The Art, Science, and Engineering of Fuzzing: A Survey

Valentin J.M. Manès, HyungSeok Han, Choongwoo Han, Sang Kil Cha, Manuel Egele, Edward J. Schwartz, and Maverick Woo

Fuzzing: Hack, Art, and Science*

Patrice Godefroid

Qiang Liu









Vibrant Fuzzing Community



Vibrant Fuzzing Community

- Over a thousand public repos related to fuzzing on GitHub
- 112 fuzzers (big-4 security cons and three SE cons, from 08 to 19)
- 78 fuzzing related papers (from 20 to 21)



Vibrant Fuzzing Community

- Over a thousand public repos related to fuzzing on GitHub
- 112 fuzzers (big-4 security cons and three SE cons, from 08 to 19)
- 78 fuzzing related papers (from 20 to 21)

However



Vibrant Fuzzing Community

- Over a thousand public repos related to fuzzing on GitHub
- 112 fuzzers (big-4 security cons and three SE cons, from 08 to 19)
- 78 fuzzing related papers (from 20 to 21)

However

- Less description than source code and manual page -> Lose tracks
- Terminology fragmentation -> Hinder knowledge progress
 - O AFL: test case minimization, funfuzz: test case reduction



Vibrant Fuzzing Community

- Over a thousand public repos related to fuzzing on GitHub
- 112 fuzzers (big-4 security cons and three SE cons, from 08 to 19)
- 78 fuzzing related papers (from 20 to 21)

However

- Less description than source code and manual page -> Lose tracks
- Terminology fragmentation -> Hinder knowledge progress
 - AFL: test case minimization, funfuzz: test case reduction

We need to consolidate and distill the large amount of progress in fuzzing!

Outline



Three Parts

- Fuzzing Terminology
- A unified Fuzzing Model
- Stages in Fuzz Testing
 - O Design Choices
 - O Trade-offs





PUT: Program Under Test



PUT: Program Under Test



PUT: Program Under Test

Definitions

 Fuzzing: Fuzzing is the execution of the PUT using input(s) sampled from an input space that **protrudes** the expected input space of the PUT.



PUT: Program Under Test

- Fuzzing: Fuzzing is the execution of the PUT using input(s) sampled from an input space that **protrudes** the expected input space of the PUT.
- Fuzz Testing: The use of fuzzing to test if a PUT violates a correctness policy.



PUT: Program Under Test

- Fuzzing: Fuzzing is the execution of the PUT using input(s) sampled from an input space that **protrudes** the expected input space of the PUT.
- Fuzz Testing: The use of fuzzing to test if a PUT violates a correctness policy.
- Fuzzer: A program that performs fuzz testing on a PUT.



PUT: Program Under Test

- Fuzzing: Fuzzing is the execution of the PUT using input(s) sampled from an input space that **protrudes** the expected input space of the PUT.
- Fuzz Testing: The use of fuzzing to test if a PUT violates a correctness policy.
- Fuzzer: A program that performs fuzz testing on a PUT.
- Fuzz Campaign: A specific execution of a fuzzer with a specific correctness policy.



PUT: Program Under Test

- Fuzzing: Fuzzing is the execution of the PUT using input(s) sampled from an input space that protrudes the expected input space of the PUT.
- Fuzz Testing: The use of fuzzing to test if a PUT violates a correctness policy.
- Fuzzer: A program that performs fuzz testing on a PUT.
- Fuzz Campaign: A specific execution of a fuzzer with a specific correctness policy.
- Bug Oracle: A bug oracle is a program, perhaps as part of a fuzzer, that determines whether a given execution of the PUT violates a specific correctness policy.



PUT: Program Under Test

- Fuzzing: Fuzzing is the execution of the PUT using input(s) sampled from an input space that protrudes the expected input space of the PUT.
- Fuzz Testing: The use of fuzzing to test if a PUT violates a correctness policy.
- Fuzzer: A program that performs fuzz testing on a PUT.
- Fuzz Campaign: A specific execution of a fuzzer with a specific correctness policy.
- Bug Oracle: A bug oracle is a program, perhaps as part of a fuzzer, that determines whether a given execution of the PUT violates a specific correctness policy.
- Fuzz Configuration: A fuzz configuration of a fuzz algorithm comprises the parameter value(s) that control(s) the fuzz algorithm.



