

**Dawid Wozniak:**

OK, so let's start the transcription. So far, I have showed the product, so it hasn't changed that much since the previous iteration. So, one change is that now you can also search in the commit message description. So, let's say that here I search for some „fix”. I'm not satisfied with these results, so I can also search in the commit description. Then, it supposed to give you more commits, so here we have some title like that. Just „improve some button”, if I click there, in the description, it is like „fixing this is simple” and so on. It's something that you can also use. Another improvement is that now when you click „show edited files”. We also give you the list here. So, when it's finishes, they should appear below this button. So, we, in our repository, have a lot of files and this highlighting might not be visible for all these files. So, you can just look at the list. So, let's wait until it finishes so you can see that works here. Let's give it a minute. Yeah, so here it seems like it's another issue. I will try to explain that. So here, we just display the files that there are still present in the repository. Just files that were modified with this commit. So, if you change the name, remove it, move it, then we don't show them anymore and we might get this situation that this commit was presented without any files committed there. So hopefully you remember how this product evolves during our four iterations. My first question is, has it improved overall? If you needed to give a grade for this improvement, of what I did here with the commits. It was presented before just here in the general tab and now we have this commit tab. How much has it improved for you from zero that this is actually not improvement you would like to have to ten so fundamental improvement?

**Julia:**

I think it's ten.

**Dawid Wozniak:**

OK. Uh, that's a very high. Great. Thank you for that. During the first iteration, I ask you about the UI, so what do you think about the UI, and it used to be only general tabs and commit history that was slightly different structure there. Now we have this commit tab, and I will ask the same question. So, to what extent on the scale of zero to ten, do you agree with the statement that information about the commit messages is presented in the clear and easy to navigate UI? From zero so it means that it is not usable. You don't like it at all. To ten, that is perfect. Nothing to correct in terms of the UI.

**Julia:**

I think it is eight.

**Dawid Wozniak:**

Okay. So there still might be some improvements. If you would like to say what should be improved or it is matter of taste. It is also some kind of the art. So. if you saym I don't like those colours. It is always debatable.

**Julia:**

I think when you go into a commit details. There was some formatting or like rich text that was not displayed, for example, here.

**Dawid Wozniak:**

Yeah, that's true. We also don't display the images like here or links. It is because of the security issues.

**Julia:**

Like the formatting or like adopting some formatting would be desirable so it would be easier to read. Let's imagine, it was even bigger than this message description, right? I have to scroll to see the

rest a lot. I don't see anything to like collapse it. It's just readability but I think they're all minors, so I gave it eight.

**Dawid Wozniak:**

Now I have the question that is about this whole product. So, during the first iteration I also ask you how beneficial it would be for you to use it. And now when I include those changes, I will ask the same question to see if there is any improvement, it is for the whole tool. So, if you have this tool, how beneficial would it be for you to use this in your work or any side project, university project on the scale from zero so you will never use it outside of this presentation to ten, so when you have access to it, you will use it multiple times a day for all your products?

**Julia:**

I think it would be five.

**Dawid Wozniak:**

Can you give some justification while it's five and not like six and not like four.

**Julia:**

I don't think I would never use it. That's why it's not lower but I also don't think that it's super critical to use it daily, but I would definitely use it from time to time when I do have to dig into a repository or files that I have not worked with before.

**Dawid Wozniak:**

Okay thank you for this comment. That's also a smooth transition to my next question. So, if you think about this product now. You gave it five, so I expect that there is something missing that you would like to have here. So, what is still missing here regarding especially this commit history, something more that we can get out of it or something different.

**Julia:**

I think it has a little to do with this product specifically. It's just as I said that in my style of work, I wouldn't need it that often or it wouldn't assist me that often. I feel like I didn't really have any ideas that come to my mind immediately. Bring commits up, I think the commit history has already been a significant problem. I mean, I don't remember what you created in the beginning, but I think like it would be that new feature that I would be using the product for.

**Dawid Wozniak:**

Thank you for that. It was my last question in this iteration, but now it's time for you. Would like to say something about the product, something that I have not asked about. Any comments that should be included in your transcription of the last meeting, some summary. You don't need to do this. It's time that you might use it or might not. So, do you have anything to say?

**Julia:**

I don't think so.

**Dawid Wozniak:**

OK, that's good. So, thank you one more time for helping me.