

Dawid Wozniak:

OK, so we can start with the meeting. So, since the last version, it hasn't changed that much. So I will just try to show you to changes that I have there. So, you might go there and see those details go to commits. There is the one change that you requested, I think. You can also search in the description. So, if I search for "fix" there are some comments. If I tick this box then we get more commits and here you can see that in the message there is not this word "fix" but it is somewhere there in the description. Yeah, it's difficult to spot but there it is this one "fixed". Then for "show edited files", we have the loading scheme, so we know that something is happening. It's the same when we also load the repository and finally we have also the list of the files. The list is not clickable because then we have some kind of inconsistent state. So, we have this problem if you pick this file, should you still be here in this view? I would like to have some other views or some other information was not served on the list is not clickable, but in such a visualisation you might not support what is actually highlighted and what is not. So, then you can scroll down and see. OK, this commit actually touches the file in the share, web and some tests are updated. So, there are two changes comparing to the previous version that you've seen. My first question it's a general question. That's if you think that this product has improved over the time since the first version. Is there any improvement or you think that it's more or less as it was?

Monica:

It has definitely improved. You did good amount of feedback. You implemented the feedback that we talked about. You actually did what you wanted to do, which is around commits and descriptions around. Yeah. So I stay with that.

Dawid Wozniak:

So, if you needed to give a grade from zero, that actually nothing changed to 10. That's the fundamental change for this tool, what the grade would it be?

Monica:

You know that scale question that they are not the favourite ones because people can see from many perspectives, but it's then easier in terms of improvements it has improved a lot. I'll give it seven.

Dawid Wozniak:

OK, that's very good. Great. Thank you. Now, I will repeat the question from the first iteration. That meant to be repeated here. So, you can see there is some progress or not. So, if you remember during the first iteration, we also have the information about the commit messages. They were here just slightly different UI and now we have this view, and I would like to ask you. To what extent on the scale of zero to ten, you agree with the statement that information about the commit messages is presented in the clear and easy to navigate UI. Zero means that you are seeing that this is not usable to ten that perfect nothing to correct.

Monica:

Six

Dawid Wozniak:

Do you have any ideas for improvements, or it is a general feeling?

Monica:

It is the general feeling, but I also want it to be accessible, which is one of the criteria as I know we talked about before.

Dawid Wozniak:

yeah, that's problematic with that.

Monica:

I understand. But that's pretty much why I'm going with six. But also like for example now you searched for word fix, but then I don't know where? Where was it? So maybe it's relevant. So, if you could, when I go to the description part, if you could highlight where the fixes then I think that could be one improvement for usage and improvement.

Dawid Wozniak:

You mean like the highlighting of the background is yellow or...

Monica:

Exactly when you search something right in in the browser or anywhere then yeah you can do that...

Dawid Wozniak:

Yeah. I get it now, Okay. So, my next question is that if you think about this whole product, how beneficial would be for you to use it for some of your work project or side projects? If you have access to it on the scale from zero to ten. Zero means that you will never use it outside of this presentation to ten that means you will be using it for all your project multiple times a day.

Monica:

Yeah. So, it's very tough question because I don't have the data for myself on how I currently use commit messages, but I would definitely give it a ten if it was integrated with our current develop solution.

Dawid Wozniak:

That would be in the future work, yes.

Monica:

And if it is integrated to already existing the develop solutions then I would give it a try. Having said that I can't really come up with a number from zero to ten to say how often would I use it now, but I can tell you I would use it.

Dawid Wozniak:

Okay that sounds like an interesting answer and now when you think about it, you know, what is implemented, what is not being implemented. So, you might remember some ideas that were rejected or not implemented. Do you think that there is still something missing regarding the commit history? Something you that you would like to have except what you mentioned like integration and some accessibility stuff but more connected with the tab view of commit messages.

Monica:

I would love, of course, when you will integrate with this with VS or whatever, whatever solution that you will develop the solution, then I would love to actually see once I've found the commit to compare what was the changes in there.

Dawid Wozniak:

Compare with the original files?.

Monica:

Yes, and that's because that's what I do normally, right. Yeah. I look for the history and I find the commit and then I want to quickly know what the change did, was and wasn't it relevant?

Dawid Wozniak:

That's very nice suggestion. And it was my last question. But if you would like to say something like some comment or something that you think should be included.

Monica:

I do wanna say that you take feedbacks very positively and I have seen progress from iteration to iteration.

Dawid Wozniak:

Thank you one more time. That's the end of our meeting.