Two parts

- Preprocess
- Fuzz Loop

Fuzzers

- Black-box Fuzzer (B)
- Grey-box Fuzzer (G)
- White-box Fuzzer (W)



Two parts

- Preprocess
- Fuzz Loop

Fuzzers

- Black-box Fuzzer (B)
- Grey-box Fuzzer (G)
- White-box Fuzzer (W)

ALGORITHM 1: Fuzz Testing



Two parts

- Preprocess
- Fuzz Loop

Fuzzers

- Black-box Fuzzer (B)
- Grey-box Fuzzer (G)
- White-box Fuzzer (W)

ALGORITHM 1: Fuzz Testing



Two parts

- Preprocess
- Fuzz Loop

Fuzzers

- Black-box Fuzzer (B)
- Grey-box Fuzzer (G)
- White-box Fuzzer (W)

ALGORITHM 1: Fuzz Testing



Two parts

- Preprocess
- Fuzz Loop

Fuzzers

- Black-box Fuzzer (B)
- Grey-box Fuzzer (G)
- White-box Fuzzer (W)

${\bf ALGORITHM~1:~Fuzz~Testing}$

```
\begin{array}{c|c} \textbf{3} \ \textbf{While} \ t_{\texttt{elapsed}} < t_{\texttt{limit}} \land \texttt{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) \ \textbf{do} \\ \textbf{4} & \texttt{conf} \leftarrow \texttt{Schedule}(\mathbb{C}, t_{elapsed}, t_{limit}) \\ \textbf{5} & \texttt{tcs} \leftarrow \texttt{InputGen}(\textit{conf}) \\ & // \ O_{\texttt{bug}} \ \text{is embedded in a fuzzer} \\ \textbf{6} & \mathbb{B}', \texttt{execinfos} \leftarrow \texttt{InputEval}(\textit{conf}, \textit{tcs}, O_{\textit{bug}}) \\ \textbf{7} & \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \texttt{ConfUpdate}(\mathbb{C}, \textit{conf}, \textit{execinfos}) \\ \textbf{8} & \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}' \end{array}
```



Two parts

- Preprocess
- Fuzz Loop

Fuzzers

- Black-box Fuzzer (B)
- Grey-box Fuzzer (G)
- White-box Fuzzer (W)

ALGORITHM 1: Fuzz Testing

Input: \mathbb{C} , t_{limit}

Output: \mathbb{B} // a finite set of bugs

 $1 \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \emptyset$

```
2 \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \mathsf{Preprocess}(\mathbb{C})
3 while t_{\mathsf{elapsed}} < t_{\mathsf{limit}} \land \mathsf{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) do
```

4	$conf \leftarrow Schedule(\mathbb{C}, t_{elapsed}, t_{limit})$		
5	$\texttt{tcs} \leftarrow \texttt{InputGen}(\textit{conf})$		
	// O_{bug} is embedded in a fuzzer		

6 \mathbb{B}' , execinfos \leftarrow InputEval(conf, tcs, O_{bug})

7 $\mathbb{C} \leftarrow \mathtt{ConfUpdate}(\mathbb{C}, \mathit{conf}, \mathit{execinfos})$

 $\mathbf{8} \quad | \quad \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}'$



Two parts

- Preprocess
- Fuzz Loop

Fuzzers

- Black-box Fuzzer (B)
- Grey-box Fuzzer (G)
- White-box Fuzzer (W)

ALGORITHM 1: Fuzz Testing

Input: \mathbb{C} , t_{limit}

Output: \mathbb{B} // a finite set of bugs

 $1 \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \emptyset$

```
 \begin{array}{c|c} \textbf{2} & \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \texttt{Preprocess}(\mathbb{C}) \\ \hline \textbf{3} & \textbf{while} \ t_{\texttt{elapsed}} < t_{\texttt{limit}} \land \texttt{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) \ \textbf{do} \\ \textbf{4} & \texttt{conf} \leftarrow \texttt{Schedule}(\mathbb{C}, t_{elapsed}, t_{limit}) \\ \hline \textbf{5} & \texttt{tcs} \leftarrow \texttt{InputGen}(\textit{conf}) \\ \hline & \textit{//} \ O_{\texttt{bug}} \ \text{is embedded in a fuzzer} \\ \textbf{6} & \mathbb{B}', \texttt{execinfos} \leftarrow \texttt{InputEval}(\textit{conf}, \textit{tcs}, O_{\textit{bug}}) \\ \textbf{7} & \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \texttt{ConfUpdate}(\mathbb{C}, \textit{conf}, \textit{execinfos}) \\ \textbf{8} & \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}' \\ \hline \end{array}
```



