

**GRADES** 



## Final Project Write-up Rubrick

Grading criteria

## Score to grade mapping rules

The minimum possible score for this rubric is **0 points** and it will be converted to the minimum grade available in this module (which is zero unless the scale is used). The maximum score **42 points** will be converted to the maximum gra Intermediate scores will be converted respectively and rounded to the nearest available grade.

If a scale is used instead of a grade, the score will be converted to the scale elements as if they were consecutive integers.

Understanding	Author does not show a grasp of information; cannot answer questions about subject. 0 points	Author is uncomfortable with subject, but is able to demonstrate basic concepts.	Author is uncomfortable with subject, but is able to demonstrate basic concepts.	Author is at ease with content, but fails to elaborate.	Author is at ease with content, but fails to elaborate.  4 points	Author demonstrates full knowledge (more than required).	Ander fur kr (nre
Background Coverage	Coverage is minimal OR there are several factual errors.  0 points	Includes some information about the topic.	Includes some information about the topic.	Includes essential knowledge about the topic. 3 points	Includes essential knowledge about the topic. 4 points	Covers topic in-depth with details and examples.  5 points	in- de ex
Description of project	There is little to no description.  0 points	Project is insufficently described.  1 points	Project is minimally described so that the reader can understand.	Project is adequately described but could use more supporting material (diagrams, etc.) 3 points	Project is well described and supported with supporting material but could be improved.	Project is very well described and has ample supporting material.	Pr pe de ha su m
Novelty	Uses other people's ideas, and does not give them credit.	Uses other people's ideas (giving them credit), but there is little evidence of original thinking.  1 points	Uses other people's ideas (giving them credit), but there is little evidence of original thinking.  2 points	Product shows some original thought. Work shows new ideas and insights.  3 points	Product shows some original thought. Work shows new ideas and insights.	Product shows a large amount of original thought. Ideas are creative and inventive.  5 points	Pr sh ar or th Id cr in 6
Organization	Information is not in any recognizable sequence.	Sequence of information is difficult to follow.	Sequence of information is difficult to follow.	Information in logical sequence, which reader can follow.	Information in logical sequence, which reader can follow.	Information in logical, interesting sequence which reader	In log in se wl

	0 points	1 points	2 points	Headings are used 3 points	Headings are used  4 points	can follow. Headings and subheadings are used. 5 points	ca Ho su ar
Technical: Grammar, Spelling, punctuation	Work has six or more spelling, punctuation, or grammatical errors per page.	Work has three to five spelling, punctuation, or grammatical errors per page.  1 points	Work has three to five spelling, punctuation, or grammatical errors per page.  2 points	Work has one or two spelling, punctuation, or grammatical errors per page.	Work has one or two spelling, punctuation, or grammatical errors per page.	Work has no spelling, punctuation, or grammatical errors.	W sp pr or gr er
References	Work displays no references. 0 points	Work does not have sufficient references. 1 points	Work does not have sufficient references. 2 points	References are sufficient but incorrectly formatted or from inappropriate sources. 3 points	References are sufficient but incorrectly formatted or from inappropriate sources. 4 points	Work displays a sufficient number of references, written correctly.	W a nt re wi cc 6

4

**←**