



Debanjan Mahata <dxmahata@ualr.edu>

EB-ASONAM 2013 notification for paper 41

1 message

EB-ASONAM 2013 <ebasonam2013@easychair.org>
To: Debanjan Mahata <dxmahata@ualr.edu>

Sun, Feb 16, 2014 at 4:49 PM

Dear Debanjan

On behalf of the editors and organizers, we are pleased to inform you that your paper entitled: "Identifying Event-Specific Sources from Social Media" has been conditionally accepted for inclusion in the edited book to be published in the LNSN series by Springer.

You will find the reviewer's comments enclosed. Please address all the comments carefully and submit one single PDF file that includes response to reviewers and the revised version. It is required to color all changes in the paper using red color to help in reviewing the revised version faster.

Your revised version is due 7 March 2014. We will send you the submission link in another email.

We look forward to receiving your revised chapter by the mentioned deadline to help in publishing the edited book before ASONAM 2014 which is our target.

Once again we would like to thank you for helping in substituting the missing review reports despite the short notice

best regards
Tansel Ozyer
On behalf of editors and organizers

----- REVIEW 1 -----

PAPER: 41

TITLE: Identifying Event-Specific Sources from Social Media

AUTHORS: Nitin Agarwal and Debanjan Mahata

----- REVIEW -----

This paper proposes a method to identify valuable sources with novel and specific information from social network sites related to an event. The overall problem highlighted here is that these sources get buried in the long tail of the power law distribution of the internet.

The paper has a thorough introduction and explanation of the problem and a clear contribution. Though in the related work section it is sometimes difficult to understand how this paper differs from other work. For example: "Our work is different from all such works, and would help in analysing events from sources and entities, which are highly specific to an event along with the generic ones" does not come with a very clear explanation why it is different.

In my opinion section 4 could be improved quite a bit in order to improve the readability and comprehensibility of the proposed methodology.

For the data collection it seems that only sources from the long tail are used. I understand that in the introduction the reader has to be given some explanation why the valuable sources from the long tail have to be identified, though it surprises me that the data collection does not incorporate sources from the short head. I think this research would benefit from using a dataset which also has the short head information sources and therefore be more like to power-law distribution.

Solely blog posts are used for the data collection, it would be nice to see if this method also works on other social network media such as microposts.

----- REVIEW 2 -----

PAPER: 41

TITLE: Identifying Event-Specific Sources from Social Media

AUTHORS: Nitin Agarwal and Debanjan Mahata

----- REVIEW -----

The paper proposes a new method for ranking social media sources specific to a given event, by using an evolutionary mutual reinforcement model. It also proposes an approach to evaluation of ranking of highly informative sources. The paper is well organized, and contains good discussion of previous work, motivation, and challenges.

There are several typos in the paper, including:

"The work presented in this chapter, finds quality sources related to an event from social media,"

"In this section, we discuss about some of the previous research relevant to our Work"