Two parts

- Preprocess
- Fuzz Loop

Fuzzers

- Black-box Fuzzer (B)
- Grey-box Fuzzer (G)
- White-box Fuzzer (W)



Two parts

- Preprocess
- Fuzz Loop

Fuzzers

- Black-box Fuzzer (B)
- Grey-box Fuzzer (G)
- White-box Fuzzer (W)

	В	G	W
Input/Output	Y	Y	Υ
Internals	N	Р	Y
Execution Feedback	N	Р	Y

Preprocess

Instrumentation

Seed Selection

Seed Trimming

Driver Application

- Hard to directly fuzz
- Manual and one-time effort
 - FuzzGen (Sec'20)
 - WINNE (NDSS'21)
 - APICraft (Sec'21)
- Diverse in implementation

ALGORITHM 1: Fuzz Testing

```
Input: \mathbb{C}, t_{\mathrm{limit}}
Output: \mathbb{B} // a finite set of bugs

1 \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \emptyset

2 \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \mathsf{Preprocess}(\mathbb{C})

3 while t_{\mathrm{elapsed}} < t_{\mathrm{limit}} \land \mathsf{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) do

4 | \mathsf{conf} \leftarrow \mathsf{Schedule}(\mathbb{C}, t_{elapsed}, t_{limit})

5 | \mathsf{tcs} \leftarrow \mathsf{InputGen}(\mathit{conf})
| // O_{\mathrm{bug}} is embedded in a fuzzer

6 | \mathbb{B}', execinfos \leftarrow \mathsf{InputEval}(\mathit{conf}, \mathit{tcs}, O_{\mathit{bug}})

7 | \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \mathsf{ConfUpdate}(\mathbb{C}, \mathit{conf}, \mathit{execinfos})

8 | \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}'

9 | \mathsf{return} \ \mathbb{B}
```





Instrumentation

Design Choices	Semantics Level	Overhead	Library
Static Instrumentation	Source Code, IR, Binary	Low	Separate
Dynamic Instrumentation	Binary	High	Unified



Instrumentation

Design Choices	Semantics Level	Overhead	Library
Static Instrumentation	Source Code, IR, Binary	Low	Separate
Dynamic Instrumentation	Binary	High	Unified

Usage Scenarios

- Execution Feedback
 - BitMap: Direct -> Colliding
- Thread Scheduling
 - O Romdon Scheduling: Effective



Instrumentation

Design Choices	Semantics Level	Overhead	Library
Static Instrumentation	Source Code, IR, Binary	Low	Separate
Dynamic Instrumentation	Binary	High	Unified

Usage Scenarios

- Execution Feedback
 - BitMap: Direct -> Colliding
- Thread Scheduling
 - Romdon Scheduling: Effective

- In-Memory Fuzzing
 - Snapshots/Fork server



Instrumentation

Design Choices	Semantics Level	Overhead	Library
Static Instrumentation	Source Code, IR, Binary	Low	Separate
Dynamic Instrumentation	Binary	High	Unified

Usage Scenarios

- Execution Feedback
 - BitMap: Direct -> Colliding
- Thread Scheduling
 - O Romdon Scheduling: Effective

- In-Memory Fuzzing
 - Snapshots/Fork server
 - In-Memory API Fuzzing
 - AFL Persistent Mode: Not Reproducible
 - **■** Delta Debugging (ViDeZZo)





Seed Selection

- Minset: find a minimal set of seeds that maximizes a covera metric
- Coverage metric

		Coverage Metric	Preference
a	AFL ge	branch cov with logarithmic counter	differ in orders of magnitude
	Honggfuzz	# instr, # BB, # branch	longer executions



Seed Selection

- Minset: find a minimal set of seeds that maximizes a coverage metric
- Coverage metric

	Coverage Metric	Preference
AFL	branch cov with logarithmic counter	differ in orders of magnitude
Honggfuzz	# instr, # BB, # branch	longer executions

