## stallwarn.txt

Using RCU's CPU Stall Detector

The CONFIG\_RCU\_CPU\_STALL\_DETECTOR kernel config parameter enables RCU's CPU stall detector, which detects conditions that unduly delay RCU grace periods. The stall detector's idea of what constitutes "unduly delayed" is controlled by a set of C preprocessor macros:

RCU\_SECONDS\_TILL\_STALL\_CHECK

This macro defines the period of time that RCU will wait from the beginning of a grace period until it issues an RCU CPU stall warning. This time period is normally ten seconds.

RCU\_SECONDS\_TILL\_STALL\_RECHECK

This macro defines the period of time that RCU will wait after issuing a stall warning until it issues another stall warning for the same stall. This time period is normally set to thirty seconds.

RCU\_STALL\_RAT\_DELAY

The CPU stall detector tries to make the offending CPU print its own warnings, as this often gives better-quality stack traces. However, if the offending CPU does not detect its own stall in the number of jiffies specified by RCU\_STALL\_RAT\_DELAY, then some other CPU will complain. This delay is normally set to two jiffies.

When a CPU detects that it is stalling, it will print a message similar to the following:

INFO: rcu sched state detected stall on CPU 5 (t=2500 jiffies)

This message indicates that CPU 5 detected that it was causing a stall, and that the stall was affecting RCU-sched. This message will normally be followed by a stack dump of the offending CPU. On TREE\_RCU kernel builds, RCU and RCU-sched are implemented by the same underlying mechanism, while on TREE\_PREEMPT\_RCU kernel builds, RCU is instead implemented by rcu\_preempt\_state.

On the other hand, if the offending CPU fails to print out a stall-warning message quickly enough, some other CPU will print a message similar to the following:

INFO: rcu\_bh\_state detected stalls on CPUs/tasks: { 3 5 } (detected by 2, 2502 iiffies)

This message indicates that CPU 2 detected that CPUs 3 and 5 were both causing stalls, and that the stall was affecting RCU-bh. This message will normally be followed by stack dumps for each CPU. Please note that TREE\_PREEMPT\_RCU builds can be stalled by tasks as well as by CPUs, and that the tasks will be indicated by PID, for example, "P3421". It is even possible for a rcu\_preempt\_state stall to be caused by both CPUs -and- tasks, in which case the offending CPUs and tasks will all be called out in the list.

## stallwarn.txt

Finally, if the grace period ends just as the stall warning starts printing, there will be a spurious stall-warning message:

INFO: rcu\_bh\_state detected stalls on CPUs/tasks: { } (detected by 4, 2502 jiffies)

This is rare, but does happen from time to time in real life.

So your kernel printed an RCU CPU stall warning. The next question is "What caused it?" The following problems can result in RCU CPU stall warnings:

- o A CPU looping in an RCU read-side critical section.
- o A CPU looping with interrupts disabled. This condition can result in RCU-sched and RCU-bh stalls.
- o A CPU looping with preemption disabled. This condition can result in RCU-sched stalls and, if ksoftirqd is in use, RCU-bh stalls.
- o A CPU looping with bottom halves disabled. This condition can result in RCU-sched and RCU-bh stalls.
- o For !CONFIG\_PREEMPT kernels, a CPU looping anywhere in the kernel without invoking schedule().
- o A bug in the RCU implementation.
- A hardware failure. This is quite unlikely, but has occurred at least once in real life. A CPU failed in a running system, becoming unresponsive, but not causing an immediate crash. This resulted in a series of RCU CPU stall warnings, eventually leading the realization that the CPU had failed.

The RCU, RCU-sched, and RCU-bh implementations have CPU stall warning. SRCU does not have its own CPU stall warnings, but its calls to synchronize\_sched() will result in RCU-sched detecting RCU-sched-related CPU stalls. Please note that RCU only detects CPU stalls when there is a grace period in progress. No grace period, no CPU stall warnings.

To diagnose the cause of the stall, inspect the stack traces. The offending function will usually be near the top of the stack. If you have a series of stall warnings from a single extended stall, comparing the stack traces can often help determine where the stall is occurring, which will usually be in the function nearest the top of that portion of the stack which remains the same from trace to trace. If you can reliably trigger the stall, ftrace can be quite helpful.

RCU bugs can often be debugged with the help of CONFIG\_RCU\_TRACE.