\mathsf{subseq}(b;m;n)) = \hat{p} \qquad \hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv \mid \hat{\Gamma} \\ \mathsf{splicedp}[m;n;\hat{\tau}] \leadsto p : \tau \dashv \mid^{\hat{\Delta};\hat{\Phi};b} \hat{\Gamma}$$
 (B.12e)

B.5 Metatheory

B.5.1 Type Expansion

Lemma B.5.1 (Type Expansion). *If* $\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle \vdash \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau$ type *then* $\Delta \vdash \tau$ type.

Proof. By rule induction over Rules (B.5). In each case, we apply the IH to or over each premise, then apply the corresponding type formation rule in Rules (B.1). \Box

Lemma B.5.2 (Proto-Type Validation). *If* $\Delta \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; b} \dot{\tau} \leadsto \tau$ type and $\Delta \cap \Delta_{app} = \emptyset$ then $\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \vdash \tau$ type.

Proof. By rule induction over Rules (B.9).

Case (B.9a).

(1)
$$\Delta = \Delta'$$
, t type

(2)
$$\dot{\tau} = t$$

(3)
$$\tau = t$$

(4)
$$\Delta'$$
, t type $\vdash t$ type

(5)
$$\Delta'$$
, t type $\cup \Delta_{app} \vdash t$ type

$$\Delta_{\rm app}$$
 to (4)

Case (B.9b).

(1)
$$\dot{\tau} = \operatorname{prparr}(\dot{\tau}_1; \dot{\tau}_2)$$

(2)
$$\tau = \operatorname{parr}(\tau_1; \tau_2)$$

(3)
$$\Delta \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; b} \dot{\tau}_1 \leadsto \tau_1 \text{ type}$$

(4)
$$\Delta \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; b} \check{\tau}_2 \leadsto \tau_2 \text{ type}$$

(5)
$$\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \vdash \tau_1$$
 type

(6)
$$\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \vdash \tau_2$$
 type

(7)
$$\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \vdash parr(\tau_1; \tau_2)$$
 type

by assumption

by assumption

by assumption

by assumption

by IH on (3)

by IH on (4)

by Rule (B.1b) on (5) and (6)

Case (B.9c).

(1)
$$\dot{\tau} = \text{prall}(t.\dot{\tau}')$$

(2)
$$\tau = \operatorname{all}(t.\tau')$$

(3)
$$\Delta$$
, t type $\vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; b} \dot{\tau}' \leadsto \tau'$ type

(4)
$$\Delta$$
, t type $\cup \Delta_{app} \vdash \tau'$ type

(5)
$$\Delta \cup \Delta_{app}$$
, t type $\vdash \tau'$ type

(6)
$$\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \vdash all(t.\tau')$$
 type

by assumption

by assumption

by assumption

by IH on (3)

by exchange over

 $\Delta_{\rm app}$ on (4)

by Rule (B.1c) on (5)

Case (B.9d).

(1)
$$\dot{\tau} = \operatorname{prrec}(t.\dot{\tau}')$$

(2)
$$\tau = \operatorname{rec}(t.\tau')$$

(3)
$$\Delta$$
, t type $\vdash^{\Delta_{app};b} \tilde{\tau}' \leadsto \tau'$ type

by assumption

by assumption

by assumption

- (4) Δ , t type $\cup \Delta_{app} \vdash \tau'$ type
- (5) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{app}$, t type $\vdash \tau'$ type
- (6) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \vdash \mathtt{rec}(t.\tau')$ type

- by IH on (3)
- by exchange over
- $\Delta_{\rm app}$ on (4)
- by Rule (B.1d) on (5)

Case (B.9e).

- (1) $\dot{\tau} = \operatorname{prprod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \dot{\tau}_i\}_{i \in L})$
- (2) $\tau = \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})$
- (3) $\{\Delta \vdash^{\Delta_{app};b} \dot{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{i \in I}$
- (4) $\{\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \vdash \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{i \in L}$
- (5) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \vdash \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})$ type

- by assumption
- by assumption
- by assumption
- by IH over (3)
- by Rule (B.1e) on (4)

Case (B.9f).

- (1) $\dot{\tau} = \operatorname{prsum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \dot{\tau}_i\}_{i \in L})$
- (2) $\tau = \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})$
- (3) $\{\Delta \vdash^{\Delta_{\operatorname{app}};b} \dot{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{i\in L}$
- (4) $\{\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \vdash \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{i \in L}$
- (5) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{\operatorname{app}} \vdash \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})$ type

- by assumption
- by assumption
- by assumption
- by IH over (3)
- by Rule (B.1f) on (4)

Case (B.9g).

- (1) $\dot{\tau} = \text{splicedt}[m; n]$
- (2) $parseUTyp(subseq(b; m; n)) = \hat{\tau}$
- (3) $\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle \vdash \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau \text{ type}$
- (4) $\Delta \cap \Delta_{app} = \emptyset$
- (5) $\Delta_{app} \vdash \tau$ type
- (6) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \vdash \tau$ type

- by assumption
- by assumption
- by assumption
- by assumption
- by Lemma **B.5.1** on (3)
- by Lemma B.2.2 over Δ on (5) and exchange
- over Δ

B.5.2 Typed Pattern Expansion

Theorem B.5.3 (Typed Pattern Expansion).

- 1. If $\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle \vdash_{\langle \mathcal{A}; \Phi \rangle} \hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle$ then $p : \tau \dashv \Gamma$.
- 2. If $p \rightsquigarrow p : \tau \dashv |\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle; \langle \mathcal{A}; \Phi \rangle; b \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle$ then $p : \tau \dashv \Gamma$.

Proof. By mutual rule induction over Rules (B.8) and Rules (B.12).

1. We induct on the premise. In the following, let $\hat{\Delta} = \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle$ and $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle$ and $\hat{\Phi} = \langle \mathcal{A}; \Phi \rangle$.

Case (B.8a).

- (1) $\hat{p} = \hat{x}$ by assumption
- (2) p = xby assumption
- (3) $\Gamma = x : \tau$ by assumption
- (4) $x : \tau \dashv x : \tau$ by Rule (B.4a)

Case (B.8b).

- (1) p = wildpby assumption
- (2) $\Gamma = \emptyset$ by assumption
- (3) wildp: $\tau \dashv \emptyset$ by Rule (B.4b)

Case (B.8c).

- (1) $\hat{p} = \text{fold}(\hat{p}')$ by assumption
- (2) p = foldp(p')by assumption
- (3) $\tau = \operatorname{rec}(t.\tau')$ by assumption
- (4) $\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p}' \leadsto p' : [\operatorname{rec}(t.\tau')/t]\tau' \dashv \hat{\Gamma}$ by assumption
- (5) $p' : [\operatorname{rec}(t.\tau')/t]\tau' \dashv \Gamma$ by IH, part 1 on (4)by Rule (B.4c) on (5)
- (6) foldp(p') : rec($t.\tau'$) $\dashv \mid \Gamma$

Case (B.8d).

- (1) $\hat{p} = \langle \{i \hookrightarrow \hat{p}_i\}_{i \in L} \rangle$ by assumption
- (2) $p = \mathsf{tplp}(\{i \hookrightarrow p_i\}_{i \in L})$ by assumption
- (3) $\tau = \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})$ by assumption
- $(4) \ \{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p}_i \leadsto p_i : \tau_i \dashv \mid \langle \mathcal{G}_i; \Gamma_i \rangle\}_{i \in L}$ by assumption
- (5) $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i \in L} \Gamma_i$ by assumption
- (6) $\{p_i : \tau_i \dashv \mid \Gamma_i\}_{i \in L}$ by IH, part 1 over (4)
- $(7) \ \mathsf{tplp}(\{i \hookrightarrow p_i\}_{i \in L}) : \mathsf{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}) \dashv \cup_{i \in L} \Gamma_i$

by Rule (B.4d) on (6)

Case (B.8e).

- (1) $\hat{p} = inj[\ell](\hat{p}')$ by assumption
- (2) $p = injp[\ell](p')$ by assumption
- (3) $\tau = \text{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau')$ by assumption
- (4) $\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p}' \rightsquigarrow p' : \tau' \dashv \hat{\Gamma}$ by assumption
- (5) $p': \tau' \dashv \Gamma$ by IH, part 1 on (4)
- (6) $\operatorname{injp}[\ell](p') : \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau') \dashv \Gamma$ by Rule (B.4e) on (5)

Case (**B.8f**).

- (1) $\hat{p} = \hat{a}$ '(b)' by assumption
- (2) $A = A', \hat{a} \rightsquigarrow x$ by assumption
- (3) $\Phi = \Phi', a \hookrightarrow \operatorname{sptlm}(\tau; e_{\operatorname{parse}})$ by assumption
- (4) $b \downarrow_{\mathsf{Body}} e_{\mathsf{body}}$ by assumption
- (5) $e_{\text{parse}}(e_{\text{body}}) \Downarrow \text{inj}[\text{SuccessP}](e_{\text{proto}})$ by assumption
- (6) $e_{\text{proto}} \uparrow_{\text{PrPat}} \dot{p}$ by assumption
- (7) $\dot{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv |\hat{\Delta}; \langle \mathcal{A}; \Phi \rangle; b \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle$ by assumption (8) $p : \tau \dashv |\Gamma$ by IH, part 2 on (7)
- 2. We induct on the premise. In the following, let $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle$ and $\hat{\Delta} = \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle$ and $\hat{\Phi} = \langle \mathcal{A}; \Phi \rangle$.

Case (B.12a).

- (1) p = wildp by assumption
- (2) $\Gamma = \emptyset$ by assumption
- (3) wildp: $\tau \dashv \emptyset$ by Rule (B.4b)

Case (B.12b).

