Effective React Testing

React Testing Library version

By: David Sánchez - @d4vsanchez

How can we increase our confidence in the tests?

Enzyme encourages you to test implementation details

Testing implementation details IS WRONG

Testing implementation details is wrong

Can break when you refactor your application code. (False negatives)

Testing implementation details is wrong

May not break when you break application code. (False positives)

Demo time:

https://github.com/d4vsanchez/effectivetesting

Move to the *enzyme* tag: git checkout enzyme

Who are the users of my application and how will they interact with my components?

- Uses a browser
- Interacts with my components using a mouse, a keyboard, etc.
- See the information on the screen after it has been processed.



Create the tests as if you were that user.

Do not create a new type of user just to do tests.

Let's do implementation detail free testing

Demo time:

https://github.com/d4vsanchez/effectivetesting

Move to the *test-renderer* tag: git checkout test-renderer

React Testing Library save us time by abstracting the boilerplate code

Demo time:

https://github.com/d4vsanchez/effectivetesting

Move to the rt/ tag: git checkout rtl

Testing Checklist

- 1. What part of the codebase would be really bad if it broke?
- 2. Narrow the test cases to a unit or a few units of code.
- 3. Look at the code and consider who the "users" are.
- 4. Write down a list for that user to manually test that code to make sure it's not broken. And then turn it into automated tests.

Good tests increases confidence level.

References

- Testing Implementation Details Kent C. Dodds https://kentcdodds.com/blog/testing-implementation-details/
- Avoid the test user Kent C. Dodds https://kentcdodds.com/blog/avoid-the-test-user
- React Test Renderer React.js https://reactjs.org/docs/test-renderer.html
- Guiding Principles React Testing Library https://testing-library.com/docs/guiding-principles

David Sánchez

Twitter/GitHub: @d4vsanchez