Seed Trimming

- Smaller seeds: less memory and higher throughput
- Different intuitions

	Intuition
AFL	code coverage
USec'14	smaller size
MoonShine	dependency



Seed Selection

- Minset: find a minimal set of seeds that maximizes a coverage metric
- Coverage metric

	Coverage Metric	Preference
AFL	branch cov with logarithmic counter	differ in orders of magnitude
Honggfuzz	# instr, # BB, # branch	longer executions

Seed Trimming

- Smaller seeds: less memory and higher throughput
- Different intuitions

	Intuition
AFL	code coverage
USec'14	smaller size
MoonShine	dependency

Both of them can be in ConfUpdate





Fuzz Configuration Scheduling (FCS) Problem

```
ALGORITHM 1: Fuzz Testing

Input: \mathbb{C}, t_{\text{limit}}
Output: \mathbb{B} // a finite set of bugs

1 \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \emptyset
2 \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \text{Preprocess}(\mathbb{C})
3 while t_{\text{elapsed}} < t_{\text{limit}} \land \text{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) do

4 | conf \leftarrow \text{Schedule}(\mathbb{C}, t_{elapsed}, t_{limit})

5 | tcs \leftarrow \text{InputGen}(conf)
| // O_{\text{bug}} is embedded in a fuzzer

6 | \mathbb{B}', execinfos \leftarrow \text{InputEval}(conf, tcs, O_{bug})

7 | \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \text{ConfUpdate}(\mathbb{C}, conf, execinfos)

8 | \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}'

9 | return \mathbb{B}
```



Fuzz Configuration Scheduling (FCS) Problem

Optimization: # bugs, coverage



Fuzz Configuration Scheduling (FCS) Problem

- Optimization: # bugs, coverage
- Confliction: exploration v.s. exploitation

```
Input: \mathbb{C}, t_{\mathrm{limit}}
Output: \mathbb{B} // a finite set of bugs

1 \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \varnothing
2 \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{Preprocess}(\mathbb{C})
3 while t_{\mathrm{elapsed}} < t_{\mathrm{limit}} \wedge \mathrm{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) do

4 | \mathrm{conf} \leftarrow \mathrm{Schedule}(\mathbb{C}, t_{elapsed}, t_{limit})

5 | \mathrm{tcs} \leftarrow \mathrm{InputGen}(\mathit{conf})
| // O_{\mathrm{bug}} is embedded in a fuzzer
```

 \mathbb{B}' , execinfos \leftarrow InputEval(conf, tcs, O_{bug})

 $\mathbb{C} \leftarrow \texttt{ConfUpdate}(\mathbb{C}, conf, execinfos)$

ALGORITHM 1: Fuzz Testing

 $\mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}'$



Fuzz Configuration Scheduling (FCS) Problem

- Optimization: # bugs, coverage
- Confliction: exploration v.s. exploitation

Line 4: C with new information



Fuzz Configuration Scheduling (FCS) Problem

- Optimization: # bugs, coverage
- Confliction: exploration v.s. exploitation

Line 4: C with new information

Black-box FCS Algorithm

```
Input: \mathbb{C}, t_{\mathrm{limit}}
Output: \mathbb{B} // a finite set of bugs

1 \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \varnothing
2 \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{Preprocess}(\mathbb{C})
3 while t_{\mathrm{elapsed}} < t_{\mathrm{limit}} \wedge \mathrm{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) do

4 | \mathrm{conf} \leftarrow \mathrm{Schedule}(\mathbb{C}, t_{elapsed}, t_{limit})

5 | \mathrm{tcs} \leftarrow \mathrm{InputGen}(\mathit{conf})
| // O_{\mathrm{bug}} is embedded in a fuzzer

6 | \mathbb{B}', execinfos \leftarrow \mathrm{InputEval}(\mathit{conf}, \mathit{tcs}, O_{\mathit{bug}})

7 | \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{ConfUpdate}(\mathbb{C}, \mathit{conf}, \mathit{execinfos})

8 | \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}'
```