- (1) $\hat{p} = \text{prfoldp}(\hat{p}')$ by assumption
- (2) p = foldp(p') by assumption
- (3) $\tau = \text{rec}(t.\tau')$ by assumption
- (4) $\hat{p}' \rightsquigarrow p' : [\operatorname{rec}(t.\tau')/t]\tau' \dashv^{\hat{\Delta};\hat{\Phi};b}\hat{\Gamma}$ by assumption
- (5) $p' : [\operatorname{rec}(t.\tau')/t]\tau' \dashv \Gamma$ by IH, part 2 on (4)
- (6) $foldp(p') : rec(t.\tau') \dashv \Gamma$ by Rule (B.4c) on (5)

Case (B.12c).

- (1) $\hat{p} = \text{prtplp}[L](\{i \hookrightarrow \hat{p}_i\}_{i \in L})$ by assumption
- (2) $p = \mathsf{tplp}(\{i \hookrightarrow p_i\}_{i \in L})$ by assumption
- (3) $\tau = \operatorname{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})$ by assumption

(4) $\{\hat{p}_i \leadsto p_i : \tau_i \dashv |\hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Phi}; b \mid \langle \mathcal{G}_i; \Gamma_i \rangle\}_{i \in I}$ by assumption (5) $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i \in I} \Gamma_i$ by assumption by IH, part 2 over (4) (6) $\{p_i : \tau_i \dashv \Gamma_i\}_{i \in I}$ (7) $\mathsf{tplp}(\{i \hookrightarrow p_i\}_{i \in L}) : \mathsf{prod}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}) \dashv \cup_{i \in L} \Gamma_i$ by Rule (B.4d) on (6) Case (B.12d). (1) $\dot{p} = \text{prinjp}[\ell](\dot{p}')$ by assumption (2) $p = injp[\ell](p')$ by assumption (3) $\tau = \operatorname{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau')$ by assumption (4) $\hat{p}' \leadsto p' : \tau' \dashv \hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Phi}; b \hat{\Gamma}$ by assumption (5) $p': \tau' \dashv \Gamma$ by IH, part 2 on (4)(6) $\inf[\ell](p') : \sup(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau') \dashv \Gamma$ by Rule (B.4e) on (5)

Case (B.12e).

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(1)} \ \ \dot{p} = \operatorname{splicedp}[m;n;\dot{\tau}] & \text{by assumption} \\ \text{(2)} \ \ \oslash \vdash^{\hat{\Delta};b} \dot{\tau} \leadsto \tau \ \text{type} & \text{by assumption} \\ \text{(3)} \ \ \operatorname{parseUExp}(\operatorname{subseq}(b;m;n)) = \hat{p} & \text{by assumption} \\ \text{(4)} \ \ \dot{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv \hat{\Gamma} & \text{by assumption} \\ \text{(5)} \ \ p : \tau \dashv \Gamma & \text{by IH, part 1 on (4)} \end{array}$

The mutual induction can be shown to be well-founded by showing that the following numeric metric on the judgements that we induct on is decreasing:

$$\begin{split} \|\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv |\hat{\Gamma}| &= \|\hat{p}\| \\ \|\hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv |\hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Phi}; b |\hat{\Gamma}| &= \|b\| \end{split}$$

where ||b|| is the length of b and $||\hat{p}||$ is the sum of the lengths of the literal bodies in \hat{p} , as defined in Sec. B.3.1.

The only case in the proof of part 1 that invokes part 2 is Case (B.8f). There, we have that the metric remains stable:

$$\begin{split} &\|\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{a} \text{ `(b)'} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv |\hat{\Gamma}| \\ &= \|\hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv |\hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Phi}; b| \hat{\Gamma}| \\ &= \|b\| \end{split}$$

The only case in the proof of part 2 that invokes part 1 is Case (B.12e). There, we have that $parseUPat(subseq(b; m; n)) = \hat{p}$ and the IH is applied to the judgement

 $\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv \hat{\Gamma}$. Because the metric is stable when passing from part 1 to part 2, we must have that it is strictly decreasing in the other direction:

$$\|\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv |\hat{\Gamma}\| < \|\texttt{splicedp}[m;n;\hat{\tau}] \leadsto p : \tau \dashv |\hat{\Delta};\hat{\Phi};b|\hat{\Gamma}\|$$

i.e. by the definitions above,

$$\|\hat{p}\| < \|b\|$$

This is established by appeal to Condition B.3.7, which states that subsequences of b are no longer than b, and the Condition B.3.3, which states that an unexpanded pattern constructed by parsing a textual sequence b is strictly smaller, as measured by the metric defined above, than the length of b, because some characters must necessarily be used to apply the pattern TLM and delimit each literal body. Combining Conditions B.3.7 and B.3.3, we have that $\|\hat{p}\| < \|b\|$ as needed.

B.5.3 Typed Expression Expansion

Theorem B.5.4 (Typed Expansion (Strong)).

- 1. (a) If $\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau \text{ then } \Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \tau.$
 - (b) If $\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{r} \leadsto r : \tau \mapsto \tau'$ then $\Delta \Gamma \vdash r : \tau \mapsto \tau'$.
- 2. (a) If $\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{app} \rangle; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \hat{e} \rightsquigarrow e : \tau \text{ and } \Delta \cap \Delta_{app} = \emptyset \text{ and } dom(\Gamma) \cap dom(\Gamma_{app}) = \emptyset \text{ then } \Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{app} \vdash e : \tau.$
 - (b) If $\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{app} \rangle; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \hat{r} \leadsto r : \tau \mapsto \tau' \text{ and } \Delta \cap \Delta_{app} = \emptyset \text{ and } dom(\Gamma) \cap dom(\Gamma_{app}) = \emptyset \text{ then } \Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{app} \vdash r : \tau \mapsto \tau'.$

Proof. By mutual rule induction over Rules (B.6), Rule (B.7), Rules (B.10) and Rule (B.11).

- 1. In the following, let $\hat{\Delta} = \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle$ and $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle$.
 - (a) **Case** (B.6a).
 - (1) $\hat{e} = \hat{x}$ by assumption
 - (2) e = x by assumption
 - (3) $\Gamma = \Gamma', x : \tau$ by assumption
 - (4) $\Delta \Gamma', x : \tau \vdash x : \tau$ by Rule (B.2a)

Case (B.6b).

- (1) $\hat{e} = \hat{e}' : \hat{\tau}$ by assumption
- (2) $\hat{\Delta} \vdash \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau$ type by assumption
- (3) $\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}:\hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}' \leadsto e : \tau$ by assumption
- (4) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ by IH, part 1(a) on (3)

Case (B.6c).

(1) $\hat{e} = \text{let val } \hat{x} = \hat{e}_1 \text{ in } \hat{e}_2$ by assumption (2) $e = ap(lam\{\tau_1\}(x.e_2); e_1)$ by assumption (3) $\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi};\hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}_1 \leadsto e_1 : \tau_1$ by assumption (4) $\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma}, \hat{x} \leadsto x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}_2 \leadsto e_2 : \tau$ by assumption (5) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1$ by IH, part 1(a) on (3) by IH, part 1(a) on (4) (6) $\Delta \Gamma, x : \tau \vdash e_2 : \tau$ (7) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash \text{lam}\{\tau_1\}(x.e_2) : \text{parr}(\tau_1; \tau)$ by Rule (B.2b) on (6) (8) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash \operatorname{ap}(\operatorname{lam}\{\tau_1\}(x.e_2); e_1) : \tau$ by Rule (B.2c) on (7) and (5)

Case (B.6d).

$(1) \hat{e} = \lambda \hat{x} : \hat{\tau}_1 . \hat{e}'$	by assumption
(2) $e = lam\{\tau_1\}(x.e')$	by assumption
(3) $\tau = parr(\tau_1; \tau_2)$	by assumption
(4) $\hat{\Delta} \vdash \hat{\tau}_1 \leadsto \tau_1$ type	by assumption
$(5) \hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma}, \hat{x} \leadsto x : \tau_1 \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}' \leadsto e' : \tau_2$	by assumption
(6) $\Delta \vdash \tau_1$ type	by Lemma B.5.1 on (4)
(7) $\Delta \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e' : \tau_2$	by IH, part 1(a) on (5)
(8) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash lam\{\tau_1\}(x.e') : parr(\tau_1; \tau_2)$	by Rule (B.2b) on (6)
	and (7)

Case (B.6e).

(1) $\hat{e} = \hat{e}_1(\hat{e}_2)$	by assumption
(2) $e = ap(e_1; e_2)$	by assumption
(3) $\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi};\hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}_1 \rightsquigarrow e_1 : \mathtt{parr}(\tau_2; \tau)$	by assumption
$(4) \hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}_2 \leadsto e_2 : \tau_2$	by assumption
(5) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e_1 : parr(\tau_2; \tau)$	by IH, part 1(a) on (3)
(6) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$	by IH, part 1(a) on (4)
(7) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash \operatorname{ap}(e_1; e_2) : \tau$	by Rule (B.2c) on (5)
	and (<u>6</u>)

Case (B.6f) through (B.6m). These cases follow analogously, i.e. we apply Lemma B.5.1 to or over the type expansion premises and the IH part 1(a) to or over the typed expression expansion premises and then apply the corresponding typing rule in Rules (B.2d) through (B.2k).

