19. Deutsche Seniorenmeisterschaften im Rettungsschwimmen

Gesamtwertung

										1	Manns	schaft																			Einz	el												\neg
Platz	Kii	ürzel	Gliederung	Punkte	AK 100 weibl.	AK 100 männl.	AK 120 weibl.	AK 120 männl.	AK 140 weibl.	AK 140 männl.	AK 170 weibl.	AK 170 männl.	AK 200 weibl.	AK 200 männl.	AK 240 weibl.	AK 240 männl.	4K 280+ weibl.	AK 280+ männl.	AK 25 weibl.	AK 25 männl.	AK 30 weibl.	AK 30 männl.	AK 35 weibl.	AK 35 männl.	AK 40 weibl.	AK 40 männl.	AK 45 weibl.	AK 45 männl.	AK 50 weibl.	AK 50 männl.	AK 55 weibl.	AK 55 männl.	AK 60 weibl.	AK 60 männl.	AK 65 weibl.	AK 65 männl.	AK 70 weibl.	AK 70 männl.	AK 75 weibl.	AK 75 männl.	AK 80 weibl.	AK 80 männl.	AK 85 weibl.	S AK 85 männl.
	1 GI		Gelsenkirchen	375	14	_	18	_	_	_	20		/	- 8	18	_	_	18	7	_	20	- 8	13	_	20	16	12	20	12	11	_	20	_	10	/	_	20	12		20	20		\rightarrow	20
	2 B-		Bietigheim-Bissingen	344		12	10	14	14		14		20	14	10	13		20	14	16	14	20	6	14	20	10	10	12	14	13		11	18	- 10		12		13		16		20	\dashv	
	3 RE		Reichenbach/Fils	202	18	12	8	8	20	-	- 17	20	20			20		20	17	10	- 17	16	16	-1-7	-	11	10	13	17	14		13	10			20		10	\vdash	- 10	-		\dashv	
	4 R		Rheda-Wiedenbrück	155	20		6	18	20	20	13					20		-	16			18	20	16	-	- ' '	8	10	-	17		10	-			20			\vdash	\vdash	-	-	\dashv	
	5 M		Magdeburg	125	20			-10		20	18					18			10			-10			16	- 1	16	- 1	-	_		ρ		16		13		20	$\vdash \vdash$	\vdash	-+	-+	\dashv	-
	6 TC		Tönisvorst	113							10		18		20	10									4		3	t			14		20	- 10	16		18		\vdash	\vdash	-	\rightarrow	\dashv	-
	7 H		Hochneukirch	107		-	-	-		-	8	10	14	20	20			-			10		-	-	-	-	14		13	- t	-17	18	20		10		10		\vdash	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	-
	8 W		Wadgassen	103			13	-		-	- 0	16	-17	20				-			10	10	-	12	18	-	-1-7	18	10			16	-						\vdash	\vdash	-	-	\dashv	
	8 M		Mönchengladbach	103									13			14			6								t				18	7		13	18	14			\vdash	\vdash	-	\rightarrow	\dashv	-
	0 M		Malente	99					18			12	.5	1		. ,	t					14						4		16	16			.5				18	\vdash	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	-
	1 UE		Region Uetersen	94		20	20	- 1	10	16		12							20				-+	-+			18	-7		10	10		- 1					.0	\vdash	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	-
	2 GI		Georgsmarienhütte	93		20	11	- 1		.0	10	13							20				12	6	10	8	4	11					- 1			8			\vdash	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	-
	3 KC		Kronach	89					13	7	-10	10		4					5		8		-12	4	-10	Ŭ	7	7	20					14		Ü			\vdash	\vdash	-	\rightarrow	\dashv	-
	4 Al		Ahrensburg	83				-	10			8	16	_				-	4		12		-	-	-	7		η 8	18	10			-						\vdash	\vdash	-	-	\dashv	
	5 M		Malsch	80	12			-		2		0	10			11		-	12		12		-	-	5			- 0	10	10		- 5	-	11		11		11	\vdash	\vdash	-	-	\dashv	