Fuzz Configuration Scheduling (FCS) Problem

- Optimization: # bugs, coverage
- Confliction: exploration v.s. exploitation

Line 4: C with new information

Black-box FCS Algorithm

of crashes and # of runs

```
Input: \mathbb{C}, t_{\mathrm{limit}}
Output: \mathbb{B} // a finite set of bugs

1 \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \varnothing
2 \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \mathsf{Preprocess}(\mathbb{C})
3 while t_{\mathrm{elapsed}} < t_{\mathrm{limit}} \land \mathsf{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) do

4 | \mathsf{conf} \leftarrow \mathsf{Schedule}(\mathbb{C}, t_{elapsed}, t_{limit})

5 | \mathsf{tcs} \leftarrow \mathsf{InputGen}(\mathit{conf})
| // O_{\mathrm{bug}} is embedded in a fuzzer

6 | \mathbb{B}', execinfos \leftarrow \mathsf{InputEval}(\mathit{conf}, \mathit{tcs}, O_{\mathit{bug}})

7 | \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \mathsf{ConfUpdate}(\mathbb{C}, \mathit{conf}, \mathit{execinfos})

8 | \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}'
```



Fuzz Configuration Scheduling (FCS) Problem

- Optimization: # bugs, coverage
- Confliction: exploration v.s. exploitation

Line 4: C with new information

Black-box FCS Algorithm

- # of crashes and # of runs
- Probability Theory

```
Input: \mathbb{C}, t_{\mathrm{limit}}
Output: \mathbb{B} // a finite set of bugs

1 \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \emptyset
2 \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{Preprocess}(\mathbb{C})
3 while t_{\mathrm{elapsed}} < t_{\mathrm{limit}} \land \mathrm{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) do

4 | \mathrm{conf} \leftarrow \mathrm{Schedule}(\mathbb{C}, t_{elapsed}, t_{limit})

5 | \mathrm{tcs} \leftarrow \mathrm{InputGen}(conf)
| // O_{\mathrm{bug}} is embedded in a fuzzer

6 | \mathbb{B}', execinfos \leftarrow \mathrm{InputEval}(conf, tcs, O_{bug})

7 | \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \mathrm{ConfUpdate}(\mathbb{C}, conf, execinfos)

8 | \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}'
```



Fuzz Configuration Scheduling (FCS) Problem

- Optimization: # bugs, coverage
- Confliction: exploration v.s. exploitation

Line 4: C with new information

Black-box FCS Algorithm

- # of crashes and # of runs
- Probability Theory

Grey-box FCS Algorithm

```
ALGORITHM 1: Fuzz Testing

Input: \mathbb{C}, t_{\text{limit}}
Output: \mathbb{B} // a finite set of bugs

1 \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \emptyset
2 \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \text{Preprocess}(\mathbb{C})
3 while t_{\text{elapsed}} < t_{\text{limit}} \land \text{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) do

4 | conf \leftarrow Schedule(\mathbb{C}, t_{\text{elapsed}}, t_{\text{limit}})

5 | tcs \leftarrow InputGen(conf)
| // O_{\text{bug}} is embedded in a fuzzer

6 | \mathbb{B}', execinfos \leftarrow InputEval(conf, tcs, O_{bug})

7 | \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \text{ConfUpdate}(\mathbb{C}, conf, execinfos)

8 | \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}'
```



Fuzz Configuration Scheduling (FCS) Problem

- Optimization: # bugs, coverage
- Confliction: exploration v.s. exploitation

Line 4: C with new information

Black-box FCS Algorithm

- # of crashes and # of runs
- Probability Theory

Grey-box FCS Algorithm

Richer coverage



Fuzz Configuration Scheduling (FCS) Problem

- Optimization: # bugs, coverage
- Confliction: exploration v.s. exploitation

Line 4: C with new information

Black-box FCS Algorithm

- # of crashes and # of runs
- Probability Theory

Grey-box FCS Algorithm

- Richer coverage
- Evolutionary Algorithm: Fitness

AFL

Fastest and Smallest

AFL

Fastest and Smallest

AFLFast: FAST power schedule

- Start with a small "energy" value to ensure initial exploration among configurations and increase exponentially up to a limit to quickly ensure sufficient exploitation
- Normalize the energy by the number of generated inputs that exercise the same path, thus promoting explorations of less-frequently fuzzed configurations

AFL

Fastest and Smallest

AFLFast: FAST power schedule

- Start with a small "energy" value to ensure initial exploration among configurations and increase exponentially up to a limit to quickly ensure sufficient exploitation
- Normalize the energy by the number of generated inputs that exercise the same path, thus promoting explorations of less-frequently fuzzed configurations

AFLGo

Target specific program locations

Input Generation



Model-based (Generation)	Predefined Model	specs: tool-specific, grammar, protocol, syscall, file format	
	Inferred Model*	preprocessing	binary, seeds, API logs,
		configuration updating	kinds of dynamic behaviors
	Encoder Model	MutaGen: Mutate the encoder program.	
Model-less (Mutation)	Bit-Flipping	# bits to flip; each PUT has a specific mutation ratio.	
	Arithmetic Mutation	integer i: i +/- r	
	Block-based Mutation	block: add, append, delete, replace, shuffle, crossover	
	Dictionary-based Mutation	"ELF\x00"	

^{*}How to automate the generation of input grammars for complex formats, perhaps using machine learning, is another challenge.