Case (**B.6n**).

```
(1) \hat{e} =
                 notation \hat{a} at \hat{\tau}' { expr parser e_{\text{parse}}; expansions require \hat{e}_{\text{dep}} } in \hat{e}'
                                                                                                by assumption
           (2) \hat{\Delta} \vdash \hat{\tau}' \leadsto \tau' type
                                                                                                by assumption
           (3) \hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}_{\text{dep}} \leadsto e_{\text{dep}} : \tau_{\text{dep}}
                                                                                                by assumption
           (4) \emptyset \emptyset \vdash e_{parse} : parr(Body; ParseResultE)
                                                                                                by assumption
           (5) \hat{\Delta} \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma, x : \tau_{\text{dep}} \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}, \hat{a} \leadsto x \hookrightarrow \text{setlm}(\tau'; e_{\text{parse}}); \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}' \leadsto e' : \tau
                                                                                                by assumption
           (6) \Delta \vdash \tau' type
                                                                                                by Lemma B.5.1 to (2)
           (7) \Delta \vdash \tau_{\text{dep}} type
                                                                                                by Lemma B.5.1 to (3)
           (8) \Delta \Gamma, x : \tau_{dep} \vdash e' : \tau
                                                                                                by IH, part 1(a) on (5)
           (9) \Delta \Gamma \vdash e_{\text{dep}} : \tau_{\text{dep}}
                                                                                                by IH, part 1(a) on (3)
         (10) e = ap(lam\{\tau_{dep}\}(x.e'); e_{dep})
                                                                                                by assumption
         (11) \Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \tau
                                                                                                by Rule (B.2c) and
                                                                                                Rule (B.2b) with (8)
                                                                                                and (7) and (9)
Case (B.60).
           (1) \hat{e} = \hat{a} '(b) '
                                                                                                by assumption
           (2) A = A', \hat{a} \rightsquigarrow x
                                                                                                by assumption
           (3) \Psi = \Psi', x \hookrightarrow \operatorname{setlm}(\tau; e_{\operatorname{parse}})
                                                                                                by assumption
           (4) \Gamma = \Gamma', x : \tau_{\text{dep}}
                                                                                                by assumption
           (5) e = ap(e'; x)
                                                                                                by assumption
           (6) b \downarrow_{\mathsf{Body}} e_{\mathsf{body}}
                                                                                                by assumption
           (7) e_{\text{parse}}(e_{\text{body}}) \Downarrow \text{inj}[\text{SuccessE}](e_{\text{proto}})
                                                                                                by assumption
           (8) e_{\text{proto}} \uparrow_{\text{PrExpr}} \dot{e}
                                                                                                by assumption
           (9) \emptyset \emptyset \vdash^{\hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Gamma}; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \hat{e} \leadsto e' : parr(\tau_{dep}; \tau)
                                                                                                by assumption
         (10) \emptyset \cap \Delta = \emptyset
                                                                                                by finite set
                                                                                                intersection
         (11) \emptyset \cap \operatorname{dom}(\Gamma) = \emptyset
                                                                                                by finite set
                                                                                                intersection
         (12) \emptyset \cup \Delta \emptyset \cup \Gamma \vdash e' : parr(\tau_{dep}; \tau)
                                                                                                by IH, part 2(a) on (9),
                                                                                                (10), and (11)
         (13) \Delta \Gamma \vdash e' : parr(\tau_{dep}; \tau)
                                                                                                by finite set and finite
                                                                                                function identity over
                                                                                                (12)
         (14) \Delta \Gamma \vdash x : \tau_{\text{dep}}
                                                                                                by Rule (B.2a)
         (15) \Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \tau
                                                                                                by Rule (B.2c) on (13)
                                                                                                and (14)
```

Case (B.6p).	
(1) $\hat{e} = match \hat{e}' \{\hat{r}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$	by assumption
$(2) e = match(e'; \{r_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n})$	by assumption
$(3) \hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e}' \leadsto e' : \tau'$	by assumption
$(4) \ \{\hat{\Delta} \ \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{r}_i \leadsto r_i : \tau' \mapsto \tau\}_{1 \le i \le n}$	by assumption
(5) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau'$	by IH, part 1(a) on (3)
(6) $\{\Delta \Gamma \vdash r_i : \tau' \Rightarrow \tau\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$	by IH, part 1(b) over (4)
(7) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash match(e'; \{r_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}) : \tau$	by Rule (B.21) on (5)
	and (6)
Case (B.6q).	
(1) $\hat{e}=$ notation \hat{a} at $\hat{ au}'$ $\{$ pat parser $e_{ m parse}$ $\}$	in \hat{e}'
·	by assumption
(2) $\hat{\Delta} \vdash \hat{\tau}' \leadsto \tau'$ type	by assumption
(3) $\varnothing \varnothing \vdash e_{parse} : parr(Body; ParseResultE)$	by assumption
$(4) \hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}, \hat{a} \leadsto x \hookrightarrow \operatorname{sptlm}(\tau'; e_{\operatorname{parse}})} \hat{e}' \leadsto e : \tau$	by assumption
(5) $\Delta \vdash \tau'$ type	by Lemma B.5.1 to (2)
(6) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$	by IH, part 1(a) on (4)

(b) Case (B.7).	
$(1) \hat{r} = \hat{p} \Rightarrow \hat{e}$	by assumption
(2) r = rule(p.e)	by assumption
$(3) \hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv \mid \langle \mathcal{A}'; \Gamma \rangle$	by assumption
$(4) \ \hat{\Delta} \ \langle \mathcal{A} \uplus \mathcal{A}' ; \Gamma \cup \Gamma \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \rightsquigarrow e : \tau'$	by assumption
(5) $p: \tau \dashv \Gamma$	by Theorem B.5.3, part 1 on (3)
(6) $\Delta \Gamma \cup \Gamma \vdash e : \tau'$	by IH, part 1(a) on (4)
(7) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash \mathtt{rule}(p.e) : \tau \mapsto \tau'$	by Rule (B.3) on (5) and (6)

- $\text{2. In the following, let } \hat{\Delta} = \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle \text{ and } \hat{\Gamma} = \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{app} \rangle.$
 - (a) **Case** (B.10a).
 - $\begin{array}{ll} \text{(1)} \ \grave{e} = x & \text{by assumption} \\ \text{(2)} \ \emph{e} = x & \text{by assumption} \\ \text{(3)} \ \Gamma = \Gamma', x : \tau & \text{by assumption} \\ \text{(4)} \ \Delta \cup \Delta_{\text{app}} \ \Gamma', x : \tau \vdash x : \tau & \text{by Rule (B.2a)} \\ \end{array}$

(5) 4	$\Delta \cup \Delta_{\mathrm{app}} \; \Gamma', x : \tau \cup \Gamma_{\mathrm{app}} \vdash x : \tau$	by Lemma B.2.2 over Γ_{app} to (4)
Case (B.10a	d).	
(1) $\tilde{\epsilon}$	$\hat{c} = \mathtt{prlam}\{\hat{\tau}_1\}(x.\hat{c}')$	by assumption
(2)	$e = \operatorname{lam}\{\tau_1\}(x.e')$	by assumption
(3)	$ au = \mathtt{parr}(au_1; au_2)$	by assumption
(4)	$\Delta dash ^{\hat{\Delta}_{ ext{app}};b} \dot{ au}_1 \leadsto au_1$ type	by assumption
(5)	$\Delta \Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash^{\hat{\Delta}_{app}; \hat{\Gamma}_{app}; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \dot{e}' \leadsto e' : \tau_2$	by assumption
(6)	$\Delta \cap \Delta_{\operatorname{app}} = arnothing$	by assumption
(7)	$dom(\Gamma)\capdom(\Gamma_{app})=\varnothing$	by assumption
(8)	$x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma_{\text{app}})$	by identification
(9)	$dom(\Gamma, x : \tau_1) \cap dom(\Gamma_{app}) = \emptyset$	convention by (7) and (8)
	$\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \vdash au_1$ type	by Lemma B.5.2 on (4)
(10)	□ ○ □ app + 11 type	and (6)
(11)	$\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \ \Gamma$, $x : \tau_1 \cup \Gamma_{app} \vdash e' : \tau_2$	by IH, part 2(a) on (5),
		(6) and (9)
$(12) \ \iota$	$\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \ \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{app}, x : \tau_1 \vdash e' : \tau_2$	by exchange over Γ_{app}
(13)	$\Delta \cup \Delta_{ ext{app}} \ \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{ ext{app}} dash ext{lam} \{ au_1\} (x.e'): ext{parr}(au_1) = 0$	on (11)
(13) 1	Δ Ο Δapp I Ο I app + Ium (t] (x.t) . pull (t	by Rule (B.2b) on (10) and (12)
Case (B.10e	e) .	
(1) $\tilde{\epsilon}$	$\hat{e} = \operatorname{prap}(\hat{e}_1; \hat{e}_2)$	by assumption
(2)	$e = \operatorname{ap}(e_1; e_2)$	by assumption
(3)	$\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\hat{\Delta}_{\mathrm{app}};\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{app}};\hat{\Psi};\hat{\Phi};b} \grave{e}_1 \leadsto e_1 : \mathtt{parr}(au_2; au)$	by assumption
(4)	$\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\hat{\Delta}_{\mathrm{app}};\hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{app}};\hat{\Psi};\hat{\Phi};b} \grave{e}_{2} \leadsto e_{2}: au_{2}$	by assumption
(5) 4	$\Delta \cap \Delta_{\mathrm{app}} = arnothing$	by assumption
(6)	$dom(\Gamma)\capdom(\Gamma_{app})=\varnothing$	by assumption
(7)	$\Delta \cup \Delta_{ ext{app}} \ \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{ ext{app}} \vdash e_1 : \mathtt{parr}(au_2; au)$	by IH, part 2(a) on (3),
(0)	A	(5) and (6)
(6) 2	$\Delta \cup \Delta_{\mathrm{app}} \ \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{\mathrm{app}} \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$	by IH, part 2(a) on (4), (5) and (6)
(9)	$\Delta \cup \Delta_{\operatorname{app}} \ \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{\operatorname{app}} \vdash \operatorname{ap}(e_1; e_2) : au$	by Rule (B.2c) on (7) and (8)
Case (B.10)	f) .	
	· / ·	
(1) $\tilde{\epsilon}$	$\grave{e} = \mathtt{prtlam}(t.\grave{e}')$	by assumption

