

Debanjan Mahata <dxmahata@ualr.edu>

revised review for EB-ASONAM 2013 paper 15

1 message

EB-ASONAM 2013 <ebasonam2013@easychair.org> To: Debanjan Mahata <dxmahata@ualr.edu></dxmahata@ualr.edu></ebasonam2013@easychair.org>	Sun, Feb 9, 2014 at 11:55 PM
OVERALL EVALUATION: 2 (accept) REVIEWER'S CONFIDENCE: 4 (high) Readability of the manuscript: 4 (good) Organization of the manuscript: 4 (good) Contribution: 3 (fair) completeness: 4 (good) suitability of title, abstract, and conclusions: 4 (good) Completeness of references: 2 (poor)	
Summary of the paper: The paper conducts an extensive statistical summary by analyzing the affiliation networks in two of the popular Open Source Software development community well paper presents interesting results related to the associations between developers and also be the open source projects. The analysis demonstrates evident influence of the social factors of productivity. The authors also state as a conclusion that their work could pave the way for rewebsites like Github, and they would like to take it as one of their future goals.	bsites GitHub and Ohloh. The petween the developers and on the developer's overall
Comments: 1. Please reframe the sentence [12] argues the importance of studying OSS (it is advised reference citation)	not to start a sentence with a
2. Please break the 4th paragraph of the paper into two for better readability.	
3. Figure 1 could be used for explaining the terms "Contributions" and "Contributors". In this the readability, but would also help to clear any confusion in the minds of the readers.	way it will not only increase
4. Please reframe the sentence "Since the social network of contributors in OSS community further, conduct"	is based on teams then we,
5. The sequence of the figures 6, 7 and 8 is not maintained. It certainly confuses the reader.	
6. Please try to include more references in Related Work in the future work, as there are very in the internet, which has been missed out.	y interesting works available
7. Overall its a good exploratory paper. Although the research methodology might not be any exploratory nature of the paper and the interesting findings certainly proves to be good contr OSS platforms and community structures. The paper is certainly suitable for a social network ASONAM.	ibutions for understanding
8. Formatting of the article is not according to EB-ASONAM13 guidelines.	
CONFIDENTIAL REMARKS FOR THE PROGRAM COMMITTEE (missing)	