	6 DI		Dülken	78			16	16								- ' '			11		13		-	8	J	14	- 1	- 1	-	_		J		- ' '		- ' '		- ' '	$\vdash \vdash$	\vdash	-+	-+	\dashv	$\overline{}$
	6 HE		Heusweiler	78			-10	-10		18								-			-10		-	20	-	20		- 	-	20			-						\vdash	\vdash		\rightarrow	\dashv	
	8 BC		Borken	76					12	10											7	12		20	14	20	t	10	11										\vdash	\vdash	-	\rightarrow	\dashv	-
	9 LE		Lette	68					- 12	-10	11	14		7								-'-	-	-	17	12	- 1	10	16	Ω									$\vdash \vdash$	\vdash	-+	-+	\dashv	$\overline{}$
	0 FE		Fellbach	64		18		-		-								-		10	18	5	-	13	-	12		- 	10	-			-						\vdash	\vdash		\rightarrow	\dashv	
	1 W		Weener	61		10		-		14				16				-		10	10		-	10	13	4		- 	-			14	-						\vdash	\vdash		\rightarrow	\dashv	
	2 BF		Brühl	58		16	5	-		17				10				-	ρ	13			-	-	10	6		- 	-			17	-			10			\vdash	\vdash		\rightarrow	\dashv	
	2 NO		Norderstedt	58		14		-		-								-	- 0	18			14	-	-	- 0		- 	-	2		10	-			10			\vdash	\vdash		\rightarrow	\dashv	
	4 GI		Geislingen	56		-17		13		8								-		11		11	-17	-	-	13		- 	-			10	-						\vdash	\vdash		\rightarrow	\dashv	
	5 LL		Luckenwalde	50				-10		J				13				-		╧		╧	-	-	8	10	6	5	-	18			-						\vdash	\vdash		\rightarrow	\dashv	
	5 GI		Gütersloh	50				20						10						12				18	-	-	٠	- 3		10										$\vdash \vdash$		\rightarrow	\dashv	-
	7 MI		Merzig	49				20		4	16							-		12			-	10	-	-	11	- 	-				-						\vdash	18	-	-	\dashv	
	8 H		Hofgeismar	48				-			10					10		-					-	-	-	-	2	- 	-				-		20			16	\vdash	- 10	-	-	\dashv	
	9 AN		Anklam	42				-		5				11		10		-					-	-	-	-		2	-	12			-	12	20			10	\vdash	\vdash	-	-	\dashv	
	9 SF		Spelle	42			14	10		J								-					18	-	-	-			-	12			-	12					\vdash	\vdash		\rightarrow	\dashv	
	1 HE		Herzogenaurach	40			-17	10		-				10				-					10	-	-	-		- 	-			12	-	18					\vdash	\vdash		\rightarrow	\dashv	
	1 MI		Memmingen	40					0					12											-	_				_		12		20					$\vdash \vdash$	\vdash	\rightarrow	-	\dashv	-
	3 EL		Elmshorn	39			12	-	- 0	-				12				-			16		11	-	-	-		- 	-				-	20					\vdash	\vdash		\rightarrow	\dashv	
	4 K/		Karlsruhe	38															18	20	- 13	— 		- 	-		— 			- 	— t								┢	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv
	4 DI		Duisburg-Homberg	38					11										.0	20			7	-+			20	 											\vdash	\vdash	\dashv	\rightarrow	\dashv	-
	4 H		Hagen	38					- ' '																	18	20	t			20								\vdash	\vdash	-	\rightarrow	\dashv	-
	7 NI		Niederstetten	37	-	10	\dashv			13		\vdash	-				- 			- +	- +	- +	-+	-+	\dashv	. 0		14	-+	- t		- t				\vdash	\vdash		一	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv
	8 AA		Augsburg/Aichach-Friedberg	34		10		6		10													10	11	7			7					- 1						\vdash	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	-