(3) $\tau = \text{all}(t.\tau')$	by assumption
(4) Δ , t type $\Gamma \vdash^{\hat{\Delta}_{\mathrm{app}}; \hat{\Gamma}_{\mathrm{app}}; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \grave{e}' \leadsto e' : \tau'$	by assumption
$(5) \ \Delta \cap \Delta_{app} = \emptyset$	by assumption
(6) $\operatorname{dom}(\Gamma) \cap \operatorname{dom}(\Gamma_{\operatorname{app}}) = \emptyset$	by assumption
(7) t type $\notin \Delta_{app}$	by identification
(8) Δ , t type $\cap \Delta_{app} = \emptyset$	convention by (5) and (7)
(9) Δ , t type $\cup \Delta_{app} \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{app} \vdash e' : \tau'$	by IH, part 2(a) on (4), (8) and (6)
(10) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{\operatorname{app}}$, t type $\Gamma \cup \Gamma_{\operatorname{app}} \vdash e' : \tau'$	by exchange over Δ_{app} on (9)
(11) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{\operatorname{app}} \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{\operatorname{app}} \vdash \operatorname{tlam}(t.e') : \operatorname{all}(t.\tau')$	by Rule (B.2d) on (10)

Case (B.10g) through (B.10m). These cases follow analogously, i.e. we apply the IH, part 2(a) to all proto-expression validation judgements, Lemma B.5.2 to all proto-type validation judgements, the identification convention to ensure that extended contexts remain disjoint, weakening and exchange as needed, and the corresponding typing rule in Rules (B.2e) through (B.2k).

Case (B.10n).

(1) $\dot{e} = \operatorname{splicede}[m; n; \dot{\tau}]$	by assumption
(2) $\mathbb{E} = \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{app} \rangle; \hat{\Psi}; b$	by assumption
(3) $\emptyset \vdash^{ts(\mathbb{E})} \dot{\tau} \leadsto \tau$ type	by assumption
(4) $parseUExp(subseq(b; m; n)) = \hat{e}$	by assumption
(5) $\hat{\Delta}_{app} \hat{\Gamma}_{app} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau$	by assumption
(6) $\Delta \cap \Delta_{app} = \emptyset$	by assumption
$(7) \ dom(\Gamma) \cap dom(\Gamma_{app}) = \emptyset$	by assumption
(8) $\Delta_{\text{app}} \Gamma_{\text{app}} \vdash e : \tau$	by IH, part 1 on (5)
(9) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{app} \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{app} \vdash e : \tau$	by Lemma B.2.2 over
	Δ and Γ and exchange
	on (8)

Case (B.10o).

$(1) \hat{e} = \operatorname{prmatch}(\hat{e}'; \{\hat{r}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n})$	by assumption
$(2) e = match(e'; \{r_i\}_{1 \le i \le n})$	by assumption
(3) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Gamma}; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \hat{e}' \leadsto e' : \tau'$	by assumption
$(4) \ \{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Gamma}; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \grave{r}_i \leadsto r_i : \tau' \mapsto \tau\}_{1 \le i \le n}$	by assumption
(5) $\Delta \cap \Delta_{\text{app}} = \emptyset$	by assumption

(6)
$$\operatorname{dom}(\Gamma) \cap \operatorname{dom}(\Gamma_{\operatorname{app}}) = \emptyset$$
 by assumption
(7) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{\operatorname{app}} \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{\operatorname{app}} \vdash e' : \tau'$ by IH, part 2(a) on (3), (5) and (6)
(8) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{\operatorname{app}} \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{\operatorname{app}} \vdash r : \tau' \mapsto \tau$ by IH, part 2(b) on (4), (5) and (6)
(9) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{\operatorname{app}} \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{\operatorname{app}} \vdash \operatorname{match}(e'; \{r_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}) : \tau$ by Rule (B.21) on (7) and (8)

(b) There is only one case.

Case (B.11).

(1)
$$\dot{r} = \text{prrule}(p.\dot{e})$$
 by assumption

(2) $r = \text{rule}(p.e)$ by assumption

(3) $p : \tau \dashv \Gamma'$ by assumption

(4) $\Delta \Gamma \cup \Gamma' \vdash \dot{\Delta}; \dot{\Gamma}; \dot{\Psi}; \dot{\Phi}; b \ \dot{e} \leadsto e : \tau'$ by assumption

(5) $\Delta \cap \Delta_{\text{app}} = \emptyset$ by assumption

(6) $\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cap \text{dom}(\Gamma') = \emptyset$ by identification convention

(7) $\text{dom}(\Gamma_{\text{app}}) \cap \text{dom}(\Gamma') = \emptyset$ by identification convention

(8) $\text{dom}(\Gamma) \cap \text{dom}(\Gamma_{\text{app}}) = \emptyset$ by standard finite set definitions and identities on (6), (7) and (8)

(10) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{\text{app}} \Gamma \cup \Gamma' \cup \Gamma_{\text{app}} \vdash e : \tau'$ by IH, part 2(a) on (4), (5) and (9)

(11) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{\text{app}} \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{\text{app}} \cup \Gamma' \vdash e : \tau'$ by exchange of Γ' and Γ_{app} on (10)

(12) $\Delta \cup \Delta_{\text{app}} \Gamma \cup \Gamma_{\text{app}} \vdash \text{rule}(p.e) : \tau \mapsto \tau'$ by Rule (B.3) on (3) and (11)

The mutual induction can be shown to be well-founded by showing that the following numeric metric on the judgements that we induct on is decreasing:

$$\|\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau \| = \|\hat{e}\|$$
$$\|\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Gamma}; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau \| = \|b\|$$

where ||b|| is the length of b and $||\hat{e}||$ is the sum of the lengths of the seTLM literal bodies in \hat{e} , as defined in Sec. B.3.1.

The only case in the proof of part 1 that invokes part 2 is Case (B.60). There, we have that the metric remains stable:

$$\|\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi};\hat{\Phi}} \hat{a} `(b) ` \leadsto e : \tau\|$$

$$= \|\emptyset \oslash \vdash^{\hat{\Delta};\hat{\Gamma};\hat{\Psi};\hat{\Phi};b} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau\|$$

$$= \|b\|$$

The only case in the proof of part 2 that invokes part 1 is Case (B.10n). There, we have that $\operatorname{parseUExp}(\operatorname{subseq}(b;m;n)) = \hat{e}$ and the IH is applied to the judgement $\hat{\Delta} \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi};\hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \rightsquigarrow e : \tau$. Because the metric is stable when passing from part 1 to part 2, we must have that it is strictly decreasing in the other direction:

$$\|\hat{\Delta}\; \hat{\Gamma} \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau \| < \|\Delta\; \Gamma \vdash^{\hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Gamma}; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \mathsf{splicede}[m; n; \hat{\tau}] \leadsto e : \tau \|$$

i.e. by the definitions above,

$$\|\hat{e}\| < \|b\|$$

This is established by appeal to Condition B.3.7, which states that subsequences of b are no longer than b, and Condition B.3.2, which states that an unexpanded expression constructed by parsing a textual sequence b is strictly smaller, as measured by the metric defined above, than the length of b, because some characters must necessarily be used to apply a TLM and delimit each literal body. Combining these conditions, we have that $\|\hat{e}\| < \|b\|$ as needed.

Theorem B.5.5 (Typed Expression Expansion). *If* $\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle$ $\langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \rightsquigarrow e : \tau$ *then* $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$.

Proof. This theorem follows immediately from Theorem B.5.4, part 1(a).

B.5.4 Abstract Reasoning Principles

Lemma B.5.6 (Proto-Type Expansion Decomposition). *If* $\Delta \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; b} \dot{\tau} \leadsto \tau$ type *where* $seg(\dot{\tau}) = \{splicedt[m_i; n_i]\}_{0 \le i \le n}$ *then all of the following hold:*

- 1. $\{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle \vdash \mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto \tau_i \; \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \le i < n}$
- 2. $\tau = [\{\tau_i/t_i\}_{0 \leq i < n}]\tau'$ for some τ' and fresh $\{t_i\}_{0 \leq i < n}$ (i.e. $\{t_i \notin dom(\Delta)\}_{0 \leq i < n}$ and $\{t_i \notin dom(\Delta_{app})\}_{0 \leq i < n}$)
- 3. $fv(\tau') \subset dom(\Delta) \cup \{t_i\}_{0 \leq i < n}$

Proof. By rule induction over Rules (B.9). In the following, let $\hat{\Delta} = \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle$ and $\mathbb{T} = \hat{\Delta}; b$.

Case (B.9a).

(1)
$$\dot{\tau} = t$$
 by assumption

$(2) \ \tau = t$	by assumption
(3) $\Delta = \Delta'$, t type	by assumption
(4) $\operatorname{seg}(\grave{ au}) = arnothing$	by definition
(5) $fv(t) = \{t\}$	by definition
(6) $\{t\} \subset \operatorname{dom}(\Delta) \cup \emptyset$	by definition

The conclusions hold as follows:

- 1. This conclusion holds trivially because n = 0.
- 2. Choose $\tau' = t$ and \emptyset .
- 3. (6)

Case (B.9b).