Input Generation Cont'd

White-box Fuzzers

	n Expensive*	specify uninterested parts of a PUT	
Dynamic Symbolic Execution		alternate between concolic testing and grey-box fuzzing	
Guided Fuzzing: costly program analysis + test case generation		hot bytes, control/data flow features	
PUT Mutation: change PUT and recover		checksum, branches	

^{*} How to engineer exhaustive symbolic testing (that is, a form of verification) in a costeffective manner is still an open problem for large applications.

Input Evaluation



Input Evaluation



Bug Oracles

- Fatal Signals -> Sanitizers
- Memory and Type Safety (ASAN)
 - spatial and temporal memory safety, control flow integrity
- Undefined Behaviors (MSAN, UBSAN, TSAN)
 - uninitialized memory, misaligned pointers, division by zero, dereferencing null pointers, and integer overflow, data races
- Others
 - Input Validation: manually specific patterns: XSS, SQL injection
 - Semantic Difference: differential testing: semantic bugs

Input Evaluation



Bug Oracles

- Fatal Signals -> Sanitizers
- Memory and Type Safety (ASAN)
 - spatial and temporal memory safety, control flow integrity
- Undefined Behaviors (MSAN, UBSAN, TSAN)
 - uninitialized memory, misaligned pointers, division by zero, dereferencing null pointers, and integer overflow, data races
- Others
 - Input Validation: manually specific patterns: XSS, SQL injection
 - O Semantic Difference: differential testing: semantic bugs

Execution Optimization

Fork-server, In-memory Fuzzing, In-memory API Fuzzing

- Deduplication
 - Stack Backtrace Hashing
 - widely used
 - but "some crashes do not occur near the code that caused the crash"
 - Coverage-based Deduplication
 - "the crash covered a previously unseen edge"
 - Semantic-aware Deduplication
 - "root cause analysis"

- Deduplication
 - Stack Backtrace Hashing
 - widely used
 - but "some crashes do not occur near the code that caused the crash"
 - Coverage-based Deduplication
 - "the crash covered a previously unseen edge"
 - Semantic-aware Deduplication
 - "root cause analysis"
- Prioritization
 - Exploitability

- Deduplication
 - Stack Backtrace Hashing
 - widely used
 - but "some crashes do not occur near the code that caused the crash"
 - Coverage-based Deduplication
 - "the crash covered a previously unseen edge"
 - Semantic-aware Deduplication
 - "root cause analysis"
- Prioritization
 - Exploitability
- Minimization
 - Delta-debugging, C-Reduced





Most black-box fuzzers don't update configurations

```
      ALGORITHM 1: Fuzz Testing

      Input: \mathbb{C}, t_{\text{limit}}

      Output: \mathbb{B} // a finite set of bugs

      1 \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \emptyset

      2 \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \text{Preprocess}(\mathbb{C})

      3 while t_{\text{elapsed}} < t_{\text{limit}} \land \text{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) do

      4 | conf \leftarrow \text{Schedule}(\mathbb{C}, t_{elapsed}, t_{limit})

      5 | tcs \leftarrow \text{InputGen}(conf)

      // O_{\text{bug}} is embedded in a fuzzer

      6 | \mathbb{B}', execinfos \leftarrow \text{InputEval}(conf, tcs, O_{bug})

      7 | \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \text{ConfUpdate}(\mathbb{C}, conf, execinfos)

      8 | \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}'

      9 return \mathbb{B}
```