	8 RS		Rheurdt-Schoephuysen	34	10	— f	— 	U												14	— 	— 	-10	10			— 			- 	— 								┢	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	-+	\dashv
	0 D/		Damme	30	10			- 1			12			18									-+	10									- 1						\vdash	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	-
	1 H		Harsewinkel	29	16	— f	— 				12			10					13	— 	— 	— 	-+	-+	-+		— 			- 	— 								┢	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	-+	\dashv
	2 W		Wuppertal	28								11							.5				-+	1		10		 		6									\vdash	\vdash	\dashv	\rightarrow	\dashv	-
	3 DE		Dissen - Bad Rothenfelde	25				- 1	6					3									-+	-+		2							- 1					14	\vdash	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	-
	3 SV		Schwetzingen	25		13		- 1	J	12				- 3									-+	-+		-							- 1						\vdash	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	-
	5 LA		Laubach	24		8	— t		16	12										— 	— 	— 	- 	- 	-		— 			- 	— t								┢	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv
	6 NE		Neustadt an der Aisch	23		٥	— t		10											8	— 	— 	- 	3	12		— 			- 	— t								┢	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv
	6 SH		Schloß Holte Stukenbrock	23			— t					5								٥	— 	— 	- 	7	14	5	— 	6		- 	— t								┢	\vdash	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv
	8 EE		Ebern	22	-		\rightarrow										ł			6			- 		\dashv	9		16		- 	\rightarrow								┢	\vdash	\dashv	-+	\dashv	-+
	8 DI		Durlach	22					10		6									U			-+	-+	-+			10	-			6							\vdash	$\vdash \vdash$	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	-
	8 PF		Pfullingen	22			-+		10		3		-	6		16							-+	-+	-+	-+			+	-+	-+	U		-						${ightarrow}$	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv	\dashv
4	OILL	J	i iumiyett	22										0		10				<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>	<u>l</u>														L			Щ'	لـــــــ				

19. Deutsche Seniorenmeisterschaften im Rettungsschwimmen

Gesamtwertung

			Mannschaft																									Einz	el						—						\neg
																												Ī	Ť						$\neg \tau$			\neg	\neg	\neg	
Platz Kürze	al Gliederung	Punkte	AK 100 weibl.	AK 100 männl.	AK 120 weibl.	AK 120 manni. AK 140 weibl.	AK 140 männl.	AK 170 weibl.	AK 170 männl.	AK 200 weibl.	AK 200 männl.	AK 240 weibl.	AK 240 männl.	AK 280+ weibl.	AK 280+ männl.	AK 25 weibl.	AK 25 männl.	AK 30 weibl.	AK 30 männl.	AK 35 weibl.	AK 35 männl.	AK 40 weibl.	AK 40 männl.	AK 45 weibl.	AK 45 männl.	AK 50 weibl.	AK 50 männl.	AK 55 weibl.	AK 55 männl.	AK 60 weibl.	AK 60 männl.	AK 65 weibl.	AK 65 männl.	AK 70 weibl.	AK 70 männl.	AK 75 weibl.	AK 75 männl.	AK 80 weibl.	AK 80 männl.	AK 85 weibl.	AK 85 männl.