(1) $\dot{\tau} = \mathtt{prparr}(\dot{\tau}_1; \dot{\tau}_2)$	by assumption
(2) $\tau = \mathtt{parr}(\tau_1'; \tau_2')$	by assumption
(3) $\Delta \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \hat{\tau}_1 \leadsto \tau'_1$ type	by assumption
(4) $\Delta \vdash^{\mathbb{T}} \hat{\tau}_2 \leadsto \tau_2'$ type	by assumption
$(5) \ \operatorname{seg}(\grave{\tau}) = \operatorname{seg}(\grave{\tau}_1) \cup \operatorname{seg}(\grave{\tau}_2)$	by definition
(6) $\operatorname{seg}(\grave{ au}_1) = \{\operatorname{splicedt}[m_i;n_i]\}_{0 \leq i < n'}$	by definition
(7) $\operatorname{seg}(\grave{ au}_2) = \{\operatorname{splicedt}[m_i;n_i]\}_{n' \leq i < n}$	by definition
(8) $\{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle \vdash parseUTyp(subseq(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto \tau_i typ\}$	by IH on (3) and (6)
(9) $\tau'_1 = [\{\tau_i/t_i\}_{0 \le i < n'}]\tau''_1$ for some τ''_1 and fresh $\{t_i\}$	0≤ <i>i</i> < <i>n</i> ′
	by IH on (3) and (6)
(10) $fv(\tau_1'') \subset dom(\Delta) \cup \{t_i\}_{0 \leq i < n'}$	by IH on (3) and (6)
$(11) \ \{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle \vdash parseUTyp(subseq(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto \tau_i \ typ(subseq(b; m_i; n_i)) \iff \tau_i \ typ(typ(b; m_i;$	<u> </u>
	by IH on (4) and (7)
(12) $\tau_2' = [\{\tau_i/t_i\}_{n' \le i < n}] \tau_2'' \text{ for some } \tau_2'' \text{ and fresh } \{t_i\}$	
	by IH on (4) and (7)
$(13) \ fv(\tau_2'') \subset dom(\Delta) \cup \{t_i\}_{n' \le i < n}$	by IH on (4) and (7)
$(14) \ \{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n'} \cap \{t_i\}_{n' \le i < n} = \emptyset$	by identification convention
$(15) \ fv(\tau_1'') \subset dom(\Delta) \cup \{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n}$	by (10) and (14)
$(16) \ fv(\tau_2'') \subset dom(\Delta) \cup \{t_i\}_{0 \leq i < n}$	by (13) and (14)
$(17) \ \tau_1' = [\{\tau_i/t_i\}_{0 \le i < n}] \tau_1''$	by substitution properties and (9) and (14)

(18)
$$\tau_2' = [\{\tau_i/t_i\}_{0 \le i < n}]\tau_2''$$

by substitution properties and (12) and (14)

(19)
$$parr(\tau_1'; \tau_2') = [\{\tau_i/t_i\}_{0 \le i < n}] parr(\tau_1''; \tau_2'')$$

by substitution and (17) and (18)

(20)
$$fv(parr(\tau_1''; \tau_2'')) = fv(\tau_1'') \cup fv(\tau_2'')$$

by definition

(21)
$$\operatorname{fv}(\operatorname{parr}(\tau_1''; \tau_2'')) \subset \operatorname{dom}(\Delta) \cup \{t_i\}_{0 \leq i < n}$$

by (20) and (15) and (16)

The conclusions hold as follows:

- 1. $(8) \cup (11)$
- 2. Choosing $\{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n}$ and parr $(\tau_1''; \tau_2'')$, by (19)
- 3. (21)

Case (B.9c) through (B.9f). These cases follow by analogous inductive argument.

Case (B.9g).

(1) $\dot{\tau} = \text{splicedt}[m; n]$

by assumption

(2) $seg(splicedt[m;n]) = \{splicedt[m;n]\}$

by definition

(3) $parseUTyp(subseq(b; m; n)) = \hat{\tau}$

by assumption

(4) $\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle \vdash \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau$ type

by assumption

(5) $t \notin \text{dom}(\Delta)$

by identification convention

(6) $t \notin dom(\Delta_{app})$

by identification

(7) $\tau = [\tau/t]\tau$

by definition

(8) $fv(t) \subset \Delta \cup \{t\}$

by definition

The conclusions hold as follows:

- 1. (3) and (4)
- 2. Choosing $\{t\}$ and t, by (5), (6) and (7)
- 3. (<mark>8</mark>)

Lemma B.5.7 (Proto-Expression and Proto-Rule Expansion Decomposition).

1. If $\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{app} \rangle; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau \text{ where } seg(\hat{e}) = \{splicedt[m'_i; n'_i]\}_{0 \leq i < n_{ty}} \cup \{splicede[m_i; n_i; \hat{\tau}_i]\}_{0 \leq i < n_{exp}} \text{ then all of the following hold:}$

(a)
$$\{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle \vdash \mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m'_i; n'_i)) \leadsto \tau'_i \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \le i < n_{tv}}$$

(b)
$$\{ \varnothing \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; b} \check{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type} \}_{0 \le i < n_{exp}}$$

$$(c) \ \{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle \ \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{app} \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \mathsf{parseUExp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto e_i : \tau_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{exp}}$$

(d)
$$e = [\{\tau_i'/t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{ty}}, \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{exp}}]e'$$
 for some e' and $\{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{ty}}$ and $\{x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{exp}}$ such that $\{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{ty}}$ fresh and $\{x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{exp}}$ fresh

(e)
$$fv(e') \subset dom(\Delta) \cup dom(\Gamma) \cup \{t_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{ty}} \cup \{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{exv}}$$

2. If
$$\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{app} \rangle; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \hat{r} \leadsto r : \tau \Longrightarrow \tau'$$
 and

$$\mathsf{seg}(\grave{r}) = \{\textit{splicedt}[\textit{m}'_i; \textit{n}'_i]\}_{0 \leq i < \textit{n}_{ty}} \cup \{\textit{splicede}[\textit{m}_i; \textit{n}_i; \grave{\tau}_i]\}_{0 \leq i < \textit{n}_{exp}}$$

then all of the following hold:

(a)
$$\{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle \vdash \mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i'; n_i')) \leadsto \tau_i' \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \le i < n_{ty}}$$

(b)
$$\{ \emptyset \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; b} \check{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type} \}_{0 \le i < n_{exp}}$$

(c)
$$\{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle \ \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{app} \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \mathsf{parseUExp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto e_i : \tau_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{exp}}$$

(d)
$$r = [\{\tau'_i/t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{ty}}, \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{exp}}]r'$$
 for some e' and fresh $\{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{ty}}$ and fresh $\{x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{exp}}$

(e)
$$\operatorname{fv}(r') \subset \operatorname{dom}(\Delta) \cup \operatorname{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \{t_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{ty}}} \cup \{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{exp}}}$$

Proof. By rule induction over Rules (B.10) and Rule (B.11). In the following, let $\hat{\Delta} = \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle$ and $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{app} \rangle$ and $\mathbb{E} = \hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Gamma}; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b$.

1. Case (B.10a).

$(1) \ \dot{e} = x$	by assumption
(2) e = x	by assumption
(3) $\Gamma = \Gamma', x : \tau$	by assumption
$(4) \operatorname{seg}(x) = \{\}$	by definition
(5) $fv(x) = \{x\}$	by definition
(6) $fv(x) \subset dom(\Gamma)$	by definition
(7) $fv(x) \subset dom(\Gamma) \cup dom(\Delta)$	by (6) and definition
	of subset

The conclusions hold as follows:

- (a) This conclusion holds trivially because $n_{ty} = 0$.
- (b) This conclusion holds trivially because $n_{exp} = 0$.
- (c) This conclusion holds trivially because $n_{exp} = 0$.
- (d) Choose x, \emptyset and \emptyset .

(e) (7)

Case (B.10b) through (B.10m). These cases follow by straightforward inductive argument.

Case (B.10n).

- (1) $\dot{e} = \operatorname{splicede}[m; n; \dot{\tau}]$ by assumption
- (2) $seg(splicede[m; n; \dot{\tau}]) = seg(\dot{\tau}) \cup \{splicede[m; n; \dot{\tau}]\}$

by definition

- (3) $seg(\grave{\tau}) = \{splicedt[m'_i; n'_i]\}_{0 \le i \le n_{tv}}$ by definition
- (4) $\emptyset \vdash^{\mathsf{ts}(\mathbb{E})} \dot{\tau} \leadsto \tau \mathsf{type}$ by assumption
- (5) parseUExp(subseq(b; m; n)) = \hat{e} by assumption
- (6) $\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{app} \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}: \hat{\Phi}} \hat{e} \leadsto e : \tau$ by assumption
- (7) $\{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{\text{app}} \rangle \vdash \text{parseUTyp}(\text{subseq}(b; m'_i; n'_i)) \rightsquigarrow \tau'_i \text{ type}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\text{ty}}}$ by Lemma B.5.6 on (4)

and (3)

(8) $x \notin dom(\Gamma)$ by identification

convention

(9) $x \notin dom(\Gamma_{app})$ by identification

convention

(10) $x \notin \text{dom}(\Delta)$ by identification

convention

(11) $x \notin dom(\Delta_{app})$ by identification

convention

- (12) $e = [\{\tau_i'/t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{tv}}}, e/x]x$ by definition
- (13) $fv(x) = \{x\}$ by definition
- (14) $fv(x) \subset dom(\Delta) \cup dom(\Gamma) \cup \{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{tv}} \cup \{x\}$ by definition

The conclusions hold as follows:

- (a) (7)
- (b) $\{(4)\}$
- (c) $\{(6)\}$
- (d) Choosing x, $\{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{tv}}$ and $\{x\}$, by (8), (9), (10), (11) and (12).
- (e) (14)

Case (B.10o).