Most black-box fuzzers don't update configurations

White-box fuzzers generate conf for each test case.

```
ALGORITHM 1: Fuzz Testing

Input: \mathbb{C}, t_{\text{limit}}
Output: \mathbb{B} // a finite set of bugs

1 \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \emptyset
2 \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \text{Preprocess}(\mathbb{C})
3 while t_{\text{elapsed}} < t_{\text{limit}} \land \text{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) do

4 | conf \leftarrow \text{Schedule}(\mathbb{C}, t_{elapsed}, t_{limit})
5 | tcs \leftarrow \text{InputGen}(conf)
| // O_{\text{bug}} is embedded in a fuzzer

6 | \mathbb{B}', execinfos \leftarrow \text{InputEval}(conf, tcs, O_{bug})

7 | \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \text{ConfUpdate}(\mathbb{C}, conf, execinfos)

8 | \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}'

9 | return \mathbb{B}
```



Most black-box fuzzers don't update configurations

White-box fuzzers generate conf for each test case.

Evolutionary Seed Pool Update

- Fitness function: node or branch CO₂VC ← Preprocess(C)
- Refined fitness function
 - AFL: # taken branches
 - VUzzer: weights of BB

```
ALGORITHM 1: Fuzz Testing

Input: \mathbb{C}, t_{\text{limit}}
Output: \mathbb{B} // a finite set of bugs

1 \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \varnothing

WC \leftarrow Preprocess(\mathbb{C})

3 while t_{\text{elapsed}} < t_{\text{limit}} \land \text{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) do

4 | conf \leftarrow Schedule(\mathbb{C}, t_{elapsed}, t_{limit})

5 | tcs \leftarrow InputGen(conf)
| // O_{\text{bug}} is embedded in a fuzzer

6 | \mathbb{B}', execinfos \leftarrow InputEval(conf, tcs, O_{bug})

7 | \mathbb{C} \leftarrow ConfUpdate(\mathbb{C}, conf, execinfos)

8 | \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}'
```



Most black-box fuzzers don't update configurations

White-box fuzzers generate conf for each test case.

Evolutionary Seed Pool Update

- Fitness function: node or branch cov
- Refined fitness function
 - AFL: # taken branches
 - VUzzer: weights of BB

Maintaining a Minset

- Avoid creating too many confs
- Variants:
 - Completely remove useless configurations
 - A culling procedure to mark minset configurations as being favorable

```
ALGORITHM 1: Fuzz Testing

Input: \mathbb{C}, t_{\text{limit}}
Output: \mathbb{B} // a finite set of bugs

1 \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \emptyset
2 \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \text{Preprocess}(\mathbb{C})
3 while t_{\text{elapsed}} < t_{\text{limit}} \land \text{Continue}(\mathbb{C}) do

4 | conf \leftarrow \text{Schedule}(\mathbb{C}, t_{elapsed}, t_{limit})
5 | tcs \leftarrow \text{InputGen}(conf)
| // O_{\text{bug}} is embedded in a fuzzer

6 | \mathbb{B}', execinfos \leftarrow \text{InputEval}(conf, tcs, O_{bug})

7 | \mathbb{C} \leftarrow \text{ConfUpdate}(\mathbb{C}, conf, execinfos)

8 | \mathbb{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{B} \cup \mathbb{B}'

9 | return \mathbb{B}
```

Conclusion



Summary

- Rich taxonomy
- A general purpose model fuzzer
- Design decisions in each stage

Hopefully help bring some more uniformity to future works, particularly in the terminology and in the presentation of fuzzing algorithms

Questions in presentation?

Questions in presentation?

When can/should we do a survey paper?

What are the generic steps to do a survey paper?

Questions in presentation?

When can/should we do a survey paper?

What are the generic steps to do a survey paper?

Some new directions?



New papers since 2020: https://wcventure.github.io/FuzzingPaper/

- Speed
 - O Towards Systematic and Dynamic Task Allocation for Collaborative Parallel Fuzzing (ASE'21)
 - O Hardware Support to Improve Fuzzing Performance and Precision (CCS'21)
- Benchmark
 - O FuzzBench: An Open Fuzzer Benchmarking Platform and Service (FSE'21)
- New feedback
 - The Use of Likely Invariants as Feedback for Fuzzers (USec'21)
 - IJON: Exploring Deep State Spaces via Fuzzing (SP'20)
 - SAVIOR: Towards Bug-Driven Hybrid Testing (SP'20)
- Emerging PUTs
 - O compiler, interpreter, database, library, kernel, Hypervisor, firmware, RTL design
 - distributed systems
 - commits