

Rentals Contract Audit Report

Date: 10/25/2022

Auditor: Xinghui Chen

Email: ChenXinghui@protonmail.com

Summary

Scope

Common Contracts

<https://github.com/decentraland/common-contracts/tree/93a3471df9e53b11bf2f36fc8139f8ae8f99b34e>

Rentals

<https://github.com/decentraland/rentals-contract/tree/bfe7522314ae24d27aa927d74dee4718b90e55a7>

Every contract inside /contracts except for the ones in the /mocks folder.

Findings

	Critical	High	Middle	Low	Gas	Recommendation	Misc
NativeMetaTransaction.sol	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
AssetNonceVerifiable.sol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ContractNonceVerifiable.sol	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SignerNonceVerifiable.sol	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
IERC721Rentable.sol	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Rentals.sol	-	-	-	-	5	5	1

Audit Revisions

Common Contracts

<https://github.com/decentraland/common-contracts/tree/1c85438e913fe5affbef8e480c467585738e694a>

Rentals

<https://github.com/decentraland/rentals-contract/tree/51a2cd2951920c4573dc0368844a19279f00eba1>

Details

NativeMetaTransaction.sol

1. In function **executeMetaTransaction**, param `_functionData` and `_signature` are declared as memory, it's better using calldata as it's more gas friendly(`_signature` param in `_verify` function can be declared as calldata too).

Result: Changed.

SignerNonceVerifiable.sol

1. As `_bumpSignerNonce` is only called by **bumpSignerNonce**, it's better removing `_bumpSignerNonce` and move its logic into `bumpSignerNonce`.

Result: Not change. Reason:

Contracts from Common Contracts are intended to provide utilities to many different contracts. “_bumpSignerNonce” might not be used by Rentals, but it might be used by other new contracts internally. So it should remain separated from the external call.

IERC721Rentable.sol

1. Line 17 and 22, `memory` can be changed to `calldata` as these params are actually `calldata` in `EstateRegistry.sol`.

Result: Not change. Reason:

These functions are declared as public in `EstateRegistry.sol`, so `memory` is right. I mixed up as they are declared as external here, sorry.

Rentals.sol

Comment mistake

1. Line 202, **Get if and asset..** should be **Get if an asset...**

Result: Changed.

Gas optimization

1. In function **acceptListing**, param `_listing` is declared as memory, it's better using calldata as it's more gas friendly(`_listing` param in `_verifyListingsSigner` function can be declared as calldata too). Same for other external functions:

- function **acceptOffer**, param `_offer`.
- function **claim**, all params.
- function **setUpdateOperator**, all params.
- function **setManyLandUpdateOperator**, param `_landTokenIds` and `_operators`.
- function **onERC721Received**, param `_data`.

Result: Changed.

2. As the existence of line 275, line 270 and 271 can be removed.

Result: Not change. Reason:

The require can be removed, but will leave it there as it expresses intention better.

3. line 402 use `.length` in the loop condition, it's better saving it first. e.g.,

```
uint256 tokenIdLength = _landTokenIds.length;
for (uint256 i; i < tokenIdLength; ++i) {...}
or
for (uint256 i; i < tokenIdLength; ) {
    ..
    unchecked {
        ++i;
    }
}
```

Same for line 314, 359.

Result: Changed.

4. line 321 `Rental memory rental =` can be changed to `Rental storage rental =` as the logic below only access its one field one times. As Rental struct has 3 members, if use memory here, will cost more than 3 SLOAD(cold), if use storage, will only cost 1 SLOAD(cold). Same for line 362, 393.

Result: Changed.

Out of block gas limit

1. Line 553 calls `verifyFingerprint` in `EstateRegistry.sol`, which may cause `out of gas` if the estate contains too many lands. In my test(use line 1302 in `Rentals.spec.ts`), 3000 lands cost around 9_000_000 gas($x \in [-8,7)$, $y \in [-100,100)$), 10000 lands will fail($x \in [-25,25)$, $y \in [-100,100)$). I think it's ok as few people will trigger it.

Result: Known issue.

Recommendation

1. Line 428 use `msg.sender` directly. As the contract supports meta-transactions, it's better using `_msgSender()` everywhere in case of unintended behavior.

Result: Not change. Reason:

The "onERC721Received" method has not use through meta transactions as it should be called through ERC721 safeTransfers. Using `msg.sender` instead consumes less gas as we avoiding the "if (msg.sender == address(this)) {" of the `_msgSender`.

I still recommend use `_msgSender()` everywhere if a contract supports meta-transactions as `msg.sender` could be the contract itself. Using `msg.sender` will introduce an attack vector, and need pay attention if the contract upgrades in the future.

2. Line 7 can be removed as `Rentals` doesn't inherit `OwnableUpgradeable` directly.

Result: Changed.

3. Term `asset` is arbitrary: It means a nft in the comment, means nft contract in the code(line 330, 549).

Result: Not change.

4. `pragma solidity ^0.8.7` could be changed to `pragma solidity 0.8.7` (all files), for more detail please visit [here](#).

Result: Changed.

5. In function `_rent`, when `isExtend` is true or `isReRent` is true, should not try to transfer asset any more(line 600-602). Instead, shall assert that current contract must already own the asset. So maybe can change as below:

```
if (isExtend || isReRent) {
    require(_ownerOf(_rentParams.contractAddress, _rentParams.tokenId) ==
address(this), "Not own(accept[Listing|Offer])");
} else {
    // verify that the asset is not already rented. (line 566)
    require(!isRented, "Rentals#_rent: CURRENTLY_RENTED");
    if (_msgSender() == _rentParams.contractAddress) {
        require(_ownerOf(_rentParams.contractAddress, _rentParams.tokenId)
== address(this), "Not own(onERC721Received)");
    } else {
        asset.safeTransferFrom(_rentParams.lessor, address(this),
_rentParams.tokenId);
    }
}
```

Then remove line 600-602 and function `_verifyUnsafeTransfer` (not need deal unsafe transfer situation specially any more).

Result: Not change. Reason:

Making the change provided decreases the gas consumption of `acceptListing` and `acceptOffer` by about ~5k gas (however, it increases the `onERC721Received` consumption by ~4k). Saving that gas would be nice, but the change involves the core part of the contract and we are not willing to change it.

PS

1. The contract depends on `openZeppelin 4.5.0`, please be aware that it has some [issues](#), all these won't affect current contract currently.

Result: No impact.