- (1) $\dot{e} = \operatorname{prmatch}(\dot{e}'; \{\dot{r}_i\}_{1 \le i \le n})$ by assumption
- (2) $e = \text{match}(\tau; e') \{r_i\}_{1 \le i \le n}$ by assumption
- (3) $\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \grave{e} \leadsto e : \tau'$ by assumption
- (4) $\{\Delta \Gamma \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \mathring{r}_j \leadsto r_j : \tau' \mapsto \tau\}_{1 \leq j \leq n}$ by assumption
- (5) $\operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prmatch}(\hat{e}'; \{\hat{r}_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n})) = \operatorname{seg}(\hat{e}) \cup \bigcup_{0 \leq i < n} \operatorname{seg}(\hat{r}_i)$

by definition

```
(6) \ \operatorname{seg}(\grave{e}') = \{\operatorname{splicedt}[m_i';n_i']\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{ty}}'} \cup \{\operatorname{splicede}[m_i;n_i;\grave{\tau}_i]\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{exp}}'}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   by definition
      (7) \{\operatorname{seg}(\hat{r}_i) =
                              \{\mathsf{splicedt}[m'_{i,j};n'_{i,j}]\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{ty},j}} \cup \{\mathsf{splicede}[m_{i,j};n_{i,j};\grave{\tau}_{i,j}]\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{exp},j}}\}_{0 \leq j < n_{\mathsf{exp},j}}\}_{0 \leq j < n_{\mathsf{exp},j}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   by definition
      (8) \ \{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{\mathsf{app}} \rangle \vdash \mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i'; n_i')) \leadsto \tau_i' \ \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{ty}}'}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   by IH, part 1 on (3)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   and (6)
     (9) \{ \emptyset \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; b} \check{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type} \}_{0 < i < n'_{exp}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   by IH, part 1 on (3)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   and (6)
(10) \{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{app} \rangle \vdash_{\Psi: \hat{\Phi}} \mathsf{parseUExp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto e_i :
                            \{\tau_i\}_{0 \leq i < n'_{\text{exp}}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   by IH, part 1 on (3)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   and (6)
(11) e' = [\{\tau'_i/t_i\}_{0 \le i < n'_{tv}}, \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n'_{exp}}]e'' for some e'' and fresh
                            \{t_i\}_{0 \le i \le n'_{tv}} and fresh \{x_i\}_{0 \le i \le n'_{exp}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   by IH, part 1 on (3)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   and (6)
(12) \operatorname{fv}(e'') \subset \operatorname{dom}(\Delta) \cup \operatorname{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n'_{\operatorname{ty}}} \cup \{x_i\}_{0 \le i < n'_{\operatorname{exp}}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   by IH, part 1 on (3)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   and (6)
(13) \ \{\{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{\mathrm{app}} \rangle \vdash \mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m'_{i,j}; n'_{i,j})) \leadsto \tau'_{i,j} \ \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{ty},j}}\}_{0 \leq j < n_{\mathsf{ty},j}}\}_{0 \leq j < n_{\mathsf{ty},j}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   by IH, part 2 over (4)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   and (7)
(14) \{\{\emptyset \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; b} \dot{\tau}_{i,j} \leadsto \tau_{i,j} \text{ type}\}_{0 < i < n_{exp,i}}\}_{0 < j < n}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  by IH, part 2 over (4)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   and (7)
(15) \{\{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{app} \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}:\hat{\Phi}} \mathsf{parseUExp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_{i,j}; n_{i,j})) \leadsto e_{i,j} : \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  by IH, part 2 over (4)
                            \{\tau_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp},i}}\}_{0 \le j < n}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   and (7)
(16) \{r_j = [\{\tau'_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{ty},j}}, \{e_{i,j}/x_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp},j}}]r'_j\}_{0 \le j < n} for some \{r'_j\}_{0 \le j < n}
                            and fresh \{\{t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{ty},j}}\}_{0 \le j < n} and fresh \{\{x_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp},j}}\}_{0 \le j < n}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   by IH, part 2 over (4)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   and (7)
(17) \{ \mathsf{fv}(r_i') \subset \mathsf{dom}(\Delta) \cup \mathsf{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \{t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\mathsf{ty},j}} \cup \{x_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\mathsf{exp},j}} \}_{0 \le j < n_{\mathsf{exp},j}} \}_{0 \le 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   by IH, part 2 over (4)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   and (7)
(18) (\bigcup_{0 \le j < n} \{t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{tv},j}}) \cap \{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n'_{\text{tv}}} = \emptyset
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   by identification
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   convention
(19) (\bigcup_{0 \le j < n} \{x_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\exp,j}}) \cap \{x_i\}_{0 \le i < n'_{\exp}} = \emptyset
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   by identification
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   convention
(20) e' = [\{\tau_i'/t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{tv}}'} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{tv},j}'} \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{tv},j}'} \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{tv},j}'} \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{tv},j}'} \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{tv},j}'} \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{tv},j}'} \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{tv},j}'} \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{tv},j}'} \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \le j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \le i < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t
```

$$\{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\text{ty,j}}}]e'' \qquad \text{by substitution properties and (11)} \\ \text{and (12) and (18) and (19)} \\ \{\tau_{i} = [\{\tau'_{i}/t_{i}\}_{0 \leq i < n'_{\text{ty}}} \cup_{0 \leq j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\text{ty,j}}} \{e_{i}/x_{i}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\text{exp}}} \cup_{0 \leq j < n} \{\tau_{i,j}/t_{i,j}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\text{ty,j}}} | t_{i}/t_{i}$$

2. By rule induction over the rule typing assumption. There is only one case. In the following, let $\hat{\Delta} = \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle$ and $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{app} \rangle$ and $\mathbb{E} = \hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Gamma}; \hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}; b$.

(e) (25)

```
Case (B.11).
               (1) \dot{r} = \text{prrule}(p.\dot{e})
                                                                                                                          by assumption
               (2) r = \text{rule}(p.e)
                                                                                                                          by assumption
              (3) p: \tau \dashv \Gamma'
                                                                                                                          by assumption
              (4) \Delta \Gamma \cup \Gamma' \vdash^{\mathbb{E}} \grave{e} \leadsto e : \tau'
                                                                                                                          by assumption
              (5) seg(\grave{r}) = seg(\grave{e})
                                                                                                                          by definition
              (6) \ \operatorname{seg}(\grave{e}) = \{\operatorname{splicedt}[m_i';n_i']\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{ty}}} \cup \{\operatorname{splicede}[m_i;n_i;\grave{\tau}_i]\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{exp}}}
                                                                                                                          by definition
              (7) \{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{\mathrm{app}} \rangle \vdash \mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i'; n_i')) \leadsto \tau_i' \; \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{ty}}}  by IH, part 1 on (4)
                                                                                                                          and (6)
              (8) \{\emptyset \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{app} \rangle; b} \check{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type} \}_{0 \le i \le n_{app}}
                                                                                                                          by IH, part 1 on (4)
                                                                                                                          and (6)
              (9) \{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{\operatorname{app}} \rangle \ \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma_{\operatorname{app}} \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \operatorname{parseUExp}(\operatorname{subseq}(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto e_i : \}
                                                                                                                          by IH, part 1 on (4)
                       \tau_i \}_{0 < i < n_{\text{exp}}}
                                                                                                                          and (6)
            (10) e = [\{\tau_i'/t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{ty}}}, \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}}]e' \text{ for some } e' \text{ and fresh } \{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{ty}}}
                      and fresh \{x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}}
                                                                                                                          by IH, part 1 on (4)
                                                                                                                          and (6)
            (11) \operatorname{fv}(e') \subset \operatorname{dom}(\Delta) \cup \operatorname{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \operatorname{dom}(\Gamma') \cup \{t_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{ty}}} \cup \{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{exp}}} by IH, part 1 on (4)
                                                                                                                          and (6)
            (12) r = [\{\tau_i'/t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{ty}}}, \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}}] \text{rule}(p.e') by substitution
                                                                                                                          properties and (10)
            (13) fv(p) = dom(\Gamma')
                                                                                                                          by Lemma B.2.5 on (3)
            (14) \ \mathsf{fv}(\mathtt{rule}(p.e')) \subset \mathsf{dom}(\Delta) \cup \mathsf{dom}(\Gamma) \cup \{t_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{ty}}} \cup \{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{exp}}}
                                                                                                                         by definition of fv(r)
                                                                                                                         and (11) and (13)
         The conclusions hold as follows:
         (a) (7)
         (b) (8)
         (c) (9)
        (d) Choosing rule (p.e') and \{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{tv}} and \{x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{exp}}, by (12)
         (e) (14)
```

Theorem B.5.8 (seTLM Abstract Reasoning Principles). *If* $\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle$ $\langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \hat{a}$ '(*b*) ' \leadsto *e* : τ *then*:

1. (Expansion Typing) $\hat{\Psi} = \hat{\Psi}'$, $\hat{a} \leadsto x \hookrightarrow \mathsf{setlm}(\tau; e_{parse})$ and $\Delta \Gamma \vdash e : \tau$

- 2. (Responsibility) $b \downarrow_{\mathsf{Body}} e_{body}$ and $e_{parse}(e_{body}) \Downarrow inj[\mathsf{SuccessE}]$ (e_{proto}) and $e_{proto} \uparrow_{\mathsf{PrExpr}} \dot{e}$
- 3. (Segmentation) $seg(\grave{e})$ segments b
- 4. (Segment Typing) $seg(\grave{e}) = \{splicedt[m_i';n_i']\}_{0 \leq i < n_{ty}} \cup \{splicede[m_i;n_i;\grave{\tau}_i]\}_{0 \leq i < n_{exp}}$ and
 - $\textit{(a)} \ \ \{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle \vdash \mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i'; n_i')) \leadsto \tau_i' \ \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{ty}}} \ \textit{and} \ \{\Delta \vdash \tau_i' \ \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{ty}}}$
 - (b) $\{ \varnothing \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle; b} \dot{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type} \}_{0 \leq i < n_{exp}} \text{ and } \{ \Delta \vdash \tau_i \text{ type} \}_{0 \leq i < n_{exp}}$
 - (c) $\{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle \ \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle \vdash_{\Psi; \hat{\Phi}} \mathsf{parseUExp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto e_i : \tau_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{exp}} \ and \ \{\Delta \ \Gamma \vdash e_i : \tau_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{exp}}$
- 5. (Capture Avoidance) $e = [\{\tau'_i/t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{ty}}, \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{exp}}]e'$ for some $\{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{ty}}$ and $\{x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{exp}}$ and e'
- 6. (Context Independence) $fv(e') \subset \{t_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{ty}} \cup \{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{exp}}$

Proof. By rule induction over Rules (B.6). There is only one rule that applies. In the following, let $\hat{\Delta} = \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle$ and $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle$.