51 LAG	Langenberg/Westf.	18					6	5 5											7																						\Box
51 SZL	Salzgitter-Lebenstedt	18																															18								
53 SEN		17					1	1								10						6																			
54 RUL	Rülzheim	16																															16								
54 BCW		16					9	3													2	11																			
56 BST	Barmstedt	14																	6		5				3																
56 WEI	Weimar	14				7			7																																
56 RHE		14																	13								1														
59 HEC		13																																	\longrightarrow			\perp			
59 BOM		13					11	1	2																		_													$oldsymbol{\perp}$	
59 KOB		13																						13															$-\!\!\perp$		
59 EMS		13					1	7	6																			_												_	
63 SAL	Salzbergen	12			1	12	1																					_												_	
63 DIT	Dietenhofen	12					1										7										5	_												_	
63 OLB		12					1						12															_												_	
66 ASP	Asperg	11		11			1																					_												_	
66 EIS	Eislingen	11			1	11		-																											\longrightarrow			— ∔		\rightarrow	
66 MEB		11				_		-										11																	\longrightarrow			— ∔		\rightarrow	
66 GRL	Garrel	11				_		-																											\longrightarrow			— ∔		\rightarrow	
70 ODE		10				_		-																		10									\longrightarrow			— ∔		\rightarrow	
70 ENL	Ennigerloh	10			10	_		-			_																								\longrightarrow			— ∔		\rightarrow	
72 BOE		9				_		-			5												3		1										\longrightarrow			— ∔		\rightarrow	
73 LAN		8					_	-												8															\longrightarrow			— ∔		\rightarrow	
73 VEL	Velbert	8			_	_ /	4	-															1												\longrightarrow			— ∔		\rightarrow	
75 WAF		/			/	_		-																			_								\longrightarrow			— ∔		\rightarrow	
75 GAR		/					1											_									/	_		_					\rightarrow			$-\!\!+$	-	\rightarrow	
77 BLI	Berlin-Lichtenberg	6				_	1											6									_	_		_					\rightarrow			$-\!\!+$	-	\rightarrow	
78 BON		5	┡			5	1-	1	1			<u> </u>		Ш										-					 					}	\rightarrow			\dashv	-+	\dashv	
78 WOL 80 HEM		5	\vdash				+	+	.		-	-		\vdash						-+				5		- - -		-+	-+						\dashv			\dashv	$-\!\!\!+$	$-\!\!\!+$	
80 HEM		4	\vdash				+	+	4		-	-		\vdash						-+						- - -	_	-+	-+						\dashv			\dashv	$-\!\!\!+$	$-\!\!\!+$	
		4	\vdash				+	+	_		-	-		\vdash						-+						- - -	4	-+	-+						\dashv			\dashv	$-\!\!\!+$	$-\!\!\!+$	
82 SAF 82 VRA	Saalfeld Velen-Ramsdorf	3	\vdash				+	+	3		-	-		\vdash						-+						- - -	2	-+	-+						\dashv			\dashv	$-\!\!\!+$	$-\!\!\!+$	
82 VRA 84 NEH		3	\vdash				+	+	-		2	-		\vdash						-+						- - -	3	-+							\rightarrow			\rightarrow	\dashv	+	
84 NEH 85 SES		- 2			+		+	+	-	_	2	-	<u> </u>									-+							-+						\rightarrow			\rightarrow	$-\!\!\!+$	+	\dashv
SCF	Scheinfeld Scheinfeld	\vdash			+		+	+	1	_	-	-	<u> </u>									-+							-+						\rightarrow			\rightarrow	$-\!\!\!+$	+	\dashv
NMO			\vdash		-		+	+						\vdash						-		-			-			-	-	-					\dashv			\dashv	$-\!\!\!+$	+	\dashv
EMK			\vdash		-		+	+						\vdash						-		-			-			-	-+	-					\dashv			\dashv	$-\!\!\!+$	+	\dashv
SRB			\vdash		-		+	+			-	-		\vdash						-+		-						\dashv	-+	-					\dashv			\dashv	+	+	-
SAT	Salzgitter-Thiede		\vdash		-		+	+			-	-		\vdash						-+		-						\dashv	-+	-					\dashv			\dashv	+	+	\dashv
HEU			\vdash		-		+	+			-	-		\vdash						-+		-						\dashv	-+	-					\dashv			\dashv	+	+	
EMM			\vdash		-		+	1				!		\vdash								-+								-+		-+			\rightarrow			\rightarrow	+	+	
⊏IVIIV	LITHEISHAUSEH								L																																

CHAOS-SOFTWARE V13.05-07 13:10:50 Uhr 25. 2.2007