Case (B.60).

(1)	$\hat{\Psi} = \hat{\Psi}', \hat{a} \leadsto x \hookrightarrow \mathtt{setlm}(au; e_{parse})$	by assumption
(2)	$\Gamma = \Gamma', x : \tau_{\text{dep}}$	by assumption
(3)	$e = \operatorname{ap}(e_x; x)$	by assumption
(4)	$\langle \mathcal{D};\Delta angle \; \langle \mathcal{G};\Gamma angle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi};\hat{\Phi}} \hat{a}$ ' (b) ' $\leadsto e: au$	by assumption
(5)	Δ Γ \vdash e : $ au$	by Theorem B.5.5 on (4)
(6)	$b\downarrow_{Body} e_{body}$	by assumption
(7)	$e_{\mathrm{parse}}(e_{\mathrm{body}}) \Downarrow \mathtt{inj}[\mathtt{SuccessE}](e_{\mathrm{proto}})$	by assumption
(8)	$e_{\mathrm{proto}}\uparrow_{PrExpr}\grave{e}$	by assumption
(9)	$\operatorname{seg}(\grave{e})$ $\operatorname{segments}\ b$	by assumption
(10)	$\emptyset \emptyset \vdash^{\hat{\Delta};\hat{\Gamma};\hat{\Psi};\hat{\Phi};b} \hat{e} \leadsto e_x : \mathtt{parr}(au_{\mathrm{dep}}; au)$	by assumption
(11)	$\operatorname{seg}(\grave{e}) = \{\operatorname{splicedt}[m_i';n_i']\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{ty}}} \cup \{\operatorname{splicede}[m_i;n_i']\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{ty}}} \cup \{\operatorname{splicede}[m_i']\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{ty}}} \cup \{\operatorname{splicede}[m_i']\}_$	$\{n_i; \grave{ au}_i]\}_{0 \leq i < n_{ ext{exp}}}$ by definition
(12)	$\{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle \vdash parseUTyp(subseq(b; m_i'; n_i')) \leadsto \tau_i' \; type\}_{0 \leq i}$	$< n_{\mathrm{tv}}$
		by Lemma B.5.7 on (10) and (11)
(13)	$\{\Delta dash au_i' \ type\}_{0 \leq i < n_{ty}}$	by Lemma B.5.1, part 1 over (12)

$$(14) \ \{ \varnothing \vdash^{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle; b} \dot{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \ \mathsf{type} \}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathrm{exp}}}$$

by Lemma B.5.7 on (10) and (11)

(15)
$$\emptyset \cap \Delta = \emptyset$$

by definition

(16)
$$\{\Delta \vdash \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{exp}}$$

by Lemma B.5.1, part 2 over (14) and (15)

$$(17) \ \{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle \ \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle \vdash_{\hat{\Psi}; \hat{\Phi}} \mathsf{parseUExp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto e_i : \tau_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{exp}}}$$

by Lemma B.5.7 on

(18)
$$\{\Delta \Gamma \vdash e_i : \tau_i\}_{0 \le i \le n_{\text{exp}}}$$

by Theorem B.5.5 over (17)

(19)
$$e_x = [\{\tau_i'/t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{ty}}}, \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}}]e'$$
 for some e' and fresh $\{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{ty}}}$ and fresh $\{x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{exp}}}$ by Lemma B.5.7 on

by Lemma B.5.7 on (10) and (11)

(20)
$$\mathsf{fv}(e') \subset \{t_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\mathsf{ty}}} \cup \{x_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\mathsf{exp}}}$$

by Lemma B.5.7 on

(21)
$$e = [\{\tau_i'/t_i\}_{0 \le i \le n_{\text{tv}}}, \{e_i/x_i\}_{0 \le i \le n_{\text{exp}}}] \text{ap}(e'; x)$$

by definition of substitution on (19)

(10) and (11)

The conclusions hold as follows:

- 1. (1) and (5)
- 2. (6) and (7) and (8)
- 3. (9)
- 4. (11) and
 - (a) (12) and (13)
 - (b) (14) and (16)
 - (c) (17) and (18)
- 5. (21)
- 6. (20)

Lemma B.5.9 (Proto-Pattern Expansion Decomposition). *If* $\hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau \dashv^{\hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \hat{\Gamma}$ *where*

$$\operatorname{seg}(\grave{p}) = \{\operatorname{\textit{splicedt}}[m_i'; n_i']\}_{0 \leq i < n_{ty}} \cup \{\operatorname{\textit{splicedp}}[m_i; n_i; \grave{\tau}_i]\}_{0 \leq i < n_{pat}}$$

then all of the following hold:

- 1. $\{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m'_i; n'_i)) \leadsto \tau'_i \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \le i \le n_{tr}}$
- 2. $\{\emptyset \vdash^{\hat{\Delta};b} \dot{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{0 \le i < n_{nat}}$

3.
$$\{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \mathsf{parseUPat}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto p_i : \tau_i \dashv \hat{\Gamma}_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{pat}}$$

4.
$$\hat{\Gamma} = \biguplus_{0 \leq i < n_{pat}} \hat{\Gamma}_i$$

Proof. By rule induction over Rules (B.12). In the following, let $\mathbb{P} = \hat{\Delta}$; $\hat{\Phi}$; b.

Case (B.12a).

(1)
$$\dot{p} = \text{prwildp}$$
 by assumption
(2) $e = \text{wildp}$ by assumption
(3) $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \emptyset; \emptyset \rangle$ by assumption
(4) $\text{seg}(\text{prwildp}) = \emptyset$ by definition

The conclusions hold as follows:

- 1. This conclusion holds trivially because $n_{ty} = 0$.
- 2. This conclusion holds trivially because $n_{pat} = 0$.
- 3. This conclusion holds trivially because $n_{pat} = 0$.
- 4. This conclusion holds trivially because $\hat{\Gamma} = \emptyset$ and $n_{pat} = 0$.

Case (B.12b).

(1)
$$\dot{p} = \text{prfoldp}(\dot{p}')$$
 by assumption

(2)
$$p = foldp(p')$$
 by assumption

(3)
$$\tau = \operatorname{rec}(t.\tau')$$
 by assumption

(4)
$$\hat{p} \rightsquigarrow p : [\operatorname{rec}(t.\tau')/t]\tau' \dashv^{\mathbb{P}} \hat{\Gamma}$$
 by assumption

(5)
$$seg(prfoldp(\hat{p}')) = seg(\hat{p}')$$
 by definition

(6)
$$\operatorname{seg}(\hat{p}') = \{\operatorname{splicedt}[m'_i; n'_i]\}_{0 \le i < n_{\operatorname{ty}}} \cup \{\operatorname{splicedp}[m_i; n_i; \hat{\tau}_i]\}_{0 \le i < n_{\operatorname{pat}}}$$
 by definition

(7)
$$\{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i'; n_i')) \leadsto \tau_i' \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\mathsf{tv}}}$$
 by IH on (4) and (6)

(8)
$$\{ \emptyset \vdash^{\hat{\Delta}; b} \hat{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type} \}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{pat}}}$$
 by IH on (4) and (6)

(9)
$$\{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \mathsf{parseUPat}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto p_i : \tau_i \dashv \hat{\Gamma}_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{pat}}}$$
 by IH on (4) and (6)

(10)
$$\hat{\Gamma} = \biguplus_{0 \le i < n_{\text{pat}}} \hat{\Gamma}_i$$
 by IH on (4) and (6)

The conclusions hold as follows:

1. (7)

- 2. (8)
- 3. (9)
- 4. (10)

Case (B.12c).

- (1) $\dot{p} = \text{prtplp}[L](\{j \hookrightarrow \dot{p}_i\}_{i \in L})$ by assumption
- (2) $p = \mathsf{tplp}(\{i \hookrightarrow p_i\}_{i \in I})$ by assumption
- (3) $\tau = \operatorname{prod}(\{j \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in L})$ by assumption
- (4) $\hat{\Gamma} = \biguplus_{i \in I} \hat{\Gamma}_i$ by assumption
- (5) $\{\hat{p}_i \leadsto p_i : \tau_i \dashv^{\mathbb{P}} \hat{\Gamma}_i\}_{i \in I}$ by assumption
- (6) $\operatorname{seg}(\operatorname{prtplp}[L](\{j \hookrightarrow p_i\}_{i \in L})) = \bigcup_{i \in L} \operatorname{seg}(p_i)$ by definition
- (7) $\{ seg(\hat{p}_i) =$ $\{\operatorname{splicedt}[m'_{i,j},n'_{i,j}]\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{ty},j}} \cup \{\operatorname{splicedp}[m_{i,j},n_{i,j},\check{\tau}_{i,j}]\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{pat},j}}\}_{j \in L}$ by definition
- (8) $n_{\text{pat}} = \sum_{i \in L} n_{\text{pat},i}$ by definition
- (9) $\{\{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m'_{i,j}; n'_{i,j})) \leadsto \tau'_{i,j} \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{ty},j}}\}_{j \in L}$ by IH over (5) and (7)
- $(10) \ \{ \{ \emptyset \vdash^{\hat{\Delta}; b} \dot{\tau}_{i,j} \leadsto \tau_{i,j} \text{ type} \}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{pat},i}} \}_{j \in L}$ by IH over (5) and (7)
- $(11) \ \{\{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \mathsf{parseUPat}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_{i,j}; n_{i,j})) \leadsto p_{i,j} : \tau_{i,j} \dashv \hat{\Gamma}_{i,j}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{pat},j}}\}_{j \in L} \\ \mathsf{by} \ \mathsf{IH} \ \mathsf{over} \ (5) \ \mathsf{and} \ (7)$
- $(12) \{\hat{\Gamma}_j = \biguplus_{0 \le i < n_{\text{pat},j}} \hat{\Gamma}_{i,j}\}_{j \in L}$ by IH over (5) and (7)
- $(13) \ \biguplus_{j \in L} \hat{\Gamma}_j = \biguplus_{j \in L} \biguplus_{i \in n_{\text{pat},j}} \hat{\Gamma}_{i,j}$ by definition and (12)

The conclusions hold as follows:

- 1. $\bigcup_{i \in L} \bigcup_{i \in n_{tv}} (9)_{i,i}$
- 2. $\bigcup_{i \in L} \bigcup_{i \in n_{\text{pat } i}} (10)_{i,j}$
- 3. $\bigcup_{j \in L} \bigcup_{i \in n_{\text{pat},i}} (11)_{i,j}$
- 4. (13)

Case (B.12d).

(1) $\dot{p} = \text{prinjp}[\ell](\dot{p}')$

by assumption

(2) $p = injp[\ell](p')$

by assumption

(3) $\tau = \text{sum}(\{i \hookrightarrow \tau_i\}_{i \in I}; \ell \hookrightarrow \tau')$

by assumption

(4) $\hat{p} \leadsto p : \tau' \dashv^{\mathbb{P}} \hat{\Gamma}$ by assumption

(5) $seg(prinjp[\ell](\hat{p}')) = seg(\hat{p}')$ by definition

(6) $\operatorname{seg}(\hat{p}') = \{\operatorname{splicedt}[m_i'; n_i']\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{ty}}} \cup \{\operatorname{splicedp}[m_i; n_i; \hat{\tau}_i]\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{pat}}}$ by definition

 $(7) \ \{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i'; n_i')) \leadsto \tau_i' \ \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{ty}}} \ \ \mathsf{by} \ \mathsf{IH} \ \mathsf{on} \ (4) \ \mathsf{and} \ (6)$

(8)
$$\{ \emptyset \vdash^{\hat{\Delta}; b} \check{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type} \}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{pat}}}$$
 by IH on (4) and (6)

(9) $\{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \mathsf{parseUPat}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto p_i : \tau_i \dashv \hat{\Gamma}_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{pat}}}$ by IH on (4) and (6)

(10)
$$\hat{\Gamma} = \biguplus_{0 \le i < n_{\text{pat}}} \hat{\Gamma}_i$$
 by IH on (4) and (6)

The conclusions hold as follows:

- 1. (7)
- 2. (8)
- 3. (9)
- 4. (10)

Case (B.12e).

(1) $\dot{p} = \text{splicedp}[m; n; \dot{\tau}]$ by assumption

(2) $\emptyset \vdash^{\hat{\Delta};b} \hat{\tau} \leadsto \tau$ type by assumption

(3) $parseUPat(subseq(b; m; n)) = \hat{p}$ by assumption

(4) $\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{p} \rightsquigarrow p : \tau \dashv \hat{\Gamma}$ by assumption

(5) $seg(splicedp[m; n; \dot{\tau}]) = seg(\dot{\tau}) \cup \{splicedp[m; n; \dot{\tau}]\}$

by definition

(6) $seg(\grave{\tau}) = \{splicedt[m'_i; n'_i]\}_{0 \le i \le n_{tv}}$ by definition

(7) $\{\langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta_{\operatorname{app}} \rangle \vdash \operatorname{parseUTyp}(\operatorname{subseq}(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto \tau_i \operatorname{type}\}_{0 \leq i < n}$ by Lemma B.5.6 on (2) and (6)

The conclusions hold as follows:

- 1. (7)
- 2. (2)
- 3. (3) and (4)
- 4. This conclusion holds by (4) because $n_{pat} = 1$.

Theorem B.5.10 (spTLM Abstract Reasoning Principles). If $\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{a}$ '(b) ' $\leadsto p : \tau \dashv \hat{\Gamma}$ where $\hat{\Delta} = \langle \mathcal{D}; \Delta \rangle$ and $\hat{\Gamma} = \langle \mathcal{G}; \Gamma \rangle$ then all of the following hold:

- 1. (Expansion Typing) $\hat{\Phi} = \hat{\Phi}'$, $\hat{a} \leadsto x \hookrightarrow \text{sptlm}(\tau; e_{parse})$ and $p : \tau \dashv \Gamma$
- 2. (Responsibility) $b \downarrow_{\mathsf{Body}} e_{body}$ and $e_{parse}(e_{body}) \Downarrow inj[\mathtt{SuccessP}](e_{proto})$ and $e_{proto} \uparrow_{\mathsf{PrPat}} \hat{p}$
- 3. (Segmentation) $seg(\hat{p})$ segments b
- 4. (Segment Typing) $seg(\hat{p}) = \{splicedt[n_i'; m_i']\}_{0 \leq i < n_{ty}} \cup \{splicedp[m_i; n_i; \hat{\tau}_i]\}_{0 \leq i < n_{pat}}$ and
 - $\textit{(a)} \ \ \{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i'; n_i')) \leadsto \tau_i' \ \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{ty}}} \ \textit{and} \ \{\Delta \vdash \tau_i' \ \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{ty}}}$
 - (b) $\{\emptyset \vdash^{\hat{\Delta};b} \dot{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{0 \le i < n_{pat}} \text{ and } \{\Delta \vdash \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{0 \le i < n_{pat}}$
 - (c) $\{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \mathsf{parseUPat}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i; n_i)) \rightsquigarrow p_i : \tau_i \dashv \langle \mathcal{G}_i; \Gamma_i \rangle\}_{0 \leq i < n_{pat}} \ and \ \{p_i : \tau_i \dashv \mid \Gamma_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{pat}}$
- 5. (Visibility) $\mathcal{G} = \biguplus_{0 \leq i < n_{pat}} \mathcal{G}_i$ and $\Gamma = \bigcup_{0 \leq i < n_{pat}} \Gamma_i$

Proof. By rule induction over Rules (B.8). There is only one rule that applies.

Case (**B.8f**).

(1)
$$\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \hat{a}$$
 '(*b*)' $\leadsto p : \tau \dashv |\hat{\Gamma}|$ by assumption

(2)
$$\hat{\Phi} = \hat{\Phi}', \hat{a} \rightsquigarrow x \hookrightarrow \operatorname{sptlm}(\tau; e_{\operatorname{parse}})$$
 by assumption

(3)
$$p: \tau \dashv \Gamma$$
 by Theorem B.5.3 on (1)

(4)
$$b \downarrow_{\mathsf{Body}} e_{\mathsf{body}}$$
 by assumption

(5)
$$e_{\text{parse}}(e_{\text{body}}) \downarrow \text{inj}[SuccessP](e_{\text{proto}})$$
 by assumption

(6)
$$e_{\text{proto}} \uparrow_{\text{PrPat}} \dot{p}$$
 by assumption

(7)
$$seg(\hat{p})$$
 segments b by assumption

(8)
$$p \rightsquigarrow p : \tau \dashv^{\hat{\Delta}; \hat{\Phi}; b} \hat{\Gamma}$$
 by assumption

(9)
$$\operatorname{seg}(\grave{p}) = \{\operatorname{splicedt}[m_i';n_i']\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{ty}}} \cup \{\operatorname{splicedp}[m_i;n_i;\}]_{0 \leq i < n_{\operatorname{pat}}}$$
 by definition

(10)
$$\{\hat{\Delta} \vdash \mathsf{parseUTyp}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i'; n_i')) \leadsto \tau_i' \; \mathsf{type}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\mathsf{ty}}} \; \; \mathsf{by \; Lemma \; B.5.9 \; on \; (8)}$$
 and (9)

(11)
$$\{\Delta \vdash \tau'_i \text{ type}\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{ty}}}$$
 by Lemma B.5.1, part 1 over (10)

(12)
$$\{\emptyset \vdash^{\hat{\Delta};b} \dot{\tau}_i \leadsto \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\text{pat}}}$$

by Lemma **B.5.9** on (8) and (9)

(13)
$$\{\Delta \vdash \tau_i \text{ type}\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\text{pat}}}$$

by Lemma B.5.1, part 2 over (12)

2 over (12)
$$(14) \ \{\hat{\Delta} \vdash_{\hat{\Phi}} \mathsf{parseUPat}(\mathsf{subseq}(b; m_i; n_i)) \leadsto p_i : \tau_i \dashv \hat{\Gamma}_i\}_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathsf{pat}}}$$
by Lemma B.5.9 on (8)

and (9)

(15)
$$\{p_i : \tau_i \dashv | \Gamma_i\}_{0 \le i < n_{\text{pat}}}$$

by Theorem B.5.3 over **(14)**

(16)
$$\mathcal{G} = \biguplus_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathrm{pat}}} \mathcal{G}_i$$
 and $\Gamma = \bigcup_{0 \leq i < n_{\mathrm{pat}}} \Gamma_i$

by Lemma **B.5.9** on (8) and (9)

The conclusions hold as follows:

- 1. (2) and (3)
- 2. (4) and (5) and (6)
- 3. (7)
- 4. (9) and
 - (a) (10) and (11)
 - (b) (12) and (13)
 - (c) (14) and (15)
- 5. (16)

Bibliography

[1] Robert Harper. *Practical Foundations for Programming Languages*. Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition, 2016. URL https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~rwh/plbook/2nded.pdf. B.1